

From: RICHARD CLARK
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:19:16 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
RICHARD CLARK
2145 West 18th Street
CLEVELAND, OH 44113

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: robert roth
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 2:37:09 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
robert roth
15825 van aken blvd.#207
shaker hts., OH 44120

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Ron McCutcheon
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 6:18:01 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Ron McCutcheon
5734 Norwood Dr
Brookpark, OH 44142

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Sandra Cobb
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:27:00 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Sandra Cobb
3880 Ellendale Rd
Moreland Hills, OH 44022

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Sarah Damron
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:27:03 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Sarah Damron
910 Township Road 3414
Loudonville, OH 44842

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Scott White
To: [PucO Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Sunday, August 9, 2020 9:53:35 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As an Ohioan, I am proud to support the letter sent by a group of state legislators to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As noted in the letter, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Scott White
1970 State Route 534
Southington, OH 44470

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Sherry Anderson
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 8:50:28 AM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Sherry Anderson
87 County Road 1100
West Salem, OH 44287

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Stephanie Kocian
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:59:11 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Stephanie Kocian
1286 west 106th Street
Cleveland, OH 44102

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Stephen Plummer
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Wednesday, August 5, 2020 8:06:26 AM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As an Ohioan, I am proud to support the letter sent by a group of state legislators to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As noted in the letter, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Stephen Plummer
1039 Broad Blvd
Cuyahoga Falls, OH 44221

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Sue Janssen
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:53:53 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Sue Janssen
3352 Bradford Rd
Cleveland, OH 44118

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/13/2020 11:35:56 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-1871-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment of Richard Clark, Robert Roth, Ron McCutcheon, Sandra Cobb, Sarah Damron, Scott White, Sherry Anderson, Stephanie Kocian, Stephen Plummer and Sue Janssen, via website, electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing