

From: Louiza Wise
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:20:30 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Louiza Wise
3094 Corydon Rd
Cleveland Heights, OH 44118

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Marianna Carney
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 12:44:16 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Marianna Carney
2311 Montague Ave
Avon, OH 44011

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Marilyn de Vito
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 5:26:56 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Marilyn de Vito
712 Renninger Rd.
New Franklin, OH 44319

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Mark Bacon
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 4:39:10 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill. This seems another example of the back room politics that created and passed HB6!

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Mark Bacon
1811 Wynwood Drive
Rocky River, OH 44116

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: martin brennan
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:54:09 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
martin brennan
1587 Elmwood Dr
Ashtabula, OH 44004

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Marty Mason
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:20:43 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Marty Mason
371 E Glengary Cir
Cleveland, OH 44143

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Mary Ann Wishnosky
To: [PucO Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 1:33:06 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Mary Ann Wishnosky
11210 Falmouth Cir
North Royalton, OH 44133

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Mary McCullough
To: [PucO Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Monday, August 3, 2020 3:25:09 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Mary McCullough
2540 Albrecht Ave
Akron, OH 44312

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Matthew Groenke
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 9:53:41 AM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As a resident of Northeast Ohio, I am proud that my state legislator signed onto the letter to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As my legislator and others noted, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Matthew Groenke
3381 Blossom Trail
Twinsburg, OH 44087

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

From: Melodee Kornacker
To: [Puco Docketing](#)
Subject: Comment re: Case 16-1871-EL-BGN
Date: Tuesday, August 4, 2020 6:08:11 PM

Dear Chairman Randazzo and members of the Ohio Power Siting Board:

As an Ohioan, I am proud to support the letter sent by a group of state legislators to Ohio Power Siting Board (OPSB) Chair Sam Randazzo calling for reconsideration of the feathering condition included in its approval of the Icebreaker Windpower project.

As noted in the letter, this condition—added to the approval of the project—amounts to a “poison pill” and ultimately kills this innovative project. Why would the Board reverse its decision on the feathering condition at the last minute?

As a state committed to promoting an “all of the above” energy approach, the unreasonable action taken against this thoroughly vetted and strongly supported renewable energy project is out of line. I ask that the Board immediately grant LEEDCo’s request for reconsideration of the project and remove the poison pill.

The Icebreaker Wind project would result in a strong win for our environment and our economy, helping us combat air pollution and climate change while also creating more than 500 jobs and injecting \$253 million into the local economy. Furthermore, it would put Ohio on the map as a leader in renewable energy technologies as this project would be the first freshwater offshore wind farm in North America. I hope you will support such an important investment in Ohio’s future.

Sincerely,
Melodee Kornacker
1294 fontaine drive
upper arlington, OH 43221

CAUTION: This is an external email and may not be safe. If the email looks suspicious, please do not click links or open attachments and forward the email to csc@ohio.gov <<mailto:csc@ohio.gov>> or click the Phish Alert Button if available.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/13/2020 11:32:48 AM

in

Case No(s). 16-1871-EL-BGN

Summary: Public Comment of Louiza Wise, Marianna Carney, Marilyn de Vito, Mark Bacon, Martin Brennan, Marty Mason, Mary Ann Wishnosky, Mary McCullough, Matthew Groenke and Melodee Kornacker, via website, electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing