

FILE

6

**BEFORE THE  
PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO**


In the Matter of the Application of )  
Energy Professionals, LLC for Renewal )  
of its Certification as a Power Broker/ )  
Aggregator )

Case No. 12-2090-EL-AGG

**REQUEST FOR CONFIDENTIALITY**


Now comes Energy Professionals, LLC ("Energy Professionals"), seeking renewal of its Certification to provide Electric Broker/Aggregator service; and pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C.") moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio for a Protective Order to keep financial exhibits C-2, C-3 and C-5 to its renewal application for certification confidential and not part of the public record, The reasons underlying this motion are detailed in the attached Memorandum I Support. Consistent with the requirements of the above cited Rule, three (3) unredacted copies of the exhibits are submitted under seal.

Respectfully submitted,



Jeannie D. Mastorides  
Sr VP of Administration  
Legal ~ Regulatory & Compliance

Energy Professionals, LLC  
1315 Cleveland Street  
Clearwater FL 33755  
JMastorides@EnergyProfessionals.com



This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.  
Technician Dubie Date Processed 8/28/20

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF REQUEST  
FOR CONFIDENTIALITY

Energy Professionals request that the information designated as confidential Exhibits C-2 (Financial Statements), C-3 (Forecasted Financial Statements), C-5 Credit Rating) of its Renewal Certification application for Competitive Retail Power Broker/Aggregators be protected in public disclosure. The information for which protection is sought covers financial forecasts statements, and internal information. Such information if released to the public would harm Energy Professionals by providing its competitors proprietary information n what is designed by statue to now be a competitive service.

Rule 4901-1-24(D) of the Ohio Administrative Code provides that the Commission or certain designated employees may issue an Order which is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed with the Commissions Docketing division to the extent that State or Federal law prohibits the release of the information and where non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code. State law recognizes the need to protect certain types of information which are the subject of this Motion. The no-disclosure of the information will not impair the purposes of Title 39. The Commission and its staff have full access to the information in order to fulfill its statutory obligations. No purpose of Title 49 would be served by the public disclosure of the information.

The need to protect the designated information from public disclosure is clear, and there is compelling legal authority supporting the requested confidentiality. While the

commission has often expressed its preference for open proceedings, the Commission also long ago recognized its statutory obligations with regard to trade secrets:

The Commission is of the opinion that the “public records” statute must also be read in pari materia with Section 1333.31, Revised Code (“trade secrets” statute). The latter statute must be interpreted as Evincing the recognition, on the part of the General Assembly of the Value of trade secret information.

In re: General Telephone Co., Case No. 81-383-TTP-AIR (Entry, February 17, 1982.)

Likewise, the Commission has facilitated the protection of trade secrets in its rules (O.A.C. 4901-1-24(a)(7)).

The definition of a “trade secret” is set forth in the Uniform Trade Secrets Act:

“Trade secret” means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any business information or plans, financial information or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers that satisfies both of the following:

- 1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- 2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R.C. 1333.61(D). this definition clearly reflects the state policy favoring the protection of

trade secrets such as the information which is the subject of this Motion.

Courts of other jurisdictions have held that not only does a Public Utility Commission have the authority to protect the trade secrets of the companies’ subject to its

jurisdiction, the trade secrets statute creates a duty to protect them. New York Tel. Co. v. Pub. Serv. Comm. N.Y. 56 N.Y. 2d 213 (1982). Indeed, for the Commission to do otherwise would be to negate the protections the Ohio General Assembly has granted to all businesses, including public utilities, and now the new entrants who will be providing power through the Uniform Trade Secrets Act. This Commission has previously carried out its obligations in this regard in numerous proceedings. See, Elyria Tel. Co., Case No. 89-965-TP-AEC (Finding and Order, September 21, 1989); Ohio Bell Tel. Co., Case No. 89-718-TP-ATA (Finding and Order, May 31, 1989); Columbia Gas of Ohio, Inc., Case No. 90-17-GA-GCR (Entry, August 17, 1990).

In Pyromatics, Inc. v. Petruziello, 7 Ohio app. 3d 131, 134-135 (Cuyahoga County 1983), the court of appeals, citing Kick Engineering Co. v. Faulconer, 210 U.S.P.Q. 854, 861 (Kansas 1980), has delineated factors to be considered in recognizing a trade secret:


- (1) The extent to which the information is known outside the business,
- (2) the extent to which it is known to those inside the business, i.e., by the employees,
- (3) the precautions taken by the holder of the trade secret to guard the secrecy of the information,
- (4) the savings effected and the value to the holder in having the information as against competitors,
- (5) the amount of effort or money expended in obtaining and developing the information, and
- (6) the amount of time and expense it would take for others to acquire and duplicate the information.

Applying these factors to the financial exhibits Energy Professionals seeks to keep confidential, it is clear that a request for confidentiality should be granted. Similar motions were granted in March 2018 by the PUVO for Energy Professionals' Power Broker and Natural Gas Broker/Aggregator Certification Renewal.

Exhibits C-2, C-3 and C-5 contain confidential financial information. Such sensitive financial information is generally not disclosed. Its disclosure could give competitor an advantage that would hinder Energy Professionals ability to compete. On the other hand, public disclosure of this financial information is not likely to assist the Commission in carrying out its duties under CRES Rules.

WHEREFORE, for the above reasons Energy Professionals requests the Commission to grant its Motion for a Protective Order and to maintain exhibits C-2, C-3 and C-5 of its Renewal Certification application for Competitive Retail Power Brokers/Aggregators under seal.

Respectfully Submitted,



Jeannie Mastorides  
Sr VP of Administration  
Legal Regulatory & Compliance  
Energy Professionals, LLC  
1315 Cleveland Street  
Clearwater, FL 33755  
[JMastorides@EnergyProfessionals.com](mailto:JMastorides@EnergyProfessionals.com)

**LIST OF EXHIBITS**  
**FOR WHICH CONFIDENTIALITY IS SOUGHT**

<u>EXHIBIT</u>	<u>REASONS JUSTIFYING CONFIDENTIALITY</u>
C-2    Balance Sheets	This exhibit contains financial information. Disclosure would give an unfair advantage to Competitors and would hinder Energy Professionals' Ability to compete.
C-3    Financial Forecasts	This exhibit contains financial information. Disclosure would give an unfair advantage to Competitors and would hinder Energy Professionals' Ability to compete.
C-5    Credit Report	This exhibit contains financial information. Disclosure would give an unfair advantage to Competitors and would hinder Energy Professionals' Ability to compete