BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the : Application of Kingwood:

Solar I LLC for a :
Certificate of : Case No. 21-117-EL-BGN Certificate of Environmental

Compatibility and Public : Need.

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Michael Williams and Mr. David Hicks, Administrative Law Judges, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, via Webex, called at 10:02 a.m. on Monday, December 13, 2021.

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481

	2
1	APPEARANCES:
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP By Mr. Michael J. Settineri,
3	Ms. Anna Sanyal, and Mr. Nathaniel B. Morse
4	52 East Gay Street Columbus, Ohio 43215
5	On behalf of the Applicant.
6	
7	Van Kley & Walker, LLC By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1
8	Columbus, Ohio 43215 On behalf of the Citizens for Green Acres
10	and Individual Intervenors.
11	Cedarville University By Mr. John E. Hart 251 North Main Street
12	Cedarville, Ohio 45314
13	On behalf of the In Progress LLC.
14	Ohio Farm Bureau Federation By Ms. Amy Milam
15	280 North High Street, 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215
16	On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
17	Federation.
18	Dinsmore & Shohl LLP By Mr. Lee A. Slone
19	One South Main Street, Suite 1300 Dayton, Ohio 45402
20	
21	On behalf of the Miami Township Board of Trustees, Greene County, Ohio.
22	Brown Law Office LLC By Mr. Daniel A. Brown
23	204 South Ludlow Street, Suite 300 Dayton, Ohio 45402
24	201, 01110 10102
25	On behalf of the Cedarville Township Trustees.

```
3
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
 2
            Plank Law Firm, LPA
            By Mr. David Watkins
 3
            and Mr. Kevin Dunn
            411 East Town Street, Floor 2
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Xenia Township Board of
                 Trustees.
 6
            Frost Brown Todd LLC
 7
            By Mr. Thad Boggs
            10 West Broad Street, Suite 2300
 8
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Greene County Board of
                 Commissioners.
10
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
11
            Mr. John Jones, Section Chief
            By Mr. Werner L. Margard, III,
12
            and Ms. Jodi Bair,
            Assistant Attorneys General
13
            Public Utilities Section
            30 East Broad Street, 26th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
14
15
                 On behalf of the Staff of the OPSB.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

Monday Morning Session,

December 13, 2021.

2.1

ALJ HICKS: Let's go ahead and go on the record.

The Ohio Power Siting Board has set for hearing at this time and place Case No. 21-117-EL-BGN which is captioned in the Matter of the Application of Kingwood Solar I LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need to Construct a Solar-Powered Electric Generation Facility in Greene County, Ohio.

Once again, good morning. My name is David Hicks, and with me is Mike Williams. And we are the Administrative Law Judges in the Legal Department of the Ohio Power Siting Board and have been assigned by the Board to hear this case.

I'll note that this hearing is being held via Webex in accordance with the scheduling entries issued on August 26, 2021, and November 10, 2021, and that also pursuant to a November 24, 2021, entry, we're doing a call and continue of the adjudicatory hearing scheduled to begin today. That means we have now opened the evidentiary hearing, we will take appearances of the counsel, have some discussions

here in terms of things going forward, and then we will adjourn and reconvene the evidentiary hearing at a later to be determined date.

2.1

At this time let's go ahead and take appearances by counsel. I'm just going so sort of go down the roll here, probably easier than having everyone just chime in as we could with lesser parties. So we will go ahead and start with the Applicant.

MR. SETTINERI: Good morning, your
Honors. On behalf of the Kingwood Solar I LLC,
Michael Settineri, Anna Sanyal, and Nathaniel Morse
with the law firm Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease
LLP, 52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

And on behalf of Board Staff.

MS. BAIR: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Power Siting Board Staff, Dave Yost, Attorney General, Jodi Bair, Werner Margard, Assistant Attorneys General, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

And on behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.

MS. MILAM: Good morning, your Honors.

- 1 | Amy Milan on behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
- 2 | Federation, 280 North High Street, 6th Floor,
- 3 Columbus, Ohio 43215.
- 4 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.
- 5 And for the Board of Trustees of
- 6 | Cedarville Township.
- 7 MR. BROWN: This is Attorney Daniel Brown
- 8 for Cedarville Township, Brown Law Office, 204 South
- 9 Ludlow Street, Suite 300, Dayton, Ohio 45402.
- 10 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.
- 11 And on behalf of the Board of Trustees of
- 12 | Xenia Township.
- MR. WATKINS: Yes. Good morning, your
- 14 Honors. This is David Watkins and Kevin Dunn of the
- 15 | Plank Law Firm which is at 411 East Town Street,
- 16 | Columbus, Ohio, Floor 2.
- 17 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.
- And on behalf of the Board of Trustees of
- 19 Miami Township.
- MR. SLONE: Good morning. Lee Slone,
- 21 Dinsmore & Shohl, One South Main Street, Suite 1300,
- 22 Dayton, Ohio 45402.
- 23 ALJ HICKS: Thank you.
- 24 And on behalf of In Progress LLC.
- MR. HART: Good morning, your Honor.

John Hart, 3728 Country Lane, Beavercreek, Ohio 45430.

2.1

ALJ HICKS: And on behalf of the Tecumseh Land Preservation Association.

Okay. And on behalf of the Citizens for Green Acres and the Associated Individual Intervenors.

MR. VAN KLEY: Good morning. This is Jack Van Kley of Van Kley & Walker, 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1, Columbus, Ohio 43235.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you.

And on behalf of the Greene County Board of Commissioners.

MR. BOGGS: Good morning, your Honors.

Thad Boggs of the law firm Frost Brown Todd LLC, 10

West Broad Street, 23rd Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ HICKS: Thank you. I believe that's everyone, but with the long list, speak now if we have missed anyone, but I think that is the full roll.

And with that I think the plan here is to have some discussion here on updates and sort of trying to map out a schedule, or at least tentatively talk through how we want to move things forward.

Mr. Settineri, probably makes sense for

you to lead off. I would just go ahead and bring up though just the fact that Judge Williams and I had talked a little bit before, and we certainly want to keep things moving, as I am sure everyone else does. So I will let you give us your update and just let you know that we were, you know, hopeful looking into mid to late February at least to try and get things moving towards a hearing. And so I will throw that out there and let you provide the update you had planned to provide.

2.1

ALJ WILLIAMS: In all fairness, I think we did before we went on the record, but I'll caution as well, obviously as the ALJs in the case, we don't want to hear and I am not interested in the negotiation specifics, so negotiation generalities we're open to certainly to the extent they help give us a perspective as to case timeline, but we caution all the parties not to be overly disclosing of any of the details of settlement negotiations.

With that, Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Sure. Thank you, your Honor, be glad to. So the ball has been in our court. We appreciate all the parties agreeing to not oppose the continuance, and we're making good use of that time, although we have not yet sent anything to

the parties. We have been working on a draft Stipulation and looking at design features on the project which is taking time, working with our engineering team, et cetera, on that.

2.1

So from a scheduling perspective, what we are looking at here is actually getting some material out to the parties in the next couple of days.

We're -- we started working on setting up meetings with parties to follow up and discuss that package that we are going to be sending out, and I expect some of those meetings to occur in December.

Unfortunately the holidays are close approaching. I didn't realize this is our last really full workweek in a sense before the holidays hit. And then we have, I think, at least one meeting scheduled for January.

So with that being said, what I typically would see in these proceedings, we've done many times, is what I would like to do is come back either -- ideally it would be the first week of January for a status conference to be able to have pretty good follow-up where things stand with the parties, what parties are interested, maybe what parties are not interested. And then at that status conference we would go ahead then and lock in dates

for a hearing and stipulation going forward.

2.1

t.hat.?

ALJ WILLIAMS: I am not sure you need the Bench's attention to confirm who is or who is not interested in negotiations.

MR. SETTINERI: That's right.

and as a way of example, I just had one of these conferences about a week, week and a half ago in Case 20-1605. And what we did there we tried to project out as far as we thought the Board would tolerate calendars of the respective parties and clear a window of hearing time, and then Judge Hicks and I will get together offline in the next week or so and propose a new scheduling entry. I think we get to the same spot, Mr. Settineri, whether we reconvene and talk again or whether we frankly try to get a date as far out as we think the Board will tolerate but that's, I think, where we are inclined to be.

MR. SETTINERI: Okay.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Anything in follow-up to

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may, yes. In my experience we've had settlements go months in the past, so it's always -- it depends on the complexity of the case and how long you go and I

have never had issue with the Board not tolerating settlement time and I have never seen the Board push to have a hearing before.

2.1

So obviously the status conference is helpful in January because it would allow us to get feedback; and, yes, we are not going to say which parties oppose and which parties don't oppose, but it allows us to take the temperature. So I just want to make that comment that I have never seen kind of a rush to go to hearing.

With that being said, again, I would like to see a status conference in January. If we are going to look at deadlines, there's a very good chance then as long as we are able to file a motion to extend those deadlines. I think that's important.

ALJ WILLIAMS: We certainly can't commit to -- you can file whatever motion you want, as you are well aware. We can't commit to how well it will be received. As I indicated, that there's certainly recent precedent in my case management as to the Board's desire to keep these cases moving. Obviously we were set for evidentiary hearing today. If we're to propose or settle into dates the latter part of February, the early part of March, we are already gaining a two or three months' continuance of the

process, so I think that is certainly well within the range of reason -- reasonable in terms of what the parties can and should expect in these types of cases.

2.1

2.2

MR. SETTINERI: Yeah. I'll leave that to the Bench's discretion but obviously wanted to note what I've seen for years on the record.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. So noted.

Anybody else want to comment on the progress of settlement negotiations or any of the nuances of negotiations?

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, this is Jack.

I guess my preference would be to -- to set a hearing so that we can lock it in on all of our witnesses' calendars and our calendars. I can tell you my

February calendar is really full right now, but March is still open. And if we set a hearing date in March where my calendar is more open, provided that everybody else's calendars are open during that time, I think at least we lock in the dates we -- we lock it in on our witnesses' calendars and that provides us with about three months to conclude any discussions we might have.

So that would be my preference, although
I am not going to fall on my sword if the Bench

decides to indefinitely postpone the hearing.

2.1

ALJ WILLIAMS: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

Anybody else want to comment? Mr. Hart, I see the triangle of death over your name on our screens here, so if you are trying to reach us or speak, you are going to need to call in. Otherwise, we will assume you are still able to hear us; or, as you are aware, this is all being transcribed.

Okay. So I think what we are going to do is compromise and not set a firm date here today but really kind of hone in on what's probably going to be a firm date via some very soon scheduling entry. I think in light of my calendar, and I know Judge Hicks's calendar is equally chaotic, let's look at the week of March 7. I'm assuming we will need roughly three days obviously depending on stipulations and the like, but so I'm looking for a three-day block the week of March 7.

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, if this is a contested hearing rather than a settled situation, I think it's going to take more than three days. It's probably going to take more like six days is my guess.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I appreciate that. Judge
Set -- Attorney Settineri, you concur it would be six

days or closer to six days?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

2.2

23

24

25

MR. SETTINERI: I would agree with Jack.

Jack and I have been doing this for a long time; so,

yeah, I think I would expect five to six days.

ALJ WILLIAMS: I think that -- I was already leaning toward we are going to do as much as we have to do and get it done in five so let's look at that entire week of March 7. And I'll invite one and all to let me know if they are not available that week. I'm hearing no one. Just as a backup plan, let's look at the week of March 14. Anybody have any conflicts that week?

ALJ HICKS: I might as the Judge.

ALJ WILLIAMS: How many days are you going to be out, Judge Hicks?

ALJ HICKS: Thursday, Friday that week.

17 ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. Let's do one more 18 week. The week of the 21st?

19 ALJ HICKS: Completely clear.

MS. MILAM: I have a conflict that week, your Honors.

ALJ WILLIAMS: Okay. I think with the two-week window there; and, Judge Hicks, if we do pick the week of the 14th for whatever reason, we will do the three days, and then we'll add on the

other two days at -- during the course of the hearing as necessary. Again, hopefully if -- if the case does proceed to hearing that week, maybe we get some stipulations or we can consolidate witnesses and the like, but I think it makes sense for us to all reserve the weeks of the 7th and 14th of March, and we'll get a scheduling entry out certainly in the next week or so so that you can free up days as necessary for your other cases.

2.1

Okay. So we have calendars freed up 3-7, 3-14, those weeks. Candidly we don't know whether this is going to be virtual or in person at this stage. It seems as though COVID precautions are -- they might have changed since we have been on this call quite frankly. I would believe it's probably going to be virtual, but we'll reserve the right to set it or adjust it as necessary.

MR. VAN KLEY: If it does turn back into an in-person hearing, does the Bench have any flexibility on our being able to call some out-of-state witnesses virtually? Some of our witnesses right now are -- are -- their attendance is contingent on this being a virtual hearing since it was scheduled as a virtual hearing to start today, so we may not be able to get them into town if it turns

into an in-person hearing.

2.1

out?

ALJ WILLIAMS: I'll tell you what we will pledge, if it's going to be in person, we will give you, you know, as much notice as possible, presumably at least a month's notice. I think for now we will assume it's going to be virtual. If it does convert to in person, then we'll adjust accordingly in terms of witness availability. I don't know that we have the ability or the discretion at this stage to conduct an in-person hearing and bring some witnesses in virtually. I would assume the Board would not be in favor of that.

Any other questions?

Okay. Judge Hicks, you want to close us

ALJ HICKS: Sure. Again, hearing no further questions, we will reconvene at a later date and be on the lookout for a scheduling entry within the next week or so.

And until then we are adjourned.

(Thereupon, at 10:19 a.m., the hearing was adjourned.)

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, December 13, 2021, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-7200)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/21/2021 3:32:19 PM

in

Case No(s). 21-0117-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript in the matter of Kingwood Solar I LLC hearing held on 12/13/21 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.