# Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC Case No. 19-1881-EL-BGN

# **Application Part 4 of 8**

Part 4 includes:

• Exhibit L Geotechnical Engineering Report

Date Filed: July 17, 2020

Christine M.T. Pirik (0029759) (Counsel of Record) William Vorys (0093479) Dickinson Wright PLLC 150 East Gay Street, Suite 2400 Columbus, Ohio 43215 Phone: (614) 591-5461 Email: <u>cpirik@dickinsonwright.com</u> <u>todonnell@dickinsonwright.com</u>

Attorneys for Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC

# **Exhibit L Geotechnical Engineering Report**

# Olsson June 30, 2020

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Christine M.T. Pirik Christine M.T. Pirik (0029759) (Counsel of Record) William Vorys (0093479) Dickinson Wright PLLC 150 East Gay Street, Suite 2400 Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 591-5461 cpirik@dickinsonwright.com wvorys@dickinsonwright.com

Attorneys for Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC

# GEOTECHNICAL ENGINEERING REPORT MADISON FIELDS SOLAR PROJECT MADISON COUNTY, OHIO

**Prepared For:** 

Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC Kansas City, Missouri

June 30, 2020 Olsson Project No. 020-0986



June 30, 2020

Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC Attn: Mr. Jimmy Balough, P.E. 422 Admiral Blvd Kansas City, Missouri 64106

Re: Geotechnical Engineering Report Madison Fields Solar Project Madison County, Ohio Olsson Project No. 020-0986

Dear Mr. Balough,

Olsson has completed the geotechnical engineering report for the above referenced project. The enclosed report summarizes our understanding of the project, presents the findings of the borings and laboratory tests, discusses the observed subsurface conditions, and based on those conditions, provides geotechnical engineering recommendations for this project.

We appreciate the opportunity to provide our geotechnical engineering services for this project. If you have any questions or need further assistance, please contact us at your convenience.

Respectfully submitted, Olsson, Inc.

iha P

Michael Flanagan Geotechnical Project Manager

olsson

William Kussmann, P.E. Geotechnical Engineer of Record



3990 Fox Street / Denver, CO 80216 O 303.237.2072 / olsson.com

		Pa	age
EXEC	UTIVE SU	MMARY	1
Α.	INTROD	UCTION	3
	A.1.	Project Background	3
	A.2.	Site Location and Topography	3
	A.3.	Site Geology	4
	A.3.1	Regional Physiography	4
	A.3.2	Geologic History	4
	A.3.3	Local Geology and Soils	5
	A.4.	Geologic and Geotechnical Hazards	5
	A.4.1	Seismicity and Faulting	5
	A.4.2	Liquefaction	6
	A.4.3	Collapse and Swell Potential	6
	A.4.4	Karst Potential	7
	A.4.5	Subsidence from Mining and Oil and Gas Production	7
	A.4.6	Slope Stability	8
	A.4.7	Flooding	8
B.	EXPLO	RATORY AND TEST PROCEDURES	
-	B 1	Geotechnical Borings	0
	D.1. B 2	Test Dit Excavations	10
	D.Z. B 3	Laboratory Testing	10
	D.J. B /	Electrical Pacistivity Testing	. 10
	D. <del>4</del> . B 5	Thermal Resistivity Testing	12
	D.J. B.6	Percolation Testing	12
	D.0. B 7	Driven Dile Testing	12
	D.7. B 7 1	Driven Pile Exploratory and Test Procedures	12
	D.7.1.	Diven File Exploratory and Test Flocedures	. IZ
	D.7.2.	Pile Load Testing	12
	D.7.J.	Avial Unlift Test (Tension)	12
	D.1.J.I.		. 13
~	D.7.3.Z.		. 17
C.	SUBSU	RFACE CONDITIONS	. 19
	C.1.	Soil Stratigraphy	. 19
	C.2.1.	Topsoil and Surficial Soil	. 20
	C.2.2.	Lean Clay Soils	. 20
	C.2.3.	Sand Soils	.20
	C.3.	Groundwater Observation	.21
	C.4.	Soil Chemical Testing	. 21
	C.5.	General laboratory testing	. 22
	C.5.1.	Moisture Content	. 22
	C.5.2.	Grain Size Analyses	. 22
	C.5.3.	Unit Weight	.23
	C.5.4.	Atterberg Limits	.23
	C.5.5.	Standard Proctor	.23
	C.5.6.	Shear Strength	.24
	C.5.6.1.	Undrained Shear Strength	. 24

	C.5.6.2.	Drained Shear Strength	
	C.5.6.3.	Lower Strength Soil Layers	
	C.6.	Swell Potential	
	C.7.	California Bearing Ratio Testing	
D.	SITE PF	REPARATION	
	D.1.	General Site and Subgrade Preparation	27
	D.1.2.	Shallow Foundation Excavations and Backfill	
	D.2.	Fill	29
	D.3.	Drainage Considerations and Dewatering	
	D.4.	Temporary Slopes and Excavations	
	D.5.	Construction Equipment Mobility	
E.	STRUC	TURES	
	E.1.	Conventional Pile Foundation Design for Solar Panel Array Foundations	
	E.1.2.	Pile Load Testing Results	
	E.1.2.1.	Axial Uplift Testing Results	
	E.1.2.2.	Lateral Load Testing Results	
	E.1.2.3.	Comment On Axial Compression Loading	
	E.2.	Shallow Foundation Design for Ancillary Structures	43
	E.2.1	Allowable Bearing Capacity for Spread Footing Foundations	43
	E.2.1.1.	Bearing Capacity – Undrained Analysis	
	E.2.1.2.	Bearing Capacity – Drained Analysis	45
	E.2.1.3.	Bearing Capacity Determination	45
	E.2.2.	Foundation Settlement	45
	E.1.2.1.	Soil Modulus	
	E.2.2.1.	Elastic Settlement	
	E.2.2.2.	Long-Term Settlement from Consolidation Test Results	47
	E.2.3.	Drilled Shaft Foundations For Ancillary Structure Locations	47
	E.3.	Frost Depth and Design Considerations	
	E.4.	Sliding Friction	
	E.5.	Seismic Classification	
	E.6.	Soil Corrosivity and Reactivity	51
F.	PRIVAT	E ACCESS ROAD DESIGN	51
	F.1.	Subgrade Preparation	51
	F.2.	Private Access Road Section Design	
	F.3.	Aggregate Recommendation	53
G.	LIMITA	TIONS	
Н.	REFER	ENCES	

#### List of Figures

- Figure 1 Site Location Figure 2 Site Layout Figure 3 Site Topography Figure 4 Surficial Geology Figure 5 Soil Parent Material Figure 6 **USCS Soil Classifications** Figure 7 Bedrock Geology Figure 8 Flooding Risk Map Figure 9 Soil Boring Locations Figure 10 **Test Pit Locations** Figure 11 Percolation Test Locations
- Figure 12 Electrical Resistivity Testing Locations
- Figure 13 Thermal Resistivity Sample Locations
- Figure 14 Pile Testing Locations
- Figure 15 Project Aerial Map

#### List of Tables

Table 1 Summary of Groundwater Measurements from Geotechnical Bori	ngs
--	-----

- Table 2
   Summary of General Laboratory Test Results
- Table 3
   Summary of Soil Chemical Test Results
- Table 4
   Summary of Standard Proctor Density Test Results
- Table 5
   Summary of Lower Strength Zones
- Table 6
   Summary of Consolidation Test Results
- Table 7
   Summary of Geotechnical Parameters for Ancillary Structure Foundation Design
- Table 8
   Recommended Aggregate Base Thickness for Road Section Design
- Table 9
   Summary of Driven Pile Installations

#### List of Appendices

- Appendix A Site Coordinates and Investigation Summary
- Appendix B Geological and Geotechnical Hazards Summary
- Appendix C Soil Boring Logs
- Appendix D Test Pit Logs
- Appendix E Laboratory Test Results
- Appendix F Electrical Resistivity Report
- Appendix G Thermal Resistivity Report
- Appendix H Field Pile Testing Results
- Appendix I Pile Loading Design Summary
- Appendix J Percolation Test Summaries

*Olsson, Inc. (Olsson),* under authorization and contract with Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC (Madison Fields), completed a geotechnical investigation for the Madison Fields Solar Project in Madison County, Ohio. This report is intended to be used for foundation and roadway design purposes for the investigated locations at the project site.

The geotechnical investigation consisted of geotechnical borings, standard penetration tests (SPT), split-spoon soil sampling, bulk soil sampling, test pits, general soil laboratory testing, electrical resistivity testing, thermal resistivity testing, and axial and lateral pile load testing. This program of geotechnical investigation was selected to accurately and efficiently evaluate the strength, compressibility, stiffness, and density characteristics of the soil at the project site, as well as to evaluate the general suitability of the solar array systems proposed.

In general, the field investigation encountered a layer of cultivated topsoil overlying primarily clayey soil. Some sands were encountered in localized areas, and at depths typically exceeding 6 feet.

The primary findings of the design phase geotechnical explorations and analyses indicate the following:

- In general, the results indicate the soil at the site is suitable for support of a driven pile solar array foundation system.
  - Some isolated glacial erratics (cobbles and boulders) were encountered in four of the total eighteen test pits completed. Pile driving obstruction risk appears relatively low across the site, but isolated obstacles should be anticipated.
- The results of the geotechnical borings indicated that several investigated sites exhibited zones of lower strength and/or higher compressibility soil that may require remediation for support of conventional spread footing foundations.
  - Investigated locations GEO-33, GEO-34, GEO-42, GEO-55, and GEO-61 can likely be remediated with removal of the shallow lower strength soil and replacement with compacted engineered fill. Site specific bearing capacity and settlement calculations should be completed by the foundation engineer of record to determine if correct measures are required.
  - If these areas will have only pile foundations, slightly lower parameters may be needed for pile foundation analysis and remediation should not be required.
- Based on the results of testing, the soils at the site generally exhibit relatively low soluble sulfate levels and cement with a S0 exposure class is suitable for design purposes.

- In some cases where foundation excavations will extend solely into clay soils, a dewatering system consisting of a sump and pump may be sufficient. However, in areas where shallow groundwater was noted along with the presence of permeable sand layers, there is the potential need for an advanced excavation dewatering system consisting of deep wells or well points.
- Results from the geotechnical drilling and analysis indicated that the private access roads and ancillary structure locations investigated for this report can be designed using typical best practices. A summary of recommended geotechnical parameters for foundation design is included with this report.

## A. INTRODUCTION

The Madison Fields Solar Project is a proposed solar a commercial scale renewable energy project consisting of a series of solar photovoltaic (PV) modules spread across approximately 2,000 acres. This report describes the preliminary phase investigation and testing performed, presents the results of this work, and provides preliminary geotechnical analyses and recommendations for structure foundations, as well as general construction considerations. This report addresses geologic and geotechnical risks and summarizes the geotechnical investigation completed at selected locations spread throughout the proposed development.

#### A.1. PROJECT BACKGROUND

Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC (Madison Fields) is developing the Madison Fields Solar Project in Madison County, Ohio. As part of the design phase geotechnical investigation, we performed geotechnical explorations for the proposed solar panel array locations and other associated project infrastructure, including the potential substations. This report includes the geotechnical data obtained from the field investigation and provides conclusions and recommendations from these investigations for foundation design. We were not aware of previous investigation performed for the project site.

We understand the proposed project consists of constructing solar panel arrays and associated electrical infrastructure. At the time of this report, the foundation design has not been provided to *Olsson*. As a result, *Olsson* has provided the analysis herein based on assumed foundation design values based on experience with similar solar developments.

Specific solar array locations have not been provided, but the investigations were performed based on the areas targeted by Madison Fields for development at the time of the investigations. Appendix A provides a summary of the geotechnical field test locations, including the associated geotechnical investigation identification number (Geotechnical ID).

The geotechnical recommendations presented herein are based on the available project information, proposed project location, and the subsurface conditions described in this report. If the loads or any of the noted information is incorrect, please inform **Olsson** so that we may amend the recommendations presented in this report if appropriate.

#### A.2. SITE LOCATION AND TOPOGRAPHY

The proposed Madison Fields Solar Project is located in rural Madison County, Ohio approximately 8 miles northeast of the village of Mechanicsburg (Figure 1). Smaller communities of Irwin and Rosedale are located just north and east of the site, respectively. Figure 2 shows the general project parcels as indicated by Madison

Fields's preliminary project layout at the time of the geotechnical investigations. The coordinates of the geotechnical test locations are provided in Appendix A.

The project area consists primarily of agricultural land, which had been tilled or recently planted at the time of the investigations. The project site exhibits a nearly flat topography. Elevations at the central and southwest project areas range from site range from approximately 1007 feet along the northeast project boundary to 1023 feet along the southwest project boundary. Topography at the project site is shown in Figure 3.

At the time of our investigation, standing surficial water at the geotechnical boring locations was not present; however, several enhanced drainage paths through the agricultural fields were noted crossing the project area, including between GEOs 12 and 36 traveling southeast to northwest and between GEOs 19 and 22 traveling approximately east to west. A group of trees approximately 4 acres in total area was noted between GEO-21 and GEO-31, and a thin tree line oriented approximately southwest to northeast was also noted between GEO-02 and GEO-14.

#### A.3. SITE GEOLOGY

#### A.3.1 REGIONAL PHYSIOGRAPHY

The project site is located in the Darby Plain Province of Ohio. The Darby Plain Province has moderately low relief and consists of broadly hummocky ground moraines with several broad, indistinct recessional moraines. The Darby Plain is bordered by the Reesville and Cable Moraines to the south and west, the Powell Moraine to the north, and slopes increasingly eastward toward the lower elevation Columbus Lowland to the east.

The surficial geology consists of Wisconsinan-aged glacial till with a large outwash deposit in the center of the region. Thicknesses of the glacial till are mapped as at least 20 to 80 feet deep, with some deposits on the order of over 250 feet thick where incised bedrock valleys are present (ODNR shaded drift thickness map). The surficial glacial deposits overlie deep Devonian and Silurian-aged sedimentary bedrock.

#### A.3.2 GEOLOGIC HISTORY

The project site is located within a geomorphic region known as the Ohio Till Plain. The region experienced several episodes of glaciation, the most recent about 10,000 to 15,000 years ago. Surficial geology deposits originated from glacial lake sediments, ground and end moraine sediments, and glacial outwash. Glacial till and moraine sediments are composed mostly of clayey soil with isolated interlayered areas of silt, sand, and gravel. End moraine deposits form hummocky ridges surrounding flat areas of ground moraine and glacial lake deposits. The outwash deposits contain sand and gravel, representing areas of fast-moving water on the edges of glaciers (Pavey, 1999).

Prior to the glacial advances, bedrock likely was fairly shallow, and some incised valleys into the bedrock are present. Bedrock units at the site consist of:

- Tymochtee and Greenfield Dolomites, Undivided: Silurian aged, olive gray to yellowish brown, thin to massive bedded dolomite and shale (not mapped within the site boundary)
- Lockport Dolomite: Silurian aged, white to gray, finely to coarsely crystalline, medium to massive beds, vuggy and locally cherty
- Salina Group: Silurian aged, gray to yellow gray to olive gray, laminated to thinly bedded dolomite with gray shale, anhydrite, and gypsum laminations
- Clinton and Cataract Groups: Silurian aged dolomite and limestone, gray, olive green, yellow, and reddish gray, laminated to thickly bedded and finely to coarsely crystalline, with interbedded to sparsely interbedded greenish gray silty shale

These are generally deeper than the proposed pile installation/foundation depths and should not be encountered during construction. Bedrock units are indicated on Figure 7.

#### A.3.3 LOCAL GEOLOGY AND SOILS

Surficial geology at the site consist primarily of Quaternary surficial deposits consisting of Ground moraine deposits comprised primarily of a clay matrix with interlayered sand, silt, and some gravel (Pavey, 1999). The soils are mapped primarily as glacial till or glacial outwash, described as sedimentary carbonate soils (see Figures 4 and 5). The USDA surficial soil mapping (Figure 6) indicates that most of the soils are lean clay (CL), and elastic silt (MH), with lesser areas of low plasticity silt (ML). Based on experience and actual soil conditions encountered, the site is primarily covered with lean clay (CL) type materials.

#### A.4. GEOLOGIC AND GEOTECHNICAL HAZARDS

**Olsson** has reviewed the project area, geologic conditions, and published information with regard to site conditions and potential geologic and geotechnical hazards. The following sections discuss commonly considered hazards and the anticipated potential for these to affect development of the project. Appendix B provides a summary of geologic and geotechnical hazards for the site. Design considerations for selected risks are provided in Section E.

#### A.4.1 SEISMICITY AND FAULTING

The site is located in a relatively inactive seismic area and therefore the design seismicity is low. Seismicity for project design is further discussed in Section E.5. There are no active folds or faulting in the vicinity of the project area (USGS Quaternary Fault Database, 2019).

Overall, the seismicity and faulting risks in the vicinity of the site appear low.

#### A.4.2 LIQUEFACTION

Liquefaction is the phenomena of a sudden drop in soil shear strength under undrained conditions from the typical yield strength to the substantially lower liquefied strength. This occurs from a rapid build-up of excess pore pressures during a seismic disturbance or other strong vibrations. There are five main items required for liquefaction to occur:

- Type of soil Typically fine to medium grained sand and silt soils are susceptible to liquefaction
- Soil gradation Typically well graded materials are less susceptible to liquefaction than poorly graded materials
- Moisture content or saturation very high natural moisture content at or near saturation is required for liquefaction to occur
- Soil density –Soils are considered to be either dilative or contractive depending on their density. Soils with a higher density are less susceptible to liquefaction.
- Energy Driving Liquefaction Some form of energy is required to trigger liquefaction. Liquefaction can be triggered by the dynamic application of a single large increment of shear stress or by repeated application of smaller shear stress increments. Typical sources of liquefaction are earthquakes and blasting, and less commonly pile driving.

The seismicity at the site is relatively low, and much of the site was found to consist of lean clay soils which have higher resistance to liquefaction. The seismicity of the site is also low reducing the potential for driving energy to trigger liquefaction. Based on the information reviewed, the risk of liquefaction for the project area appears low.

#### A.4.3 COLLAPSE AND SWELL POTENTIAL

Loess or eolian soils are typically collapsible. These soil types are mapped in the region but do not appear to be mapped within the footprint of the project. Further, the presence of the loess soils were not detected in the soil borings. Therefore, either the loess is absent or very thin across the surface of the site and soil collapse is not anticipated to be a significant concern for the project.

Soils with moderate to high plasticity are considered to have shrink/swell potential. In general, soils with liquid limit values less than 50 and a plasticity index less than 25 are considered to have low shrink-swell potential. Soils with liquid limit values of 50 to 60 and a plasticity index of 25 to 35 are considered to have moderate shrink-swell potential. Soils with liquid limit values greater than 60 and a plasticity index value greater than 35 are considered to have high shrink-swell potential (Das, 2000).

Sand and silt soils generally have low plasticity and are not considered susceptible to significant swell potential. Lean clay soils (low to moderate plasticity) are anticipated to have a liquid limit value less than 50 and a plasticity index value less than 25 and exhibit low to moderate swell potential. Fat clay soils (moderate to high plasticity) have a liquid limit value greater than 50 and a plasticity index value greater than 25 and exhibit high swell potential. Swell potential of the clay soils is further discussed in Section C.6.

#### A.4.4 Karst Potential

Karst is "a terrain, generally underlain by limestone, dolomite, or evaporite bedrock in which the topography is chiefly formed by the dissolving of rock, and which may be characterized by sinkholes, sinking streams, closed depressions, subterranean drainage, and caves" (Gustavson et al., 1980). Caves and related solution features pose a risk to overlying structures.

Review of potential karst features in the USGS publication "Karst in the United States: A Digital Map Compilation and Database" (Weary and Doctor, 2014) indicates that the project is mapped within an area noted to have karst features underlying more than 50 feet of glacial deposits. Specifically, the site is underlain by the Salina bedrock formation, which has known karst features, which includes limestone/dolomite bedrock. On the very eastern tip of the project site, east of GEO-62, the karst potential is mapped shallower than 50 feet underlying glacial sediments.

A more detailed review of the karst potential from the Ohio Department of Natural Resources interactive karst map indicates that the overall karst area of Ohio mostly ring the project area, and no mapped karst features (consisting of either field verified or suspected karst features) are located in or within close proximity to the project area.

Overall, the site appears to be within a mapped karst zone noted as karstic features at depths greater than 50 feet, has some shale as the upper bedrock unit and does not have any identified karst features per the Ohio DNR database, therefore, the risk of development of karst features appears relatively low.

#### A.4.5 SUBSIDENCE FROM MINING AND OIL AND GAS PRODUCTION

Most of the mineral extraction in Ohio occurs in the eastern half of the state where the glacial soils are absent and thicker coal seams are present in younger bedrock. Due to the relatively thick glacial deposits and lack of significant coal reserves or other mined resources mapped within the project area, risk of subsidence due to mining appears to be low. Some sand and gravel deposits likely are mined for construction materials, but these are typically surface mines which do not cause subsidence of collapsing underground works.

Oil and gas production is prevalent in Ohio, but found almost entirely in the eastern half of the state. The Ohio DNR map indicates no oil and gas fields or wells are present in Madison County. Subsidence risk due to oil and gas development is considered low.

#### A.4.6 SLOPE STABILITY

The relief across the site is relatively flat with less than 30 feet of elevation change across the entire project area. Large scale slope instability issues are not anticipated for the majority of the site. Locally steeper relief along more pronounced streams or other drainageways may have moderate potential for slope instability and some offset of development features from these drainageways may be warranted.

#### A.4.7 FLOODING

A review of mapped flooding potential from the FEMA flood mapping website indicated the flowing creeks to the south and northwest of the project bounds are considered to be within a Zone A floodplain. Figures 8 indicate the areas mapped as Zone A floodplain.

Within the site boundaries, the site is at a distance away from the creeks and should be a low potential for flooding.

During the field work (specifically the pile load testing), heavy rains were experienced in the area and flooding was observed at the site. Due to the relatively flat relief of the site, ponding of water to depths on the order of several inches were observed through many of the farm fields. While this was likely due to the very high precipitation events above normal rainfall amounts, during wetter periods some ponding of water should be expected.

## B. EXPLORATORY AND TEST PROCEDURES

The following sections describe the field and laboratory testing performed to evaluate the project site. The primary site investigation was performed in April and May of 2020. Field pile testing was performed in May of 2020. Laboratory testing was performed from April and May of 2020. A summary of the investigation and test locations completed at the time of this report is provided in Appendix A.

The geotechnical investigations for the Madison Fields Solar Project consisted of soil borings, test pit excavations, soil sampling, and pile load testing. In addition to the field investigation, laboratory testing was conducted on selected soil samples from the borings. Thermal resistivity and electrical resistivity testing were also performed in support of the project electrical design (by others). This geotechnical investigation program was selected to develop preliminary design parameters of the soils at the project site, and to provide a general discussion of construction considerations.

Prior to the start of field work, coordinates of the geotechnical investigation locations were selected by *Olsson* and approved or revised by Savion. In general, the site investigation locations were selected to provide spatial coverage of the site. The test locations were staked in the field by *Olsson* prior to beginning the site investigation.

#### **B.1. GEOTECHNICAL BORINGS**

A total of 81 borings were performed for this geotechnical investigation, with 79 borings being completed at locations within the proposed solar array and two borings (GEO-73 and GEO-74) completed at the proposed substation locations (see Figure 8 and Appendix A). Borings at the proposed array were completed to a target depth of 20 feet using 4-inch diameter continuous flight solid stem augers. Borings at the proposed substation locations were completed to a target depth of 50 feet using 4 ¼-inch internal diameter hollow stem augers. All borings were drilled with a track-mounted drill rig. The boring locations were located in the field by *Olsson* personnel using a hand-held GPS device based on information provided by the client. Completed locations of the borings are included in Appendix A and the boring logs are provided in Appendix C. Figure 8 indicates the location of the borings performed for the project.

Soil samples were obtained at selected intervals in the borings using a standard splitspoon sampler during the Standard Penetration Tests (SPT; "SS" on the boring logs). The standard split-spoon sampler was driven in three 6-inch intervals into the substrata with blows from a 140-pound automatic hammer free-falling 30 inches. Penetration resistance (blow counts) were recorded for each 6-inch drive. Penetration resistance of the final 12 inches is considered SPT "N" values for the SS sampler. The blow counts and SPT "N" values are shown on the boring logs at the respective depths the samples were taken. SPT testing was performed in accordance with ASTM D1586.

At select locations and depths, undisturbed samples were obtained using a hydraulically pushed, thin-walled Shelby tube (denoted "U" on the boring log). Shelby tube samples were collected in general accordance with ASTM D1587. This sampling method includes using a thin-walled, steel tube sampler with a diameter of 3-inches connected to a sampling head that is attached to the drill rods. The tube sampler is then pushed by the hydraulic rams of the drill rig into the soil below the bottom of the drill hole and then retracted to obtain a sample.

Soil samples were sealed in the field in order to preserve their in-situ moisture content. Samples were transported to **Olsson's** laboratory facility in Olathe, Kansas for testing following completion of the borings.

An **Olsson** technician observed the drilling, and sampling, and prepared field logs of the material encountered in each boring during the drilling operation. The field logs included the technician's and driller's interpretation of the conditions between samples and approximate elevations of each stratum change. The boring logs presented in Appendix

C represent the project engineer's interpretation of the field logs based on visual classification and laboratory tests of the samples.

#### **B.2. TEST PIT EXCAVATIONS**

Test pits were performed at 18 of the geotechnical boring locations as part of the geotechnical investigation (Figure 9; Appendix A). Test pits are normally performed for solar farm projects to provide a better indication of obstructions that small-diameter soil boring investigations may not encounter. Test pits were excavated to a nominal depth of 10 feet below grade to evaluate the anticipated embedment depths of the piles.

The test pits were performed by Dynahoe Excavating located in Circleville, Ohio, under subcontract to **Olsson**. The test pits were completed with a track-mounted excavator.

Soil sampling was performed at changes in strata from either the test pit wall or the excavator bucket. All soil classifications and sample logging was completed by *Olsson* personnel. Test pit logs are included in Appendix D of this report.

All test pit samples were sealed in the field in order to preserve their in-situ moisture content. Physical soil characteristic samples were delivered to *Olsson's* laboratory facility in Denver, Colorado.

#### **B.3.** LABORATORY TESTING

The following laboratory tests were performed by *Olsson* to characterize the soil encountered at the site:

- Moisture content tests in accordance with ASTM D2216, "Standard Test Method for Laboratory Determination of Water (Moisture) Content of Soil and Rock by Mass"
- Dry unit weight tests in accordance with ASTM D7263, "Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Determination of Density (Unit Weight) of Soil Specimens"
- Atterberg Limit determinations in accordance with ASTM D4318, "Standard Test Methods for Liquid Limit, Plastic Limit, and Plasticity Index of Soils"
- Sieve analysis in accordance with ASTM D6913, "Standard Test Methods for Particle-Size Distribution (Gradation) of Soils Using Sieve Analysis"
- Percent passing the #200 sieve tests in accordance with ASTM D1140, "Amount of Material is Soils Finer than No. 200 (75-μm) Sieve"
- Unconfined compressive strength of soil tests in accordance with ASTM D2166, "Standard Test Method for Unconfined Compressive Strength of Cohesive Soil"
- Unconsolidated-undrained triaxial strength of soil testing in accordance with ASTM D2850, "Standard Test Method for Unconsolidated-Undrained Triaxial Compression Test on Cohesive Soils"

- Soil consolidation testing in accordance with ASTM D2435, "Standard Test Methods for One-Dimensional Consolidation Properties of Soils Using Incremental Loading"
- Direct shear testing in accordance with ASTM D3080, "Standard Test Method for Direct Shear Test of Soils Under Consolidated Drained Conditions"
- California Bearing Ratio tests in accordance with ASTM D1883, "Standard Test Method for CBR (California Bearing Ratio) of Laboratory-Compacted Soils"
- Standard Proctor Density determinations in accordance with ASTM D698, "Standard Test Methods for Laboratory Compaction Characteristics of Soil Using Standard Effort (12,400 ft-lbf/ft<sup>3</sup> (600 kN-m/m<sup>3</sup>))"
- Soil pH tests in accordance with ASTM G51
- Soluble chloride and soluble sulfate of soils in accordance with EPA Method 300.0

The laboratory test results are presented on the respective soil boring logs, and in the laboratory summary in Appendix E.

#### **B.4. ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY TESTING**

Field electrical resistivity testing was completed at a total of 21 locations (GEO-02, GEO-06, GEO-09, GEO-15, GEO-17, GEO-22, GEO-30, GEO-32, GEO-34, GEO-35, GEO-40, GEO-45, GEO-47, GEO-55, GEO-58, GEO-64, GEO-68, GEO-77, GEO-80, and Substation locations GEO-73 and GEO-74) during the design phase geotechnical investigations (Figure 10; Appendix F). Soil resistivity testing was completed by *Olsson* personnel in accordance with ASTM method G57 "Standard Test Method for Field Measurement of Soil Resistivity Using the Wenner Four-Electrode Method" (equivalent to IEEE Std. 81). Electrode "a"-spacings of 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 45, 70, 100, 150 and 200 feet were used at the solar array locations and an additional spacing of 300 feet at the proposed substation. At each of the locations, measurements were taken to determine average soil resistivity in two approximately perpendicular arrays.

The equipment used to collect the data consisted of a Supersting resistivity meter, four metal electrodes and connecting wire. Co-linear arrays of four electrodes were placed in the ground for each measurement. Electrical current was input to the ground through the two outer electrodes of the array. The voltage drop produced by the resulting electrical field was measured across the two inner electrodes. The "a"-spacing was increased with each measurement, expanding the array about a common center. Increasing the electrode separation increases the depth of investigation and indicates vertical variation in resistivity. The resistivity meter read in apparent resistivity ( $\Omega$ -ft) directly and did not require the conversion of electrical potential and inductance or resistance to provide the apparent resistivity.

The soil electrical resistivity results are included as Appendix F.

#### **B.5.** THERMAL RESISTIVITY TESTING

Soil samples for thermal resistivity testing were collected by *Olsson* personnel at ten locations across the project site (Figure 11). Bulk samples for testing were obtained from the soil borings to a depth of approximately 5 feet below the surface, excluding the highly organic surficial soil. Bulk samples were recompacted to 85 percent of the soil's maximum dry density for the testing. The samples were transported to Soil Engineering Testing, Inc., (SET) in Bloomington, Minnesota, for laboratory testing in accordance with ASTM D5334, "Standard Test Method for Determination of Thermal Conductivity of Soil and Soft Rock by Thermal Needle Probe Procedure". Laboratory tests included measurement of the soil's moisture content, maximum dry density, and thermal dry-out characteristics, which is a function of moisture content. The results of these tests are presented in Appendix G.

#### **B.G. PERCOLATION TESTING**

**Olsson** performed field percolation testing at 9 locations across the project site. Percolation tests were performed in one hole near each boring location which was drilled to a depth of approximately 5 feet below existing grade. The test hole was drilled using standard auger techniques with a 3.25-inch internal diameter (6-inch external diameter) hollow-stem auger using a track-mounted drill rig. After drilling, each test hole was cleaned of sediment prior to performance of percolation tests. The side of the hole was slightly roughened, and the test hole was presoaked for approximately 24 hours prior to the test. Percolation testing was performed by filling the hole with water and reading the drop in the water head at 30-minute intervals across a 4-hour observation period.

In some locations, the free groundwater table was encountered at a depth equal to or shallower than 4 feet. At these locations, percolation testing was attempted but a change in water level within the percolation test hole was not observed and the percolation rate was indeterminate.

Based on the testing across site, the percolation rate of the on-site clay material above the water table is determined to be approximately 1 inch per hour. The infiltration rate of the materials where the water table is shallow would be much less. The results of the tests are presented in Appendix J of this report.

#### **B.7. DRIVEN PILE TESTING**

#### **B.7.1. DRIVEN PILE EXPLORATORY AND TEST PROCEDURES**

The following sections describe the field exploration and pile load testing performed to evaluate the project site. The field work was performed in May of 2020. A summary of the investigation and test locations is provided in Appendix A.

Prior to the start of field work, coordinates of the geotechnical investigation locations were selected by *Olsson* and approved or revised by Madison Fields Solar. In general,

the site investigation locations were selected to provide spatial coverage of the site and to test at least one location within each different soil strata identified from the geotechnical borings. The test locations were staked in the field by **Olsson** prior to beginning the site investigation, and are summarized in Appendix A.

#### B.7.2. PILE TEST INSTALLATION

A total of 30 locations were selected for testing at this project site (Figure 12). At each of the 30 locations, 2 steel piles with a length of 12 feet were driven to a nominal depth of 8 feet below the existing grade (with 4 feet of stick up) by Solar Pile Driver, LLC of Ozark, Missouri. The pile installer used a GAYK HRE 4000 hydraulic vibratory pile driver to complete the installation, which applies a low frequency to the piles and does not significantly disturb the soil upon installation.

The piles were W 6x9 shape and were comprised of steel with a yield stress of 50 kips per square inch (ksi). Table B.7.2.-1 indicates the dimensions and installation data for the W 6x9 piles used for the project. **Olsson** oversaw the pile installation. Notes on the pile installation are included in Table 9.

Pile Size	W 6x9		
Steel Grade	50 ksi		
Embedment Depth	8 feet		
Section Depth	5.9 inches		
Flange Width	3.94 inches		
Web Thickness	0.170 inches		
Flange Thickness	0.215 inches		

#### Table B.7.2.-1: Pile Dimensions Used For Pile Installation

#### **B.7.3. Pile Load Testing**

The soil/pile system was allowed to remain installed for about one week before field testing, in order to allow time for the system to stabilize and allow excess pore water pressures developed in the clay soils during driving to dissipate. Following this stabilization period, Dynahoe Excavating of Circleville, Ohio, mobilized a CAT 316 track-mounted excavator to the project site to assist with axial uplift and lateral load testing of the piles. *Olsson* staff performed the pile load testing.

Further details of the pile load testing is discussed below.

#### **B.7.3.1.** Axial Uplift Test (Tension)

Olsson performed the axial tension testing in general accordance with ASTM D3689, "Standard Test Methods for Deep Foundations Under Static Axial Tensile Load." The testing equipment was positioned directly above the central axis of the pile to minimize eccentric loading and avoid applying moment or lateral load as the force was being applied in the vertical direction. A distance of at least five times the maximum diameter (or section depth) of the pile was provided between the test pile and the reaction points. The materials used for the axial tensile testing were selected to be of sufficient strength and stiffness to allow for deflection of the pile without elastic shortening of the testing apparatus.

The materials used for the axial uplift tensile test consisted of:

- Reaction frame provided by the track-mounted excavator
- Calibrated hydraulic hand pump
- Calibrated digital load cell
- Hardware, chains, and shackles to connect the pump and load cell to the reaction frame
- Calibrated displacement sensors capable of 4-inches of travel and a precision of 0.001 inches
- Surveyor's tripod used for displacement measurements detached from pile system

At each pile testing installation location, the excavator was grounded such that compression of the soil was negligible, which served as the lower reaction point. The top of the pile was clamped to the load cell and hydraulic actuator, which were connected to the excavator centered above the pile with a chain and shackles, which served as the upper reaction point. Olsson manually used the hydraulic actuator pump to pull the pile vertically to specific loads, which were determined by reading the load cell. Table B.7.3.1-1 indicates the loading increments used for the axial uplift testing. The load testing apparatus is shown in Figure B.7.3.1-1 below.



Figure B.7.3.1-1: Pile Uplift Load Testing Apparatus (prior to tightening for testing)

To measure displacement, two calibrated displacement sensors were magnetically attached to the pile. The sensors were placed on different sides of the pile. One of the sensors was placed at a height of approximately 4 feet measuring relative to the surveyors tripod, which was not attached, and therefore not moving, due to the axial uplift force on the pile. The second sensor measured displacement relative to the ground surface. Redundant measurements at varying heights allows for verification that the

testing process is performed properly (no tilting or horizontal movement) and provides a failsafe in case a sensor fails. Once the desired load was reached, readings were collected immediately and at a period of 1-minute after being exposed to the load.

Axial Load (pounds)	Deflection Recording Time (seconds)
0	
250	0, 60
500	0, 60
750	0, 60
1,000	0, 60
1,500	0, 60
2,000	0, 60
2,500	0, 60
3,000	0, 60
3,500	0, 60
4,000	0, 60
4,500	0, 60
5,000	0, 60
5,500	0, 60
6,000	0, 60
6,500	0, 60
7,000	0, 60
7,500	0, 60
8,000	0, 60
8,500	0, 60
9,000	0, 60
9,500	0, 60
10,000	0, 60
10,500	0, 60
11,000	0, 60
11,500	0, 60
12,000	0, 60
12,500	0, 60
13,000	0, 60

Table B.7.3.1-1: Axial Uplift Load Sequence

In all cases, once the pile began to pull out of the ground, the loading could not be advanced to the next desired load and the test was terminated. Upon completion of the testing, the piles were removed from the ground.

Results of the axial uplift testing are provided and discussed in Section E.1.1.1.

#### **B.7.3.2.** LATERAL TEST

Olsson performed lateral pile load testing in general accordance with ASTM D3966, "Standard Test Methods for Deep Foundations Under Lateral Load." The testing equipment was placed such that the load was horizontal from the reaction point on the pile, which was at a height of approximately 4 feet above the existing grade. A distance of at least five times the maximum diameter (or section depth) of the pile was provided between the test pile and the reaction points. The materials used for the lateral testing were selected to be of sufficient strength and stiffness to allow for deflection of the pile without elastic shortening of the testing apparatus.

The materials used for the lateral load test consisted of:

- Reaction frame provided by the track-mounted excavator
- Calibrated hydraulic hand pump
- Calibrated digital load cell
- Hardware, chains, and shackles to connect the pump and load cell to the reaction frame
- Calibrated displacement sensors capable of 4-inches of travel and a precision of 0.001 inches
- Surveyor's tripod used for displacement measurements detached from pile system

At each pile testing installation location, the excavator was grounded by advancing the bucket into the ground such that compression of the soil was negligible. The pile was clamped to the load cell and hand pump at a height of 4-feet above the existing grade, which were connected to the excavator horizontally offset from the pile with a chain and shackles. Olsson manually used the hydraulic actuator pump to pull the pile laterally to specific loads, which were determined by reading the load cell. Table B.7.3.2-1 indicates the load sequence used for the lateral tests. The load testing apparatus is shown in Figure B.7.3.2-1 below.

June 30, 2020



Figure B.7.3.2-1: Pile Lateral Load Testing Apparatus

To measure displacement, two calibrated displacement sensors were used which measured the deflection of the pile away from stationary points. One of the sensors was placed at a height of approximately 4 feet on surveyors tripod, which was not attached, and therefore not moving, due to the lateral force on the pile. The second sensor measured lateral displacement relative at the ground surface. Once the desired load was reached, readings were collected immediately and at a period of 1-minute after being exposed to the load. In 18 of the 30 lateral tests, the travel of the top dial gauge was exceeded during loading. Where this occurred, approximate measurements were made using a tape measure to provide more deflection data, and these measurements are included in Appendix H, but exact accuracy of measurements beyond the 4-inches of travel of the dial gauge should be considered a rough estimation of deflection. When the deflection at the top of the pile exceeded 4 inches (the maximum travel of the gauge), testing was terminated after this load step and obtaining a rebound (zero load) final reading.

Lateral Load (pounds)	Deflection Recording Time (seconds)
0	
500	0, 60
1,000	0, 60
1,500	0, 60
0	0, 60
1,500	0, 60
2,000	0, 60
2,500	0, 60
0	0, 60
2,500	0, 60
3,000	0, 60
3,500	0, 60
0	0, 60
3,500	0, 60
4,000	0, 60
4,500	0, 60
0	0, 60
4,500	0, 60
5,000	0, 60
5,500	0, 60
0	0, 60

Table B.7.3.2-1: Lateral Load Sequence

Upon completion of the testing, the piles were removed from the ground and transported off site.

Results of the lateral testing are provided and discussed in Section E.1.1.2.

### C. SUBSURFACE CONDITIONS

The following sections discuss the results of the field and laboratory testing performed for the project.

#### C.1. Soil Stratigraphy

Specific conditions at each boring location are shown on the boring logs in Appendix C. The logs represent subsurface conditions at each specific boring location. Stratification boundaries shown on the boring logs represent the approximate depth of changes in soil types. The changes are likely more gradual in-situ. The boring logs do not reflect variations that may occur between borings or across the project site. The nature and extent of such variations may not become evident until construction. The typical stratigraphy, as determined from field data, consists primarily of stiff to very stiff lean to sandy lean clay with occasional sand layers. Below the upper 6 to 10 feet, either the clayey soils continued to the depths investigated or the upper clays were underlain by sandy soils primarily below that depth. A detailed review of the soil layers encountered at the project site is included in the following sections.

#### C.2.1. TOPSOIL AND SURFICIAL SOIL

Topsoil was encountered across the site and its thickness is typically about 9 to 12 inches. The topsoil was generally clayey with agricultural or grass root mats and organic content was high. Localized zones of thicker or thinner topsoil should be expected.

#### C.2.2. LEAN CLAY SOILS

The topsoil is typically underlain primarily by lean clay with some parts sand, silt, and gravel. These clays were generally observed to be light to dark brown, reddish brown, and light gray to dark gray in color. Sand content in the clay was highly variable (up to 50 percent in some samples), and occasionally included gravel and cobbles, but typically clay dominant soils were encountered throughout the depths shallower than 12 feet.

Results of the Atterberg limits tests indicate the soils at the site have liquid limits ranging from approximately 17 to 47 percent and plastic limits ranging from approximately 13 to 18 percent.

Moisture contents in the lean clay soils ranged from about 8 to 34 percent, with an average of about 16 percent. The dry unit weight of the clay samples obtained ranged from approximately 92 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) to 143 pcf, with an average of 119 pcf. The moist unit weights were calculated from the measured moisture content and corresponding dry unit weights of the samples. The resulting moist unit weights ranged from approximately 117 to 158 pcf, with an average of 140 pcf.

Hand penetrometer tests conducted on samples collected during drilling indicated unconfined compressive strengths typically exceeding 1.5 tsf. Laboratory strength testing indicated median and average unconfined compressive strengths of 1.0 tsf and 1.3 tsf, respectively.

#### C.2.3. SAND SOILS

Sand soils with some clay or silt portion were generally encountered deeper in the soil profile, typically below 6 feet. These sands were generally observed to be brown colored with some red or gray tinting. Shallower sand lenses tended to be fine to medium grained with a significant portion clay and silt, while deeper soils included fine to coarse grained sand with some gravel and cobbles.

The percent fines in the sand samples ranged from 5.5 to 46.7 percent in the sand samples tested. Gravel content up to 25.7 percent was observed in the tested samples.

SPT testing indicates the sands varied from loose to very dense but were typically medium dense to dense.

#### C.3. GROUNDWATER OBSERVATION

Shallow groundwater was observed in a majority of the geotechnical borings, with depths ranging from 3.0 to 14.5 feet during drilling and 0.5 to 18.5 feet immediately following drilling completion. At 2 of the geotechnical borings (GEO-13 and GEO-28), groundwater was not observed either during drilling or immediately following drilling, but this is likely due to a lack of sand seams in these specific boring locations and groundwater not equilibrating through the low-permeability clay soils. A summary of groundwater measurements in the test pits is provided in Table 1.

Upon removal of some of the test piles and in test pits excavated to facilitate pile removal, water was also encountered in the upper 8 feet below ground surface, confirming the shallow water levels on the order those observed while drilling.

Variations and uncertainties exist with relatively short-term water level observations that were recorded during this exploration. Water levels can and should be anticipated to vary between boring locations, as well as with time within a specific boring. Groundwater levels may be expected to fluctuate with precipitation, site grading, drainage and adjacent land use. With low permeability clay soils, equilibrium water levels may not be reached during drilling and some variability in water levels should be expected.

#### C.4. Soil Chemical Testing

Chemical tests, consisting of soil pH, soluble chloride, and soluble sulfate testing, were performed on 21 selected samples. The results of the chemical testing indicate that the soils have a pH ranging from 6.3 to 7.8 (slightly acidic to slightly basic soils). The soils contain 2.03 to 7.20 mg/L chloride, and 3.55 to 18.7 mg/L sulfate. Chemical test results are summarized in Table C.4.1 and included in Appendix E.

Investigation ID	Depth [feet]	рН	Chloride [mg/kg dry]	Sulfate [mg/kg dry]
GEO-02	1-2.5	6.31	3.82	5.32
GEO-06	1-2.5	6.54	3.39	11.9
GEO-09	3.5-5	7.33	4.31	5.84
GEO-15	1-2.5	7.46	3.22	7.70
GEO-17	3.5-5	7.73	3.47	5.29
GEO-22	1-2.5	7.78	4.70	9.20
GEO-30	1-2.5	7.46	2.67	8.08
GEO-32	1-2.5	7.29	4.54	14.3
GEO-34	3.5-5	7.77	3.43	4.16
GEO-35	6-7.5	7.62	4.04	8.79
GEO-40	1-2.5	7.04	7.09	4.16
GEO-45	1-2.5	7.10	3.89	6.56
GEO-47	6-7.5	7.58	7.20	17.5
GEO-55	3.5-5	7.53	3.73	3.55
GEO-58	1-2.5	7.23	4.32	5.12
GEO-64	1-2.5	6.90	6.98	10.9
GEO-68	1-2.5	6.52	2.85	7.59
GEO-73	1-2.5	6.93	4.84	9.64
GEO-74	1-2.5	7.09	2.03	18.7
GEO-77	3.5-5	7.50	2.39	12.4
GEO-80	3.5-5	7.58	2.73	4.97
Average	)	7.3	4.1	8.7
Standard Dev	viation	0.4	1.4	4.2
Minimun	า	6.3	2.0	3.6
Maximur	n	7.8	7.2	18.7

#### Table C.4.1 Summary of Soil Chemical Test Results

#### C.5. GENERAL LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing results are discussed below and are summarized in Table 2 and provided in Appendix E.

#### C.5.1. MOISTURE CONTENT

A total of 170 moisture content tests were conducted on soil samples collected from the geotechnical borings during the geotechnical investigation. Moisture contents in the sand soils ranged from about 3 to 17 percent, with an average of about 10 percent. Moisture contents in the lean clay soils ranged from about 8 to 34 percent, with an average of about 16 percent.

#### C.5.2. GRAIN SIZE ANALYSES

Grain size analyses were performed on 13 soil samples collected at various depths from the geotechnical borings. Based on the results of the grain size analyses and visual

inspection, eight samples were classified as sandy clays, silty clays, and lean or lean to fat clays with sand (CL, CL/CH, CL/ML), four samples were classified as clayey sands (SC), silty, clayey sands (SC/SM) or silty sands (SM), and one sample was classified as poorly graded sand with silt and gravel (SP/SC). The percent fines (percent by weight passing the number 200 sieve) ranged from approximately 50.9 to 89.8 percent, with an average of 65.5 percent in the clay samples tested indicating relatively high sand contents in the clay soils. The percent fines in the sand samples ranged from 5.5 to 46.7 percent in the sand samples tested. Gravel content up to 25.7 percent was observed in the tested samples.

#### C.5.3. UNIT WEIGHT

A total of 51 dry unit weight tests were performed on 3-inch diameter thin-wall tube samples collected from the geotechnical borings. The soils tested primarily included clay soils, although two tests were performed on predominantly sand soils (SC/SM). The dry unit weight of the clay samples obtained ranged from approximately 92 pounds per cubic foot (pcf) to 143 pcf, with an average of 119 pcf. The moist unit weights were calculated from the measured moisture content and corresponding dry unit weights of the samples. The resulting moist unit weights ranged from approximately 117 to 158 pcf, with an average of 140 pcf. Dry density test results are included in Appendix C.

#### C.5.4. ATTERBERG LIMITS

Atterberg limits testing was performed on fine-grained soil samples and used to classify the material encountered in the borings. A total of 15 Atterberg limits tests were conducted on selected samples from the borings.

Results of the Atterberg limits tests indicate the soils at the site have liquid limits ranging from approximately 17 to 47 percent and plastic limits ranging from approximately 13 to 18 percent. The plasticity indices varying between 4 and 30 percent, classifying the soil as lean clay (CL) and silty clay (CL/ML) in accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (USCS). Discussion on soil swell based on Atterberg limits can be found in Section C.6.

#### C.5.5. STANDARD PROCTOR

A total of 10 standard Proctor tests were conducted on bulk soil samples collected at shallow depths. Results of the standard Proctor density testing indicated the soil's maximum dry density ranges from approximately 96 to 125 pcf. The corresponding optimum moisture content ranged from approximately 12 to 26 percent. Standard Proctor density test results are summarized in Table C.5.5 and included in Appendix E.

Geotechnical ID	Depth [ft]	USCS Classification	Maximum Dry Density [pcf]	Optimum Moisture Content [%]	95% Maximum Dry Density at Optimum Moisture Content [pcf]
GEO-13	1-5	CL	116.7	14.8	127.3
GEO-35	1-5	CL	122.2	12.7	130.8
GEO-45	1-5	CL	112.1	16.4	124.0
GEO-52	1-5	CL	110.1	17.7	123.1
GEO-58	1-6	CL	111.4	16.4	123.2
GEO-60	1-6	CL	107.6	19.4	122.1
GEO-73	1-3	СН	96.0	25.7	114.6
GEO-74	2-4	СН	96.5	24.3	114.0
GEO-77	1-5	CL	108.1	19.2	122.4
GEO-80	1-6	SC	125.0	11.9	132.9
	Average	•	110.6	17.9	123.4
Standard Deviation			9.5	4.5	6.0
	Minimum		96.0	11.9	114.0
Maximum			125.0	25.7	132.9

Table C.5.5 – Summary of Standard Proctor Density Test Results

#### C.5.6. SHEAR STRENGTH

As noted in Section C.2, the project site typically consists of upper clay layers for the entire explored profile or clay underlain by sand soils, with a few noted exceptions. The following sections discuss, in detail, the shear strength parameters of the soils to be used in foundation design.

#### C.5.6.1. Undrained Shear Strength

Uniaxial unconfined compressive strength tests and triaxial unconsolidated undrained shear strength tests were completed on 26 samples collected across the site and indicate an unconfined compressive strength of ranging from approximately 400 psf to 8,200 psf. Laboratory strength results are provided in Appendix E. 21 of the 26 tests exceeded 1000 psf unconfined strength. The shear strength of these tests can be approximated as half of the unconfined compressive strength, indicating a minimum laboratory shear strength value of approximately 200 psf, a median shear strength of 1050 psf, and an average shear strength of 1300 psf.

The SPT results at each of the geotechnical boring locations are shown on the boring logs in Appendix E. The SPT values in clay soils at each boring location within the solar arrays were reviewed from a depth of 1 to 20 feet. The minimum SPT value for soils within the planned solar array was SPT = 3 at GEO-33 at a depth of 3.5 feet. The SPT value can be correlated to the soil undrained shear strength (Das 2010).

A number of hand penetrometer tests were conducted on Shelby tube and split spoon samples collected during drilling. The results indicated an estimated unconfined compressive strength ranging from 0.5 to greater than 4.5 tons per square foot (tsf), which corresponds to an undrained shear strength of 500 to greater than 4,500 psf. Most hand penetrometer measurements exceeded an unconfined compressive strength of 1.5 tsf.

Based on an analysis of the results presented above, the recommended undrained shear strength design value (for use in solar array foundation design) is 1,000 psf at frost depth, and higher with depth at the site. At the ancillary structure locations (GEO-73 and GEO-74), the recommended undrained shear strength design value is 1,500 psf at frost depth based on test data specifically at those two locations. The results of the investigation indicate that, while the majority of the soils exceed the recommended design undrained shear strength value, some soil layers at various depths exhibit strength less than the recommended design value. The foundation designer should perform a complete bearing capacity check for each foundation design to verify these recommendations once final design information is available.

#### C.5.6.2. DRAINED SHEAR STRENGTH

The drained shear strength of the granular soils was estimated from correlations to SPT results collected during sampling in the boreholes and from two direct shear tests performed on a granular samples from GEO-23 at a depth of approximately 9 feet and GEO-67 at a depth of approximately 9 feet. The direct shear test resulted in peak friction angles of 30.6 and 33.1 degrees, respectively. The direct shear test results are included in Appendix D.

The SPT results, which are included on the boring logs in Appendix C, ranged from 9 to greater than 50 blows for fewer than 6-inches of sampler penetration in granular soils and from 3 to greater than 50 blows for fewer than 6-inches of sampler penetration in clay soils. In general, SPT results in the granular soils generally exceeded 10 blows per foot at or below the anticipated foundation depths. Three exceptions to this occurred at the following locations: GEO-24 @ 18.5 feet (N = 9), GEO-30 @ 6 feet (N = 9), and GEO-64 @ 18.5 feet (N = 9). The SPT value can be correlated to the soil friction angle (Das, 2007). An average SPT value of 10 in sandy material correlates to a friction angle of approximately 32 degrees.

For solar array foundation design, a design friction angle of 31 degrees is recommended for shallow (frost zone) granular soil layers and a value of 33 degrees is recommended for deeper granular soil based on the SPT N-values and direct shear testing results.

The drained shear strength of cohesive soil was evaluated based on correlations to the measured Plasticity Index provided by Terzaghi et al (1996). The results of Atterberg Limits testing indicated that the Plasticity Index ranged from 4 to 30 percent. According

to the correlation, the estimated drained friction angle of the cohesive soil at the project site ranged from 28 to 34 degrees. For analysis purposes, a drained friction angle of 30 degrees for the cohesive soil is recommended.

#### C.5.6.3. LOWER STRENGTH SOIL LAYERS

Several notably lower undrained shear strengths from SPT, Qp, and unconfined compressive strength testing were observed within the clay soils at various depths from the geotechnical investigations. A summary of the lower strength layers (undrained shear strengths less than 1,000 psf) from field and laboratory test results is provided in Table 5.

#### C.G. SWELL POTENTIAL

The result of the laboratory testing indicates the clay soils across the site have liquid limits below 60 percent, and typically below 40 percent. If allowed to become full saturated, swell potential of soils encountered should be on the border between low and medium. This does not include any additional loads from the solar array foundations. The laboratory test results are included in Appendix E. Swell potential is considered to be low and does not appear significant for either pile foundation or spread footing design.

#### C.7. CALIFORNIA BEARING RATIO TESTING

Design for roads and general working areas is based in part on the strength of the subgrade that can be reasonably achieved. Five samples of the shallow subgrade soils were tested for this project. The bulk samples were collected from soil immediately below the existing topsoil, which typically extended to a depth of approximately 1 to 5 feet below the surface. The samples tested consisted of sandy and clayey soil. All samples were transported to SET for laboratory testing. The soil samples were prepared to approximately 95 percent of the maximum standard Proctor density at the optimum moisture content. Specimens were soaked for a period of 4 days before CBR tests were performed. Results of the CBR testing are summarized in Table C.7-1 and included in Appendix E.

Investigation ID	Depth [ft]	USCS Classification	Maximum Dry Density [pcf] <sup>1</sup>	Optimum Moisture Content [%] <sup>1</sup>	CBR Value <sup>2,3</sup> [Optimum Moisture Content]
GEO-13	1-5	CL	116.7	14.8	4.7
GEO-35	1-5	CL	122.2	12.7	4.3
GEO-45	1-5	CL	112.1	16.4	2.6
GEO-52	1-5	CL	110.1	17.7	3.7
GEO-58	1-5	CL	111.4	16.4	3.6
GEO-60	1-5	CL	107.6	19.4	3.5
GEO-77	1-5	CL	108.1	19.2	2.0
GEO-80	1-5	SC	11.9	132.9	3.0

Table C.7-1 Summary of California Bearing Ratio Test Results

<sup>1</sup> From standard Proctor moisture density curve, ASTM D698

<sup>2</sup> Test specimens were soaked for a period of 4 days before CBR tests were performed

<sup>3</sup> Test samples recompacted to 95 percent of standard Proctor maximum dry density at optimum moisture content.

# D. SITE PREPARATION

#### D.1. GENERAL SITE AND SUBGRADE PREPARATION

All topsoil, vegetation, major root systems, organic soils, and any loose, soft or otherwise unsuitable or deleterious material should be stripped and removed from the areas where spread foundations, roadways, and other ancillary structures will be built or fill placement will occur. Rootzone materials at the project site appear to be on the order of 9 to 12 inches thick, but some variation in this layer should be anticipated. The topsoil generally was organic-rich and consisted primarily of cultivated corn stubble, grass, and root stringers at the base of the topsoil layer. These rootzone materials should be carefully separated to avoid incorporation into structural fill.

Site clearing, grubbing, and stripping should be completed during periods of dry weather. Operating heavy equipment on the site during periods of wet weather could result in excessive pumping and rutting of the subgrade soils.

Foundations for the solar array foundations and deep foundations for ancillary structures are typically placed on natural ground, and significant stripping or the use of compacted structural fill is not widely anticipated, except where excavation of unsuitable material below the foundation embedment depth is performed or if mass grading is needed to level the substation pad (which is not anticipated at this site). The results of the geotechnical exploration indicate soil consisting primarily of clay soils in the upper 6 to 10 feet of the soil profile with sand soils encountered to that depth in isolated areas. Therefore, conventional excavation machines are anticipated to be suitable for excavation of the foundations.

#### D.1.2. SHALLOW FOUNDATION EXCAVATIONS AND BACKFILL

Upon excavation to the proposed bearing surface, the excavation base should be inspected by the geotechnical engineer of record or authorized representative. Verification of the undrained shear strength of clayey soil should be performed for shallow spread foundations using a static cone penetrometer (SCP) or field vane shear tester. Verification of the friction angle of sand soils should be performed with a dynamic cone penetrometer (DCP).

If, in the course of excavating for shallow foundation or roadway construction, the base of the excavation becomes rutted, damaged, or is otherwise determined to be of inadequate character or unsuitable for construction in the opinion of the geotechnical engineer of record or authorized representative, the conditions shall be noted and discussed with the geotechnical engineer or record to determine appropriate corrective measures.

We recommend an **Olsson** geotechnical engineer, or their authorized representative, review the base of new construction excavations prior to the placement of any new fill soils or any foundations to evaluate for the intent of the recommendations in this report and minimize the potential of unnecessary soil corrections being performed. Disturbance, desiccation, or wetting of the subgrade soils between grading and placement of foundations can result in deterioration of the previously completed subgrade. If conditions change after a subgrade has been inspected, we recommend reevaluation of the subgrade to verify the condition of the soil prior to construction of the foundations.

Compaction of in-situ clayey soils intended for support of the foundation base is not required at solar array locations where silty or clayey soils are present unless the results of the subgrade testing indicates improvement is required or soils are disturbed during construction activities. For disturbed clayey soils, the disturbed soils should be removed and replaced with engineered fill. At sites where sands are encountered at the subgrade elevation, surface compaction should be performed with a minimum of one pass with a smooth drum vibratory compactor provided this practice does not draw water to the surface. Should the primarily sandy subgrades become disturbed, we recommend leveling and surface compaction of the surficial soil to 95 percent of the maximum dry density according to Standard Proctor.

If the soils in excavations are sensitive to moisture content change or disturbance, consideration can be given to protecting the soil base against damage by use of a flowable concrete mud mat. Prior to placement of the mud mat, the exposed subgrade should be protected from construction traffic and foot traffic should be kept to a minimum, whenever possible.

At locations where the subgrade soils are less than the design soil strengths or become rutted or disturbed during construction, options for subgrade improvement may consist of:

- Reworking and recompacting the native materials to achieve both a minimum of 95 percent of the material's maximum dry density as determined by a laboratory Standard Proctor method of test and the design undrained shear strength or friction angle of the soil.
- Removal of the unsuitable materials and replacement with engineered fill as discussed in Section D.2.
- Deep foundations are also generally an option for locations with lower soil strengths. It is anticipated that the foundations for the solar arrays and the taller structures for the substation areas will be designed with deep foundations already. If lower strength soils are encountered, increasing the embedment depths of these piles could also be considered rather than removal or improvement of the low strength soils.

Lower strengths and potential soil improvement options should be discussed with **Olsson** as the geotechnical engineer of record for the project. Confirmation of the selected improvement method also should be reviewed by the foundation engineer for compliance with the support assumptions used in the foundation design.

#### D.2. Fill

Any structural fill placed below shallow spread foundations should consist of approved engineered fill. Engineered fill should comply with recommendations in Table D.2-1. Alternative engineered fill materials may be used depending upon availability and approval by the foundation engineer. Samples of all fill soils should be submitted to *Olsson* for review prior to use on the site.

Fill materials which may be considered suitable for use as general fill to raise site grades consist of material classified as CL, CL-ML, SM, SC, SP-SM, or coarser. It should be free of organic matter or debris, rocks greater than 3 inches in diameter, and have a liquid limit and plasticity index less than 45 and 20, respectively. High plasticity silt or clay (MH, CH) soils should not be used as fill within 4 feet of footings or within 2 feet of slab or pavement subgrades. Silt soils (classified as ML) may be moisture susceptible and should be used with caution. Considering the site was primarily lean clay soils, using silt for mass grading is not recommended unless specific site conditions indicate a significant benefit to selecting this material.

Engineered fill should be placed in lifts not exceeding 8 to 12 inches in loose thickness or one third the diameter of the roller, whichever is less, and moisture conditioned to within  $\pm 3$  percent of the optimum moisture content. It is recommended that each lift be
compacted to a minimum of 95 percent of the maximum dry density obtained in accordance with ASTM Specification D698, Standard Proctor Method. Fills placed in excess of 10 feet will require further evaluation at the time of the final geotechnical investigation.

Compaction should be verified using a nuclear density gauge to ensure that the specified density requirements of the fill materials are being met. If compaction is not being achieved, adjustment of the material's moisture content, the type/source of the material, or the placement and compaction procedures (specifically lift thickness) may need to be modified to achieve the specified compaction. Typically vibratory rollers are required for compaction of granular materials and a sheepsfoot roller is required for clayey soils.

For foundations where overexcavations are required, the excavations and subsequent placement of engineered fill should be oversized by 1 foot on all sides for each foot of excavation below the foundation embedment depth. For example, a 1-foot excavation below the foundation depth will require a bottom of foundation width (and length) 2 feet wider than a standard foundation width (1-foot on each side of the footing).

New fill placed for ancillary structures should be placed in maximum loose lift thicknesses of 8 to 12 inches and compacted as recommended in Table D.2-1. The lift thicknesses should be limited to 4 inches when compacting in small areas requiring hand-operated equipment such as vibrating plate compactors, walk behind trench rollers, or jumping jacks.

An **Olsson** representative should regularly observe and monitor the excavation and grading operations and perform field density tests to document that moisture and compaction requirements are being achieved.

### Madison Fields Solar Project Madison County, OH

Areas of Fill Placement	Material Type	Minimum Compaction Recommendation	Moisture Content (% of Optimum)
Structural Fill Below Foundations	ODOT Granular Material* Spec. Section 703.11 (or similar)	95% Standard Proctor (ASTM D 698)	-3 to +3 percent
General Fill to Raise Site Grades	Approved excavated native materials (CL, CL-ML, SC, SM, or SP)	95% Standard Proctor (ASTM D 698)	-3 to +3 percent
Private Access Road Subgrade	Approved excavated native materials	95% Standard Proctor (ASTM D 698)	-3 to +3 percent
Private Access Road Aggregate	ODOT Granular Material* Spec. Section 703.11 (or similar)	95% Standard Proctor (ASTM D698)	-3 to +3 percent

 Table D.2-1
 Recommended Fill Placement Guidelines

\*Ohio Department of Transportation Granular Material should consist of well-graded sand and gravel materials with less than 10 percent fines

The moisture content for the structural fill at the time of compaction should generally be maintained between the ranges specified in Table D.2-1. More stringent moisture limits may be necessary with certain soils and some adjustments to moisture contents may be necessary to achieve compaction in accordance with project specifications. It should be noted that clayey materials will be more difficult to compact in the presence of excess moisture content, whereas granular materials tend to be less sensitive to moisture content during compaction.

#### D.3. DRAINAGE CONSIDERATIONS AND DEWATERING

Water should not be allowed to collect at the ground surfaces near foundations either during or after construction as this may lead to softening of the subgrade. Provisions should be made to quickly remove accumulating seepage water or storm water runoff from excavations and roadway subgrades. Subgrades should be allowed to dry before continuing with construction. Undercut or excavated areas should be sloped toward one corner to allow rainwater or surface runoff to be quickly collected and gravity drained or pumped from construction areas. Subgrade soils that are exposed to precipitation or runoff should be evaluated by **Olsson** prior to the placement of new fill, reinforcing steel, or concrete, to determine if corrective action is required.

To minimize concerns related to improper or inadequate drainage away from foundation bearing subgrades or from cohesive backfill materials used in utility trenches or roadways, we recommend that site grading should provide for efficient drainage of rainfall or surface runoff away from new structures and roads. Depending on the depth of excavations and unique geologic conditions at each solar array location, groundwater removal could consist of sloped excavations and sump pumps or may require more aggressive well-point dewatering systems. In general, excavations that extend solely into clay may only require excavations sloped to a sump collection point and pump to remove collected water, whereas, excavations that extend into one or more sand or silty layers below the groundwater table may require aggressive dewatering, depending on the level of dewatering needed. Shallow groundwater and the presence of permeable sand layers were encountered at the many of the tested locations. The contractor should be prepared to remove infiltrating water in all excavations based on the observed groundwater levels denoted in Section C.3 and Table 1.

Due to the limited depth of foundation excavations, more aggressive dewatering methods such as dewatering points or wells likely are not required. The potential for requiring dewatering points or wells would increase as excavations extend to greater depths in the ground or as they get in close proximity to saturated soil layers.

Care must be taken to avoid inducing additional settlement as a result of dewatering. The dewatering equipment should be installed and maintained by a dewatering contractor with significant experience with similar soil conditions. Much of the success of a dewatering program is dependent on the skills and expertise of the dewatering contractor.

#### D.4. TEMPORARY SLOPES AND EXCAVATIONS

Construction site safety is the responsibility of the general contractor. The contractor shall also be solely responsible for the means, methods, techniques, sequencing, and operations during construction. *Olsson* is providing the following information solely as a service to our client. Under no circumstances should *Olsson's* provision of the following information be construed to mean that we are assuming responsibility for construction site safety or the contractor's activities. Such responsibility is not implied and should not be inferred.

The contractor should be aware that slope height, slope inclination, and excavation depths (including utility trench excavations) should in no case exceed those specified in local, state, or federal safety regulation; e.g., *OSHA Health and Safety Standards for Excavations, 29 CFR Part 1926,* or successor regulations. Such regulations are strictly enforced, and if not followed, the owner, the contractor, or earthwork or utility subcontractors could be liable for substantial penalties.

The soil in the upper 10 feet at the project site were typically observed to be primarily Type B (stiff clay soils requiring 1H:1V slopes) with lesser areas of Type C (granular soils requiring 1.5H:1V slope) from OSHA soil classifications. This should be verified

during construction by the OSHA-defined competent individual to ensure that the benching or slopes are adequate.

Temporary slopes exceeding 5H:1V should be properly benched prior to placement of new fill. As an alternative to flatter and benched temporary slopes, vertical excavations can be temporarily shored. The contractor should be responsible for the design of temporary shoring in accordance with applicable regulatory requirements. Permanent fill and cut slopes at the site should not exceed 3H:1V. Where steeper slopes are planned, additional analysis should be performed once grading plans have been developed.

If excavations, including utility trenches, are extended to depths of more than 20 feet, OSHA requires that the side slopes of such excavations be designed by a professional engineer registered in the state where construction is occurring.

#### D.5. CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT MOBILITY

The on-site soils may be susceptible to degradation and softening under construction equipment traffic, especially when exposed to high moisture levels. Excessive pumping and rutting may occur during construction operations, especially under repeated traffic loads or during periods of wet weather. Depending on weather events and the severity of the degradation, temporary stabilization techniques may be required.

Some general guidelines for reducing equipment mobility problems and addressing potential soft and wet surface soils are as follows:

- Optimize surface water drainage at the site during construction.
- Whenever possible, wait for dry weather conditions to prevail, and do not operate construction equipment on the site during wet conditions. Ruts caused by construction vehicle traffic will accelerate subgrade disturbance.
- Disc or scarify wet surface soils during periods of favorable weather to accelerate drying.
- Temporarily recompact loose subgrade soils if rain is forecast to promote site drainage and reduce moisture infiltration.
- Use construction equipment that is well suited for the intended job under the existing site conditions. Heavy rubber-tired equipment typically requires better site conditions than lightly loaded track-mounted equipment.

It may be necessary to take steps to aggressively improve equipment mobility if construction must proceed during unfavorable conditions.

# E. STRUCTURES

Solar panel arrays are typically supported on some form of a deep foundation system. Typical deep foundation systems include driven and vibrated steel piles, helical piers (ground screws), screw piles, and drilled piers. In some cases, the solar trackers have also been supported by a shallow spread footing (ballasted) foundation system that can be cast-in-place or precast.

Based on the results of the geotechnical investigation all of the above foundation systems appear to be feasible for the project site. However, *Olsson* understands that a driven steel pile foundation system is commonly the most economical and, thus, the most desirable foundation system to support the solar arrays.

If spread foundations or drilled shaft foundations are to be considered for the solar panel array foundations the results and recommendations contained in Section E.2 for design of the ancillary structures also could be used for design of the solar panel array foundations.

#### E.1. CONVENTIONAL PILE FOUNDATION DESIGN FOR SOLAR PANEL ARRAY FOUNDATIONS

Based on discussions with Madison Fields, a driven pile foundation system is currently planned for use and an additional phase of pile load testing is being performed by *Olsson* at the time of this report.

Of primary concern for the pile option (beyond defining the design parameters for use in foundation design) is the presence of driving obstructions such as cobbles, boulders, dense or cemented sands, or shallow bedrock at the project site. The results of the soil borings performed during our investigation did not encounter large obstructions such as cobbles or boulders shallower than 8 feet; however, in four of the 18 test pits (TP-23, TP-34, TP-39, and TP-64), a minor amount of cobbles or boulders were encountered shallower than a depth of 8 feet. Cobbles were typically 3 to 4 inches in diameter and boulders were typically up to 12 inches in diameter where noted, and appeared to be erratic glacial inclusions of varying mineralogy. Bedrock at the site is anticipated to be relatively deep and well below the typical pile embedment depths. Sands were encountered at several locations; however, the sands did not appear very dense or cemented within the upper 12 feet.

During installation of the field piles for the load testing phase, full penetration to the target depth of 8 feet was achieved for all 60 piles in less than 90 seconds, with no observable obstructions noted. Overall, the risk for pile driving obstructions, while cannot be totally disregarded due to the vast area of the proposed development, the lack of significant obstructions observed in the borings and test pile installations, and only scattered cobbles and boulders encountered in the test pits, appears relatively low; however, up to boulder size erratics can be common in glacial terrains and isolated obstacles for pile driving should be anticipated.

This geotechnical investigation was performed at selected locations spread across the project site. The results of load testing on piles should serve to develop appropriate pile driving criteria to be used during construction of the project. Should undesirable soil conditions be encountered during pile installation (such as the presence of loose soils), the piles could be extended deeper into the ground. Piles in undesirable soil conditions could also be predrilled and grouted in place for additional capacity; however, feasibility of this method could be limited due to the need for casing to potentially maintain borehole stability in saturated sand soils.

Foundation excavations and pile driving activities should be observed by **Olsson** to confirm conditions are consistent with this geotechnical report.

### E.1.2. PILE LOAD TESTING RESULTS

The following sections discuss the results of the field testing performed for the project. Based on the results of soil borings and test pits performed by Olsson, 4 distinct typical soil profiles were selected for the project site as provided below. Pile load testing was performed at the underlined locations below.

- Strata 1A Sites with predominantly clay soils for the entire explored profile: GEO-01, <u>GEO-02</u>, GEO-03, <u>GEO-04</u>, GEO-07, GEO-08, GEO-09, GEO-11, GEO-13, GEO-14, GEO-15, GEO-16, GEO-18, GEO-22, <u>GEO-25</u>, GEO-26, GEO-28, <u>GEO-31</u>, <u>GEO-32</u>, <u>GEO-33</u>, <u>GEO-35</u>, <u>GEO-36</u>, GEO-37, GEO-38, <u>GEO-39</u>, GEO-40, GEO-41, GEO-43, <u>GEO-44</u>, GEO-45, GEO-46, GEO-47, <u>GEO-48</u>, GEO-50, GEO-52, GEO-53, GEO-54, GEO-56, GEO-58, GEO-63, <u>GEO-64</u>, <u>GEO-66</u>, <u>GEO-68</u>, GEO-69, <u>GEO-71</u>, GEO-76, GEO-78, GEO-79, and GEO-80
- Strata 1B Sites with primarily clay underlain by predominantly sand soils at a transition depth below 8-feet: GEO-05, GEO-06, GEO-10, <u>GEO-12</u>, <u>GEO-17</u>, <u>GEO-19</u>, GEO-20, GEO-21, GEO-24, GEO-27, <u>GEO-34</u>, <u>GEO-42</u>, <u>GEO-49</u>, GEO-51, <u>GEO-55</u>, GEO-57, GEO-62, GEO-65, <u>GEO-70</u>, GEO-72, GEO-75, and GEO-77. For the analysis of pile testing and for pile embedment depths on the order of 8 feet below grade, Strata 1B is anticipated to behave similarly to Strata 1A. However, sand soils were encountered in this subset of the borings at depths greater than 8 feet below grade and should piles be installed below that depth, design parameters for sand layers at Strata 1B locations may be required. Depths to sand layers at these locations are indicated on the soil boring logs in Appendix C.
- Strata 2: Sites with a predominantly clay profile underlain by sand at a minimum depth of 5 feet:

GEO-23, GEO-29, GEO-30, GEO-59, GEO-61, GEO-67, and GEO-81

 Strata 3: Sites with clay soils with an isolated sand layer below 5 feet: <u>GEO-60</u>

### Madison Fields Solar Project Madison County, OH

Individual axial uplift and lateral test results for all tested locations are provided in Appendix H.

# E.1.2.1. Axial Uplift Testing Results

Appendix I provides the vertical displacement versus vertical load plots for each of the 4 soil profiles indicated in Section E.1.2. The maximum observed vertical loading corresponding to a vertical displacement of 0.25-inches is summarized in Table E.1.2.1-1.

Geotechnical ID	Pile Type	Pile Embedment Depth (feet)	Load Corresponding to 0.25-Inch Axial Displacement (pounds)
GEO-02	W 6x9	8	5,500
GEO-04	W 6x9	8	7,000
GEO-12	W 6x9	8	4,500
GEO-17	W 6x9	8	5,500
GEO-19	W 6x9	8	5,500
GEO-23	W 6x9	8	5,500
GEO-25	W 6x9	8	7,000
GEO-29	W 6x9	8	8,200
GEO-30	W 6x9	8	5,200
GEO-31	W 6x9	8	4,800
GEO-32	W 6x9	8	6,400
GEO-33	W 6x9	8	8,500
GEO-34	W 6x9	8	9,500
GEO-35	W 6x9	8	7,500
GEO-36	W 6x9	8	6,200
GEO-39	W 6x9	8	7,000
GEO-42	W 6x9	8	4,200
GEO-44	W 6x9	8	7,000
GEO-48	W 6x9	8	5,800
GEO-49	W 6x9	8	9,000
GEO-51	W 6x9	8	4,500
GEO-55	W 6x9	8	5,500
GEO-59	W 6x9	8	5,300
GEO-60	W 6x9	8	3,700
GEO-61	W 6x9	8	5,900
GEO-64	W 6x9	8	6,900
GEO-66	W 6x9	8	5,700
GEO-68	W 6x9	8	4,200
GEO-70	W 6x9	8	4,400
GEO-71	W 6x9	8	5,700

#### Table E.1.2.1-1: Maximum Vertical Load Corresponding to 0.25-inch Vertical Displacement

Results of the testing generally indicated that significant axial displacement (uplift) of the pile was typically observed prior to reaching the practical limit of the testing apparatus.

Based on theoretical axial bearing capacity equations, the estimated uplift capacity is typically taken as 90 percent of the capacity due to skin friction used in compression (FHWA, 2002). The pullout testing performed by Olsson indicated that the limiting

capacity observed in the field was approximately 3,700 pounds determined at GEO-60, which was determined through soil borings to have a predominantly clay subsurface profile with a sand layer from 5 to 7 feet. The remaining pullout values observed from field testing exceeded 4,200 pounds, with most exceeding 5,000 pounds. A calculation of theoretical uplift capacity (with a reduction for the upper topsoil zone) indicates an ultimate pull-out resistance on the order of 8,500 psf for clay and 2,250 psf for sand. The results generally agree with this range for pullout capacity. The calculated average alpha factor for clays is slightly higher than the typical theoretical value of 0.41 (Das 2007). Based on our field testing, recommended ultimate uplift values of skin friction to a minimum depth of are shown in Table E.1.2.1-2.

Soil Strata	Soil Conditions	Depth Below Grade (feet)	LPIIe Soil Type	Effective Unit Weight (pcf)	Clay Undrained Shear Strength (psf)	Sand Friction Angle (degrees)	Unit Skin Friction (ksf)*
		0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000		
1A	All Clay	3-20	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		0.82
		0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000		
1B Clay to 8 ft (with sand layers below)	Clay to 8 ft (with sand	3-8 (min)	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		0.50
	layers below)	Sand at depths specific to location	Sand (Reese)	57.6		30	0.50
Clay Overlying 2 Sand Deeper	0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000			
	3-5	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		0.82	
	than o loot	5-20	Sand (Reese)	57.6		30	0.50
		0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000		
Clay v Mid Sa from 5 fee	Clay with Mid Sand	3-5	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		0.50
	from 5 to 7 feet	5-7	Sand (Reese)	57.6		40	0.33
		7-20	Stiff Clay with Free Water (Reese)	72.6	3000		0.60

\*Skin friction calculated based on average values from field test. Skin friction of clay layers based on lower bound values is approximately 0.50 ksf. Strata 3 values are governed by the lower bound strengths.

Ultimately, the foundation designer should determine an appropriate allowable uplift load to limit axial movement. It is anticipated that typical uplift loading due to wind loading is approximately 3,500 psf for interior columns and 4,000 psf for exterior columns, coupled with a maximum deflection of 1 inch. Results of the field testing indicate that the piles installed as noted in this report are anticipated to perform without excessive displacement at typical loads in most cases. In addition, if piles are connected, additional capacity from the connections are anticipated.

### E.1.2.2. LATERAL LOAD TESTING RESULTS

Appendix I provides the lateral deflection versus the lateral displacement at the top of pile and at the ground surface for each of the four soil profiles considered for the project. Table E.1.2.2-1 summarizes the displacement at the pile head and at ground surface for the maximum achieved loading.

#### Madison Fields Solar Project Madison County, OH

		Pile	Maximum	Displacement at Maximum Test Loac	
Geotechnical	Pile	Embedment	Test Load	(inches)	
ID	Туре	Depth (feet)	(pounds)	Top of Pile (4 feet)	Grade (0 feet)
GEO-02	W 6x9	8	4,900	+4.00	2.84
GEO-04	W 6x9	8	5,200	+4.00	1.74
GEO-12	W 6x9	8	5,340	+4.00	2.12
GEO-17	W 6x9	8	4,850	+4.00	2.10
GEO-19	W 6x9	8	5,100	+4.00	1.98
GEO-23	W 6x9	8	4,500	+4.00	2.10
GEO-25	W 6x9	8	4,500	4.00	1.63
GEO-29	W 6x9	8	5,120	+4.00	1.75
GEO-30	W 6x9	8	4,000	4.81	2.82
GEO-31	W 6x9	8	5,000	4.55	2.36
GEO-32	W 6x9	8	4,260	4.75	2.30
GEO-33	W 6x9	8	4,500	4.81	2.63
GEO-34	W 6x9	8	4,500	3.73	1.68
GEO-35	W 6x9	8	5,180	4.00	1.86
GEO-36	W 6x9	8	5,320	+4.00	1.82
GEO-39	W 6x9	8	4,040	+4.00	2.18
GEO-42	W 6x9	8	4,000	4.65	2.65
GEO-44	W 6x9	8	4,520	+4.00	2.13
GEO-48	W 6x9	8	4,460	+4.00	2.07
GEO-49	W 6x9	8	5,500	3.81	1.77
GEO-51	W 6x9	8	4,280	+4.00	2.12
GEO-55	W 6x9	8	4,460	+4.00	2.11
GEO-59	W 6x9	8	4,320	+4.00	2.22
GEO-60	W 6x9	8	5,160	+4.00	1.85
GEO-61	W 6x9	8	5,280	+4.00	1.97
GEO-64	W 6x9	8	5,100	+4.00	1.89
GEO-66	W 6x9	8	4,920	+4.00	1.86
GEO-68	W 6x9	8	5,380	4.25	2.09
GEO-70	W 6x9	8	5,440	4.00	1.80
GEO-71	W 6x9	8	5,000	4.35	2.19

 Table E.1.2.2-1: Summary of Lateral Displacement at Maximum Test Load

It is anticipated that the maximum lateral load is typically on the order of 2,000 pounds for interior columns and 2,500 pounds for exterior columns, coupled with a maximum deflection of 3 inches (in the strong pile direction) at the pile head and approximately 1 inch at ground surface. Based on the results of the field testing, the estimated deflection under the typical maximum loads are anticipated to be less than the maximum allowable deflection based on the field testing for the W 6x9 piles specifically.

Olsson used the software LPile by Ensoft, Inc., to use numerical modeling to evaluate piles as were installed at the project site. The deflections estimated through the computer modeling were compared to the lateral deflections observed at the project site. This is a more refined method of evaluating lateral soil behavior than using prescribed values recommended by the software developer. A calibration of the in-situ lateral deflections versus the theoretical deflections estimated by LPile are provided in Appendix I for W 6x9 piles. Using this information, if the foundation designer decides to adjust the pile size, the soil parameters should stay the same and are anticipated to represent the actual in-situ conditions.

Soil Strata	Soil Conditions	Depth Below Grade (feet)	LPIIe Soil Type	Effective Unit Weight (pcf)	Clay Undrained Shear Strength (psf)	Sand Friction Angle (degrees)	Static Lateral Modulus of Subgrade Reaction, Kh (pci)	Strain Factor, E₅₀
		0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000			0.02
1A	All Clay	3-20	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		1000	0.007
		0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000			0.02
1B Clay to 8 ft (with sand layers below)	3-8 (min)	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		1000	0.007	
	layers below)	Sand at depths specific to location	Sand (Reese)	57.6		30	60	
Clay Overlying 2 Sand Deeper	0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000			0.02	
	Overlying Sand Deeper	3-5	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		1000	0.007
		5-20	Sand (Reese)	57.6		30	60	
Clay with Mid Sand from 5 to 7 feet		0-3	Soft Clay (Matlock)	135	1000			0.02
	Clay with Mid Sand	3-5	Mod. Stiff Clay Without Free Water	72.6	2000		1000	0.007
	from 5 to 7 feet	5-7	Sand (Reese)	57.6		40	200	
		7-20	Stiff Clay with Free Water (Reese)	72.6	3000		1000	0.006

Table E.1.2.2-2: Recommended Lateral Pile Parameters for Pile Foundation Design

In order to calibrate the models, varying shear strength parameters were used to more closely model the observed deflection during the pile load testing with the LPile software. The parameters indicated in Table E.1.2.2-2 are recommended for lateral analysis, which include a weaker zone at the surface to account for loss of strength during freeze/thaw conditions. These parameters do not include factors of safety or group effect multipliers. Factors of safety, where included, may be reduced for wind or other transient loading. The foundation designer should determine the factors of safety and group effect modifications as appropriate during their design.

Preliminary analyses for lateral loading design are presented for assumed interior and exterior columns installed to a depth of 8 feet below the existing grade (W 6x9, W 8x10, and W 10x12 steel piles) in Appendix I. Piles were assumed to extend 4 feet above the ground surface for both interior and exterior columns. The following loads were assumed for this analysis, but the analysis should be evaluated based on final loads anticipated for the project.

- External Pile: Lateral Load 1,600 lbs, Moment of 19,000 in-lbs, Axial load 1,840 lbs (identified as Load Case 1 in Appendix I)
- Internal Pile: Lateral Load 1,400 lbs, Moment of 18,500 in-lbs, Axial load 1,640 lbs (identified as Load Case 2 in Appendix I)

A maximum allowable pile head deflection of 3 inches was assumed for loading in the strong-axis direction). Results of the preliminary analysis indicate that the lateral deflection is not anticipated to exceed 3 inches. Based on this preliminary analysis, a shorter pile depth could be considered provided suitable uplift resistance is achieved, which could be confirmed using pullout tests during construction.

It should be noted that during lateral pile testing, the flange of the W 6x9 pile sections were distorted slightly where the pile clamp attached to the pile. This did not affect the measurement of displacement or appear to significantly affect the pile structural capacity, as this was only observed on the side of the pile with the pile clamp.

#### E.1.2.3. COMMENT ON AXIAL COMPRESSION LOADING

While not performed for this phase of investigation, piles installed for support of solar PV racking structures will also be exposed to axial compressive loading. The vertical loading is anticipated to be low, and rarely governs the foundation design. For evaluation of the axial compression bearing capacity, theoretical analysis should be suitable for foundation design and a minimum of the full uplift capacity determined during the pile uplift testing can be relied upon for axial compression support of the piles for each strata.

By using the observed pullout testing and calculating the theoretical end bearing of the W 6x9 piles, an ultimate capacity on the order of 6,000 psf for the lowest observed pull out value and 7,200 psf as a more average value are anticipated

The sustained loading from the solar structures on the piles also may result in long-term settlement, although the total settlement of an individual pile is anticipated to be less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  inch.

#### E.2. Shallow Foundation Design for Ancillary Structures

The foundation design and loading for the project ancillary structures were not provided to **Olsson** for this analysis, but it is assumed that shallow spread or mat foundation systems placed directly on native soil may be used for the less critical structures and at the substation and transmission line locations. If **Olsson's** assumptions are not fully reflected in the final foundation design, the recommendations in this section will need to be verified.

#### E.2.1 Allowable Bearing Capacity for Spread Footing Foundations

The spread footings for any ancillary structures likely will bear fully on native clay soils or isolated pockets of granular soils.

The following sections discuss, in detail, the determination of the allowable bearing capacity for the solar panel or ancillary structure spread footing foundations.

Allowable soil bearing pressure for a spread footing is based on the shear strength obtained from testing and investigation. A discussion of the soil shear strength was provided in Section C.5.6. The following is a more detailed description of the procedure used to determine the allowable bearing capacity.

The ultimate bearing capacity of the soil supporting a spread footing can be determined using the Terzaghi-Meyerhoff equation as follows:

$$q_{ult} = \frac{1}{2}\gamma' B_{eff} N_{\gamma} F_{\gamma s} F_{\gamma d} F_{\gamma i} + q N_q F_{qs} F_{qd} F_{qi} + s_u N_c F_{cs} F_{cd} F_{ci}$$
(Das 2010)

where:

 $q_{ult}$  = ultimate bearing pressure

 $\gamma$  = unit weight of the soil

*B* = average footing width over the length in bearing

 $N_{\gamma}$  = bearing capacity factor

*q* = surcharge at foundation level

 $N_q$  = bearing capacity factor

 $s_u$  = design undrained shear strength of the soil

 $N_c$  = bearing capacity factor

F = shape (subscript "s") depth (subscript "d") and inclination (subscript i) factors

The first and second terms of the above equation are associated with granular soils which typically exhibit drained modes of failure (except under earthquake loading) and where excess pore pressures are allowed to dissipate when the soil when sheared. These terms represent the ultimate drained bearing capacity.

The third term of the equation is associated with fine-grained/clayey soils which typically exhibit an undrained mode of failure and where excess pore pressures can build up in the soil when sheared. This term represents the ultimate undrained bearing capacity.

Since the soils encountered at the project site have layers of both granular and cohesive materials the critical mode of failure may be associated with either drained or undrained conditions and the ultimate bearing capacity should be calculated for each.

#### E.2.1.1. BEARING CAPACITY - UNDRAINED ANALYSIS

During undrained loading conditions where excess pore pressures can build up in the soil when sheared, the first term is dropped from the Terzaghi equation, and the second term reduces to the overburden pressure, representing the ultimate undrained bearing capacity shown as follows:

$$q_{ult} = qN_q + s_u N_c F_{cs} F_{cd} F_{ci}$$
(Das 2010)

Final foundation loads and dimensions for the proposed substation have not been provided at the date of this report. Therefore, to calculate the ultimate bearing capacity, the shape, depth, and inclination subscripts have been assumed to be 1.0. The allowable soil bearing pressure is then obtained by dividing the ultimate bearing capacity by a factor of safety. A factor of safety is usually used for foundations under normal load cases. A one-third reduction in the factor of safety can be used for cases with transient loading, such as wind.

If the recommendations of this report are followed, shallow foundations nearby the completed borings for the substation supported by native soils may be designed using a net allowable soil bearing pressure of up to 2,500 psf (for foundation widths less than 10 feet). Shallow spread foundations should be designed to apply a uniform soil bearing pressure to the supporting soil.

The allowable soil bearing pressure should be confirmed once the final foundation design is available. *Olsson* can assist with this verification if desired.

#### E.2.1.2. BEARING CAPACITY - DRAINED ANALYSIS

During drained loading conditions soils where excess pore pressures are allowed to dissipate when sheared, the third term is dropped from the Terzaghi equation and the ultimate drained bearing capacity is estimated as follows:

$$q_{ult} = \frac{1}{2} \gamma' B_{eff} N_{\gamma} F_{\gamma s} F_{\gamma d} F_{\gamma i} + q N_q F_{qs} F_{qd} F_{qi}$$
(Das, 2010)

where:

 $q_{ult}$  = ultimate bearing pressure

 $\gamma$  = unit weight of the soil

 $B_{\rm eff}$  = average effective footing width in bearing

*L<sub>eff</sub>* = average effective footing length in bearing

 $N_{q}$ ,  $N_{d}$ ,  $N_{\gamma}$  = bearing capacity factors

*q* = surcharge at foundation level

F = shape (subscript "s") depth (subscript "d") and inclination (subscript i) factors

Using a friction angle of 30 degrees, and a factor of safety of 3.0, an allowable bearing capacity of 3,000 psf can be considered for foundation design.

Calculation of the allowable soil bearing pressure should be performed once the final foundation design is available. *Olsson* can assist with this verification if desired.

#### E.2.1.3. BEARING CAPACITY DETERMINATION

Based on an assumed ancillary structure spread foundation either 2 feet wide (strip) or up to 10 feet square (isolated), and design shear strength at the substation locations GEO-73 and GEO-74 of 1,500 psf and a design friction angle of 30 degrees, a net foundation bearing pressure from the undrained case of 2,500 psf can be used for shallow foundation design.

#### E.2.2. FOUNDATION SETTLEMENT

Based on typical settlement limits for substations, it is assumed that settlement on the order of 1 inch total and 0.5 inches differential can be tolerated by the proposed substation equipment. The following sections discuss the settlement evaluation performed for the project site. Based on the results of the soil types encountered, settlement will likely consist of both immediate, elastic settlement, and long-term consolidation settlement.

In following, the small strain Young's modulus is computed from:

 $E_o = 2G_o(1+\nu)$ 

Based on a Poisson's ratio of 0.40 and a shear wave velocity of 700 ft/s from experience with similar soil types, the resulting design small strain Young's modulus,  $E_o$ , is 5,500 ksf.

Additionally, correlations for  $G_{max}$  are available based on average SPT values, as follows:

$$G_{max} = 325(N_{60})^{0.68}$$
 (Holtz, 2011)

Based on an average  $N_{60}$  value of 15 within the zone of influence, the resulting  $G_{max}$  value is 2,050 ksf and resulting  $E_o$  value is 5,740 ksf.

The small strain Young's modulus should be similarly adjusted by the reduction factor to estimate the soil behavior at strain levels similar to those anticipated for foundation settlement. The resulting Young's modulus is approximately E = 2,010 ksf.

#### E.2.2.1. ELASTIC SETTLEMENT

The immediate or elastic settlement of a soil can be computed based on the application of the extreme load case, using the following equation based on elastic theory:

$$S = \frac{B_{eff}q_o}{E_s} \left(1 - v^2\right) I$$

where:

S = elastic settlement

q<sub>o</sub> = contact pressure

 $B_{eff}$  = effective foundation width

 $E_s$  = elastic soil modulus

 $\nu$  = Poisson's Ratio (assumed to be 0.40 for partially saturated clay soils)

*I* = shape factor = 1.12 (Day, 2006)

Using this formula, the foundation design engineer can compute the immediate settlements induced by the footing under the allowable load. Based on an applied bearing pressure increase and the effective foundation width for the assumed foundation design, the maximum total immediate settlement is estimated to be less than  $\frac{1}{2}$ -inch.

(Das, 2007)

#### E.2.2.2. LONG-TERM SETTLEMENT FROM CONSOLIDATION TEST RESULTS

The long-term settlement of clay soils supporting the foundation can be computed using the results of the consolidation test results and the following equation:

$$S = \frac{C_r}{1 + e_o} \cdot L \cdot \log\left(\frac{\sigma'_p}{\sigma'_{vo}}\right) + \frac{C_c}{1 + e_o} \cdot L \cdot \log\left(\frac{\sigma'_f}{\sigma'_p}\right)$$
(Das, 2010)

where:

 $C_r$  = recompression index = 0.006

 $C_c$  = compression index = 0.07

 $e_o$  = initial void ratio = 0.516

L = height of soil layer

 $\sigma'_{p}$  = minimum past effective stress where soil transitions from overconsolidated to normally consolidated (varies with depth, results from lab tests provide a *general* indication of the overconsolidation ratio)

 $\sigma'_{vo}$  = original effective stress at the midpoint of the clay layer below foundation

 $\sigma'_{r}$  = final effective stress equal to  $\sigma'_{vo} + \Delta \sigma'$ , where  $\Delta \sigma'$  = average pressure increase to the clay layer caused by the added load (normal operating load conditions)

Using this formula, the foundation design engineer can compute the long-term consolidation settlements induced by the footing, based on the application of the normal operating load. To calculate the consolidation settlement, the soil should be split into several layers, with the effective stress recalculated at the midpoint of each layer. The depth of calculation should be taken as twice the approximate width of the foundation plus embedment.

Consolidation testing was completed at substation boring GEO-73 at a depth of approximately 4 feet. Based on the results of the consolidation test and normal loading for the current foundation design (increase from the existing stress level at the foundation embedment depth), the long-term total settlement is estimated to be on the order of approximately 1 inch for up to a 10-foot by 10-foot foundation using the allowable bearing pressure provided herein, with differential settlements of approximately ½ total settlement

#### E.2.3. DRILLED SHAFT FOUNDATIONS FOR ANCILLARY STRUCTURE LOCATIONS

For critical structures at the substation locations, drilled shafts may be considered for support of the structures. In the absence of specific foundation design information, recommendations for bearing capacity and lateral load capacity cannot be explicitly

calculated at this time. The foundation designer should calculate the design axial and lateral capacity once final design information is available. The design values for geotechnical evaluation of drilled shafts are provided in Table 7 and are based on results from the geotechnical boring and laboratory testing at the Substation borings. For drilled shafts, we recommend a factor of safety of 2 for end bearing and a factor of safety of 2.5 for skin friction. It should be noted that the values provided in Table 7 are ultimate values and do not incorporate a factor of safety. Individual shafts designed per the parameters provided in the above table are anticipated to experience settlements less than 1 inch, but this should be verified by the foundation designer. This assumes that proper drainage is provided around the foundations to avoid moisture changes in the subgrade soils.

An uplift resistance can be calculated as a combination of allowable uplift skin friction and the overall pile weight for the design of a steel reinforced pile. Uplift resistance should be taken as 90% of the calculated skin friction value (FHWA, 1999). The structural capacity of the drilled shafts should be determined using applicable local building codes. The surficial soil should be included with reduced values to account for strength reduction during times of thaw and high moisture content, which is less conservative than neglecting the upper (topsoil/frost zone) altogether.

Recommended lateral resistance parameters for use in design are included in Table 7 based on soil consistency and soil type. We anticipate that the software LPile will be used for analysis of the piles.

As discussed previously, to minimize the disturbance of the bearing soils supporting the existing foundations, the contractor should be prepared to drill with temporary casing. While removing the casing, the casing should be extracted at a slow, uniform rate, with the pull in line with the center of the shaft. We recommend the installation contractor review this report, the soils and conditions encountered, and adjust the means and methods for the drilled shaft installation accordingly. It is the contractor's responsibility to install the piles without significant soil disturbance. If temporary casing cannot be removed or if soil disturbance is created by the means and methods during construction these items should be discussed with the geotechnical and structural engineer to determine if additional measures are required for foundation support.

An **Olsson** field technician should be on-site to observe the shaft as it is drilled and also during concrete and reinforcing steel placement. The base of the drilled shafts should be clean and free of debris or loose soil prior to placing concrete or reinforcing steel. Concrete for the drilled shaft foundation should be placed promptly after drilling operation to reduce exposing the subsoil to rain, surface runoff, or drying conditions. If foundation bearing soils are subjected to such conditions, the soils should be reevaluated by an **Olsson** representative prior to reinforcing steel or concrete placement.

Free-fall concrete placement is not recommended unless approved by the structural engineer. The use of a bottom dump hopper or tremie pipe could be considered to prevent potential aggregate segregation or sidewall disturbance. Concrete should be placed with a tremie pipe if standing water or drilling fluid is present in the hole. The tremie pile should always remain within the placed concrete at a depth sufficient to ensure that water is not allowed to mix into the fluid concrete during placement.

The values provided in Table 7 are for single piles with a minimum center-to-center distance of 3 to 5 pile diameters (which is common for substation structures). If the spacings between the shafts are less than indicated above, the axial capacity of the individual shaft should be reduced using group efficiency factors to account for the group action. The group efficiency factor depends on the shaft spacing, shaft diameter, and geometry of the group (number of rows and columns). Similarly, if the shaft spacings are less than 3 to 5 times the diameter of the drilled shaft then the lateral capacity of the individual shaft should be reduced using P-multiplier for group action. The P-multiplier factor depends on the shaft spacing, shaft diameter, and its position (row and column) with respect to the group. Furthermore, the estimated settlement indicated above is for individual shafts supporting the load; however, if the spacing is less than 3 to 5 times the diameter may increase due to group effects. Group efficiency, P-multiplier factors, and group settlement can be provided upon request.

#### E.3. FROST DEPTH AND DESIGN CONSIDERATIONS

Based on local codes, frost depth for the area is considered to be approximately 32 inches per the Ohio Building Code (Section 4125.03) The extreme frost penetration for the project based on national publications is 36 inches (NAVFAC, 1986), but extreme frost penetration is rarely what local building codes require for frost protection. The piles and foundations on the site should be designed to the minimum required frost depth per the local building code which is anticipated to be at least 32 inches below the lowest adjacent finished grade to provide frost protection.

Pile foundation design will need to consider frost heave for pile movement. The initial freezing of the soil to the pile (ultimate adfreeze bond) occurs when the frost initial progresses into the ground and around the embedded pile. This adfreeze force on the pile results in little actual displacement of the piles (heave) and is not the primary force that needs to be designed for when considering frost heave of the piles. After the initial frost front penetrates the ground surface, capillary effects (also referred to as ice lensing) draw water to the freezing front from below. This capillary action is dependent upon several factors including but not limited to material type, particle size distribution (or void size), and groundwater level. (Rajaei, 2015).

As the capillary effects feed the freezing zone, the initial adfreeze bond breaks and what is commonly referred to as tangential frost heave strength starts to develop. This is the

force that actually causes frost heave movement and can be up to 10 times less than the initial adfreeze forces. Based on the soil's liquidity index of less than 0.25 and an active frost layer depth of about 3 feet below grade, the recommended tangential heave force is 1,672 psf for concrete piles (Nidowicz, 1998). A reduction factor of 0.7 is recommended for steel piles (Kim, 2015 and Nidowicz, 1998), reducing the design tangential heave force to 1,170 psf. This value should be multiplied by the contact surface area of the pile (using the perimeter box projection of the pile shape, not the flange and web surfaces) and then subtract the dead load of the pile and solar panel array to calculate the theoretical tangential heave force for design considerations.

It is recommended that this force be considered a separate load case from wind uplift load, as the piles will be frozen in the ground during winter which will increase the pullout resistance during the winter months. The pile should be embedded to a depth where skin friction below the frost zone provides adequate pullout resistance due to frost heave.

It should be noted that frost heave has been demonstrated to be closer to the full initial adfreeze value if porous, non-frost-susceptible gravel or coarse sand materials are present above underlying silt or clay soils. If this is the design case based on grading and fill placement, consideration should be given to using the full adfreeze value of 2,090 psf for steel piles (Canadian Foundation Engineering Manual).

#### **E.4**. **SLIDING FRICTION**

Lateral resistance of the foundations will be achieved through a combination of base shear resistance mobilized at the footing-subgrade interface and passive earth pressure acting on the vertical faces of the footings at right angles to the direction of applied load. A friction coefficient value of 0.40 can be used between the native soil and the foundation concrete for base shear and sliding resistance (Potvandy, 1961).

#### E.5. **SEISMIC CLASSIFICATION**

For this project site, we recommend using a Site Class "D" (stiff soil profile) as per the 2015 International Building Code (IBC) for structures at the site. The recommended site coefficients and spectral acceleration parameters for structural design are provided in Table E.5-1 below for Site Class B and should be converted to Site Class "D" by the foundation designer accordingly.

0:46	Latitude	Parameters for Longitude Site Class B		Recommended	
Site	(North)	(West)	Ss	S <sub>1</sub>	Site Class
GEO-51	40.086998	83.478004	0.143	0.065	D

#### Table E.5-1 Recommended Seismic Design Parameters

Notes:  $S_s = 0.2$  sec (short-period) Mapped Spectral Acceleration (for site class B)

 $S_1 = 1.0$  sec Mapped Spectral Acceleration (for site class B)

### Madison Fields Solar Project Madison County, OH

#### E.G. SOIL CORROSIVITY AND REACTIVITY

Based on the results of soil chemical testing presented in Section C.4, the sulfate levels in the soil are considered negligible (ACI, 2014) and a sulfate exposure class of S0 is recommended for the site. Therefore, in accordance with recommendations by ACI (2014), the use of Type I cement appears suitable for use at the site. The foundation engineer of record has final responsibility for selection or approval of an appropriate concrete mix design.

Electrical resistivity testing was performed at 21 locations. The results of these tests indicated the project site includes soils with electrical resistivity values less than 2,000 ohm-cm, indicating a moderate to high risk of corrosivity to buried metal. For shallow pile consideration, the mean apparent resistivity value for electrode ("a") spacings less than 20 feet was 4,275 ohm-cm. This value assumes that the depth of measurement is approximately 0.5 to 1.0 of the a-spacing. The foundation engineer of record has final responsibility for selection or approval of appropriate protection for the foundations.

# F. PRIVATE ACCESS ROAD DESIGN

#### F.1. SUBGRADE PREPARATION

After stripping and grubbing, the road subgrade should be compacted with a minimum of one pass of a compactor. Unless otherwise directed by the geotechnical engineer, the final prepared subgrade should be proofrolled with a loaded dump truck or similar rubber-tired equipment with a total weight of at least 20 tons, immediately prior to placement of new roadways. Proofrolling operations should be observed by a representative of the geotechnical engineer. Unstable or unsuitable soils revealed by proofrolling should be reworked to provide a stable subgrade or removed and replaced with structural fill under the direction of the geotechnical engineer. Alternative methods of subgrade stabilization may include use of crushed stone, scarification and recompaction, and chemical stabilization.

It is recommended that the subgrade soil be prepared to a minimum 95 percent of the materials maximum Standard Proctor (ASTM D698) dry density. The subgrade moisture content at the time of compaction should be maintained within the ranges specified in Section D.2. for the applicable materials. It is important that the roadway subgrade support be relatively uniform, with no abrupt changes in the degree of support. Non-uniform support can occur at the transition from cut to fill areas, in areas of varying soil moisture or soil types, in areas where rock appears near final grade, or where improperly placed utility backfill has been placed across or through areas to be paved. Improper subgrade preparation such as inadequate vegetation or demolition debris removal, failure to identify soft or unstable areas by proofrolling, and inadequate or improper compaction can also produce non-uniform subgrade support.

For subgrade soils prepared in this manner, a limiting CBR of 2.0 percent can be used for private access road design. This value is based upon completed laboratory testing of material collected across the site and *Olsson*'s judgement.

A representative of **Olsson** should be present during subgrade preparation to observe, document, and test compaction of the materials at the time of placement. As recommended for all prepared soil subgrades, we recommend that heavy, repetitive construction traffic be controlled, especially during periods of wet weather, to minimize disturbance.

#### F.2. PRIVATE ACCESS ROAD SECTION DESIGN

Traffic loading parameters were not provided to **Olsson** for the private access road section design, but is it assumed that most of the traffic loading will be flat-bed trucks and pickup traffic for construction of the proposed solar farm. Using assumed values for gravel, concrete, dust control, and foundation components, the estimated traffic loading was 10,000 ESAL's. This may not be the loading for all roads at the site and should be evaluated by the Civil Designer to ensure it is accurate. If the loading estimated in this report is incorrect, **Olsson** should be contacted to re-evaluate the aggregate thickness.

Private access roads for solar projects are typically designed with aggregate base. In some cases, geogrid or geotextile reinforcement is used to provide a thinner aggregate section. Using the Giroud Han iterative equation, and assuming a construction traffic loading of 10,000 passes, tire pressure of 100 pounds per square inch (psi), and an axle load of 18 kips, the recommended aggregate thickness for a 3-inch rut depth is provided in Table F.2-1 below, and in Table 8. Likewise, the recommended aggregate thickness for the maintenance condition was calculated based on a traffic loading of 2,000 passes, a tire pressure of 5 kips and a 1.5-inch rut depth.

AASHTO low volume road design methods were also used as a comparison for the unreinforced aggregate thicknesses, assuming a resilient roadbed modulus ( $M_R$ ) of 1,500 psi, an aggregate base modulus ( $E_{BS}$ ) of 30,000 psi, and a serviceability criteria of 3.0. Using a construction traffic loading with an ESAL18 value of 10,000 and allowable rut depth of 3.0 inches resulted in an unreinforced aggregate thickness of 10.5 inches. For maintenance loading with an ESAL18 value of 2,000 and an allowable rut depth of 1.5 inches, an unreinforced aggregate thickness of 7.5 inches was computed.

	Max	Percent	Recommended Aggregate Thickness (inches)				
Troffic			Gir	AASHTO Method			
Condition	Condition Depth [%]		Unreinforced	With Geotextile (Mirafi RS280)	With Geogrid (BX1200)	Unreinforced	
Construction	3	95	14	9	8	10.5	
Maintenance	1.5	95	10	8	7	7.5	

Table F.2-1 Summary of Aggregate Thickness for Road Design

Based on **Olsson's** experience, large construction traffic can withstand a rut depth of 3inches. However, long-term maintenance construction typically includes smaller vehicles and a rut depth of 1.5-inches is often used. Analysis for these rut depths is provided herein. If evaluation for various rut depths is desired by the Owner, **Olsson** can provide the corresponding aggregate thickness.

The road section design should promote positive drainage away from the road. Any traffic loading in excess of the assumed values or may result in excessive rutting or surface deterioration, which may reduce the overall life of the road.

The Civil Designer of record has the final responsibility to determine the most economical combination of aggregate and reinforcement, and to evaluate what the final loading conditions for the roadway will be. As a result, the parameters in this section may need to be revised depending on the final loading.

If geosynthetic materials are used, inspections should be performed during construction to evaluate the materials are placed and anchored properly to develop the required strain in the geotextile materials. If materials are not placed properly and strain is not developed, the reinforcing benefit of the geosynthetics may not be fully realized.

#### F.3. Aggregate Recommendation

We recommend the private access roadways be constructed of aggregate base material, combined with reinforcement (optional). The granular roadway surface should consist of crushed rock material complying with the requirements of the ODOT Granular Material, with no more than 10 percent passing the number 200 sieve, as discussed in Section D.2. Samples of the proposed aggregate materials for the roadways should be submitted to a laboratory to confirm these properties are met. We recommend a smooth drum vibratory compactor should be used to compact the gravel roadway.

If geogrid reinforcement is used, modifications to the gradation requirements may be necessary in order to comply with the manufacturer's recommendations. Alternative road surface materials may be used depending upon availability and approval. The conclusions and recommendations presented in this report are based on the information available regarding the proposed construction, the results obtained from our soil test borings and sampling procedures, the results of the laboratory testing program, and our experience with similar projects. The soil test borings represent a very small statistical sampling of subsurface soils and it is possible that conditions may be encountered during construction that are substantially different from those indicated by the soil test borings. In these instances, adjustments to design and construction may be necessary. This geotechnical report is based on the site plan and information provided to *Olsson* and our understanding of the project as noted in this report. Changes in the location or design of new structures could significantly affect the conclusions and recommendations presented in this geotechnical report. *Olsson* should be contacted in the event of such changes to determine if the recommendations of this report remain appropriate for the revised site design.

This report was prepared under the direction and supervision of a Professional Engineer registered in the State of Ohio with the firm of **Olsson**. The conclusions and recommendations contained herein are based on generally accepted professional geotechnical engineering practices at the time of this report within this geographic area. No other warranty is expressed, intended or made. This report has been prepared for the exclusive use of Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC and their authorized representatives for specific application to the proposed project.

# H. **REFERENCES**

- American Concrete Institute (ACI) Standard 318-14, 2014. <u>Building Code Requirements for</u> <u>Structural Concrete and Commentary</u>, American Concrete Institute.
- Das, B. M., 2000. Fundamentals of Geotechnical Engineering, Brooks/Cole.
- Das, B. M., 2007. Principles of Foundation Engineering, 6th Edition, Cengage Learning.
- Das, B. M., 2010. Principles of Geotechnical Engineering, 7th Edition, Cengage Learning.
- Day, Robert W., Foundation Engineering Handbook, The McGraw-Hill Companies, Inc., 2006.
- E-laws website: <u>http://columbus-oh.elaws.us/code/coor\_title41\_ch4125\_sec4125.03</u> (Ohio Building Code Reference)
- Holtz, Robert D., William D. Kovacs, and Thomas C. Sheahan, 2011. <u>An Introduction to</u> <u>Geotechnical Engineering</u>, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edition, Pearson Education, Inc.
- Kim, Mintae, Et al, Tangential Heave Stress for the Design of Deep Foundations, Cold Regions Engineering Conference, 2015
- Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), 1986. Soil Mechanics, Design Manual 7.01.
- Naval Facilities Engineering Command (NAVFAC), 1986. <u>Foundations and Earth Structures</u>, <u>Design Manual 7.02</u>.
- Nidowicz, Bernard and Yuri L. Shur, Russian and North American Approaches to Pile Design in Relation to Frost Action, Permafrost 7<sup>th</sup> International Conference Proceedings, 1998
- NRCS Soil Survey website http://websoilsurvey.sc.egov.usda.gov/App/WebSoilSurvey.aspx.
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR), Karst Interactive Map website, <u>https://gis.ohiodnr.gov/website/dgs/karst\_interactivemap/</u>
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR), Shaded Drift Thickness Map, 2017
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR), Oil and Gas Fields Map of Ohio, 2014
- Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR), Physiographic Regions of Ohio, 1998
- Pavey, R. R., Goldthwait, R. P., Brockman, C. S., Hull, D. N., Swinford, E. M., and Van Horn, R.
  G., 1999, Quaternary geology of Ohio: Ohio Division of Geological Survey Map M-2, 1:500,000-scale map and 1:250,000-scale GIS files
- Potyondy, J., 1961. "Skin Friction Between Various Soils and Construction Materials", Geotechnique, Volume XI, Number 4.

#### Madison Fields Solar Project Madison County, OH

- Rajaei, Pegah and Gilbert Baladi, Frost Heave: A Semi-Empirical Model Based on Field Data, Cold Regions Engineering Conference, 2015
- Terzaghi, Karl; Ralph B. Peck; and Gholamreza Mesri, Soil Mechanics in Engineering Practice, 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed., 1996.
- USGS Mineral Resource Online Spatial Database, https://mrdata.usgs.gov/geology/state/fipsunit.php?code=f48333
- USGS Earthquake Hazard Program Website, Accessed 2020. http://earthquake.usgs.gov/research/hazmaps/products\_data/2008/maps/.
- USGS Earthquake Hazard Program Website, "Quaternary Fault and Fold Database of the United States", Accessed 2020. <u>https://earthquake.usgs.gov/hazards/qfaults/</u>
- Weary, D.J., and D.H. Doctor, 2014. "Karst in the United States: A digital map compilation and database", United States Geological Survey Open-File Report 2014–1156.

# Madison Fields Solar Project

Madison County, Ohio

June 30, 2020

Olsson Project No. 020-0986

List of Figures	
Figure 1	Site Location
Figure 2	Site Layout
Figure 3	Site Topography
Figure 4	Surficial Geology
Figure 5	Soil Parent Material
Figure 6	USCS Soil Classifications
Figure 7	Bedrock Geology
Figure 8	Flooding Risk Map
Figure 9	Soil Boring Locations
Figure 10	Test Pit Locations (to be included in the final report draft)
Figure 11	Percolation Test Locations
Figure 12	Electrical Resistivity Testing Locations
Figure 13	Thermal Resistivity Sample Locations
Figure 14	Pile Testing Locations
Figure 15	Project Aerial Map






























List of Tables	
Table 1	Summary of Groundwater Measurements from Geotechnical Borings
Table 2	Summary of General Laboratory Test Results
Table 3	Summary of Soil Chemical Test Results
Table 4	Summary of Standard Proctor Density Test Results
Table 5	Summary of Lower Strength Zones
Table 6	Summary of Consolidation Test Results (to be included in the final report draft)
Table 7	Summary of Geotechnical Parameters for Ancillary Structure Foundation Design
Table 8	Recommended Aggregate Base Thickness for Road Section Design
Table 9	Summary of Driven Pile Installations

## Table 1 Summary of Groundwater Levels from Soil Borings

	Groundwat	er Depth [feet]
Geotech ID	While Drilling	Upon Completion
GEO-01	6.0	6.0
GEO-02	14.0	18.0
GEO-03	5.0	5.5
GEO-04	6.5	9.0
GEO-05	6.0	6.5
GEO-06	10.0	13.5
GEO-07	6.5	11.4
GEO-08	4.5	5.0
GEO-09	4.5	5.6
GEO-10	6.0	4.0
GEO-11	5.0	6.0
GEO-12	6.0	6.0
GEO-13	NE	NE
GEO-14	5.5	7.0
GEO-15	5.5	10.0
GEO-16	11.0	17.2
GEO-17	6.5	4.0
GEO-18	5.5	6.5
GEO-19	6.5	9.3
GEO-20	6.5	3.5
GEO-21	9.0	7.0
GEO-22	6.0	11.3
GEO-23	6.5	0.6
GEO-24	7.0	8.0
GEO-25	6.5	15.0
GEO-26	9.0	12.0
GEO-27	14.5	16.0
GEO-28	NE	NE
GEO-29	6.0	5.5
GEO-30	4.5	4.0
GEO-31	6.0	17.2
GEO-32	3.5	1.3
GEO-33	3.0	0.5
GEO-34	6.5	6.5
GEO-35	12.0	6.5
GEO-36	8.0	17.5
GEO-37	12.0	13.2
GEO-38	5.0	4.4
GEO-39	14.0	17.5
GEO-40	3.5	1.0
GEO-41	4.0	2.5

	Groundwat	er Depth [feet]
Geotech ID	While Drilling	Upon Completion
GEO-42	6.0	4.5
GEO-43	6.5	4.8
GEO-44	4.5	11.0
GEO-45	5.5	3.0
GEO-46	5.5	3.5
GEO-47	4.0	12.0
GEO-48	12.0	9.5
GEO-49	14.5	7.8
GEO-50	6.0	5.5
GEO-51	3.5	3.5
GEO-52	12.0	8.5
GEO-53	12.0	13.0
GEO-54	3.5	4.0
GEO-55	6.0	3.5
GEO-56	4.0	6.6
GEO-57	4.0	3.6
GEO-58	3.5	3.5
GEO-59	3.0	1.0
GEO-60	3.0	3.5
GEO-61	5.5	5.0
GEO-62	9.0	6.5
GEO-63	4.5	9.1
GEO-64	4.0	8.0
GEO-65	6.5	6.5
GEO-66	3.0	8.0
GEO-67	5.0	3.5
GEO-68	9.0	15.0
GEO-69	6.0	5.5
GEO-70	3.5	5.0
GEO-71	4.5	6.0
GEO-72	6.5	1.0
GEO-73	4.5	7.5
GEO-74	4.0	5.0
GEO-75	4.0	10.0
GEO-76	5.0	5.5
GEO-77	6.5	5.0
GEO-78	4.5	5.5
GEO-79	4.5	9.5
GEO-80	7.0	18.5
GEO-81	4.0	5.5

NE = Not encountered

Table 1

# olsson

Project: 020-0986 Prepared by: MRF Date: 5/15/2020

Cl.	a sati su						A	•					
Sample	Location	Approx	Moisture	Dry Unit	In Situ Unit		Atterberg Lim	its	Percent	Percent	Percent	Unconfined	
	Depth	Soil Type	Content	Weight	Weight	Liq. Limit	Plast. Limit	Plast. Index	Gravel	Sand	Fines	Compressive	Peak Friction
Geotech ID	[ft]	(1)	[%]	[pcf]	[pcf]	[%	moisture con	tent]	[%]	[%]	[%]	Strength [tsf]	Angle [degrees]
GEO-01	3.5-5.0'	CL	24.0								02.2		
GEO-02	6-8.0' 3 5-5 0'	CL	17.2	113.6	133	30	18	12					
GEO-02 GEO-03	18.5-20.0'	CL/ML	13.6										
GEO-04	1-2.5'	CL	14.9			20	17	12	E O	27.7	67.4		
GEO-05	6-8.0'	CL	13.9			30	17	15	5.0	21.1	07.4		
	18.5-20.0'	CL/ML	8.2	444.0	405							0.4	
GEO-06	3.5-5.5 <sup>°</sup> 8.5-10.0'	CL	18.4	114.2	135							0.4	
GEO-07	3.5-5.5'	CL	19.8	105.9	127								
	13.5-15.0 <sup>°</sup> 3.5-5.0 <sup>°</sup>	CL CL/ML	17.1	125.3	144								
GEO-08	6-7.5'	CL/ML	11.3			20	14	6					
	8.5-10.0' 18.5-20.0'	CL/ML CL/MI	11.6						21.9	31.3	46.7		
GEO-09	6-7.5'	CL/ML	13.8	131.2	149							1.4	
	13.5-15.0' 3 5-5 0'	CL/ML CL/MI	11.7	112.8	128								
GEO-10	13.5-15.0'	SC/SM	14.2										
GEO-11	3.5-5.0' 13 5-15 0'	CL/ML CL/MI	14.8 11.9	126.3	145								
	6-7.5'	CL/ML	12.6			19	14	5					
GEO-12	8.5-10.0' 18.5-20.0'	CL/ML	12.5	138.9	156								
GE0-13	6-7.5'	CL	11.8										
	15-17.0' 1-2.5'	CL/ML	9.9	142.8	157							2.1	
GEO-14	10-12.0'	CL/ML	21.2									3.0	
GEO-15	3.5-5.0'	CL	27.3	110	120								
GEO 16	3.5-5.0'	CL	13.4	110	130								
GEO-10	10.5-12.5'	CL/ML	12.4	129	145								
GEO-17	1-2.5	SC	13.1	127.5	144.2								
GEO-18	3.5-5.0'	CL/MI	19.1 12.7	136.8	15/1 2								
	3.5-5.0'	CL	31.2	130.0	134.2								
GEO-19	8.5-10.0' 18.5-20.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	12.5 14.2										
GEO-20	3.5-5.0'	CL	25.6										
	3.5-5.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	13.6								89.8		
GEO-21	6-7.5' 18 5-20 0'	CL/ML	15.9			22	15	7					
GEO-22	3.5-5.0'	CL/ML	12.0										
GEO-23	1-2.5' 6-7.5'	CL CL/MI	29.4 14.5	122.2	139.9							1.6	
	8.5-10'	SM	14.0		100.0							1.0	30.6
GEO-24	6-7.5' 13.5-15.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	14.3	102.7	117.4								
GEO-25	3.5-5.5'	CL/ML	13.4	122.9	139.4							0.8	
GE0-26	13.5-15.0	CL/IVIL	14.6										
020-20	10.5-12.5' 6-7.5'	CL/ML	8.2										
GEO-27	15.5-17.5'	SC/SM	12.3	127.4	143.1							4.1	
GEO-28	3.5-5.5' 13.5-15.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	<u>11.9</u> 8.2										
	18.5-20.0'	CL/ML	10.9										
GEO-29	10.5-12.5	CL/ML	<u>28.4</u> 11.0	138.1	153.3								
GEO-30	1-2.5' 3 5-5 0'	CL/MI	19.1	114 1	137 5							0.3	
020.00	8.5-10.0'	CL/ML	19.1	114.1	137.5				0.1	37.5	62.3	0.0	
GEO-31	3.5-5.5' 13.5-15.0'	CL CL/ML	14.3 11.5	119.8	136.9							1.5	
GEO-32	3.5-5.5'	CL	20.3	131.3	158.0							0.5	
GEO 33	3.5-5.0'	CL	16.8			28	17	11					
GLO-33	13.5-15.0'	CL/MI	11.0										
GEO-34	13.5-15.0'	CL/ML	26.2										
GEO-35	1-2.5' 13.5-15.0'	CL CL/ML	29.8 11.6			36	17	19					
GEO-36	3.5-5.0'	CL/ML	13.2	400.0	120.1								
	10.5-12.5'	CL/ML CL/CH	27.2	123.6	138.1	47	17	30					
GEO-37	6-7.5'	CL	17.4										
CEO 29	3.5-5.0'	CL/ML	14.7	118	135								
GEO-30	13.5-15.0'	CL/ML	12.3										
GEO-39	3.5-5.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	11.3	111.6	132							0.2	
GE0-40	18.5-20.0'	CL/ML	10.2										
GEO-41	8.5-10.0'	CL/ML	10.4										
GEO-42	3.5-5.0' 8 5-10 0'	CL/CH	32.3 19.2	100.4	132.8							0.4 (UU)	
GEO-43	6-7.5'	CL/ML	13.2										
	15.5-17.5' 6-7.5'	CL/ML CI	11.6 16.8	113	132.0								
GEO-44	18.5-20.0'	CL/ML	12.8										
								1		٦	able 2		
					Projec	t: 020-098	6	Laboratory Testing Results					
					Prepa	red by: MF	RF	1	L C				
				-	Date:	5/29/2020	)	Madison County, Ohio					

Sample	Location	Approx	Moicturo	Devile	In City IInit		Atterberg Limi	its	Dorcont	Dorcont	Dorcont	Unconfined	
	Depth	Soil Type	Content	Weight	Weight	Liq. Limit	Plast. Limit	Plast. Index	Gravel	Sand	Fines	Compressive	Peak Friction
Geotech ID	[ft] 3.5-5.0'	(1) CL	[%] 27.2	[pcf]	[pcf]	[%	6 moisture cont 18	tent] 21	[%] 0.9	[%] 16.0	[%] 83.1	Strength [tsf]	Angle [degrees]
GEO-45	6-7.5' 13.5-15.0'	CL CL/MI	16.4 10.9	126.9	147.7							1.3	
GEO-46	6-7.5' 18 5-20 0'		13.6										
GEO-47	3.5-5.0'	CL/CH	30.6										
GE0-48	18.5-20.0 <sup>°</sup> 6-7.5'	CL/ML	13.5 12.9	126.5	142.8								
	13.5-15.0' 3.5-5.0'	CL/ML CL	<u>11.8</u> 17.4										
GE0-49	10.5-12.5' 3.5-5.0'	CL CL	13.8 20.9	108.3	130.9								
GEO-50	13.5-15.0'	CL/ML	11.3	126	152.7							2.1	
GEO-51	8.5-10.0'	SC/SM	11.1	130	132.7							2.1	
GEO-52	3.5-5.0 <sup>°</sup> 10.5-12.5'	CL/ML	18.3 11.5	131.3	146.4								
GEO-53	3.5-5.0' 18.5-20.0'	CL CL	23.7 15.0	107.6	133.1							0.8	
GEO-54	1-2.5' 3.5-5.0'	CL CL/MI	25.8 14.8	121.5	139.5							1.2	
GEO-55	6-7.5' 18 5-20 0'	CL/ML	13.4	123.8	140.4								
GEO-56	3.5-5.5'	CL/ML	13.2	126.3	143.0								
GE0-57	3.5-5.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	13.0 15.9										
	8.5-10.0' 3.5-5.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	14.8	118	135.5							1.7 0.6	
GEO-58	8.5-10.0' 18.5-20.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	11.1 13.1			17	13	4					
GEO-59	6-7.5' 18 5-20 0'	CL/ML	19.2 13.5						6.8	32.6	50.9		
GEO-60	3.5-5.0'	CL	14.6										
GEO-61	3.5-5.0'	CL	22.9			45	17	28					
GE0-62	6-7.5' 1-2.5'	CL CL/ML	15.4 20.4	111.5					8.1	28.0	63.8		
	18.5-20.0' 3.5-5.0'	SM CL	3.0 20.0									0.9	
GEO-63	13.5-15.0' 3 5-5 0'	CL Cl	13.4 14.4	122 5								2	
GEO-64	6-7.5'		14.5	122.0		25	16	9					
GEO-65	18.5-19.8'	SM	6.0	445.4								4.0	
GEO-66	3.5-5.0' 13.5-15.0'	CL/ML CL/ML	19.9 9.8	115.1								1.3	
GEO-67	3.5-5.0' 8.5-10'	CL/ML SM	13.8	119.2								0.5	33.1
	13.5-15.0' 3.5-5.0'	SP/SM CL/CH	9.1 22.9						19.0	50.3	5.5		
GEO-68	10.5-12.5'	CL/ML	10.4	01.8								0.4	
GEO-09	1-2.5'	CL/CH	25.4	94								0.4	
050.74	3.5-5.0'	CL/IVIL CL	17.5	107.6								0.3	
GEO-71	8.5-10.0' 18.5-20.0'	CL CL	13.4 13.7										
GEO-72	1-2.5' 8.5-10.0'	CL SM	14.6 9.6						20.2	43.3	16.3		
	3.5-5.5' 8.5-10.0'	CL CL	21.3 15.0	111.4									
GEO-73	18.5-20.0'	CL/ML	14.3										
	43.5-43.8	SC/SM	10.2	110.0		0.4	40	10	20.2	55.9	23.9	0.0	
	8.5-10.0'	CL	14.8	118.3		34	18	16				0.8	
GEO-74	18.5-20.0' 23.5-25.0'	CL	22.8						5.2	35.3	59.5		
GEO-75	33.5-35.0' <u>3.5-</u> 5.0'	SC/SM CL	10.1 12.8	124.2	140.1							2.1	
GEO-76	3.5-5.0' 8.5-10.0'	CL/CH CL/ML	26.1 11.8	102.8	129.6							0.7	
GEO-77	6-7.5'	CL/ML	11.6	121.3	135.4	21	15	6					
GEO-78	8.5-10.0	CL/ML	11.1	129.1	143.4								
GEO-79	3.5-3.0 13.5-15.0'	CL/ML	10.4	112.3	131.3		15						
GEO-80 GEO-81	8.5-10.0' 6-7.5'	SM	7.5	123.1	139.5	23	15	8	25.7	43.3	25.6		
	18.5-20.0'	SM	16.0	I	l	<u> </u>							
	Num Minir	ber of Tests num Values	170 3.0	51 91.8	42 117.4	15 17.0	15 13.0	15 4.0	11 0.1	11 16.0	13 5.5	26 0.2	2 30.6
	Maxir Ave	num Values trage Values	33.7 15.8	142.8 119.4	158.0 140 1	47.0 29 1	18.0 16 1	30.0 13.0	25.7 12 1	55.9 36 5	89.8 52 1	4.1	33.1 31 9
Average values 15.8 119.4 Standard Deviations 5.7 11.3						9.4	1.6	8.2	9.3	11.3	27.1	0.9	1.8
(1)	Approximate	Soil Types -	see boring lo	gs for full desc	ription								
	_									1	able 2		
					Projec	t: 020-098	36	-	Lab	oratory	Testir	ng Results	
	Prepared					ired by: MRF Madis					elds So	olar Project	t
				•	Date:	5/29/2020	)	Madison County, Ohio					

Geotech				Chloride	Sulfate
ID	Depth (ft)	Soil Type	рН	(mg/kg dry)	(mg/kg dry)
GEO-02	1-2.5	CL	6.31	3.82	5.32
GEO-06	1-2.5	CL	6.54	3.39	11.9
GEO-09	3.5-5	CL/ML	7.33	4.31	5.84
GEO-15	1-2.5	CL	7.46	3.22	7.70
GEO-17	3.5-5	CL	7.73	3.47	5.29
GEO-22	1-2.5	CL	7.78	4.70	9.20
GEO-30	1-2.5	CL	7.46	2.67	8.08
GEO-32	1-2.5	CL	7.29	4.54	14.3
GEO-34	3.5-5	CL/ML	7.77	3.43	4.16
GEO-35	6-7.5	CL/ML	7.62	4.04	8.79
GEO-40	1-2.5	CL	7.04	7.09	4.16
GEO-45	1-2.5	CL	7.10	3.89	6.56
GEO-47	6-7.5	CL/ML	7.58	7.20	17.5
GEO-55	3.5-5	CL/ML	7.53	3.73	3.55
GEO-58	1-2.5	CL	7.23	4.32	5.12
GEO-64	1-2.5	CL	6.90	6.98	10.9
GEO-68	1-2.5	CL	6.52	2.85	7.59
GEO-73	1-2.5	CL	6.93	4.84	9.64
GEO-74	1-2.5	CL/ML	7.09	2.03	18.7
GEO-77	3.5-5	CL/ML	7.50	2.39	12.4
GEO-80	3.5-5	CL/ML	7.58	2.73	4.97

## Table 3Chemical Test Results on Soil Samples

Mean	7.3	4.1	8.7
St. Dev.	0.4	1.4	4.2
Min.	6.3	2.0	3.6
Max	7.8	7.2	18.7





Project: 020-0986						
Prepared by: MRF						
Date: 5/15/2020						

			Standard Pr	octor Data					
					95% Compaction	As-	95% Compaction	CBR Value	
	Depth	Soil	Maximum	Optimum	Moist Unit Wt. at	Received	Moist Unit Wt. at	(corrected at 0.1	
Geotech ID	[ft]	Туре	Dry Density Moisture		Opt. Moisture	Moisture	in-situ Moisture	inch penetration)	
GEO-13	1-5	CL	116.7	14.8	127.3	19.1	132.0	4.7	
GEO-35	1-5	CL	122.2	12.7	130.8	13.7	132.0	4.3	
GEO-45	1-5	CL	112.1	16.4	124.0	27.4	135.7	2.6	
GEO-52	1-5	CL	110.1	17.7	123.1	22.3	127.9	3.7	
GEO-58	GEO-58 1-6 CL 111.4		111.4	16.4	123.2	20.1	127.1	3.6	
GEO-60	1-6	CL	107.6	19.4	122.1	17.9	120.5	3.5	
GEO-73	1-3	СН	96.0	25.7	114.6	30.1	118.7		
GEO-74	2-4	СН	96.5	24.3	114.0	26.8	116.2		
GEO-77	1-5	CL	108.1	19.2	122.4	26.8	130.2	2.0	
GEO-80	1-6	SC	125.0	11.9	132.9	12.9	134.1	3.0	
		Mean	110.6	17.9	123.4				
	St. Dev.			4.5	6.0				
		Min.	96.0	11.9	114.0				
		Мах	125.0	25.7	132.9				

 Table 4

 Summary of Standard Proctor Test Results

 Project: 020-0986
 Madison Fields Solar Project

 Prepared by: MRF
 Madison County, Ohio

 Date: 5/15/2020
 Date: 5/15/2020

Table 5Summary of Lower Strength Soil Layers

		Thickness of Collapsible	Anticipated Soil	Anticipated Soil
Geotech ID	Structure Type	Soil Layers [feet]	<b>Correction Depths</b>	Correction Type
GEO-33	Solar Array	3.0	3-6	None (Pile Foundations)
GEO-34	Solar Array	3.0	3-6	None (Pile Foundations)
GEO-42	Solar Array	6.0	2.5-8.5	None (Pile Foundations)
GEO-55	Solar Array	3.0	3-6	None (Pile Foundations)
GEO-61	Solar Array	7.0	5-12	None (Pile Foundations)

	Г	Table 5
	Project: 020-0986	Madison Fields Solar Project
0135011	Prepared by: MRF Date: 5/15/2020	Madison County, Ohio

Table 7
Summary of Geotechnical Parameters for Ancillary Structure Drilled Shaft Foundation Design

									Horizontal Design Parameters									Axial Design	Parameters	
					Effective	Approx.		Undrained				Pressure-		Active Earth			Effective	Effective		
	Depth to	Depth to		Total Unit	Unit	Depth to		Shear	p-y Modulus, <i>k</i>	p-y Modulus, <i>k</i>		meter	Elastic	Pressure	Passive Earth	$N_q$ (sand)	Stress at	Stress at	Ultimate Skin	Ultimate End
	Top of	Bottom of		Weight	Weight	Ground-	Friction	Strength	(Static Loading)	(Cyclic Loading)	50% Soil	Modulus	Modulus	Coefficient,	Pressure	OR	Layer Mid-	Bottom Of	Friction	Bearing
Geotech ID	Layer [ft]	Layer [ft]	Material Type	[pcf]	[pcf]	water [ft]	Angle [°]	[psf]	[lb/in <sup>3</sup> ]	[lb/in <sup>3</sup> ]	Strain	[ksi]	[ksf]	K <sub>a</sub>	Coefficient, K <sub>p</sub>	Ν <sub>c</sub> (clay)*	point [psf]	Layer [psf]	[kips/ft <sup>2</sup> ]	[kips/ft <sup>2</sup> ]
	0	2.5	Frost (CL)	110	110	2.5											138	275		
	2.5	15	Lean Clay (CL)	120	58	2.5	0	1500	300		0.008	2.3	750	0.36	2.77	9.00	635	995	1.05	
GEO 72	15	31.5	Silty Clay (CL/ML)	125	63	2.5	0	1000	100		0.010	2.3	500	0.36	2.77	9.00	1511	2028	0.70	9.0
GEO-73	31.5	37.5	Silty Clayey Sand (SC/SM)	130	68	2.5	33	0	125			1.4	288	0.29	3.39	26.09	2231	2434	0.47	61.1
	37.5	41	Silty Clay (CL/ML)	130	68	2.5	0	2500	500	200	0.006	2.4	1250	0.33	3.00	9.00	2552	2670	1.75	22.5
	41	50	Silty Clayey Sand (SC/SM)	135	73	2.5	33	0	125			1.5	480	0.29	3.39	26.09	2355	2681	0.49	67.3
	0	2.5	Frost (CL)	110	110	2.5											138	275		
GEO 74	2.5	15	Lean Clay (CL)	120	58	2.5	0	1500	300		0.008	2.3	750	0.36	2.77	9.00	635	995	1.05	
010-74	15	27.5	Lean Clay (CL)	125	63	2.5	0	2000	500	200	0.006	2.4	1000	0.33	3.00	9.00	1386	1778	1.40	18.0
	27.5	43.5	Silty Clayey Sand (SC/SM)	130	68	2.5	33	0	125			1.3	184	0.29	3.39	26.09	2318	2859	0.49	71.7

\*Assumes depth/diameter ratio > 3

It is recommended to neglect resistance from frost zone (upper 2.5 feet).

Prepared by: MRF Date: 5/15/2020	olecon	Project: 020-0986	
		Prepared by: MRF Date: 5/15/2020	

### Table 7

### Table 8 Recommended Aggregate Thickness

		95 % Compaction [Standa							
		Proctor Max Dry Density]							
Maiı	ntenance Traffic	1.5-Inch Rut	3-Inch Rut						
ι	Inreinforced	10	N/A						
ed	Geotextile*	8	N/A						
inforc	Type I Geogrid**	7	N/A						
Re	Type II Geogrid***	6	N/A						

		95 % Compaction [Standarc Proctor Max Dry Density]						
Cons	struction Traffic	1.5-Inch Rut	3-Inch Rut					
U	Inreinforced	Does not govern	14					
ed	Geotextile*	Does not govern	9					
O O Type I Geogrid**		Does not govern	8					
Re	Type II Geogrid***	Does not govern	6					

<sup>+</sup>Note that a minimum of 6 inches of aggregate base is recommended for road design to compensate for topsoil stripping.

\*Assumed Mirafi RS280i geotextile

\*Type I Geogrid: Bidirectional geogrid with Aperature Stability Modulus of at least 0.32 m-N/deg. Minimum Average Roll Value

\*Type II Geogrid: Bidirectional geogrid with Aperature Stability Modulus of at least 0.65 m-N/deg. Minimum Average Roll Value

#### **Assumed Traffic Loading Conditions**

<b>Construction</b>		<u>Maintenance</u>	
Axle Load [kips]	18	Axle Load [kips]	5
Tire Pressure [psi]	100	Tire Pressure [psi]	65
Axle Passes [each]	10000	Axle Passes [each]	2000
Max Rut Depth [in]	3	Max Rut Depth [in]	1.5



#### Table 9 Summary of Driven Pile Installations

Geotoch ID	Pile Installatio	n Times (seconds)	Installation Notos
Geotech ID	Pile 1	Pile 2	Installation Notes
GEO-02	56	48	
GEO-04	61	55	
GEO-12	45	62	
GEO-17	44	41	
GEO-19	54	51	
GEO-23	53	55	
GEO-25	58	57	
GEO-29	72	81	Slower progression last 3 feet
GEO-30	22	23	
GEO-31	36	35	
GEO-32	43	42	
GEO-33	53	56	
GEO-34	48	46	
GEO-35	59	53	
GEO-36	55	64	
GEO-39	54	53	
GEO-42	25	28	
GEO-44	42	46	
GEO-48	45	44	
GEO-49	73	74	Slower progression last 3 feet
GEO-51	51	45	
GEO-55	48	50	
GEO-59	35	33	
GEO-60	45	52	
GEO-61	50	46	
GEO-64	71	55	
GEO-66	54	46	
GEO-68	47	49	Slower progression last 1 feet
GEO-70	63	33	
GEO-71	37	36	

\*All piles installed to nominal depths of 8 feet below existing ground surface

\*\*Pile 2 installed approximately 20 ft and at a 90° rotation (z-axis) from Pile 1

Table 9

# olsson

Project: 020-0986
Prepared by: MRF
Date: 5/15/2020

### **APPENDIX A**

SITE COORDINATES AND INVESTIGATION SUMMARY

	Appendix A Site Coordinates and Investigation Summary										
Gootoch ID	Site ID	Turno	UTM NAD83	Z17N Meters	Soil	Electrical	Thermal	Percolation	Pile	Test	
Geo-01	B-01	Solar Array	4442337.2	288402.8	Богing Х	Resistivity	Resistivity	Testing	Testing	Ρπ	
Geo-02	B-02	Solar Array	4442140.8	288161.2	X	Х		Х	Х		
Geo-03	B-03	Solar Array	4442109.7	287700.6	Х						
Geo-04	B-04	Solar Array	4441774.1	287720.7	X				Х		
Geo-05	B-05	Solar Array	4441525.7	287510.2	X	X		X			
Geo-07	B-00 B-07	Solar Array	4440815.5	287432.3	X						
Geo-08	B-08	Solar Array	4440548.5	287551.4	Х						
Geo-09	B-09	Solar Array	4440178.1	287443.9	X	Х		Х			
Geo-10	B-10	Solar Array	4439899.1	287208.3	X						
Geo-12	B-11	Solar Array	4439030.0	287630.8	X				Х	X	
Geo-13	B-13	Solar Array	4442052.4	288572.0	X		Х				
Geo-14	B-14	Solar Array	4441841.3	288262.4	Х						
Geo-15	B-15	Solar Array	4441663.1	287958.0	X	Х					
Geo-16	B-16	Solar Array	4441908.3	288816.8	X	V		V	V		
Geo-18	B-17 B-18	Solar Array	44415486	289502.8	X			^			
Geo-19	B-19	Solar Array	4441521.8	289282.7	X				Х	Х	
Geo-20	B-20	Solar Array	4441565.9	288883.2	Х						
Geo-21	B-21	Solar Array	4441305.3	288576.5	X	X					
Geo-22	B-22	Solar Array	4441372.4	289126.7	X	X			V	V	
Geo-23	B-23	Solar Array	4441390.6	287882.9	X						
Geo-25	B-25	Solar Array	4441079.7	287806.7	X				Х	Х	
Geo-26	B-26	Solar Array	4440874.5	287678.6	Х						
Geo-27	<u>B-27</u>	Solar Array	4440407.0	287897.5	X						
Geo-28	B-28 B-29	Solar Array	4439883.0	288267.0	X				X		
Geo-30	B-30	Solar Array	4439160.1	288491.3	X	Х			X		
Geo-31	B-31	Solar Array	4441415.7	288162.0	Х				Х	Х	
Geo-32	B-32	Solar Array	4441127.1	288073.8	X	Х			X	Х	
Geo-33	B-33	Solar Array	4440834.6	288124.6	X	V			X	V	
Geo-35	B-35	Solar Array	4440105.3	288475.5	X	X	Х		X	X	
Geo-36	B-36	Solar Array	4440189.8	288005.4	X				Х	X	
Geo-37	B-37	Solar Array	4439327.4	288780.9	Х						
Geo-38	B-38	Solar Array	4439516.5	289082.0	X				X	X	
Geo-39	B-39 B-40	Solar Array	4439621.0	289306.1	X	X			X	X	
Geo-40 Geo-41	B-41	Solar Array	4439977.9	289699.6	X						
Geo-42	B-42	Solar Array	4438887.5	288618.8	X				Х		
Geo-43	B-43	Solar Array	4438970.1	288869.3	Х						
Geo-44	<u>B-44</u>	Solar Array	4439116.9	289059.7	X	V	V	V	X		
Geo-45 Geo-46	B-45 B-46	Solar Array	4438792.0	289067.6	X		X	X			
Geo-47	B-47	Solar Array	4438357.5	289308.7	X	Х					
Geo-48	B-48	Solar Array	4438654.7	289287.4	Х				Х	Х	
Geo-49	<u>B-49</u>	Solar Array	4440992.2	288382.1	X				Х		
Geo-50	B-50 B-51	Solar Array	4440707.2	288735.6	X				X	X	
Geo-52	B-52	Solar Array	4439838.4	288590.4	X		Х				
Geo-53	B-53	Solar Array	4439931.1	289208.9	Х						
Geo-54	B-54	Solar Array	4440503.9	288954.9	Х						
Geo-55	<u>B-55</u>	Solar Array	4440899.1	288763.4	X	X		X	X		
Geo-56	B-50 B-57	Solar Array	4441145.4	289192.1	X						
Geo-58	B-58	Solar Array	4440340.9	289283.6	X	Х	Х				
Geo-59	B-59	Solar Array	4440221.6	289626.2	Х				Х	Х	
Geo-60	B-60	Solar Array	4440843.7	289311.3	X		Х		X		
Geo-61	B-61	Solar Array	4441007.9	289593.2	X				X		
Geo-63	B-63	Solar Array	4440765.9	289533.0	X						
Geo-64	B-64	Solar Array	4439783.5	288985.5	X	Х			Х	Х	
Geo-65	B-65	Solar Array	4440045.8	289427.3	Х			Х			
Geo-66	B-66	Solar Array	4439572.2	288684.2	X				Х	X	
Geo-67	B-68	Solar Array	4439405.6	288354.4	X	X			X	X	
Geo-69	B-69	Solar Array	4440056.1	288862.3	X	~					
Geo-70	B-70	Solar Array	4440203.4	289094.2	X				Х	Х	
Geo-71	B-71	Solar Array	4440483.8	289477.4	Х				Х	Х	
Geo-72	B-72	Solar Array	4439796.2	288162.2	X	X	X	X			
Geo-73	<u>SB-1</u> SB-2	Substation	4440749.3	289393.0	X	X	X	X			
Geo-75	Alt-1	Solar Arrav	4439353.8	287932.4	X						
Geo-76	Alt-2	Solar Array	4439484.6	287681.4	X						
Geo-77	Alt-3	Solar Array	4439260.3	287600.6	Х	Х	Х				
Geo-78	Alt-4	Solar Array	4439237.6	289295.6	X						
Geo-79	Alt-6	Solar Array	4439360.5	289517.8	X	X	X				
Geo-81	Alt-0	Solar Array	4442592.9	288779.0	X						
<u> </u>		1	- · · · ·				A .	alter A		ı	
							Apper	Iaix A			
									_		
	RCI		Project: 02	20-0986		Madis	on Fields	s Solar Pro	oject		
			Prepared	by: MRF	_	Ма	adison Co	ounty, Ohio	C		
			Date: 5/29	9/2020	1						

## APPENDIX B GEOLOGICAL AND GEOTECHNICAL HAZARDS SUMMARY

## olsson<sup>°</sup>

### Appendix B - Summary of Geologic and Geotechnical Site Hazards

Hazard	Present at Site?	Comment
High groundwater	Likely	Field observations indicate water levels are generally 3 to 6 feet below ground surface across the site with a few exceptions. Shallower groundwater is expected within the glacial terrain.
Flooding	Low	FEMA flood zone mapping indicates the project site is not mapped as having significant flooding potential. Localized zones mapped as Zone A are present to the northwest and south of the project site along rivers.
Scour	Unlikely	The site soils is primarily clayey and much of the site contains dense vegetation that should prevent soil scour for the majority of the site. Areas mapped within the Zone A floodplain may need to consider scour potential depending on stream flow velocities.
Slope failure	Unlikely away from drainages	The project site has low-relief topography away from the drainage features. There is some very localized potential for slope issues along the edges of the creeks and field drainageways, particularly following flooding events.
Subsidence	Unlikely	Project site is not within an area of significant oil and gas extraction or underground mining. The glacial till is generally overconsolidated and should not exhibit excessive settlement
Subsidence – Mining	No	Isolated sand and gravel pits are anticipated in the glacial terrain, but most of the significant mining is east of the site in the unglaciated eastern half of the state.
Subsidence - Caves/Karst	Low	The site is mapped within an area considered to have karst potential in Ohio at depths at or greater than 50 feet. The underlying bedrock units (particularly the Salina Group) are considered to have karst potential, but the Ohio DNR karst mapping site does not indicate any confirmed or suspected karst in the vicinity of the project area. Bedrock was not encountered in the borings, but glacial deposits were observed in all borings which are not susceptible to dissolution.
Earthquake/Seismicity	Low	Based on mapped spectral response acceleration parameters, there is a relatively low probability of experiencing damaging earthquake effects.
Earthquakes – Ground Rupture	No	There are no Quaternary faulting or folding mapped near the site. Seismic activity is mapped as relatively low.
Earthquakes - Liquefaction	Unlikely	Most of the soils are clays and seismicity of the area is relatively low. All of these factors indicate a low risk for liquefaction.

## olsson

Hazard	Present at Site?	Comment
Swelling/shrinking soil	Unlikely	Based on laboratory testing the soils are considered to have low shrink-swell potential.
Corrosive/Reactive soil	Yes - Corrosivity	Clayey soils with moderate to high moisture contents are present at the site with low electrical resistivity values. Results indicate moderately to highly corrosivity for steel in direct contact with the clayey soils. Sulfate contents are considered "negligible" for concrete mix design.
Made ground	Unlikely	The site is rural in nature with little or no known development and so has low probability of any made ground.
Collapsible soil	Minimal	Collapsible soils are generally associated with loess soils. Loess soils were not encountered during the geotechnical investigations and are not mapped within the project boundaries.

## APPENDIX C SYMBOLS AND NOMENCLATURE SOIL BORING LOGS

	olsson	BOREHOLE R	BOREHOLE REPORT NO. GE				D-01	Sheet 1 of 1				
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION	Madison County, Ohio						
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	0.5'	<u>x'' 1</u> / <u>x</u>	0								
<u>1010</u> 	organic heavy silty clay tops LEAN CLAY with silt and trace organics.	soil, dark brown			SS 1		3-3-4 N=7		24.0			P-200 = 82.2% PP = 1.5 tsf
	moist (CL) [Glacial Till] grades to reddish yellow bro	own			SS 2		2-2-3 N=5		26.4			PP = 1.0 tsf
1005	<b>▼</b>	n			U 3				17.2	113.6	30/12	PP = 2.0 tsf
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 f drilling	eet immediately following			ss 4		5-6-7 N=13					PP = 2.5 tsf
	SILTY CLAY with gravel, very stiff to hard (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	d, dark gray brown, moist			-							
				 	SS 5		5-8-10 N=18					PP = 4.0 tsf
<u>995</u> 					-							
	BASE OF BORIN	20.0		20	SS 6		7-8-9 N=17					PP = 2.5 tsf
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/1	6/20	FINISH	HED:	4/16/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 6.0 ft	OLSSON 3990 FOX S	, INC STRF	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		DENVER, COLO	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	▼ Not Performed				MET	METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER						

OISSON BOREHOLE REPORT NO. (					GEC	<b>D-02</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fig	elds Solar		CLIEN	T	Savion, LLC						
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
1016 (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	ROOT ZONE	0.5'	<u>x<sup>4</sup> 1<sub>7</sub>x</u>									
	organic heavy silty clay tops LEAN CLAY	soil, reddish brown			ss 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.5 tsf
  1010	to reddish yellow brown, mo	inn to still, dark blown pist (CL) [Glacial Till]			SS 2		3-5-7 N=12		21.5			PP = 1.0 tsf
	grades to with gravel, brown	n			ss 3		4-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.0 tsf
-	SILTY CLAY	8.0'		- 1	-							
 <u>1005</u>	with sand and gravel, very s and reddish yellow brown, r	stiff to hard, dark brown noist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]		 _ 10	SS 4		3-6-6 N=12					PP = 2.0 tsf
	grades to dark brown				U 5							PP = 1.0 tsf
 <u>1000</u>	⊈grades to dark gray brown			 _ <u>15</u> _	SS 6		3-5-7 N=12					PP = 2.0 tsf
	.¥											
 995	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 18 following drilling	2.5 feet immediately		 20	SS 7		5-7-8 N=15					PP = >4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/1	6/20	FINISH	HED:	4/16/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 14.0 ft	OLSSON,				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		DENVER. COLO	RAD	i⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u>	DENVER, COLORADO 00210				METI	HOD: CON			IGHT	AUGE	R

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO				ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-03</b>		S	hee	et 1 o	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ	Madison County, Ohio						
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u>1 1 /2</u>									
 1015	organic (corn) heavy silty cla brown	ay topsoil, dark reddish	<u>1.0° (7.3.1.)</u>		SS 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.5 tsf
L -	LEAN CLAT	rk brown to roddiab			<u> </u>							
	yellow brown, moist (CL) [G	lacial Till]			ss 2		1-2-2 N=4					PP = 1.0 tsf
	brown and light gray brown		<u>5.0</u>	5								
 <u>1010</u>	with gravel, very hard, brow Till]	n, moist (CL/ML) [Glacial	9.01		U 3							PP = 2.5 tsf
	LEAN CLAY											
	with sand and gravel, very s	stiff, dark brown, moist		10	ss 4		5-8-13 N=21					PP = 4.5 tsf
L _		1	11.0'									
<u>1005</u>	SILTY CLAY with trace sand and gravel, gray brown, moist (CL/ML) [	very stiff to hard, dark Glacial Till]										
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 12. following drilling	9 feet immediately		 15	SS 5		5-7-9 N=16					PP = 2.0 tsf
		2	20.0'	 20	SS 6		6-10-13 N=23		13.6			PP = >4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	5 AI 20.0 FEE I										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/1	16/20	FINISH	HED:	4/16/20
WD	∑ 5.0 ft		)N, INC	ст		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	<u>▼</u> 5.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COL	ORAD	C 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD		•				METI	HOD: CON			IGHT	AUGEI	۲

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO				ORT	NO.	GEO-04 Sheet 1 of 1						of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	:LASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
<u> </u>	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	018	<u></u>	0		0						
	organic (corn) heavy silty cl brown LEAN CLAY	ay topsoil, dark reddish			SS 1		3-5-6 N=11		14.9			PP = 2.0 tsf
_1015_	with gravel and trace organ brown to reddish brown, mc SILTY CLAY	ics, stiff to very stiff, ist (CL) [Glacial Till] 4.0	) <sup>,</sup>		ss		6-7-9					PP = 3.5 tsf
	with sand and gravel, very s	stiff to hard, brown, moist		5	2		IN- 10					
 	grades to with gravel and w yellowish brown	ith trace sand, brown to			SS 3		4-4-7 N=11					PP = 2.5 tsf
	. ¥				ss		4-10-17					PP = 4.0  tef
	grades to dark brown			10	4 U 5		N=27					PP = 2.0 tsf
<u>1005</u> 	grades to dark gray brown			  15	SS 6		7-7-7 N=14					PP = >4.5 tsf
  <u>1000</u>	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 15 following drilling	.8 feet immediately			-							
		20	0.		SS 7		5-7-9 N=16					
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET	<u>•                                    </u>	<u> </u>	V N			1	1	1	<u> </u>	
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/1	6/20	FINISH	HED:	4/16/20
WD	∑ 6.5 ft	OLSSON 3990 FOX 9	ЕТ		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD		DENVER, COLO	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	Not Performed					METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R

	<b>OISSON</b>	BOREHOLE R	BOREHOLE REPORT NO.			GEO	<b>D-05</b>	Sheet 1 of 1					
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fig	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion. LLC									
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	LOCATION Madison County, Ohio								
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE		<u><u>x</u><u>1</u><u>y</u>. <u>x</u></u>										
	organic (corn) heavy clay to	1.0 psoil, dark reddish brown		 	ss 1		3-3-5 N=8					PP = 1.5 tsf	
-	(CL) [Glacial Till]	aaish yellow brown, moist			-								
<u>1015</u>	grades to sandy, with trace	gravel		5	SS 2	CL	3-1-3 N=4		33.7		30/13	P-200 = 67.4% PP = 1.0 tsf	
	grades to stiff to very stiff, w brown, moist	vith sand and gravel,			U 3				13.9			PP = 3.0 tsf	
1010					SS 4		3-4-6 N=10					PP = 3.0 tsf	
  <u>1005</u> 	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 following drilling <b>SILTY SAND</b> with gravel, gray brown, mo	.5 feet immediately <b>13.5</b>	5	15	SS 5		50/4"						
		17.0	,										
 <u>1000</u>	SILTY CLAY with gravel, hard, dark gray [Glacial Till]	brown, moist (CL/ML)			ss		12-19-28		82			PP = >4 5 tsf	
		20.0	·	20	6		N=47		0.2				
	DASE OF DORING	5 AI 20.0 FEEI											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	-				STAF	RTED:	4/1	16/20	FINISH	HED:	4/16/20	
WD	∑ 6.0 ft	OLSSON	CT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	¥ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER. COLO	RAD	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD		, -				MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO			ORT	NO.	GEO	D-06		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	lds Solar		CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA.	TION	Madison County, Ohio						
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):10	Shelby Tube SCRIPTION 017	GRAPHIC LOG	o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u>1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1</u>									
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) heavy silty cla LEAN CLAY	ay topsoil, dark brown			SS 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.0 tsf
	with silt and trace sand and brown to reddish yellow brov Till1	organics, firm, dark gray vn, moist (CL) [Glacial										
	grades to with sand and gra	vel, stiff, reddish brown		5	U 2			0.4	18.4	114.2		PP = 1.5 tsf
 <u>1010</u>					SS 3		3-4-6 N=10					PP = 2.0 tsf
				  _ 10	ss 4		3-5-6 N=11		15.2			PP = 1.5 tsf
 <u>1005</u> 	grades to very stiff, dark gra	y brown			-							
				 	SS 5		9-9-9 N=18					PP = 3.5 tsf
 <u>1000</u> 	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 16. following drilling SILTY CLAYEY SAND	5 feet immediately <b>17.5'</b>					0 14 15					
	fine grained sand, medium of brown, moist (SC/SM) [Alluv	dense to dense, dark gray ////////////////////////////////////		20	6		N=29					PP = 1.0 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET										
WA	ATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/*	18/20	FINISH	HED:	4/18/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 10.0 ft	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S		FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 13.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	▼ Not Performed					MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

OISSON BOREHOLE REP				ORT	ORT NO. GEO-07 Sheet 1 of 1							of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ	Madison County, Ohio						
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	-ASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	016	· • 1.• .*	0		ΰ						
<u>1015</u>	ROOT ZONE organic (corn) heavy silty cla brown LEAN CLAY	ay topsoil, dark reddish			SS 1		2-2-4 N=6					PP = 2.0 tsf
	with sand and trace organic dark brown and reddish bro Till]	s, firm to stiff, mottled wn, moist (CL) [Glacial			U				40.0	405.0		
	grades to with sand and gra	vel, reddish brown		5	2				19.8	105.9		PP = 2.5 ISI
	<u></u>				SS 3		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.5 tsf
	grades to stiff to hard, dark i	reddish brown					3-6-8					
				10	4		N=14					PP = 4.5 tsf
<u>1005</u> 	SANDY CLAY	12.5'										
	with silt, dense, dark brown, varies to silty clayey sand (S	moist (CL) [Glacial Till] SC/SM)			ss 5		9-11-17 N=28		17.1			PP = 3.0 tsf
 <u>1000</u>	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13. following drilling	8 feet immediately		<u> </u>								
L -	SILTY CLAY	17.5'										
	with sand and gravel, very s brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glaci	stiff to hard, dark gray ial Till] <b>20.0'</b>		20	SS 6		6-6-10 N=16					PP = 4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/1	8/20	FINISH	IED:	4/18/20
WD	⊻ 6.5 ft	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S		ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		DENVER, COLOF	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD	▼ Not Performed					METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO					NO.	GEO	<b>80-</b> C	Sheet 1 of 1				
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft): ROOT ZONE	1016	<u></u>	0								
_1015 	organic (corn) rich silty clay	, dark brown, moist /		- 	ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 0.75 tsf
	with sand and silt and trace brown, moist (CL) [Glacial	organics, firm, dark gray <b>3.0'</b> Till]			v N							
- 1	grades to reddish brown				U 2				14.9	125.3		PP = 3.5 tsf
				5								
1010	[Glacial Till]	wn, moist (CL/ML)			V ss		6-8-11		11.3		20/6	PP = 1 5 tef
-	varies to silty clayey sand v	vith gravel (SC/SM)			3		N=19		11.0		20/0	
	grades to with gravel, dark	reddish brown		  _ 10	ss 4		6-7-10 N=17		11.6			P-200 = 46.7% PP = 3.0 tsf
_1005  												
	grades to dark gray brown			 	SS 5		8-10-17 N=27					PP = 3.0 tsf
1000	Driller's Note: Cave-in dept following drilling	h to 15.0 feet immediately										
	-											
		20.0'		20	SS 6		6-8-11 N=19		11.2			PP = 3.0 tsf
	GASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.07 EET										
WA	ATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS $0 \ \bigtriangledown 4.5 \text{ ft}$ OLSSON, INC					STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20
WD						DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	¥ 5.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	⊡ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u>	-	DENVER, COLORADO				HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	_IGHT	AUGE	R

	OSSON BOREHOLE REPORT N				NO.	GEO	D-09	Sheet 1 of 1				
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC	;		
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	)986		LOCA <sup>.</sup>	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
_EVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	SRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	MPLE TYPE NUMBER	<b>SSIFICATION</b> (USCS)	LOWS/6" N-VALUE	INC. STR. (tsf)	OISTURE (%)	Y DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
		1010			SAI	CLA:	<u> </u>		Z	DR		
	ROOT ZONE	1010	<u>7, 1</u> , 7,	0								
	organic (corn) rich silty clay	<i>y, dark brown, moist</i> <u>2.0'</u>			SS 1		2-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.0 tsf
1015	with silt and trace sand and dark gray brown, moist (CL SILTY CLAY	d organics, firm to stiff, .) [Glacial Till]			l ss		4-3-4					
	.⊻ with sand and gravel, firm, gray brown, moist (CL/ML) .▼	mottled reddish brown and [Glacial Till]		5	2		N=7					PP = 0.75 tsf
	grades to stiff to very stiff,	dark reddish brown			U 3			1.4	13.8	131.2		PP = 3.0 tsf
_1010_					-							
					SS 4		9-12-17 N=29					PP = 3.0* tsf
  1005	grades to very stiff to hard, interbedded silty sand (SM	dark gray brown, with I) lenses										
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1-	4 feet immediately			SS 5		4-12-19 N=31		11.7			PP = 3.0 tsf
	following drilling	·			-							
 _1000					-							
		20.0'		 	SS 6		6-15-17 N=32					PP = 4.5* tsf
	BASE OF BORIN	G AI 20.0 FEEI										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	HED:	4/22/20
WD	⊻ 4.5 ft	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S	OLSSON, INC			DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		DENVER, COLOF	RAD	 O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u> <u> </u> Not Performed					MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

	<b>OISSON</b>	BOREHOLE R	BOREHOLE REPORT NO.			GEO	D-10	Sheet 1 of 1					
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion, LLC									
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	Shelby Tube ESCRIPTION 1021	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	1021	<u>× 1/7</u> ×	<u>.</u>									
_1020_	organic (corn) rich silty clay SILTY CLAY	/, dark brown, moist			ss 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.5 tsf	
-	with sand and trace organi reddish brown and gray bro	cs, firm to stiff, mottled own, moist (CL/ML)											
	[Glacial Till] grades to with gravel				U 2				13.7	112.8		PP = 2.5 tsf	
<u>1015</u>	⊈ grades to dark reddish bro	wn			SS 3		3-3-5 N=8					PP = 3.0* tsf	
	arades to dark aray brown			 	SS 4		5-4-6 N=10					PP = 1.5 tsf	
<u>1010</u>	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9. following drilling	9 feet immediately 12.5'											
	SILTY CLAYEY SAND with gravel, very dense, da (SC/SM)	rk gray brown, moist			SS 5		10-22-32 N=54		14.2				
<u>1005</u> 													
	SILTY CLAY	19.0'		20	SS 6		11-17-25 N=42					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	(CL/ML) [Glacial Till] BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	ATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	IED:	4/22/20	
WD	6.0 ft	OLSSON,	Ет		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	⊈ 4.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	. <u>с</u> О 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.W	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD		, ,				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	) US FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE REPORT NO. GEO-11	Sheet 1 of 1												
PROJECT NAME CLIENT Savion, I	LLC												
PROJECT NUMBER LOCATION Madison Cou	nty, Ohio												
ELEVATION       Provide the standard standar	MOISTURE MOISTURE (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%) (%)												
APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1022													
1020     organic-poor clay, brown, moist       SILTY CLAY         SS         3-2-3       N=5	PP = 1.75 tsf												
with sand and trace organics, firm to stiff, dark gray brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]													
grades to mottled reddish brown and dark gray brown U 2	14.8 126.3 PP = 1.5 tsf												
grades to with sand and gravel, stiff to very stiff, dark       1015       reddish brown       3	PP = 1.0 tsf												
grades to very stiff to hard, dark gray brown	PP = >4.5 tsf												
<u>1010</u>													
grades to with silty sand (SM) lenses	11.9 PP = 2.0* tsf												
Driller's Note: Cave-in to 14.8 feet immediately following drilling													
- $        -$	PP = 3.0* tsf												
BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET													
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS STARTED 4/2:	3/20 FINISHED: AISOSO												
WD $\bigtriangledown$ 5.0 ftOLSSON, INC.DRILL COOLSS													
IAD ▼ 6.0 ft after 0 Hrs 3990 FOX STREET DENVER COLORADO 80216 DRILLER: M. WENTLA	ND LOGGED BY: .I FOSTER												
	DRILLER: M. WENTLAND LOGGED BY: J. FOSTER												
	OISSON BOREHOLE REP				NO.	GEC	D-12		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
------------	---	---	----------------	---------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------------------------	--
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohio	)		
(t) (t)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ff):1	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1020	ROOT ZONE		<u>, 1 1/2</u>										
	organic (corn) rich silty clay, LEAN CLAY	dark brown, moist		· 	ss 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.5 tsf	
	with silt and sand and grave reddish brown and gray bro Till]	el, firm to stiff, mottled <b>3.0'</b> wn, moist (CL) [Glacial											
1015	SILTY CLAY			5			3-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.5* tsf	
	with sand and gravel, stiff to reddish brown and gray bro [Glacial Till]	very stiff, mottled wn, moist (CL/ML)											
	grades to firm to stiff, dark r	eddish brown			SS 3		4-3-3 N=6		12.6		19/5	PP = 2.0 tsf	
 _1010_	grades to very stiff to hard			 _ 10	U 4				12.5	138.9		PP = 4.5 tsf	
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 11. following drilling <b>SILTY SAND</b> with gravel, dense, dark gra	2 feet immediately											
 _1005_	[Alluvium]			15	SS 5		10-16-16 N=32					PP = 1.0* tsf	
		18.5'			-								
 1000	SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, stiff, o	lark gray brown, moist 20.0'		 20	SS 6		4-5-5 N=10		14.2			PP = 1.5 tsf	
	1000     with sand and gravel, stiff, dark gray brown, moist     20.0'     6     N=10     14.2     PP = 1.3 ISI       BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	HED:	4/22/20		
WD	<u>⊽</u> 6.0 ft	OLSSON, INC				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	₹ 6.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	⊂ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		- 				METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	REPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-13		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1		
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u> </u>	:									
 <u>1010</u>	organic (grass) heavy silty o brown LEAN CLAY	lay topsoil, dark reddish			SS 1		3-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.5 tsf	
	with gravel and trace organ	with gravel and trace organics, stiff, reddish yellow brown, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]											
	grades to brown to reddish	ing brown			SS 2		5-6-6 N=12					PP = 3.0 tsf	
 <u>1005</u>					SS 3		4-5-9 N=14		11.8			PP = 2.5 tsf	
	SILTY CLAY	8.0'											
	with sand and gravel, very s [Glacial Till]		 10	SS 4		5-7-13 N=20					PP = 3.5 tsf		
 <u>1000</u> 													
	grades to stiff, brown to gra	/ brown		15	ss 5		4-6-7 N=13					PP = 1.0 tsf	
 <u>995</u>					U 6			2.1	9.9	142.8		PP = 3.0 tsf	
	arades to dark arav brown												
		20.0	)'	20	SS 7		4-5-6 N=11					PP = 2.0 tsf	
	20.0' 7 N=11 PP = 2.0 tst												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/1	6/20	FINISH	HED:	4/16/20		
WD	∑ Not Encountered	3990 FOX S	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	▼ Not Encountered	DENVER, COLO	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD	▼ Not Performed					METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	<b>OISSON</b>	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-14</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1		
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Cou	unty.	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1015	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1 ROOT ZONF	1015	<u></u>	0									
	organic (grass) heavy silty of LEAN CLAY	1.0' clay topsoil, reddish brown			SS 1		3-4-5 N=9		27.2			PP = 2.0 tsf	
-	yellow brown, moist (CL) [G	Slacial Till]											
	grades to with gravel, firm, some gray .▽	reddish yellow brown with			SS 2		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 0.5 tsf	
-													
	with gravel, stiff, brown, mo	ist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]			SS 3		4-5-6 N=11					PP = 2.0 tsf	
 <u>1005</u>	grades very stiff, to reddish brown	yellow and dark gray			SS 4		8-11-18 N=29						
	grades to dark gray brown				U 5			3.0				PP = 2.0 tsf	
  <u>1000</u>	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 drilling	feet immediately after		  15	SS 6		7-10-13 N=23					PP = 2.5 tsf	
					-								
 995		20.0'		 20	SS 7		6-7-9 N=16					PP = 4.0 tsf	
	995 20.0' 7 N=16 11 4.0 tsi												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/1	5/20	FINISH	HED:	4/15/20		
WD	∑ 5.5 ft	OLSSON,		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50			
IAD	▼ 7.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		, = = = •	DENVER, COLORADO 8					ITINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE RE					NO.	GEO	D-15		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJE	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	1016	<u>x1 /x</u> <u>.</u>	0									
<u>  1015                                 </u>	organic (corn) heavy silty c	lay topsoil, dark reddish		- 	SS 1		2-4-4 N=8					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with trace organics, firm, da (CL) [Glacial Till] grades to reddish yellow br	ark brown to brown, moist own and gray brown			ss 2		2-3-3 N=6		27.3			PP = 1.5 tsf	
_ <u>1010</u>	grades to with gravel, very	stiff, brown			U 3				25.3	110.0		PP = 2.5 tsf	
	grades to with sand and gra y gray brown, moist		 _ 10	SS 4		3-5-6 N=11					PP = 3.0 tsf		
_1005 	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 11 following drilling	.6 feet immediately											
	grades to with gravel, brow	n to reddish brown			SS 5		7-9-12 N=21					PP = 2.5 tsf	
					· · ·								
	grades to hard, dark gray b	rown					10 10 10						
		20.0'		20	6		N=37					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/1	16/20	FINISH	IED:	4/16/20		
WD	<u>⊽</u> 5.5 ft	OLSSON,	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD		DENVER, COLOF	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. V	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		,			_	METI	HOD: CON	TINUC		IGHT	AUGE	R	

	OISSON BOREHOLE REF				NO.	GEO	D-16		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	lds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	Shelby Tube SCRIPTION 011	GRAPHIC LOG	0 DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1010	ROOT ZONE	4.01	. <u>x, 1</u> x, .y										
	organic (grass) heavy silty c LEAN CLAY	lay topsoil, dark brown			ss 1		2-4-6 N=10					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with sand and gravel and tra brown to brown, moist (CL) [	ace organics, stiff, dark [Glacial Till]											
	grades to reddish yellow bro	wn			SS 2		7-6-8 N=14		13.4			PP = 3.5 tsf	
1005													
	grades to brown	8 O'			SS 3		4-4-7 N=11					PP = 3.0 tsf	
	SILTY CLAY	0.0											
	with gravel, very stiff, brown (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]		 10	SS 4		5-6-8 N=14					PP = 3.5 tsf		
<u>1000</u>					U 5				12.4	129.0		PP = 1.5 tsf	
				  _ 15_	SS 6		4-5-9 N=14					PP = 3.0 tsf	
995	.¥				-								
	grades to with sand and gra	vel			-								
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 17. following drilling	9 feet immediately 20.0		20	SS 7		7-8-8 N=16					PP = 4.0 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/*	6/20	FINISH	HED:	4/16/20	
WD	∑ 11.0 ft	OLSSON,	CT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD		DENVER, COLOF	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER		
AD	<u> </u>	,	DENVER, COLORAD						DUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	OISSON BOREHOLE RI				DRT	NO.	GEO	D-17		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar			CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER	986			LOCA	TION		Madisor	ı Coi	untv.	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube		GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1 ROOT ZONE	012		<u>7, 1</u> × .7,	0								
 <u>1010</u>	organic (grass) heavy silty c	clay topsoil, dark brown ʃ	1.0'			ss 1		2-3-3 N=6		27.4			PP = 1.5 tsf
	with silt and trace organics, (CL) [Glacial Till]	firm, dark brown, moist											
	grades to with gravel, stiff, r gray	eddish yellow brown with				SS 2		3-5-4 N=9					PP = 4.5 tsf
 1005		reddish yellow brown,	6.0'			SS 3		4-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.5 tsf
			10.0'		  10	SS 4		5-6-8 N=14					PP = 4.0 tsf
 	CLAYEY SAND with silt, dense, brown, mois Driller's Note: Cave-in to 11	st (SC) [Glacial Till] .3 feet immediately				U 5				13.1	127.5		PP = >4.5 tsf
	following drilling SILTY CLAY with gravel, very stiff to hard	d, dark gray brown, moist	13.0'			ss		7-9-11					PP = 4.5 tsf
  <u>995</u>	(CL/ML) [Glacial Till]							N=20					
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET	20.0'		20	ss 7		22-16-15 N=31					PP = >4.5 tsf
WA <sup>.</sup>	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAF	RTED:	4/1	5/20	FINISH	HED:	4/15/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 6.5 ft	OLSS 3990 FO	ON, X S1	INC FRF	ЕТ		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	¥ 4.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, CO	0 80	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD	▼ Not Performed		DENVER, COLORA					HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

Т

Т

	OISSON BOREHOLE REP			ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-18</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC	;			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA.	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	LASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1008		0		o							
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u> </u>	•									
	organic (corn) heavy silty c	lay topsoil, dark brown		+ -	ss 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.5 tsf	
1005	with trace organics, firm to (CL) [Glacial Till]	stiff, dark brown, moist											
	grades to with trace gravel	, brown to reddish brown			SS 2		10-8-4 N=12		19.1			PP = 1.5 tsf	
	. <u>₽</u>												
	grades to with silt and grav gray brown	el, very stiff, light brown to			SS 3		10-8-9 N=17					PP = 2.0 tsf	
_1000_													
				 _ 10	SS 4		10-15-18 N=33					PP = >4.5 tsf	
		11 0'											
  <u>995</u>	SILTY CLAY with gravel, stiff, dark brow (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	n to gray brown, moist											
	POORLY GRADED SAND	14.0' WITH CLAY			V ss		5-7-4					PP = 2.5 tsf	
		15.0'		15	5		N=11					11 2.0 101	
	Till] Driller's Note: Cave-in to 14	4.5 feet immediately			U 6				12.7	136.8		PP = 2.0 tsf	
990	SILTY CLAY	(All ) IClosici Till 18 7'											
	LEAN CLAY	Vary stiff to hard brown 20.0'		 20	ss 7		7-11-18 N=29					PP = 3.5 tsf	
	with gravel and trace sand, very stiff to hard, brown, moist (CL) [Glacial Till] BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/*	15/20	FINISH	IED:	4/15/20		
WD	∑ 5.5 ft	OLSSON,			DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	▼ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs	3990 FOX S DENVER, COLOF	ET O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. V	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER		
AD	<u> </u> <u> </u> Not Performed					MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

CC		
22		

## BOREHOLE REPORT NO. GEO-19

Sheet 1 of 1

PROJ	OJECT NAME				Т								
		ields Solar		LOCA			Sa	vion,	LLC				
FROJ	020-	0986					Madisor	ι Coι	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon		GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
L	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft)	:1009		0									
	corganic (corn) heavy silty of LEAN CLAY	clay topsoil, dark brown			SS 1		4-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.0 tsf	
-	with trace organics, stiff, d [Glacial Till]	ark brown, moist (CL)			·								
<u>1005</u>	grades to with gravel, firm	, brown to dark gray brown			SS 2		4-2-3 N=5		31.2			PP = 1.5 tsf	
	 grades to stiff, brown to da	ark brown			ss 3		5-5-6 N=11					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	SILTY CLAY	0.0											
		ff, reddish yellow brown, 		 _ 10	SS 4		5-7-9 N=16		12.5			PP = 1.5 tsf	
  <u>- 995</u> 	CLAYEY SAND WITH GR with silt, medium dense, d [Glacial Till]	13.0 AVEL ark gray brown, moist (SC)	r	 	SS 5		4-9-14 N=23					PP = 3.5 tsf	
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1 following drilling	4 feet immediately			O NR 6								
	SILTY CLAY	18.0											
990	with gravel, very stiff, dark [Glacial Till]	gray brown, moist (CL/ML)	)'	20	ss 7		10-14-16 N=30		14.2			PP = 1.5 tsf	
1010	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	$\nabla 65 \text{ ft}$	. INC			STAF	KIED:	4/1	5/20	FINISH	IED:	4/15/20		
WD	$\underline{\vee}$ 0.3 II	3990 FOX S	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	y 9.5 it alter ∪ Hrs	DENVER, COLO	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD						MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	OUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	OISSON BOREHOLE RE				NO.	GEO	<b>D-20</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	1012	<u>× 1/7</u>										
 <u>1010</u>	organic (corn) heavy silty c	lay topsoil, dark brown		· 	SS 1		4-4-6 N=10					PP = 2.5 tsf	
	with silt and trace organics, reddish yellow brown, mois	stiff, dark brown to t (CL) [Glacial Till]											
	grades to with gravel, firm, brown and reddish yellow b	mottled light brown, dark rown			SS 2		3-3-4 N=7		25.6			PP = 2.0 tsf	
L -		6.0'											
1005		brown to dark gray brown,			SS 3		7-7-7 N=14					PP = 2.5 tsf	
[ ]													
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 following drilling	2 feet immediately			ss 4		7-8-10 N=18					PP = 2.5 tsf	
-	SILTY SAND												
1000	medium dense, brown, moi	ist (SM) [Glacial Till]		·  :									
L	SILTY CLAY												
	with sand and gravel, very (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	stiff, dark brown, moist			SS 5		5-6-8 N=14					PP = 2.5 tsf	
[ ]													
995					U 6				13.6				
	arades to very stiff to hard	dark gray brown											
	grades to very still to hard,	20.0'		 20	SS 7		11-12-15 N=27					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/*	15/20	FINIS	HED:	4/15/20	
WD	6.5 ft	5 ft OLSSON, INC. 3990 FOX STREE DENVER, COLORADO				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	¥ 3.5 ft after 0 Hrs					DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	Not Performed						HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE REI					NO.	GEO	<b>D-21</b>		S	shee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	Ids Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC	;		
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA.	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ff)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1 ROOT ZONE	012	<u></u>	0								
	organic (corn) heavy silty cla brown SILTY CLAY	ay topsoil, dark reddish			ss 1		4-5-6 N=11					PP = 3.5 tsf
	grades to with trace sand	very sun, redaish brown,			ss 2		5-7-9 N=16		17.6			P-200 = 89.8% PP = 4.5 tsf
	.¥				SS 3		4-4-7 N=11		15.9		22/7	PP = 2.0 tsf
	grades to with sand and gra	vel, dark reddish brown 10.5'			SS 4		4-6-7 N=13					PP = 3.5 tsf
 _1000_ 	SILTY SAND with gravel, medium dense, (SM) [Alluvium]	dark gray brown, moist										
	SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, very s	14.0		15	SS 5		6-7-10 N=17					PP = 4.0 tsf
 995	brown, moist (CL/ML) [Alluvi	umj			SS 6							PP = 3.5 tsf
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 17. following drilling grades to stiff to very stiff	8 feet immediately			SS		4-5-6		14.4			PP = 2.0 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	20.0 <sup>°</sup>		20	/ /		IN=11					
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/*	18/20	FINISH	HED:	4/18/20	
WD	D					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 7.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD		·				METH	HOD: CON			LIGHT	AUGE	R

	OISSON BOREHOLE REI				NO.	GEC	<b>D-22</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE		986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	intv	Ohic	<b>.</b>		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	LASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1010	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	010		0		Ū							
	ROOT ZONE organic (corn) heavy silty cl. LEAN CLAY with gravel and trace organ	ay topsoil, dark brown			SS 1		3-5-7 N=12					PP = 0.5 tsf	
  <u>1005</u>	grades to light brown to yell	, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]		  5	ss 2		5-5-6 N=11		12.0			PP = 3.5 tsf	
	✓     SILTY CLAY     with gravel, stiff, brown to ye     (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	6.0' ellowish brown, moist			SS 3		4-5-7 N=12					PP = 0.5 tsf	
  <u>1000</u>	grades to very stiff			 	ss 4		6-7-12 N=19					PP = 2.0 tsf	
	with gravel, very stiff, brown (CL) [Glacial Till]	11.0' n to dark brown, moist			U 5							PP = 3.0 tsf	
	SILTY CLAY WITH SAND				ss 6		6-8-12 N=20					PP = 4.0 tsf	
<u>995</u>	with gravel, very stiff to hard (CL/ML) [Glacial Till] Driller's Note: Cave-in to 15	d, dark gray brown, moist feet immediately		<u>    15    </u>									
	LEAN CLAY	dark grav brown moist			-								
 990	(CL) [Glacial Till]	20.0°			SS 7		5-5-9 N=14					PP = 2.5 tsf	
WA	990 20.0' 20 / / 10-14 30 30 20 / 10-14 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30												
WD	$\Sigma$ 6.0 ft	OLSSON,			DRIL	L CO.:	4/1 OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	4/15/20		
IAD	▼ 11.3 ft after 0 Hrs	3990 FOX S DENVER, COLOF	ET O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD						METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	<b>OISSON</b>	E RE	PC	DRT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-23</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar			CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986			LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube		GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	·····	_0.5'		0								
<u>1010</u> 	organic (grass) rich silty cla LEAN CLAY	y, dark brown, moist				SS 1		2-3-5 N=8		29.4			PP = 1.75 tsf
	with trace sand and organic brown, moist (CL) [Glacial T	s, firm to stiff, dark gray ïll]											
	grades to with sand and gra brown and gray	vel, firm, mottled reddish	5.0'		 5	SS 2		2-2-3 N=5					PP = 1.0 tsf
1005	SILTY CLAY												
	with sand and gravel, firm to reddish-brown and gray, mo	7.5'			U 3			1.6	14.5	122.2		PP = 1.5 tsf	
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 7 fo	eet immediately following											
L .	SILTY SAND	]				l ss		6-11-15					
	_ SILTY SAND with gravel, medium dense, reddish brown, moist (SM) [Alluvium]				10	4		N=26					
	SILTY CLAY	13.0'			· V ss		23-37-23						
	brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glack	ial Till]			15	5		N=60					PP = 2.5 tst
<u>995</u> 	grades to with silty sand (SI	A) seams											
						ss 🗸		33-41-41					PP = 2.0  tsf
<u> </u>			20.0'		20	6		IN=82					
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	IED:	4/20/20		
WD	WD \[\[\[\] 2 6.5 ft OLSSON, II 3990 FOX ST						DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	↓□         ▼         0.6 ft after 0 Hrs         3990 FOX ST           ↓□         ▼         0.6 ft after 0 Hrs         DENVER, COLORA					216	DRIL	LER: M. V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD	▼ Not Performed						METI	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FL	IGHT	AUGEI	R

	<b>OISSON</b>	EPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-24</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	י ר Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	ROOT ZONE	1015	<u>x<sup>1</sup> 1<sub>1</sub>, .x</u>	<u> </u>								
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro SILTY CLAY	own, moist		· 	SS 1		2-4-3 N=7					PP = 2.0 tsf
-	with sand and trace organi brown, moist (CL) [Glacial	cs, firm to stiff, dark gray Till]			-							
 <u>1010</u>	grades to mottled reddish l	prown and gray brown		 5	SS 2		3-3-3 N=6					PP = 2.5* tsf
	grades to with gravel, dark 			U 3				14.3	102.7			
- 1					-							
 _1005_	grades to stiff to very stiff, o		 _ <u>10</u>	SS 4		4-7-8 N=15					PP = 2.5* tsf	
	grades to with silty sand (S	M) lenses			· Ss		15-14-10		10.1			
1000	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1	5 feet immediately		15	5		N=24		10.1			FF = 2.3 ISI
	SILTY SAND with gravel, loose, dark gra [Alluvium]	y brown, moist (SM)			-							
 995		20.0'		20	SS 6		6-4-5 N=9					PP = 1.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA					STAF	RTED:	4/2	24/20	FINISH	HED:	4/24/20	
WD	$\underline{\vee}$ 1.0 TT	TRE	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	▼ 8.0 π aner 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD						METH	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

	<b>olsson</b> <sup>°</sup>	BOREHOLE R	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-25</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	1017	<u> </u>	0									
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) heavy silty c brown LEAN CLAY	lay topsoil, dark reddish		· 	ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 2.5 tsf	
	with sand and trace organi brown, moist (CL) [Glacial SILTY CLAY	cs, firm to stiff, reddish Till]											
	with sand, very stiff, reddis [Glacial Till]	h brown, moist (CL/ML)		5	2			0.8	13.4	122.9		PP = 4.0 tst	
1010	.⊻grades to with gravel, stiff				SS 3		4-4-7 N=11					PP = 2.0 tsf	
 				 	SS 4		4-4-5 N=9					PP = 1.5 tsf	
_1005  	grades to stiff to very stiff, a	dark gray brown		  _ 15	SS 5		4-4-7 N=11		10.1			PP = 3.5 tsf	
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 10 following drilling	5.5 feet immediately											
	SILTY SAND with trace gravel, medium	dense, dark gray brown,		20	SS 6		8-11-11 N=22						
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	INC	_		STAF	RTED:	4/*	18/20	FINISH	HED:	4/18/20		
WD IAD	⊻ 0.5 ft <b>⊻</b> 15.0 ft after 0 Hrs	3990 FOX S		ET 0.802	216	DRIL DRIL	L CO.: LER: M. V	OLS VENTL	SON AND	DRILL	RIG: ED BY	DIEDRICH D50	
AD						METI	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	EPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-26</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	0 DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u>x 1, .</u> .									
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) heavy silty cl brown LEAN CLAY	ay topsoil, dark reddish			ss 1		3-4-7 N=11		14.6			PP = 1.0 tsf
	with sand and gravel, stiff, c	dark brown to reddish										
	brown, moist (CL) [Glacial I SILTY CLAY	<u></u>		5	ss 2		6-6-7 N=13					PP = 3.5 tsf
 <u>1010</u>	mitri sand and graver, sun ic moist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	o very sun, readish brown,			SS 3		4-4-4 N=8					PP = 2.5 tsf
 	⊈ grades to dark brown			  _ <u>10</u>	SS 4		5-7-8 N=15					PP = 2.5 tsf
 1005	. I grades to hard dark gray h			U 5				8.2			PP = 3.5 tsf	
	grades to hard, dark gray of			  15	SS 6		7-20-27 N=47					PP = 1.5 tsf
 <u>1000</u> 	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 16 following drilling	.5 feet immediately										
	grades to with sand and with	hout gravel, increased silt 20.0'		20	ss 7		8-8-12 N=20					PP = 4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/1	8/20	FINISH	HED:	4/18/20	
WD	vD ⊻ 9.0 ft OLSSON, 3990 FOX ST					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	▼ Not Performed					MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEC	D-27		S	hee	et 1	of 1		
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft): ROOT ZONE	1018	<u></u>	0									
	organic (corn) rich silty clay SILTY CLAY	, dark brown, moist			ss 1		3-5-7 N=12					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	grades to very stiff, reddish	bist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]			ss 2		8-9-15 N=24					PP = 3.0 tsf	
					SS 3		5-14-13 N=27		12.2			PP = 1.25 tsf	
1010													
					SS 4		5-8-12 N=20					PP = 2.0 tsf	
  <u>1005</u>	SILTY CLAYEY SAND with gravel, very dense, da				-								
	.▽			; ;	SS 5		15-50					PP = 3.5 tsf	
	-			15									
		40.01		·  · ·	U 6			4.1	12.3	127.4		PP = >4.5 tsf	
1000	SILTY CLAY												
	with sand and gravel, very brown, moist (CL/ML) [Alluv	stiff to hard, dark gray vium] 20.0'		 20	SS 7		8-12-15 N=27					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20		
WD	14.5 ft		ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD		DENVER, COLOF	RAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u>					METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-28</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fig	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1017	<u></u>	0								
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich silty clay	, dark brown, moist		 	ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.75 tsf
	with sand and trace organic brown, moist (CL) [Glacial 7	s, firm to stiff, dark gray <b>3.0'</b> Fill]										
	grades to with gravel				U				11.9			PP = >4.5 tsf
	with sand and gravel, very s brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glac	stiff to hard, reddish ial Till]										
1010	grades to stiff to very stiff, o	lark reddish brown			SS 3		6-6-7 N=13					PP = 2.25 tsf
	grades to very stiff to hard			  10	ss 4		5-8-12 N=20					PP = 4.0 tsf
 <u>1005</u> 	grades to dark gray brown				-							
				 15	SS 5		6-7-10 N=17		8.2			PP = >4.5 tsf
 1000					-							
	grades to stiff to very stiff											
		20.0'		20	SS 6		4-3-6 N=9		10.9			PP = 2.0 tsf
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20	
WD	∑ Not Encountered	INC			DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:		
IAD	▼ Not Encountered	3990 FOX S DENVER. COLOR	3990 FOX STREE				LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	$\underline{\Psi}$ Not Performed	,			-	MET	HOD: CON	NTINUC	DUS FI	_IGHT	AUGE	R

	<b>OISSON</b>	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-29</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1		
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	)		
(ff) (ff)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	0.5'	<u></u>										
	organic (grass) poor clay, da LEAN CLAY	ark brown, moist			SS 1		2-3-5 N=8		28.4			PP = 2.25 tsf	
	with sand and gravel and tra mottled dark gray brown and (CL) [Glacial Till]	ace organics, firm to stiff, d reddish brown, moist			- M ss		5-5-6						
1010	grades to stiff to very stiff, m gray ▽	nottled reddish brown and		5	2		N=11					PP = 3.0* tst	
	grades to mottled reddish bi	rown and dark brown 7.5'			SS 3		5-6-11 N=17					PP = 2.5* tsf	
-	SILTY CLAYEY SAND				-								
 1005	with gravel, medium dense, (SC/SM) [Alluvium]			SS 4		6-6-7 N=13					PP = 3.0 tsf		
	bliller's Note: Cave-in to 8.2 following drilling SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, very s brown, moist (CL/ML) [Alluw			U 5				11.0	138.1		PP = 4.5 tsf		
  <u>1000</u>				  _ 15	SS 6		6-8-10 N=18					PP = 3.5 tsf	
					-								
 995		20.0'		20	SS 7		2-9-13 N=22					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	HED:	4/21/20		
WD	<u>⊽</u> 6.0 ft		ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	▼ 5.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOR	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	▼ Not Performed					MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	E REPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-30</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	-ASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1014		0	0)	CI						
	ROOT ZONE		<b>0.2'</b>									
	organic-poor clay, brown ai LEAN CLAY	nd gray, moist			ss 1		2-3-2 N=5		19.1			PP = 1.0 tsf
 1010	with silt and sand and trace reddish brown and gray, m ▼ SILTY CLAY	e organics, firm, mottled oist (CL) [Glacial Till] /	3.0'									
	.∑ with sand and gravel, firm, (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	reddish brown, moist		5	2			0.3	20.5	114.1		PP = 1.0 tsf
	SANDY SILTY CLAY	6.5'		ss 3		2-4-5 N=9					PP = 0.25 tsf	
	firm to very stiff, dark gray	brown, moist (CL/ML)										
1005	[Glacial Till]			SS 4		2-5-11 N=16		19.1			P-200 = 62.3% PP = 0.5 tsf	
1000	increased sand content not	fed			SS SS		5-16-16					PP = 3.0 tsf
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 14 following drilling	feet immediately	16.0'	15	5		N=32					
	SILTY SAND											
	with gravel, dense, dark gra [Alluvium]	ay brown, moist (SM)										
_ 995 _			20.01				6-17-21 N=38					
	BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET	20.0 [].	20							<u> </u>	
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	HED:	4/21/20	
WD	4.5 ft	SON, INC	CT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	¥ 4.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DLORAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD						MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	_IGHT	AUGE	R

	<b>OISSON</b>	REPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-31</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	lds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	Additional Data/ Remarks
	ROOT ZONE	11	<b>o'</b>									
	organic (corn) heavy silty cla brown LEAN CLAY	ay topsoil, dark reddish			SS 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 2.0 tsf
	with gravel and trace organic	cs, firm, dark brown to										
1010	reddish yellow brown, moist grades stiff, reddish brown	(ĆL) [Ġlacial Till]			U 2			1.5	14.3	119.8		PP = 3.0 tsf
	grades to with sand and grad	vel, reddish yellow brown			SS 3		3-4-6 N=10					PP = 1.5 tsf
	SILTY CLAY	0.0										
_1005_	with gravel, very stiff, brown, Till]	moist (CL/ML) [Glacial		 _ 10	ss 4		7-11-14 N=25					PP = 1.5 tsf
  <u>1000</u>  	grades to firm, dark gray bro	wn		    	SS 5		3-3-5 N=8		11.5			PP = 1.0 tsf
L .	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 17.	6 feet immediately										
995	ionowing drinning	20.	.0'		SS 6		4-5-6 N=11					PP = 4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	AT 20.0 FEET								-	1	
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/1	6/20	FINISH	IED:	4/16/20	
WD	<u>⊽</u> 6.0 ft	N, INC	Ет		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD		ORAD	C 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u>	•				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	)US FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

ſ	olsson	BOREHOLE R	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-32</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	ROOT ZONE	015	<u></u>									
		ay topsoil, dark reddish		· 	SS 1		3-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.5 tsf
	with silt and trace sand and	organics, firm, dark gray			/ N							
 1010	grades to with gravel			5	U 2			0.5	20.3	131.3		PP = 1.0 tsf
L _	SILTY CLAY	5.5										
	with sand and gravel, stiff, r (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	eddish brown, moist			SS 3		4-5-8 N=13					
					ss 4		5-8-11 N=19					PP = 1.0 tsf
 _1000_	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 following drilling SILTY CLAYEY SAND	.9 feet immediately		15			12-50		7.5			PP = 1.5 tsf
	with gravel, very dense, dar (SC/SM) [Alluvium]	rk gray brown, moist										
					SS 6		29-50					PP = >4.5 tsf
995	with sand and gravel, very l moist (CL/ML) [Alluvium]	20.0' hard, dark gray brown,	<u> 1999</u>	20								
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/1	8/20	FINISH	IED:	4/18/20	
WD	<u>⊽</u> 3.5 ft		FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	<u>▼</u> 1.3 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD						MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEC	D-33		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1015	<u></u>	0								
	organic (corn) rich silty clay	<i>ı, dark brown, moist</i> /			SS 1		2-4-3 N=7					PP = 1.25 tsf
-	with sand and trace organi moist (CL) [Glacial Till]	cs, firm, dark gray brown,										
	grades to reddish-brown				ss 2		1-1-2 N=3		16.8		28/11	PP = 1.0 tsf
1010	grades to with silt, soft to fi	rm		5	~ ~		N-5					
	grades to with gravel, hard				U 3							PP = >4.5 tsf
 _1005_	grades to dark reddish bro	wn		 _ 10	SS 4		8-10-20 N=30					PP = >4.5 tsf
					· V ss		15-14-18		11.0			
<u>1000</u> 				<u> </u>	5		N=32		11.0			PP - 0.5 ISI
- 1	arades to dark aray brown											
 995	gradoo to dark gray brown	20.0'		 20	SS 6		9-15-20 N=35					PP = 3.0 tsf
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20	
WD	3.0 ft		FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	▼ 0.5 ft after 0 Hrs	RAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u>	* 				METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

PROJECT NAME         Madison Fields Solar         CLENT         Savion, LLC           PROJECT NUMBER         020-0986         LOCATION         Madison County, Ohio           Split Spoon         Shalby Tube         Dig Split Spoon         Shalby Tube         Dig Split Spoon         Dig Split		<b>OISSON</b>	REPO	ORT	NO.	GEC	<b>D-34</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJECT NUMBER     020-0986     Madison County, Ohio       Image: Split Spoon     Image: Split	PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
Spati Spoon       Shotby Tube       Spati Spoon	PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Cou	unty.	Ohio	)	
PPRION SUPPORT         Control	ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015       arganic (corr) rich sity clay, dark brown, moist       10°		ROOT ZONE	1010	<u>×1 1×</u> · <u>×</u> 1	0								
minut sah indud galas, minut sah, minut sa	<u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich silty clay SILTY CLAY	, dark brown, moist	. <b>0'</b>	· 	SS 1		3-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.5 tsf
grades to soft, with silty sand (SM) lenses       5       2       1N=4       PP = 0.5 tsf         1010		reddish brown and gray bro [Glacial Till]	own, moist (CL/ML)					2-2-2					
1010		grades to soft, with silty sa	nd (SM) lenses		5	2		N=4					PP = 0.5 tsf
Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet immediately following drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet and cave drilling         Image: Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 feet and cave drilling           1000         100         100         100         100         100         100         100	<u>1010</u> 	grades to very stiff to hard,			U 3				16.4			PP = 4.0 tsf	
grades to stiff to very stiff	  <u>1005</u>	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9 drilling	feet immediately following		 _ 10 	ss 4		17-16-20 N=36					PP = 2.75* tsf
1000       16.0°         SILTY SAND         with gravel, medium dense, dark gray brown, moist         (SM) [Alluvium]         BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET         DUSSON, INC.         3990 FOX STREET         DENVER, COLORADO 80216         METHOD: CONTINUOUS FUGHT AUGER	 	grades to stiff to very stiff			  15	SS 5		8-13-16 N=29		26.2			PP = 1.5 tsf
Watter Level Observations       OLSSON, INC. 3990 FOX STREET DENVER, COLORADO 80216       STARTED: 4/22/20 BILL CO:: OLSSON DRILL RIG: DIEDRICH DGC DRILLER: M. WENTLAND LOGGED BY: J. FOSTER METHOD: CONTINUIOUS FUIGHT AUGER	1000	SILTY SAND	16	5. <b>0'</b>									
BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET         BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET         BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET         WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS         WD ♀ 6.5 ft         IAD ♀ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs         AD ♀ Not Performed		with gravel, medium dense (SM) [Alluvium]	, dark gray brown, moist										
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS         WD       ✓ 6.5 ft         IAD       ✓ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs         AD       ✓ Not Performed    STARTED: 4/22/20 FINISHED: 4/22/20 FINISH		-	20	0.0'	20	SS 6		5-9-14 N=23					
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS       WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS         WD       ✓ 6.5 ft         IAD       ✓ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs         AD       ✓ Not Performed    STARTED: 4/22/20 FINISHED: 4/20/20 FI		BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WD       ✓ 6.5 ft       OLSSON, INC.         IAD       ✓ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs       3990 FOX STREET         DENVER, COLORADO 80216       DRILL CO.: OLSSON DRILL RIG: DIEDRICH DSC         MD       ✓ Not Performed	WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	HED:	4/22/20	
AD V Not Performed	WD IAD	<ul> <li> <u>✓</u> 6.5 ft         <u>✓</u> 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs         </li> </ul>	STRE	ET	216	DRIL DRII	L CO.: LER: M. V	OLS	SON AND		RIG:		
	AD	▼ Not Performed			U UU2	- 10	METH			)US FI	IGHT	AUGE	R

	<b>OISSON</b>	BOREHOLE R	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-35		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madisor	י ר Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DI APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	O DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1015	ROOT ZONE	4.04	<u>×1 /x</u> . <u>×</u>										
<u>1015</u> 	organic (corn) rich silty clay	, dark brown, moist			ss 1		3-3-5 N=8		29.8		36/19	PP = 1.75 tsf	
	with silt and sand and grav to stiff, mottled reddish brou (CL) [Glacial Till] SILTY CLAY	el and trace organics, firm <b>3.0'</b> wn and gray brown, moist			SS 2		3-5-7 N=12					PP = 2.75* tsf	
	with sand and gravel, stiff to	o very stiff, mottled		5									
_ <u>1010</u>	[Glacial Till] grades to dark reddish brow	wn, most (OLML) wn			SS 3		5-8-6 N=14					PP = 2.0* tsf	
				 10	ss 4		5-9-11 N=20					PP = 2.0* tsf	
_1005_	~~~~				U								
- 1	··· <u>·</u> ································												
	grades to with silty sand (S hard, dark gray brown	M) lenses, very stiff to		  15	SS 6		15-22-29 N=51		11.6			PP = 1.5 tsf	
1000	following drilling	reet mmediatery											
		20.0'		20	SS 7		10-27-14 N=41					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	HED:	4/22/20		
WD	∑ 12.0 ft	OLSSON, INC.				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD		DENVER, COLOF	3990 FOX STRE			DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u>					MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	<b>OISSON</b>	BOREHOLE R	EPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-36</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fig	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohic	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	O DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE		<u>×1 /y</u>	<u> </u>									
	organic (corn) rich silty clay	, dark brown, moist			SS 1		3-4-6 N=10					PP = 2.5 tsf	
1015	with sand and silt and trace to very stiff, reddish brown, SILTY CLAY	gravel and organics, stiff <b>3.0'</b> moist (CL) [Glacial Till]					5-8-11						
	with sand and trace gravel, moist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	very stiff, reddish brown,		5			N=19		13.2			PP = 3.0 tsf	
	grades to with sand and gravel 0				SS 3		5-6-7 N=13					PP = 3.0 tsf	
1010	<u>⊈</u>				-								
	grades to very stiff, dark red	ddish brown		 _ 10	SS 4		12-15-13 N=28					PP = 3.5 tsf	
	grades to very stiff to hard,	dark gray brown			U 5				11.7	123.6		PP = >4.5 tsf	
_ <u>1005</u>  				15	SS 6		7-12-16 N=28					PP = 4.5 tsf	
	. ¥	20.0'			SS 7		7-9-12 N=21					PP = 4.0 tsf	
	BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET							-				
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20		
WD	VD					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	▼ 17.5 ft after 0 Hrs	3990 FOX S DENVER. COLOF	ヒI O 80:	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		,				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE RI					NO.	GEO	D-37		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE											
	organic-poor clay, dark bro LEAN CLAY	wn, moist			SS 1		2-12-4 N=16		27.2		47/30	PP = 0.75 tsf
-	with silt and sand and trace mottled reddish brown and	e organics, firm to stiff, gray, moist (CL) [Glacial										
1015	Till] grades to with sand and grades to with sand and grades to with sand and grades to sand and grades to sand and grades to sand sand sand sand sand sand sand sand	avel, stiff to very stiff, dark			SS 2		6-8-8 N=16					PP = 2.5* tsf
	reddish brown											
				SS 3		4-5-6 N=11		17.4			PP = 2.5 tsf	
-												
_1010 				 10	SS 4		4-4-6 N=10					PP = 3.0* tsf
	<u>⊽</u>				U 5				14.7			
 <u>1005</u> 	grades to very stiff to hard,	dark gray brown		  15	SS 6		12-15-18 N=33					PP = 4.5 tsf
_1000	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 18 following drilling	3 feet immediately 20.0'		 20	SS 7		5-6-8 N=14					PP = 3.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	HED:	4/22/20	
WD	∑ 12.0 ft	OLSSON, INC				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 13.2 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	3990 FOX STREE DENVER, COLORADO				LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD						METH	HOD: CON	TINUC	OUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R

	<b>olsson</b>	EPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-38</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1		
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE $ROOT ZONE$	1016	<u>x, 1</u> ,	0									
<u>1015</u> 	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	wn, moist		 	ss 1		1-2-3 N=5					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with silt and sand and trace dark gray brown, moist (CL	e organics, firm to stiff, ) [Glacial Till]			v N								
- 1		4.0			U 2				14.7	118.0		PP = 2.5 tsf	
 1010	⊈ with sand and gravel, stiff, i gray brown, moist (CL/ML)	mottled reddish brown and [Glacial Till]		5									
	grades to reddish brown				SS 3		4-5-7 N=12					PP = 2.5 tsf	
-													
	grades to very stiff to hard			 _ 10	ss 4		8-12-14 N=26					PP = 3.5* tsf	
_1005 	grades to dark gray brown												
  <u>1000</u>	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 14 following drilling	feet immediately		 	ss 5		6-9-10 N=19		12.3			PP = 4.0 tsf	
	1000   grades to with silty sand (SM) seams 20.0				SS 6		7-13-18 N=31					PP = 4.0 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	24/20	FINISH	HED:	4/24/20		
WD	WD         ∑         5.0 ft         OLSSON, II           IAD         ¥         4.4 ft after 0 Hrs         3990 FOX ST					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD					216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	▼ Not Performed	-				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FL	_IGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO					NO.	GEC	D-39		S	hee	et 1	of 1		
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC					
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	)986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)			
ELEVATION		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS		
1015	ROOT ZONE	1013	<u>x<sup>4</sup> 1<sub>7</sub></u>	. <u>\`</u>										
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	own, moist	1.0' 1/34 1.5'		ss 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.25 tsf		
	brown, moist (CL) [Glacial	Till]			-									
 1010	with sand and gravel, firm, gray brown, moist (CL/ML)	nd	5	SS 2		3-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.0* tsf			
-	grades to stiff													
	grades to very stiff to hard,			3							PP = >4.5 tsf			
-					-									
 <u>1005</u>					SS 4		6-9-12 N=21					PP = 3.0* tsf		
	grades to dark gray brown													
1000	···¥-·····			15	SS 5		7-7-9 N=16		11.3			PP = 3.5 tsf		
					-									
	······································				_									
 995	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 18 following drilling	3.5 feet immediately	20.0'	 20	SS 6		6-7-8 N=15					PP = >4.5 tsf		
	995 20.0' 20.0' 0 N-13 BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET													
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS			STAR	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINIS	HED:	4/27/20				
WD	14.0 ft		C. EET		DRILI	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50			
IAD	▼ 17.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, CO	3990 FOX STRE DENVER, COLORAD				LER: M. V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD	<u> </u> <u> </u> Not Performed	-				METH	HOD: CON		DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R		

	olsson	BOREHOLE R	EPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-40</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	AMPLE TYPE NUMBER	ASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	012		0	0	C							
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u></u>										
 <u>1010</u>	organic (corn) rich clay, brou LEAN CLAY	wn, moist			SS 1		2-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with silt and sand and trace	organics, firm, dark gray <u>3.0'</u> [ill]											
- 1	grades to mottled reddish bi	rown and gray brown			U 2			0.2	18.7	111.6		PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with sand, firm to stiff, mottle gray brown, moist (CL/ML)	ed reddish brown and [Glacial Till]											
1005	grades to with sand and gravel, stiff to very stiff				SS 3		3-4-6 N=10					PP = 2.5* tsf	
-													
	grades to very stiff to hard, o	dark gray brown		 _ 10	ss 4		5-8-11 N=19					PP = >4.5 tsf	
					-								
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 feet immediately following drilling				SS 5		5-6-10 N=16					PP = >4.5 tsf	
				15									
995					-								
	-	20.0'		20	SS 6		5-7-9 N=16		10.2			PP = >4.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET											
	DASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINISH	HED:	4/27/20		
WD	ND ∑ 3.5 ft OLSSON, INC					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	▼ 1.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		· -				MET	HOD: CON		DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

$\bigcap$	olsson	EPO	ORT	NO.	GE	0-41		S	shee	et 1	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison F	ields Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJ		1986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	intv.	Ohic	)	
NO	Split Spoon		₽	I	TYPE	ATION		I.S.	ERE ,	SITY		
ELEVAT (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPH LOG	DEPT (ft)	SAMPLE	(USCS	BLOWS N-VALI	UNC. S <sup>-</sup> (tsf)	MOISTU (%)	DRY DEN (pcf)	(%)	DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft)	:1009	1 1/2 × 5	0		0						
	organic (corn) rich clav. br	own. moist		-								
	LEAN CLAY						2-3-4 N=7		17.1			PP = 1.5 tsf
	with silt and sand and trac mottled dark gray brown a	e organics, firm to stiff, nd dark reddish brown,										
1005	moist (CL) [Glacial Till] SILTY CLAY	4.0'			ss s		10-10-10 N=20					PP = 1.0 tsf
	with sand and gravel, stiff	to very stiff, mottled		5	~ ~		11-20					
	[Glacial Till]	own, moist (CL/ML)			∬ ss		2-4-10					
					3		N=14					PP = 2.25 tst
	arades to with silty sand (S	SM) lenses				_						
		des to with silty sand (SM) lenses					6-7-7 N=14		10.4			PP = 3.0 tsf
	grades to very stiff to hard,	dark gray brown										
.	Deillerle Mater Cours in to d				_							
995	following drilling	2.5 feet immediately			ss 🗸		8-14-15					DD - >1 5 tef
				15	5		N=29					FF - 24.0 (SI
					-							
	SILTY SAND	17.0'			-							
	with gravel, medium dense	e, dark gray brown, moist										
_990	(SM) [Alluvium]						9-8-11 N=19					
	BASE OF BORIN			: 20								
WA	The level observations $\nabla$ 4.0 ft	INC			STA	RTED:	4/2	8/20	FINISH	IED:	4/28/2	
	<ul> <li>✓ 2.5 ft after 0 Hrs</li> </ul>	after 0 Hrs										DIEDRICH D5
AD	 <u>▼</u> Not Performed	DENVER, COLU	VAD	0 00	210	MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	US F	LIGHT	AUGE	R

	olsson	BOREHOL	E REPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-42</b>		S	hee	t 1	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	(%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	016		0									
<u>1015</u>	organic-poor clay, dark brow LEAN CLAY with silt and trace sand and	n, moist			ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.75 tsf	
	dark gray brown, moist (CL) .▼.	[Glacial Till]		  5	U 2			0.4*	32.3	100.4		*UU Compressive StrengthPP = 0.5 tsf	
<u>1010</u>					SS 3		1-2-2 N=4					PP = 1.5* tsf	
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 7.5 following drilling grades to dark gray brown	feet immediately		  _ <u>10</u>	SS 4		3-3-7 N=10		19.2			PP = 1.0* tsf	
<u>1005</u>   <u>1000</u>	grades to with sand and gra	vel, very stiff to hard		   	SS 5		6-9-11 N=20					PP = 4.0* tsf	
	SILTY CLAYEY SAND with trace gravel, dense, da. (SC/SM) [Alluvium]	rk gray brown, moist	17.0'		SS 6		6-13-18 N=31						
<u> </u>	BASE OF BORING	AT 20.0 FEET	20.0'	: 20			N OI						
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	IED:	4/21/20		
WD	WD ⊈ 6.0 ft OLSSON, I					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	¥ 4.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, CC	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		, -				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	<b>olsson</b>	REPO	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-43</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1		
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madisor	י ו Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	$\bigcirc$ ROOT ZONE	1019 [\[\											
	organic-poor clay, reddish l LEAN CLAY	brown, moist2.5			ss 1		2-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.0 tsf	
 1015	with silt and sand and trace brown, moist (CL) [Glacial SILTY CLAY	e organics, firm, reddish Till]			ss		4-4-5					DD = 1.5 tof	
		ed.reddish.brown.and /ML) [Glacial Till]			2		N=9					FF = 1.3 tSI	
					SS 3		3-3-5 N=8		13.2			PP = 3.0 tsf	
	grades to dark reddish brow	wn											
_1010				 _ 10	SS 4		3-5-7 N=12					PP = 1.25 tsf	
	grades to very stiff to hard, Driller's Note: Cave-in to 12 following drilling	dark gray brown 2.2 feet immediately			-								
<u>1005</u>				 <u>15</u>	ss 5		10-12-21 N=33						
					U 6				11.6			PP = >4.5 tsf	
_1000		20.0		 20	SS 7		11-14-16 N=30					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	HED:	4/21/20		
WD	⊻ 6.5 ft	, INC			DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD			3990 FOX STRE				LER: M.W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD				5 001		MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	E REP	ORT	NO.	GEC	<b>D-44</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		0.5'									
	organic-poor clay, brown, m LEAN CLAY	noist			ss 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.5 tsf
1015	with silt and sand and trace mottled reddish brown and [Glacial Till]	e organics, firm to stiff, gray brown, moist (CL)					2-2-3					
	$\cdot \Sigma \cdots$ grades to without organics $\cdot$			5	2		N=5					PP = 1.0 tsf
 	grades to with gravel, stiff to very stiff, dark reddish brown				U 3				16.8	113.0		PP = 3.5 tsf
_1010_												
			10.0'	10	SS 4		6-7-10 N=17					PP = 4.0 tsf
	SILTY CLAY											
	with sand and gravel, stiff to brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glac	o very stiff, dark reddish ial Till]			-							
1005	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 11 drilling	.5 immediately following										
	grades to very stiff to hard,	dark gray brown		15	SS 5		9-13-16 N=29					PP = >4.5 tsf
					-							
1000					-							
			20.0'	 	SS 6		6-9-11 N=20		12.8			PP = >4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORIN	G AI 20.0 FEE I										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	25/20	FINISH	IED:	4/25/20	
WD	4.5 ft	ON, INC	).		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	▼ 11.0 ft after 0 Hrs	3990 FO DENVER. CO	3990 FOX STRE				LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	$\underline{\Psi}$ Not Performed	,				METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R

	olsson	BOREHOLI	E REP	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-45</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	1 Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
(ft) (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1020	ROOT ZONE	Г	<b>∖0.2'</b>										
	organic-poor clay, dark gray LEAN CLAY	v brown, moist			ss 1		2-3-4 N=7					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	.▼ with silt and trace sand and brown, moist (CL) [Glacial 7	organics, firm, dark gray ill]											
 _1015	grades to with sand and trac brown and gray	ce gravel, mottled reddish		5	SS 2	CL	2-2-3 N=5		27.2		39/21	P-200 = 83.1% PP = 1.0 tsf	
	grades to dark reddish brow	'n			U 3			1.3	16.4	126.9		PP = 1.5 tsf	
 	grades to very stiff				SS 4		6-9-9 N=18					PP = 2.0 tsf	
	SII TY CLAY		13.0'										
 1005	with sand and gravel, very s brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glac.	stiff to hard, dark gray ial Till]			SS 5		8-9-11 N=20		10.9			PP = 4.0 tsf	
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 16 following drilling	feet immediately											
	grades to hard		20.0'		SS 6		15-30-30 N=60					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	20.0*         20.0*         6         N=60         PP = >4.5 tst           BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	HED:	4/21/20		
WD	WD ∑ 5.5 ft OLSSON, IN 2000 FOX STR					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	¥ 3.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, CO	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD	<u> </u>					MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE RE					NO.	GEO	<b>D-46</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	)986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	1 Coi	unty,	Ohic	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	:LASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1018		0		0							
	ROOT ZONE												
	organic-poor clay, dark bro LEAN CLAY	wn, moist			SS 1		3-4-4 N=8					PP = 2.0 tsf	
1015	<ul> <li>with silt and sand and trace</li> <li>mottled dark brown and red</li> </ul>	e organics, firm to stiff, ddish brown. moist (CL) 35'											
	[Glacial Till] SILTY CLAY				ss 2		2-2-3 N=5					PP = 2.0 tsf	
		to stiff, mottled reddish /ML') iGlacial Till1											
					U 3				13.6			PP = 2.0 tsf	
1010													
L -	grades to stiff to very stiff				l ss		8-10-13						
	LEAN CLAY	9.5'		10			N=23					PP = 4.0* tsf	
	with sand, very stiff to hard brown, moist (CL) [Glacial	l, mottled dark gray and Till]											
<u>1005</u>	grades to with gravel				Ss .		25-37-40					PP = 1.0 tsf	
					5		N=77						
 1000	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 16 following drilling SILTY CLAY	6.5 feet immediately <b>17.0</b>											
	with sand and gravel, very brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glad	stiff, mottled dark gray and cial Till] <u>20.0'</u>		20	SS 6		7-8-9 N=17		12.7			PP = 2.0 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	IED:	4/21/20	
WD		OLSSON, 3990 FOX S	OLSSON, INC. 3990 FOX STREE				L CO.:		SON		RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
		DENVER, COLOF	(AD	U 802	216		LER: IVI. V			LUGG	ED RA:	J. FOSTER	
AD						METH	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGEI	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE REP			EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-47</b>	Sheet 1 of 1					
PROJECT NAME Madison Fields Solar					CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJECT NUMBER 020-0986					LOCATION Madison County, Ohio								
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1017	1. 1. N. N	0		-							
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich clay, dai	rk brown, moist			SS 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.75 tsf	
	with silt and sand and trace mottled dark brown and rec	e organics, firm to stiff, Idish brown, moist (CL)			-								
	$\underline{\nabla}$ [Gades to with sand and gr	avel, stiff, reddish brown		5	U 2				30.6			PP = 2.5 tsf	
 <u>1010</u>	grades to dark reddish brou	Nn			SS 3		5-6-8 N=14					PP = 2.75 tsf	
	grades to firm to very stiff, o	dark gray brown		– – – – <u>– 10</u>	ss 4		7-7-13 N=20					PP = 2.5 tsf	
 <u>1005</u> 	. ¥				-								
				 15	SS 6		5-7-12 N=19					PP = 1.0 tsf	
1000					]								
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 17 following drilling	7.5 feet immediately											
	grades to very stiff to hard	20.0'		 20	SS 7		13-24-30 N=54		13.5			PP = 1.5* tsf	
	BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET											
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	HED:	4/21/20	
WD	⊻ 4.0 ft	OLSSON,	Ет		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD				C 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u>	,				MET	METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER						
OISSON BOREHOLE REF					NO.	GEO	<b>D-48</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
---------------------	--	---	----------------------	---------------	-----------------------	--------------------------	---------------------	--------------------	-----------------	----------------------	--------------	--------------------------------	--
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJI	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DI	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC I OG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	$\square$ ROOT ZONE	1018	[ <b>∼0.2'</b> /*///										
	organic-poor clay, dark gra	y brown, moist Lorganics, firm, dark grav	1.5'		ss 1		2-4-4 N=8					PP = 1.75 tsf	
	brown, moist (CL) [Glacial SILTY CLAY	Till]			ss Ss		2-3-3					PP = 2.0 tsf	
	with sand and trace organic reddish brown and gray, m	cs, firm to stiff, mottled oist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]		5	2		N=6						
	grades to with sand and grades to with sand and grades brown	avel, stiff to very stiff, darl	ĸ		U 3				12.9	126.5		PP = >4.5 tsf	
1010	-												
	 			 10	SS 4		6-8-12 N=20					PP = 2.0* tsf	
  <u>1005</u>	$\underline{\nabla}$ grades to very stiff to hard,	dark gray brown			-								
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 14 following drilling	1.5 feet immediately			SS 5		8-11-12 N=23		11.8			PP = >4.5 tsf	
 1000	-	nted			-								
		Jieu	20.0'	20	SS 6		12-15-28 N=43					PP = 3.0* tsf	
	20.0'												
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	HED:	4/21/20	
WD	12.0 ft	OLSSON, INC. 3990 FOX STREE DENVER, COLORADO				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD					216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	$\underline{\Psi}$ Not Performed		DENVER, COLORAL				HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE REP				ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-49</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty.	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	010	<u>x<sup>1</sup> 1<sub>n</sub>. x</u>										
<u>1015</u> 	organic (corn) rich silty clay, LEAN CLAY	dark brown, moist			SS 1		3-3-6 N=9					PP = 2.0 tsf	
-	with sand and silt and trace reddish brown, moist (CL) [0	ravel and organics, stiff, acial Till]											
	grades to with sand, stiff to	very stiff			U 2		3-6-8 N=14		17.4			PP = 3.5 tsf	
<u>1010</u> 	grades to very stiff				SS 3		6-8-10 N=18					PP = 3.5 tsf	
				  _ 10	SS 4		3-7-7 N=14					PP = 2.0 tsf	
<u>1005</u>	grades to with gravel, dark i	reddish brown			U 5				13.8			PP = >4.5 tsf	
	 SILTY CLAYEY SAND	14.5'			SS 6		7-9-17 N=26						
 <u>1000</u>	medium dense, dark gray b [Alluvium]	rown, moist (SC/SM)											
					SS 7		7-10-15 N=25						
<u> </u>	BASE OF BORING	<u>20.0'</u> G AT 20.0 FEET	1.211	20	V N	1	<u> </u>	<u> </u>		I			
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20		
WD	∑ 14.5 ft	OLSSON,	•		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:			
IAD		3990 FOX S DENVER, COLOF	ET 0 80	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		, •••••			•	METI	HOD: CON	ITINUC	US FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

OISSON BOREHOLE REP			EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-50</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DI	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich silty clay	, dark brown, moist			ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.5 tsf
1010	with silt and sand and grav mottled dark gray brown ar (CL) [Glacial Till]	el and trace organics, firm, nd reddish brown, moist										
	grades to with sand and gr	avel, stiff to very stiff		5	2				20.9	108.3		PP = 3.5 tsf
	SANDY SILTY CLAY with gravel, very stiff, reddi	6.0' sh brown, moist (CL/ML)			SS 3		10-8-7 N=15					PP = 1.0 tsf
1005	[Glacial Till] SILTY CLAY				-							
	with sand and gravel, very brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glad	stiff to hard, reddish sial Till]		 10	SS 4		10-14-20 N=34					PP = 3.5 tsf
  _1000	grades to dark reddish brov	wn			-							
				<u>15</u>	SS 5		10-33-37 N=70		11.3			PP = 3.5 tsf
995	grades to dark gray brown											
		20.0'		20			N=32					PP = >4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET	aa									
WA <sup>.</sup>	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20
WD	6.0 ft	OLSSON, INC.				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		3990 FOX S DENVER, COLOF	⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD		, <u> </u>				MET	HOD: CON		DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

OISSON BOREHOLE REP			EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-51		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJ	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	TION		Madisor	י ו Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	Shelby Tube SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	O DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
-	ROOT ZONE		<u>×1 1</u> × <u>×</u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, brow LEAN CLAY	vn, moist		· 	ss 1		2-4-4 N=8					PP = 1.75 tsf
 <u>1010</u> 	with sand and trace organic. reddish brown and gray brown Till]	s, firm to stiff, mottled wn, moist (CL) [Glacial			SS 2		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 0.75 tsf
	grades to with gravel, very s brown	tiff to hard, dark reddish			U 3			2.1	12.3	136.0		PP = 4.0 tsf
1005		8.5'										
	with gravel, medium dense, moist (SC/SM) [Alluvium]	dark reddish brown,		10	SS 4		13-12-16 N=28		11.1			
 	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 9.6 following drilling SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, very s	tiff to hard, dark gray			-							
_1000 				 	ss 5		6-8-12 N=20					PP = 3.5 tsf
 _ 995		20.0			SS 6		7-7-10 N=17					PP = >4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET										
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	24/20	FINISH	HED:	4/24/20
WD	⊻ 3.5 ft	OLSSON,	CT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	¥ 3.5 ft after 0 Hrs	JENVER, COLO	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD		,	·			METI	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R

	OISSON BOREHOLE REP			ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-52</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	0 DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE	0.5	<u>, <u>x</u>, <i>1</i><sub>y</sub>, <u>x</u></u>										
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich clay, dan LEAN CLAY	k brown, moist			SS 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.0 tsf	
	with silt and sand and trace dark gray brown, moist (CL)	organics, firm to stiff, ) [Glacial Till]											
	grades to with sand and gra brown to gray	avel, mottled reddish		5	SS 2		2-4-6 N=10		18.3			PP = 1.25 tsf	
 1010	grades to stiff to very stiff, d	lark reddish brown			SS 3		4-5-5 N=10					PP = 3.5* tsf	
	▼ SILTY CLAY	8.0											
	- with sand and gravel, stiff to brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glac	o very stiff, dark reddish ial Till]		 10	ss 4		5-7-11 N=18					PP = 3.0* tsf	
 <u>1005</u>					U 5				11.5	131.3		PP = 4.0 tsf	
	grades to dark gray brown			  - 15	SS 6		5-8-12 N=20					PP = 2.5 tsf	
1000		18.0											
	SILTY SAND						5 12 10						
	with gravel, dense, dark gra [Glacial Till]	ay brown, moist (SM) <b>20.0</b>	,	20			N=32						
	[Glacial Till] 20.0' 20.												
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINIS	HED:	4/22/20	
WD	∑ 12.0 ft				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	¥ 8.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLO	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD	<u> </u>					METH	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

OSSON BOREHOLE REI					NO.	GEO	D-53		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	1 Col	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	016	N 1/2	0									
<u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich clay, brow LEAN CLAY	wn, moist		· 	SS 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	dark gray brown and reddis [Glacial Till] grades to with sand and gra	h brown, moist (CL)			U 2			0.8	23.7	107.6		PP = 3.0 tsf	
-	mottled reddish brown and g	gray		5									
<u>1010</u> 	grades to dark reddish brow	'n			SS 3		4-6-10 N=16					PP = 2.75* tsf	
	grades to very stiff to hard			 10	SS 4		12-18-13 N=31					PP = 4.0* tsf	
	.⊻grades to dark gray brown .⊈				-								
  1000	Driller's Note: Cours in to 15	2 fact immediately			SS 5		25-37-38 N=75					PP = 2.0* tsf	
	following drilling	S reet infineurately			-								
		20.0'			SS 6		7-9-12 N=21		15.0			PP = 4.0 tsf	
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINISH	HED:	4/27/20		
WD	<u>⊽</u> 12.0 ft	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	▼ 13.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD	<u> </u>					METH	HOD: CON	TINUC	OUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

0	CC	0	nº.
	122		

PROJ			CLIEN	Т		0							
PRO, JF		ields Solar		LOCAT	ION		58	/ion,	LLU				
	020-(	0986					Madisor	ι Οοι	ınty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft)	:1012	·	0									
L _		· · ·	1.0'										
_1010	LEAN CLAY	own, moist /			U 1				25.8			PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with silt and sand and trac mottled reddish brown and Glacial Till	e organics, firm to stiff, I gray brown, moist (CL)	<u>3.0'</u>										
	SILTY CLAY	······································		5	U 2			1.2	14.8	121.5		PP = 2.0 tsf	
[ _	with sand and gravel, firm brown and gray brown, mo	to stiff, mottled reddish bist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]											
<u>1005</u>	grades to very stiff, dark re	eddish brown:			SS 3		6-8-8 N=16					PP = 3.0 tsf	
	grades to very stiff to hard			SS 4		6-8-15 N=23					PP = 3.5 tsf		
 <u>1000</u> 	arades to with silty sand ()	SMI lenses											
	grades to with sing sand (			15	SS 5		13-14-20 N=34					PP = 3.0* tsf	
 <u>995</u> 	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1 following drilling	5 feet immediately											
-	SILTY SAND	1	19.0'		SS 6		6-14-20 N=34					PP = 0.75 tsf	
	SILTY SAND     PP = 0.75 tsf       with gravel, dense, dark gray brown, moist (SM)     20.0'     6     N=34     PP = 0.75 tsf       BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET     BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET     PP = 0.75 tsf     PP = 0.75 tsf												
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	7/20	FINISH	IED:	4/27/20	
WD	⊻ 3.5 ft	OLSSO 3990 FOX	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50		
IAD	⊈ 4.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COL	D 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.W	'ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD		-				METH	HOD: CON	TINUC	US FL	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	BOREHOLE R	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-55</b>		S	hee	t 1 (	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA.	TION		Madiso	n Coı	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich silty clay, LEAN CLAY	, dark brown, moist			ss 1		2-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.0 tsf
1010	with silt and trace sand and dark gray brown, moist (CL)	l organics, firm to stiff, [Glacial Till] <u>3.5'</u>										
	grades to reddish brown				ss 2		2-2-2 N=4					PP = 1.0 tsf
-	SILTY CLAY			5	/ / -							
	with sand, soft to firm, mottle 	ed reddish brown to light ial Till]			U				13.4	123 8		PP = 4.5 tsf
1005	grades to with gravel, very s	stiff to hard			3							
1000		8.5'										
-	SILTY CLAYEY SAND				SS A		6-11-14					
	with trace gravel, medium d moist (SC/SM) [Alluvium]	lense, reddish brown,		10	4		N=25					
 <u>1000</u>  	grades to with gravel, dense	e to very dense		  	SS 5		4-17-35 N=52					
_ 995	-				-							
	grades to medium dense	20.01			SS 6		5-5-6 N=11		11.5			
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET	12 2 1 1 1	20	<u>/                                    </u>							
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	IED:	4/20/20	
WD	6.0 ft	OLSSON,			DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:		
IAD	¥ 3.5 ft after 0 Hrs	3990 FOX S DENVER, COLOF	ET O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u>	,	_		-	MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	_IGHT	AUGE	R

OSSON BOREHOLE REPO				ORT	NO.	GEO	D-56		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fig	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1010	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	1010	1 1/2 ·	0		0							
	organic (corn) heavy silty cl brown	ay topsoil, dark reddish			SS 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 2.0 tsf	
- 1	with sand and gravel and tr	CLAY											
 <u>1005</u>	grades to very stiff to hard,	reddish brown			U 2				13.2	126.3		PP = 4.0 tsf	
					ss 3		4-5-6 N=11					PP = 3.5 tsf	
-													
 _1000				 _ 10	SS 4		3-7-12 N=19					PP = 4.0 tsf	
	grades to dark gray brown												
 995				 	SS 5		6-5-7 N=12		13.0			PP = >4.5 tsf	
	grades to dark reddish brov	vn											
		20.0'		20	SS 6		6-10-12 N=22					PP = 3.5 tsf	
	990 20.0' 6 N=22 PP = 3.5 tsf BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA <sup>-</sup>	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/*	18/20	FINISH	HED:	4/18/20	
WD	4.0 ft	OLSSON, INC. 3990 FOX STREI DENVER, COLORADO				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	<u> </u> 6.6 ft after 0 Hrs				216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	▼ Not Performed					MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

OSSON BOREHOLE REI					ORT	NO.	GEO	D-57		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar			CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986			LOCA	TION		Madiso	1 Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	Shelby Tube		GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	1012		<u>x1 17</u>	0								
 <u>1010</u>	organic (grass) rich clay, bi	rown, moist	0.8' 1.5'			SS 1		3-3-5 N=8					PP = 1.5 tsf
-	brown, moist (CL) [Glacial	Till]	anics, firm, dark gray			-							
	★ SILTY CLAY with sand, firm to stiff, mott gray brown, moist (CL/ML)	led reddish brown and [Glacial Till]			5	U 2				15.9			PP = 1.0 tsf
 <u>1005</u>	grades to with sand and gr	avel, dark reddish brown				SS 3		3-3-3 N=6					PP = 2.5 tsf
			k gray brown			U 4			1.7				PP = 2.5 tsf
 <u>1000</u> 	grades to stiff to very stiff, o	dark gray brown				-							
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 following drilling	3.7 feet immediately			15	SS 5		6-7-8 N=15					PP = 4.0 tsf
_995_			17.5'			-							
	with gravel, medium dense (SM) [Alluvium]	, dark gray brown, moist				SS 6		15-14-13 N=27					
<u> </u>	BASE OF BORIN	G AT 20.0 FEET	20.0	1. [.].	. 20								
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAF	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINISH	HED:	4/27/20
WD	⊻ 4.0 ft	OLSSON, INC. 3990 FOX STRE					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	¥ 3.6 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, CO	сı О 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER		
AD	<u> </u>	•	DENVER, COLORA				MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R

OISSON BOREHOLE REP				ORT	NO.	GEO	D-58		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	1 Coi	unty,	Ohio	)		
LEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	MPLE TYPE NUMBER	(USCS) (USCS)	3LOWS/6" N-VALUE	JNC. STR. (tsf)	AOISTURE (%)	KY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
ш	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1012		0	SA	CLA			2	Ľ			
	ROOT ZONE		<u>×1 /y</u>										
 _1010	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	wn, moist			ss 1		4-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with silt and sand and trace mottled reddish brown and [Gläciäl Till]	organics, firm to stiff, <b>3.0'</b> gray brown, moist (CL)											
	SILTY CLAY	CL/ML) [Glacial Till]		5	2			0.6	14.8	118.0		PP = 3.0 tsf	
 1005	grades to with sand and gra lenses, dark reddish brown	avel, with silty sand (SM)			ss s		7-7-13					PP = 3.0* tsf	
							N=20						
-													
			 10	ss 4		4-4-6 N=10		11.1		17/4	PP = 4.5 tsf		
<u>1000</u>	grades to very stiff to hard,	dark gray brown											
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 following drilling	1.1 feet immediately			SS 5		10-14-17 N=31					PP = 4.0* tsf	
995													
	grades to very stiff				ss 6		5-8-9 N=17		13.1			PP = 2.5 tsf	
	BASE OF BORIN	20.0' G AT 20.0 FEET		1 20		1							
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	28/20	FINISH	HED:	4/28/20	
WD	<u>⊽</u> 3.5 ft	OLSSON, INC. 3990 FOX STREI DENVER, COLORADO				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD	¥ 3.5 ft after 0 Hrs				216	DRIL	LER: M. V	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD						MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	OUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	<b>OISSON</b>	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-59</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fig	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Cou	unty.	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1 ROOT ZONE	009	<u></u>	0								
		1.0' wn, moist / 1.5' organics, soft to firm, ) [Glacial Till]			ss 1		2-2-3 N=5					PP = 1.0 tsf
<u>1005</u>	SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, soft to brown and gray brown, moi	o firm, mottled reddish 5.0' st (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]		5	U 2							PP = 1.0 tsf
	with gravel, with silty clayey soft to stiff, dark reddish bro [Glacial Till]	r sand (SC/SM) lenses, wn, moist (CL/ML)			SS 3		3-6-4 N=10		19.2			P-200 = 50.9% PP = 0.5 tsf
	SILTY CLAY	0.0										
_1000_	with sand and gravel, stiff, o (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	lark reddish brown, moist		 _ 10	ss 4		4-9-5 N=14					PP = 1.75 tsf
  <u>995</u>  	grades to very stiff to hard, Driller's Note: Cave-in to 12 following drilling	dark gray brown .5 feet immediatley		   	SS 5		6-10-12 N=22					PP = >4.5 tsf
_ 990		20.0'		20	SS 6		5-7-8 N=15		13.5			PP = 3.75 tsf
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	IER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS		INC			STAF	RIED:	4/2	28/20	FINISH	HED:	4/28/20
WD	<u>⊻</u> 3.0 II	3990 FOX S	TRE	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 1.0 π aπer 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> Not Performed				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

CC	0	n	
33	U		

PROJE	PROJECT NAME Madison Fields Solar ROJECT NUMBER					IT		6.					
	Madison Fields Solar NJECT NUMBER 020-0986				LOCA	TION		Sa	vion,	LLC			
	020-	0986						Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ff)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION		GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1010	ROOT ZONE	.1010	0.8'	<u></u>	0								
	organic (grass) rich clay, b LEAN CLAY	prown, moist				SS 1		3-3-9 N=12					PP = 1.25 tsf
	reddish brown and gray bi Till]	own, moist (CL) [Glacial	5.0'			SS 2		3-3-7 N=10		14.6			PP = 2.0* tsf
1000	SILTY CLAYEY SAND		0.0										
	with gravel, medium dense (SC/SM) [Alluvium]	e, reddish brown, moist	7.0'			SS 3		10-10-9 N=19					PP = 2.75 tsf
	with sand and gravel stiff	to verv stiff dark reddish				<u> </u>							
	brown, moist (ČL) [Glacial	Till]				ss		3-4-8					PP = 3.0 tsf
1000	grades to dark gray brown	,			10	4		IN=12					
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1 following drilling	1 feet immediately				-							
	grades to firm to stiff					SS 5		4-6-8 N=14		14.6			PP = 1.0 tsf
						-							
	grades to with silty sand (S	SM) lenses				SS 6		3-5-6 N−11					PP = 1.5 tsf
990	BASE OF BORIN	NG AT 20.0 FEET	20.0'	<u> </u>	20	VV							
WA	ER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAF	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINISH	IED:	4/27/20
WD Z 3.0 ft OLSSON, INC					FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	IAD				0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	$\begin{array}{c c} \hline AD \\ \hline & \underline{V} \\ \hline AD \\ \hline & \underline{V} \\ \hline Not \\ \hline Performed \\ \hline \end{array} \qquad \qquad$						MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	OUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-61		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	ר Coi	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	009	<u></u>	0								
	organic (grass) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	own, moist			SS 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.5 tsf
 <u>1005</u>	with silt and sand and trace mottled reddish brown and g [Glacial Till]	organics, firm to stiff, gray brown, moist (CL)		  5	SS 2		3-2-3 N=5		22.9		45/28	PP = 2.0 tsf
	SANDY CLAY with silt and trace gravel, so moist (CL) [Glacial Till]	ft, dark reddish brown,			U 3				15.4	111.5		P-200 = 63.8% PP = 0.25 tsf
1000	SILTY CLAY	9.0'			ss 4		1-2-2 N=4					PP = 0.5 tsf
	with sand and gravel, soft, r brown and gray brown, mois grades to very stiff to hard o	nottled dark reddish st (CL/ML) [Glacial Till] dark grav										
<u>995</u>				 15	SS 5		8-10-15 N=25					PP = >4.5 tsf
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 15 following drilling	feet immediately 17.0'										
	SILTY SAND											
_990	with gravel, very dense, dar [Alluvium]	k gray brown, moist (SM) 20 0'			SS 6		10-22-29 N=51					
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET								•		
WA <sup>.</sup>	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINISH	HED:	4/27/20
WATER LEVEL ODSERVATIONSWD $ aggstyle 5.5  \text{ft}    $				CT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
WD         ✓         0.0 ft           IAD         ▼ 5.0 ft after 0 Hrs         3990 FOX STF				⊡ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	IAD     ▼     0.0 if after 0 fins       AD     ▼     Not Performed					MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	US FL	IGHT	AUGE	R

CC	0	n
22	U	

PROJ	PROJECT NAME Madison Fields Solar PROJECT NUMBER				Т		Sa	dan				
PROJE					ΓΙΟΝ		29.	vion,	LLU			
	020-	0986					Madisor	ι Coι	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	:LASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft)	:1009	1.4 1	0		0						
	ROOTZONE	1.0'	<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, br SILTY CLAY	own, moist /			U 1				20.4			PP = >4.5 tsf
 1005	with sand and gravel, very reddish brown and gray br [Glacial Till]	<sup>r</sup> stiff to hard, mottled rown, moist (CL/ML)					5.0.0					
	grades to stiff to very stiff,	reddish brown					5-8-6 N=14					PP = 3.5 tsf
	. ¥				SS 3		5-8-10 N=18					
 _1000_	$\underline{\nabla}$ grades to dark reddish bro	wn			V ss		9-11-10					PP = 1.75 tsf
 					<u>/                                    </u>							
995	grades to very stiff to hard	', dark gray brown			SS 5		13-15-15 N=30					PP = >4.5 tsf
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1 following drilling SILTY SAND	5 feet immediately 16.5										
	with gravel, medium dense (SM) [Alluvium]	e, dark gray brown, moist										
_990_	(,	20.0	,		SS 6		8-19-7 N=26		3.0			PP = 2.0 tsf
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	28/20	FINISH	HED:	4/28/20
WD	∑ 9.0 ft	OLSSON,		ст		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD     ▼ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs       IAD     ▼ 6.5 ft after 0 Hrs				C 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD					MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	US FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-63</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion.	LLC	;		
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	AMPLE TYPE NUMBER	ASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	RY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX SURFACE FLEV (ff)	1009		0	Ś	СГ						
	ROOT ZONE		<u>x<sup>1</sup> 1<sub>1</sub>, x</u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	own, moist		· 	SS 1		2-2-4 N=6					PP = 1.75 tsf
 1005	with silt and sand and trace mottled reddish brown and [Glacial Till]	e organics, firm to stiff, gray brown, moist (CL)										
	$\cdot \overline{2} \cdots$ grades to with sand and gr	avel; stiff			2			0.9	20.0			PP = 2.0 tsf
					SS 3		3-4-6 N=10					PP = 2.0 tsf
 <u>1000</u>	grades to very stiff to hard, 	dark reddish brown			SS 4		6-7-15 N=22					PP = 4.0 tsf
	grades to stiff to very stiff, o	dark gray brown			-							
<u>995</u> 	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1- following drilling	4 feet immediately		 <u>15</u>	SS 5		3-5-6 N=11		13.4			PP = 2.5 tsf
		18 6'			-							
	REFUSAL A	T 18.6 FEET	1/////	<u> </u>	SS 6		50/1"	<u> </u>			<u> </u>	
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	28/20	FINISH	HED:	4/28/20
WD	∑ 4.5 ft	OLSSON,		ст		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	y 9.1 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u>				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-64</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	010	<u></u>	0								
<u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	wn, moist			ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.5 tsf
	with sand and trace organic reddish brown and gray bro 	s, firm to stiff, mottled wn, moist (CL) [Glacial										
	grades to with sand and gra	avel, stiff to very stiff			U 2			2.0	14.4	122.5		PP = 3.0 tsf
1010					ss 3		4-6-8 N=14		14.5		25/9	PP = 3.0 tsf
	grades to dark reddish brow	'n			SS 4		6-8-15 N=23					PP = 2.5* tsf
_1005  	grades to hard, dark gray bi	rown			SS 5		16-17-14 N=31					PP = 4.0* tsf
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 16 following drilling	feet immediately										
- 1	SILTY SAND											
	with gravel, loose, dark gray [Alluvium]	/ brown, moist (SM) 20.0'		20	SS 6		2-4-5 N=9					PP = 1.0 tsf
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS STARTED: 4/24/20 FINISHED: 4/24/20											
WD	<u>⊽</u> 4.0 ft	OLSSON,		FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 8.0 ft after 0 Hrs       3990 FOX STR         DENVER, COLORAI				216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD	Vot Performed				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

CC	n'
22	

PROJ	ECT NAME		CLIEN	Т								
	Madison F					Sav	vion,	LLC				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-		LOCA	FION		Madisor	ι Coι	unty,	Ohic	)		
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube MATERIAL D	Split Spoon	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	.1012	<u>x1 1x</u> <u>x</u>	- 0								
	organic (corn) rich clay, br	rown, moist	<b>1.0'</b>		U							PP = >4 5 tsf
	with sand and gravel, very	v stiff to hard, reddish			1							11 - 74.0 (3)
	brown, moist (CL/ML) [Gia	iciai 1111]			ss 2		6-8-9 N=17					PP = 3.5* tsf
 _1005	grades to stiff, mottled red	ldish brown and gray brown			SS 3		5-4-5 N=9		14.0			PP = 1.5 tsf
	grades to stiff to very stiff,	dark reddish brown		  10	SS 4		4-10-8 N=18					PP = 1.5 tsf
 <u>1000</u>  	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1 following drilling <b>SILTY SAND</b> with gravel, with silty clay dense, dark reddish browr	2 feet immediately (CL/ML) lenses, very n, moist (SM) [Glacial Till]	12.5'		SS 5		17-31- 50/4"					PP = 1.5 tsf
<u>995</u> 	grades to dark gray brown	n [Alluvium]										
			20.01	20	6		4-14-50/3"		6.0			
	BASE OF BORI	NG AT 20.0 FEET		<u>, 20</u>				1	1	1		
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	28/20	FINISH	HED:	4/28/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 6.5 ft	OLSS( 3990 FO	ON, INC	FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		DENVER, CO	LORAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD					MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	)US FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	<b>olsson</b>	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-66</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	1017	1 Addited	0								
 <u>1015</u>	organic-poor clay, brown, n SILTY CLAY	noist			ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.5 tsf
	<pre>with said and graver and it mottled reddish brown and (CL/ML) [Glacial Till] grades to stiff to very stiff</pre>	gray brown, moist			U 2			1.3	19.9	115.1		PP = 3.0 tsf
 <u>1010</u>					SS 3		3-6-7 N=13					PP = 3.0* tsf
	grades to dark reddish brow	wn, very stiff to hard		  10	SS 4		7-12- 50/4.5"					PP = 2.0 tsf
 <u>1005</u>												
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 following drilling grades to dark gray brown	3 feet immediately		 <u>15</u>	SS 5		21-32-32 N=64		9.8			PP = 1.0* tsf
 <u>1000</u>												
	BASE OF BORIN				SS 6		6-9-14 N=23					PP = >4.5 tsf
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	HED:	4/22/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 3.0 ft	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S	INC TRF	FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	¥ 8.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	▼ Not Performed				MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R	

	olsson	BOREHOLE R	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEC	<b>D-67</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	014		0								
	organic-poor clay, grayish b	rown, moist			ss 1		2-2-2 N=4					PP = 1.5 tsf
 1010	with silt and trace sand, soft brown and gray, moist (CL) SILTY CLAY	to firm, mottled reddish [Glacial Till] 3.5'										
	with sand, soft to firm, mottle dark gray, moist (CL/ML) [G SILTY SAND	ed reddish brown and lacial Till] 5.5'			2			0.5	13.8	119.2		PP = 1.0 tsf
	with gravel, medium dense, (SM) [Alluvium]	dark gray brown, moist			SS 3		4-6-7 N=13					PP = 2.0 tsf
 1005	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 7.5 following drilling POORLY GRADED SAND	5 feet immediately			SS 4		5-6-8					
	with silt and gravel, medium moist (SP/SM) [Alluvium]	dense, dark gray brown,		<u>  10</u> 								
_1000  					ss 5		4-5-12 N=17		9.1			P-200 = 5.5%
995		20.0'		  20	SS 6							Cave-in - sample not recovered
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	OL SSON	INC			STAF	RTED:	4/2	21/20	FINISH	HED:	4/21/20
WD	$\underline{\vee}$ 5.0 II	3990 FOX S	TRE	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		DENVER, COLOF	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD						MET	HOD: COM	ITINUC	OUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R

PROJECT NAME CLIENT						GEO	<b>D-68</b>		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-09	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	017	<u>x' 1</u> , . <u>x</u>									
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich silty clay, LEAN CLAY	dark brown, moist		·	SS 1		3-3-5 N=8					PP = 1.5 tsf
	with slit and sand and trace dark brown to reddish browr	organics, firm to stiff, n, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]										
				5	ss 2		2-5-5 N=10		22.9			PP = 2.0 tsf
		6.0'										
1010	SILTY CLAY with silt and sand and grave mottled dark reddish brown	l, stiff to very stiff, and gray, moist (CL/ML)			SS 3		3-6-6 N=12					PP = 3.25 tsf
	[Glacial Till]											
	·⊻····· grades to firm; reddish brow	<i>m</i>		 <u>10</u>	ss 4		3-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.0 tsf
 <u>1005</u>	grades to very stiff, dark red	ldish brown			U 5				10.4			PP = 3.5 tsf
	grades to dark gray brown			  _ <u>15</u>	SS 6		5-8-11 N=19					PP = 3.5 tsf
1000												
	grades to with silty sand (SN	Л) seams			ss 7		7-13-25 N=38					PP = 2.0 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	20.0' G AT 20.0 FEET		20								
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/20/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 9.0 ft	OLSSON,		CT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 15.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF		.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u>					METI	HOD: CON		DUS FL	IGHT	AUGE	R

0	CC	0	nº.
	122		

PROJI			CLIEN	Т		0.0						
PRO.IF	ECT NUMBER	leids Solar		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Sa	vion,	LLC			
	020-	0986					Madisor	ι Coι	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft) ROOT ZONE	:1015	<u>x<sup>4</sup> 1<sub>1</sub>, x</u>	0								
	organic (corn) rich clay, br LEAN CLAY	rown, moist		 	U 1			0.4	27.3	91.8		PP = 3.0 tsf
  1010	stiff, mottled reddish brown (CL) [Glacial Till] SILTY CLAY	n and gray brown, moist		5	ss 2		5-4-4 N=8					PP = 0.5 tsf
	with sand and gravel, firm, gray brown; molst (℃L/ML, grades to stiff, dark reddis	, mottled reddish brown and ) [Glaclal Till] h brown			V ss		3-3-6					PP = 2 5* tsf
F -					3		N=9					2.0 131
					SS 4		4-8-10 N=18					PP = 3.0 tsf
  	grades to very stiff, dark g Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1	ray brown 2 5 feet immediately			/ N							
 _1000	following drilling	,		 _ 15	SS 5		9-9-9 N=18					PP = 3.5* tsf
	arades to with silty sand (	SM) seems very stiff to										
 995	hard	20 0'			SS 6		9-12-14 N=26					PP = >4.5 tsf
	BASE OF BORI	NG AT 20.0 FEET										
						STAF	RTED:	4/2	24/20	FINISH	HED:	4/24/20
WD	WD         ☑ 6.0 ft         OLSSON, IN           3990 FOX STR         3990 FOX STR					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 5.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD						METI	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FL	IGHT	AUGEI	7

0	CC	0	nº.
	122	U	

## BOREHOLE REPORT NO. GEO-70 Sheet 1 of 1

PROJ	ECT NAME		CLIEN	IT								
	Madison F	ields Solar			TION		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-	0986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	η Οοι	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Shelby Tube	Split Spoon	GRAPHIC	DEPTH (ff)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft) ROOT ZONE	:1016	<u>x4 1/7</u>	<u>0</u>								
1015	organic (corn) rich clay, br	own moist	1.0'									
L _	LEAN CLAY			· .	U - 1				25.4	94.0		PP = 2.5 tsf
	with silt and sand and trac stiff, mottled reddish brown (CL) [Glàcial Till]	e organics, stiff to very n and gray brown, moist	<u>3.0'</u>				6-7-8					
	SILTY CLAY			5	2		N=15					PP = 3.0 tsf
1010	with sand and gravel, stiff reddish brown and gray br [Glacial Till]	to very stiff, mottled own, moist (CL/ML)					245					
	grades to dark reddish bro	wn			- 3		N=9					PP = 1.5* tsf
	grades to verv stiff. dark g	rav brown		10	$ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $ $		5-7-10 N=17					PP = 3.0 tsf
	grades to hard, with silty s	and (SM) lenses			ss -		13-16-19		8.6			PP = >4.5 tsf
 1000	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 1 following drilling	3 feet immediately		15			11-33					
			17.0'									
F -	SILTY SAND											
	with gravel, very dense, da [Alluvium]	ark gray brown, moist (SM)										
			20.01	···			6-17-25 N=42					
	BASE OF BORIN	IG AT 20.0 FEET	20.0	<u> ·: </u> 20								
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINISH	HED:	4/27/20
WD         ∑ 3.5 ft         OLSSON, IN           2000 EOX STE         2000 EOX STE						DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		LORA		216	DRIL	LER: M.W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD		, •••				MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	)US FI	IGHT	AUGE	R

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-71		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	:LASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	011		0		0						
<u>1010</u>	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	wn, moist			SS 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.0 tsf
	with silt and sand and trace mottled reddish brown and g [Glacial Till]	organics, firm to stiff, gray brown, moist (CL)						0.3	17.5	107.6		DD - 2.5 tef
	$1^{1}$ $2^{\pm}$ $2^{\pm}$ $2^{\pm}$ with silt and sand and grave	el, stiff to very stiff		5	2			0.5	17.5	107.0		11 - 2.5 (5)
<u>1005</u>	grades to reddish brown	aat immediataly following			SS 3		3-3-6 N=9					PP = 2.0 tsf
.	drilling	eet minediatery following										
	grades to stiff, with silty san	d (SM) lenses			SS 4		5-6-6 N=12		13.4			PP = 1.25 tsf
<u>1000</u> 	grades to very stiff to hard,	dark gray brown			-							
				 <u>15</u>	SS 5		8-8-11 N=19					PP = 4.0 tsf
<u>995</u> 					-							
		20.0'			SS 6		4-6-10 N=16		13.7			PP = 4.5 tsf
WA.						STAF	RTED:	4/2	28/20	FINISH	HED:	4/28/20
WD	$\nabla$ 4.5 ft	ft OLSSON, INC 3990 FOX STRE					L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 0.0 It alter 0 HIS	3990 FOX STE 3990 FOX STE DENVER, COLORA					LER: M.V	VENTL		LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD						MET	HOD: CON	ITINUC	OUS FI	IGHT	AUGE	R

PROJECT NAME CLI						GEO	D-72		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madisor	י ר Cou	unty,	Ohio	)	
(ft) (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1010	ROOT ZONE		<b>1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1</b>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY with silt and sand and grave	wn, moist	<b>1.0</b> (7.3.4,*		SS 1		3-5-7 N=12		14.6			PP = 3.0* tsf
	to very stiff, mottled reddish moist (CL) [Glacial Till]			ss 2		6-10-9 N=19					PP = 3.0* tsf	
	.⊻ grades to dark reddish brow	'n			SS 3		6-6-12 N=18					PP = 2.5 tsf
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 6.5	5 feet immediately	8.0'									
 _1005	SILTY SAND with gravel, medium dense, moist (SM) [Alluvium]	dark reddish brown,			SS 4		11-12-13 N=25		9.6			P-200 = 16.3%
  <u>1000</u> 	<b>SILTY CLAY</b> with sand and gravel and si medium dense to dense, da (CL/ML) [Alluvium]	1 Ity sand (SM) lenses, Irk gray brown, moist	14.0'	   	SS 5		12-15-16 N=31					PP = 4.5 tsf
 995		2	20.0'	 20	SS 6		12-19-20 N=39					
	BASE OF BORING	5 AT 20.0 FEET										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	22/20	FINISH	HED:	4/22/2
WD	<u>⊽</u> 6.5 ft	N, INC STRE	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D5	
IAD	<u>▼</u> 1.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COL	ORAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	Not Performed				METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER						R	

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-73		S	shee	et 1	of 2	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Cou	untv.	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1	1015		0								
	COOT ZONE organic (corn) rich silty clay LEAN CLAY with silt and sand and trace	, dark brown, moist			ss 1		2-3-3 N=6					PP = 1.0 tsf
	brown, moist (CL) [Glacial ٦ grades to with sand, very st brown and gray	Till] tiff to hard, mottled reddish			U 2				21.3	111.4		PP = 4.5 tsf
	grades to with gravel, stiff to 	o very stiff, reddish brown			SS 3		3-5-6 N=11					PP = 1.75 tsf
	grades to very stiff to hard,	dark reddish brown			SS 4		8-8-14 N=22		15.0			PP = 4.0 tsf
  <u>1000</u>	grades to stiff to very stiff, d	lark gray brown		   15	SS 5		5-5-5 N=10					PP = 3.5 tsf
	SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, firm, o	17.5' dark gray brown, moist										
 <u>995</u> 	(CL/ML) [Glacial Till] Driller's Note: Cave-in to 19 following drilling	) feet immediately		 	SS 6		2-3-4 N=7		14.3			PP = 1.0 tsf
 					∕∕ ss		3-5-5					PP = 2.5 tef
990		25.0'		25	7		N=10					11 - 2.0 (8)
<u> </u>	CONTINUED	NEXT PAGE										
WA						STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/29/20
WD	<u>∨</u> 4.5 ft	3990 FOX S	<b>IRE</b>	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ /.5 π aπer 0 Hrs	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u> <u> </u> <u> </u> Not Performed				METHOD: CTS FLIGHT AUGER / HOLLOW STEM							

	olsson	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-73		S	hee	et 2	of 2	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-(	)986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	1 Coi	untv.	Ohio	)	
LEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL D	Shelby Tube ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	MPLE TYPE NUMBER	<b>SSIFICATION</b> (USCS)	3LOWS/6" N-VALUE	JNC. STR. (tsf)	AOISTURE (%)	KY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
ш 990				25	SA	CLA			2	Ъ		
	SILTY CLAY			20								
	with sand and gravel, firm moist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	to stiff, dark gray brown,										
985	-			30	SS 8		6-6-9 N=15					PP = 1.75 tsf
	SILTY CLAYEY SAND	31.5'										
	varies to sandy silt (ML), d moist (SC/SM) [Glacial Till	ense, dark gray brown, ]					45.04.45					
_980	-			35	9		N=36		17.4			
		37.5'										
	with sand and gravel, very brown, moist (CL/ML) [Gla	stiff to hard, dark gray cial Till]			SS 10		12-18-34 N=52					PP = 2.5* tsf
975		41.0'		40								
	SILTY CLAYEY SAND											
	with gravel, very dense, da (SC/SM) [Alluvium]	ark gray brown, moist					50///					<b>B</b> 000 00 00/
 _ 970	-			45	SS 11		50/4"		10.2			P-200 = 23.9%
	-											
	-											
 965	-	50.0'		 50	SS 12		25-30-38 N=68					
	BASE OF BORIN	IG AT 50.0 FEET										
WA			INC			STAF	RTED:	4/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	4/29/20
WD	$4.5 \pi$	3990 FOX ST	RE	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD		▼ 7.5 ft after 0 Hrs DENVER, COLORA				DRIL	LER: M. W	WENTLAND LOGGED BY: J. FOSTER				
AD						METH	HOD: CTS	TS FLIGHT AUGER / HOLLO				STEM

	olsson	BOREHOLE R	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	D-74		S	hee	et 1	of 2
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	T		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJ	ECT NUMBER 020-0	)986		LOCA	TION		Madisor	י ו Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft): ROOT ZONE	1011	<u></u>	0								
<u>1010</u>	organic (grass) rich silty cla LEAN CLAY	0.8' ay, dark brown, moist			SS 1		3-3-5 N=8					PP = 1.75 tsf
	with silt and sand and trace brown, moist (CL) [Glacial	e organics, firm, dark gray Till]			-							
	⊻grades to with silt and sand stiff, mottled reddish brown	d'and gravel, stiff to very and gray brown			U 2			0.8	14.8	118.3	34/16	PP = 4.0 tsf
<u>1005</u>	grades to dark reddish brou	wn			SS 3		7-9-10 N=19					PP = 3.0 tsf
	_											
	-			 _ 10	ss 4		10-14-20 N=34		12.8			PP = 3.0* tsf
_1000 	-				-							
	grades to sandy, stiff, dark	gray brown		 	SS 5		3-7-6 N=13					PP = 1.75 tsf
<u>995</u> 	-				-							
	grades to firm to very stiff,	wet		  <u>20</u>	SS 6		14-15-16 N=31		22.8			P-200 = 59.5% PP = 0.25 tsf
<u>990</u> 	-											
	-	25 0'			SS 7		12-14-17 N=31		22.0			PP = 0.25 tsf
	CONTINUED	NEXT PAGE										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	29/20	FINISH	HED:	4/30/20
WD	4.0 ft	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S	INC TRF	FT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ Not Performed	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	0 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u>					MET	HOD: HOL	LOW	STEM	AUGE	R	

Т

Т

ĺ	PROJECT NAME Madison Fields Solar					GEO	<b>D-74</b>		S	hee	et 2 o	of 2
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty.	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
985 	LEAN CLAY with sand and gravel, stiff to wet (CL) [Glacial Till]	o hard, dark gray brown, 27.5			-							
  <u>- 980</u>	with gravel, very dense, da (SC/SM) [Alluvium]	rk gray brown, wet		30	SS 8		10-43- 50/5"					
  <u>- 975</u>	grades to medium dense, v sand with silt (SP/SM)	aries to poorly graded			SS 9		4-12-16 N=28		10.1			
  <u>970</u>	grades to very dense	lencountered at 43.5 feet		40	SS 10		8-11-12 N=23					
	Driller's Note: Auger refusa on an apparent cobble or b due to refusal and inclimate <b>REFUSAL A</b> T	l encountered at 43.5 feet oulder; drilling terminated <u>43.5</u> e weather // <b>F 43.5 FEET</b>	,		11		N=68					
WA WD IAD	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S DENVER, COLOI	, INC TRE RAD	ET O 802	216	STAF DRIL DRIL MET	RTED: L CO.: LER: M. V HOD: HOI	4/2 OLS VENTL	29/20 SON AND STEM	FINISH DRILL LOGG	IED: RIG: ED BY: R	4/30/20 DIEDRICH D50 J. FOSTER

	<b>OISSON</b>	EPC	ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-75</b>		S	hee	et 1	of 1	
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	IT		Sa	vion,	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCA	TION		Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)		Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	wn, moist		· 	ss 1		2-2-3 N=5					PP = 2.0 tsf
1015	with silt and sand and trace dark gray brown, moist (CL	organics, firm to stiff, ) [Glacial Till]										
	⊻grades to with gravel, mottle brown	ed reddish brown and gray 5.5'			U 2			2.1	12.8	124.2		PP = 3.0 tsf
	SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, stiff to brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glac	o very stiff, dark reddish ial Till]			SS 3		5-5-10 N=15					PP = 1.5* tsf
_1010	SILTY SAND	8.0'	<u> HHH</u>		-							
	with gravel, medium dense, moist (SM) [Alluvium]	dark reddish brown,			SS 4		11-12-15 N=27					PP = 1.0* tsf
  <u>1005</u>	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 10 following drilling SILTY CLAY with sand and gravel, very s	feet immediately <u>11.5'</u> stiff to hard, dark gray			-							
					SS 5		8-8-12 N=20					PP = 4.5 tsf
  _1000												
		20.0'		20	SS 6		8-8-13 N=21					PP = >4.5 tsf
WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS						STAF	RTED:	4/2	24/20	FINISH	HED:	4/24/20
WD $\Sigma$ 4.0 ft OLSSON, INC						DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	▼ 10.0 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF		⊂ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. V	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD				MET	HOD: CON	TINUC	DUS FI	LIGHT	AUGE	R		

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO			ORT	NO.	GEO	<b>D-76</b>	Sheet 1 of 1					
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion. LLC								
PROJE	ECT NUMBER	986		LOCA	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi	untv.	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1 ROOT ZONE	017	<u>7, 1</u> %. 7,	0								
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich silty clay,	, dark brown, moist			SS 1		2-2-4 N=6					PP = 2.5 tsf
	with silt and sand and trace organics, firm to stiff, dark gray brown, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]											
	grades to stiff, mottled redd	ish brown and gray brown			U 2			0.7	26.1	102.8		PP = 1.5 tsf
	. <u>¥</u>											
1010	grades to dark reddish brow	in 8.01			SS 3							PP = 2.5* tsf
	SILTY CLAY	0.0										
	with sand and gravel, stiff, c (CL/ML) [Glacial Till]	lark gray brown, moist		 _ 10	SS 4		8-12-13 N=25		11.8			PP = 2.0* tsf
 _ <u>1005</u>  	grades to firm to stiff				SS 5		4-4-10 N=14					PP = 1.0 tsf
 	grades to stiff to very stiff	60 A			SS 6		7-9-13 N=22					PP = 2.5* tsf
	BASE OF BORING		<u>mm</u>	1 20	<u>v N</u>	1	<u> </u>					
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	23/20	FINISH	HED:	4/23/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 5.0 ft	OLSSON,		CT		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	<u>▼</u> 5.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, COLOF		C 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD	▼ Not Performed				MET	METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER						

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO			ORT	NO.	GEO-77 Sheet 1 of 1								
PROJECT NAME Madison Fields Solar					CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCATION Madison County, Ohio									
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
4000	ROOT ZONE	4.01	<u> </u>	v ·									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	wn, moist			SS 1		3-3-4 N=7					PP = 2.0 tsf	
	with sand and trace organic reddish brown and gray bro Till1	s, firm to stiff, mottled <b>3.0'</b> wn, moist (CL) [Glacial											
	SILTY CLAY	o stiff mottled reddish		5	SS 2		3-3-3 N=6					PP = 3.0* tsf	
1015	brown and gray brown, mois  grades to very stiff to hard,	st (CL/ML) [Glacial Till] dark reddish brown			U				11.6	121.3	21/6	PP = >4.5 tsf	
	_				3								
				10	SS 4		6-11-7 N=18					PP = 2.0* tsf	
1010													
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 11 following drilling SILTY SAND	feet immediately 12.5											
	with gravel, medium dense, (SM) [Alluvium]	dark gray brown, moist			SS 5		4-10-18 N=28						
1005													
	SILTY CLAY	19.0° 20.0'			SS 6		6-9-11 N=20					PP = >4.5 tsf	
	with sand and gravel, very stiff to hard, dark gray brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till] BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	24/20	FINISH	IED:	4/24/20	
WD	$_{\rm D}$ $\bigtriangledown$ 6.5 ft OLSSON, INC					DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD		DENVER, COLOF		:⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER	
AD					METI	METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER							

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO					ORT NO. GEO-78 Sheet 1 of 1								
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion. LLC									
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCATION Madison County, Ohio									
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
1020	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):1 ROOT ZONE	1020	<u>x1 /x(</u>	0									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	wn, moist			ss 1		3-3-4 N=7		22.2			PP = 1.5 tsf	
	with sand and trace organic reddish brown and gray bro Till]	es, firm to stiff, mottled <b>3.0'</b> wwn, moist (CL) [Glacial											
							3-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.5* tsf	
		o very stiff, mottled wn; moist (CL/ML)											
	grades to dark reddish brow	vn			SS 3		4-4-5 N=9					PP = 2.0 tsf	
  <u>1010</u>	grades to very stiff to hard, dark gray brown			  _ <u>10</u>	U 4				11.1	129.1		PP = 4.25 tsf	
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 11 following drilling	.5 feet immediately			-								
 1005	grades to with silty sand (Sl	M) lenses, stiff to very stiff		 15	SS 5		5-7-8 N=15					PP = 2.5 tsf	
	grades to very stiff to hard												
	gradoo to rory din to hard	20.01			ss 6		4-7-13 N=20					PP = 4.5 tsf	
1000	BASE OF BORING		<u>nnni</u>	1 20		1	<u> </u>						
WA	FER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	23/20	FINISH	HED:	4/23/20	
WD	<u>⊽</u> 4.5 ft	OLSSON,		ст		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50	
IAD		DENVER, COLOF		.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER	
AD	<u> </u>	,				MET	METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER						

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO				ORT	NO.	GEO-79 Sheet 1 of 1						of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCATION Madison County, Ohio								
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon MATERIAL DI APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft):	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	O DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u>×1 /v</u> . <u>×</u>									
 <u>1015</u>	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	wn, moist			SS 1		2-2-4 N=6					PP = 2.0 tsf
	with silt and sand and trace mottled reddish brown and [Glacial Till]	e organics, firm to stiff, gray brown, moist (CL)			U				47.4			
	··⊻·····grades to with sand and gra	avel; very stiff to hard · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		_5_	2				17.1	112.3		PP = >4.5 tsf
 <u>1010</u>					SS 3		5-7-9 N=16					PP = 3.0* tsf
		vn			SS 4		10-14-15 N=29					PP = 3.0* tsf
  <u>1005</u>												
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 13 following drilling SILTY CLAY	B feet immediately 13.5'			ss Ss		2-5-6		10.4			PP = 3.5* tsf
	with sand and gravel, stiff t brown, moist (CL/ML) [Glac	o very stiff, dark gray sial Till]		<u> </u>	5		IN=11					
1000		18.0'										
	SILTY SAND						0.4.4.0					
	dense, dark gray brown, m	oist (SM) [Alluvium] 20.0'		20	6		N=30					
	BASE OF BORIN											
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	25/20	FINISH	HED:	4/25/20
WD	4.5 ft	OLSSON,				DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	¥ 9.5 ft after 0 Hrs	JENVER, COLOF		.⊏ I O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD		·			METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER							

OISSON BOREHOLE REPO				ORT NO. GEO-80 Sheet 1 of 1						of 1		
PROJE	ECT NAME Madison Fie	elds Solar		CLIENT Savion, LLC								
PROJE	CT NUMBER 020-0	986		LOCATION Madison County, Ohio								
	Split Spoon MATERIAL DE	Shelby Tube	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	ROOT ZONE	1015	<u></u>	0								
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	wn, moist		- - -	SS 1		3-4-6 N=10					PP = 1.0* tsf
	with silt and sand and grave brown, moist (CL) [Glacial ]	ith silt and sand and gravel, firm to stiff, reddish rown_moist (CL) IGlacial Till]			-							
1010	grades to stiff to very stiff, n gray brown	nottled reddish brown and			SS 2		7-8-9 N=17					PP = 3.0* tsf
	grades to dark reddish brow	h brown			SS 3		5-5-5 N=10					PP = 3.5 tsf
  <u>1005</u>			  _ 10	U 4				13.3	123.1	23/8	PP = >4.5 tsf	
	grades to very stiff to hard, dark gray brown											
 _ <u>1000</u> 				<u>15</u>	SS 5		9-11-12 N=23					PP = 4.0* tsf
	•				-							
995	grades to stiff to very stiff	20.0'		 20	SS 6		3-5-6 N=11					PP = 2.75 tsf
	BASE OF BORING	G AT 20.0 FEET										
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	4/2	27/20	FINISH	HED:	4/27/20
WD	<u>⊽</u> 7.0 ft	OLSSON,	INC			DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	<u>▼</u> 18.5 ft after 0 Hrs	3990 FOX S DENVER, COLOF		ヒI O 80:	216	DRIL	LER: M.V	VENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY:	J. FOSTER
AD	<u> </u>					METI	HOD: CON	ITINUC	DUS FL	IGHT	AUGEI	۲

0	CC	0	n'
	122		

PROJECT NAME					CLIENT Savion LLC								
PROJE		leius Soiai			LOCATION								
	020-0	0986		I		Madison County, Ohio						[	
ELEVATION (ft)	Split Spoon	ESCRIPTION		GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
1015	APPROX. SURFACE ELEV. (ft)	:1015		<u></u>	0								
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY with silt and sand and trac	own, moist	<u>1.0'</u> 1.5'			SS 1		3-4-4 N=8					PP = 2.5 tsf
1010	SILTY CLAY with sand, stiff to very stiff	, mottled reddish brown				SS 2		4-8-8 N=16					PP = 1.75 tsf
	and gray brown, moist (CL	/ML) [Giaciai Tilij	6.0'										
	SILTY SAND with gravel, medium dense moist (SM) [Alluvium]	e, dark reddish brown,				ss 3		10-12-13 N=25		7.5			P-200 = 25.6%
	Driller's Note: Cave-in to 6 following drilling	.8 feet immediately			 	SS 4		8-10-13 N=23					
   1000	<b>SILTY CLAY</b> with sand and gravel, very brown, moist (CL/ML) [Gla	/ stiff to hard, dark gray acial Till]	13.0'			ss 5		9-11-21 N=32					PP = 3.5* tsf
	SILTY SAND with trace gravel, medium	dense, dark gray brown,	17.5'			V ss		5-6-15					
995			20.0'		20	6		N=21		16.0			
	BASE OF BORING AT 20.0 FEET												
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				_		STA	RTED:	4/2	25/20	FINISH	HED:	4/25/20
WD		3990 FC	DX S		ET		DRIL	L CO.:	OLS	SON	DRILL	RIG:	DIEDRICH D50
IAD	<u>▼</u> 5.5 ft after 0 Hrs	DENVER, CC	COR	RAD	O 802	216	DRIL	LER: M. W	/ENTL	AND	LOGG	ED BY	J. FOSTER
AD						MET	METHOD: CONTINUOUS FLIGHT AUGER						
# APPENDIX D TEST PIT LOGS

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPORT NO.						S	shee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	iolds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		00	<b>v</b> ion,		, 		
	020-0	0986					P-12 Sheet 1 of 1					
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u> </u>									
	organic (corn) rich silty cla	y, dark brown, moist <b>1.5</b> '	17 · <u>·</u> · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	LEAN CLAY with silt, sand, and gravel,	moist (CL) [Glacial Till]										
	grades to with sand, trace											
			10									
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED.	5/1	12/20	FINIS	HED.	E/10/00	
WD	$\overline{\mathbf{\nabla}}$ Not Encountered	OLSSON,	INC	)		DRII	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILI	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed			ET	216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	
AD			ENVER, COLORADO 8				HOD: BAC	KHOE		_		2. 17.001

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPORT				19		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	iolde Solar		CLIEN	Г		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		3a	<u>vion,</u>				
	020-0	)986					Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohic	)	
ELEVATION (ff)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u></u>									
	organic (corn) heavy silty c	ay topsoil, dark brown										
	trace sand and gravel, bro	wn, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]		  								
	grades to with sand and gr		  10									
WA	ER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/1	4/20	FINISH	HED:	5/14/20
WD	☑ Not Encountered	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S		;. ;FT		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed	DENVER, COLOF	3990 FOX STREE DENVER, COLORADO			DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOR
AD		·	DENVER, COLORADO 8					KHOE				

	olsson	TEST PIT R	TEST PIT REPORT NO.						S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJ		ialda Salar		CLIEN	Г		<u> </u>					
PROJE	ECT NUMBER	leids Solar		LOCAT	ION		Sa	ivion,	LLC			
	020-0	0986					Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u>x<sup>1</sup> 1<sub>N</sub> .x<sup>1</sup></u>									
	organic (grass) rich silty cl	ay, dark brown, moist	1/1 · <u>1</u> /1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/	· 								
	LEAN CLAY	2.0*										
	trace sand and gravel, bro	wn, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]										
			5									
	WELL-GRADED GRAVEL	7.0'										
	sandy with clay, trace cobl											
	BASE OF TEST F	<u>10.0</u> PIT AT 10.0 FEET		10								
WA <sup>.</sup>	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	5/1	14/20	FINISH	HED:	5/14/20	
WD	⊈ 7.1 ft	ULSSON 3990 FOX S	, INC STRF	,. EFT		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed	DENVER, COLO	3990 FOX STREET DENVER, COLORADO 8			DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOF
AD	I ▼ Not Performed			MET	HOD: BAC	KHOF						

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPO			TP-2	25		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJE	ECT NAME Madison F	ields Solar		CLIEN	Г		Sa	vion.	LLC	;		
PROJE	ECT NUMBER	1986		LOCAT	ION		Madiso	n Coi	intv	Ohio	<b>`</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ff)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE organic (corn) heavy silty of brown LEAN CLAY trace sand and gravel, ligh (CL) [Glacial Till] grades to with sand and gr	2. (corn) heavy silty clay topsoil, dark grayish   CLAY   and and gravel, light brown with gray, moist   Slacial Till]   to with sand and gravel, trace cobbles   9.0'   EL   with clay, light brown, wet to moist (GW)   I Till]   BASE OF TEST PIT AT 10.0 FEET										
9.0' GRAVEL sandy with clay, light brown, wet to moist (GW) [Glacial Till] BASE OF TEST PIT AT 10.0 FEET												
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS OLSSON, I			<u> </u>		STAF	RTED:	5/1	11/20	FINISH	HED:	5/11/20
IAD	▼ Not Performed	3990 FOX STREE			216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY:	R TAROR
AD	✓ Not Performed		DENVER, COLORADO			MET	HOD: BAC	KHOE				

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPORT NO.						S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI				CLIEN	Т		0.0					
PROJE	ECT NUMBER	eids Solar		LOCAT	ION		Sa	vion,	LLC			
	020-0	986	1			1	Madiso	n Coı	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL DI	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									 
	organic (corn) heavy silty c	lay topsoil, dark reddish	<u></u>									l
	LEAN CLAY with trace sand and gravel moist (CL) [Glacial Till]	light brown with gray,										
	grades to with sand, trace g											
	BASE OF TEST P	IT AT 10.0 FEET	<u> </u>									
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/1	2/20	FINISH	HED:	5/12/20
WD	au Not Encountered	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S		;. :FT		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	✓ Not Performed	DENVER, COLOF	RAD		216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOR
AD		• -	JENVER, COLORADO				HOD: BAC	KHOE				

	olsson	TEST PIT REPORT NO.					32		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	iolde Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		Ja	vion,				
<u> </u>	020-0	0986					Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohic	<b>)</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	o DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE organic (corn) heavy silty of brown LEAN CLAY with sand, trace gravel, gra moist (CL) [Glacial Till] grades to with gravel 	1.0'										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS ☑ 7.5 ft	INC			STAF	RTED: L CO.:	5/1 DYNA	1/20 HOE	FINISH	IED: RIG:	5/11/20 CAT 316	
IAD	▼ Not Performed	3990 FOX STREE DENVER, COLORADO			216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOR
AD	${ar Y}$ Not Performed		ENVER, COLORADO 8				HOD: BAC	KHOE				

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPORT N				34		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	iolde Solar		CLIEN	Г		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		3a	vion,				
	020-0	)986					Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohic	<b>)</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	O DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u> </u>									
	organic (corn) rich silty cla	y, dark brown, moist										
	trace sand and gravel, bro	wn, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]										
	large boulder noted at 6.5		<u>5</u>   10									
	BASE OF TEST F	PIT AT 10.0 FEET										
	BASE OF TEST PIT AT 10.0 FEET											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	EL OBSERVATIONS				STAF	RTED:	5/1	4/20	FINISH	HED:	5/14/20
WD	☑ Not Encountered	OLSSON,		;. :ЕТ		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	✓ Not Performed	DENVER, COLOF	3990 FOX STREE DENVER, COLORADO			DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOR
AD		,		-	METH	HOD: BAC	KHOE	1				

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPORT				35		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION							
	020-0	)986					Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	<b>)</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich silty clay	, dark brown, moist <b>1.4</b>										
	LEAN CLAY trace sand and gravel, brow	wn, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]		  								
	grades to with sand and gr		  10									
\\/A	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF		5/2	21/20	FINIS	HED.	E 104 100
WD	$\overline{\nabla}$ Not Encountered	OLSSON,	INC						HOF		RIG.	0/21/20 CAT 316
	▼ Not Performed	3990 FOX S		ET	246		L 50		HOF			
AD	✓ Not Performed	DEINVER, COLUI	ENVER, COLORADO			METI	HOD: BAC	KHOF				D. TADUR

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPORT NO.						S	shee	et 1	of 1	
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	iolde Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion					
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		Ja	vion,	LLC	,			
	020-0	0986					36 Sheet 1 of 1 Savion, LLC Madison County, Ohio						
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS	
	ROOT ZONE		<u> </u>										
	organic (corn) rich silty cla	y, dark brown, moist 1.6'	11. 11.										
	LEAN CLAY												
	trace sand and gravel, bro	wn, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]											
	grades to with sand and gr	ravel, trace cobbles		5									
	BASE OF TEST F	<u>10.0'</u> PIT AT 10.0 FEET		10									
WA						STAF	RTED:	5/2	21/20	FINIS	HED:	5/01/00	
WD	☑ Not Encountered	OLSSON,	INC			DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316	
	▼ Not Performed			ET	246		I FR <sup>.</sup>		HOF		FD BY		
	V Not Performed	DEINVER, COLUI	ENVER, COLORADO			METI				_000		D. TADUK	
						1 · · · · · · · · ·							

	olsson	TEST PIT R	TEST PIT REPORT NO.						S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fig	lde Solar		CLIEN	Т		<b>S</b> 2	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION			•1011,				
	020-09	986				TP-39 Sheet 1 of 1						
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL DE	SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	1.0'	<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	wn, moist										
	trace sand and gravel, brow [Glacial Till]	and and gravel, brown with gray, moist (CL) Till]										
	grades to with sand, gravel,											
	boulder noted at 8.5 feet											
14/ 4						OTAF		- <i>1</i>				
	$\nabla$ Not Encountered	OLSSON,	INC	).								5/20/20
	▼ Not Performed			ET	216	DRII	LER:	DYNA	HOF	LOGG	ED BY	
AD	✓ Not Performed	DEIVER, COLUI	ENVER, COLORADO				HOD: BAC	KHOE				D. TADUR

OISSON TEST PIT REPORT						TP-4	48		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison E	iolde Solar		CLIEN	Г		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		3a	<u>vion,</u>				
<u> </u>	020-0	0986					Madiso	n Coi	unty,	Ohic	<b>)</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	DESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		. <u></u>									
	organic-poor clay, dark gra	ay brown, moist	1/ · <u>· · · ·</u>	·								
	LEAN CLAY	2.0*										
	with sand, trace gravel, da [Glacial Till]	ark gray brown, moist (CL)										
	grades to with gravel, trace		5									
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/2	20/20	FINISH	HED:	5/20/20
WD	⊈ 6.0 ft	OLSSON,	INC	).		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed		3990 FOX STREE		216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOR
AD			<u> </u>	0	METH	HOD: BAC	KHOE					

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	TEST PIT REPORT NO.						S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER	986		LOCAT	ION		Madiso	n Coi	intv	Ohio	<b>`</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL DE	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6"	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	wn, moist1.5'	17 · <u>x*17</u>									
	LEAN CLAY trace sand, gravel, and cob [Glacial Till]	bles, brown, moist (CL)										
	grades to with sand, trace of boulders, dark brown, wet											
WA	WATER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/1	14/20	FINIS	HED:	5/14/20
WD	⊈ 7.5 ft	OLSSON,	INC	).		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed		3990 FOX STREET			DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B TABOR
AD	▼ Not Performed		ENVER, COLORADO				HOD: BAC	KHOF				2.17.201

[	olsson	TEST PIT R	EPC	ORT	NO.	TP-	59		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	ields Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion,	LLC	;		
PROJI	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION			,				
<u> </u>	020-0	)986					Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	<b>)</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	own, moist 1.6'	17. 11,									
	LEAN CLAY											
	trace sand, gravel, and col [Glacial Till]	bbles, brown, moist (CL)										
	SILTY CLAY	7.0*		- 1								
	with sand and gravel, soft brown and gray brown, mo	to firm, mottled reddish ist (CL/ML) [Glacial Till] 10.0										
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/1	16/20	FINISI	HED:	5/16/2
WD	⊈ 6.5 ft	OLSSON,	INC	).		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	Vot Performed				216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	
AD	✓ Not Performed				210	MET	HOD: BAC	KHOF				5. 1ADOI

	olsson	TEST PIT R	EPC	DRT	NO.	TP-6	64		S	shee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fig	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		Madiaa	n Co.		Ohio		
	020-03	980				_	wauso		linty,		<b>,</b>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL DE	SCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	wn, moist1.4	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·									
	LEAN CLAY											
	trace sand and gravel, brow	/n, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]										
	grades to trace cobbles and	l boulders										
<u> </u>	BASE OF TEST PI	10.0 T AT 10.0 FEET	)' <i>/////</i>	10								
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/1	16/20	FINISH	HED:	5/16/20
WD	☑ Not Encountered	OLSSON	, INC	). 		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed	JUNCE SUBJECT		:= I 08 O	216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY:	B. TABOR
AD	Vot Performed	,				MET	HOD: BAC	KHOF	1			

ſ	olsson	TEST PIT	RE	PO	RTI	NO. <sup>-</sup>	TP-6	66		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJ	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar			CLIEN	Г		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER 020-0	986			LOCAT	ION		Madiso	n Coi	untv.	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL DI	ESCRIPTION		GRAPHIC LOG	0 DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE organic-poor clay, brown, n LEAN CLAY trace sand and gravel, gray [Glacial Till] ∑	noist ///	<u>1.0'</u>		  - 5  								
	BASE OF TEST P	IT AT 10.0 FEET	10.0'		10								
WA			ו אכ	NC			STAF	RTED:	5/2	21/20	FINIS	HED:	5/21/20
WD	⊻ 6.5 ft	3990 FO	X ST	RE	ET		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	V Not Performed	DENVER, COL	LOR	AD	O 80	216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY:	B. TABOF
AD	I ⊥ Not Performed						METH	HOD: BAC	KHOF				

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	EPC	)RT	NO.	TP-6	68		S	hee	et 1 (	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	ielde Solar		CLIEN	Г		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION			. 0.				
<u> </u>	020-0	)986					Madiso	n Col	unty,	Ohio	<b>)</b> 	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE	1.01	. <u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich silty cla	y, dark brown, moist										
	LEAN CLAY trace silt and sand and gra moist (CL) [Glacial Till]	evel, trace organics, brown,										
	grades to with silt, sand, a	nd gravel, trace cobbles		5								
<u> </u>	BASE OF TEST F	<u>10.0</u> PIT AT 10.0 FEET	//////	10								
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/1	1/20	FINISH	HED:	5/11/20
WD	$\overline{\mathbf{V}}$ Not Encountered	OLSSON, 3990 FOX S		;. :FT		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	080	216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY:	B. TABOR
AD		·				METI	HOD: BAC	KHOE				

	olsson	TEST PIT R	EPC	DRT	NO.	TP-7	70		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion				
PROJE	ECT NUMBER			LOCAT	ION		00					
	020-0	)986					Madiso	n Cou	unty,	Ohio	)	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL D	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ft)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE		<u></u>									
	organic (corn) rich clay, bro	own, moist1.5'	1/	·								
	LEAN CLAY											
	trace sand and gravel, brow	wn, moist (CL) [Glacial Till]										
	grades to with sand and gr	avel, trace cobbles										
	boulders noted at 8.5 feet											
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS	01 00 01				STAF	RTED:	5/1	16/20	FINIS	HED:	5/16/20
WD	☑ Not Encountered	ULSSUN	, INC	). :FT		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	✓ Not Performed	DENVER. COLO	RAD	-C I 00 80	216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOF
AD		,			-	METH	HOD: BAC	KHOE	1			

	olsson	TEST PIT RI	EPC	ORT	NO.	TP-7	71		S	hee	et 1	of 1
PROJI	ECT NAME Madison Fi	elds Solar		CLIEN	Т		Sa	vion.	LLC			
PROJE	ECT NUMBER	1986		LOCAT	ΓΙΟΝ		Madiso	n Coi		Ohio	<u> </u>	
ELEVATION (ft)	MATERIAL DI	ESCRIPTION	GRAPHIC LOG	DEPTH (ff)	SAMPLE TYPE NUMBER	CLASSIFICATION (USCS)	BLOWS/6" N-VALUE	UNC. STR. (tsf)	MOISTURE (%)	DRY DENSITY (pcf)	LL/PI (%)	ADDITIONAL DATA/ REMARKS
	ROOT ZONE organic (corn) rich clay, bro LEAN CLAY	own, moist <b>1.5'</b>		·								
	trace sand, gravel, and cob (CL) [Glacial Till]	bbles, light brown, moist										
	grades to with sand and gra	avel		5								
	boulder noted at 9.7 feet BASE OF TEST P	/10.0'		10								
WA	TER LEVEL OBSERVATIONS					STAF	RTED:	5/1	6/20	FINISH	HED:	5/16/20
WD		3990 FOX S	TRE	, EET		DRIL	L CO.:	DYNA	HOE	DRILL	RIG:	CAT 316
IAD	▼ Not Performed	DENVER, COLOF	RAD	08 0	216	DRIL	LER:	DYNA	HOE	LOGG	ED BY	B. TABOF
AD	🖳 🖳 Not Performed					MET	HOD: BAC	KHOE				

# APPENDIX E SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TEST RESULTS



### SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 1 OF 6

CLIENT: Savion, LLC PROJECT NAME: Madison Fields Solar **PROJECT NUMBER: 020-0986** PROJECT LOCATION: Madison County, Ohio MOISTURE DRY ATTERBERG LIMITS SAMPLE SATURATION UNCONFINED STRAIN VOID BORING USCS SAMPLE DENSITY DEPTH CONTENT STRENGTH P-200 RATIO (%) (%) NUMBER LIQUID PLASTIC PLASTIC CLASS. I.D. (pcf) (%) (ft) (tsf) INDEX LIMIT LIMIT SS-1 **GEO-01** 1.0 - 2.5' 24.0 82.2 **GEO-01** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 26.4 **GEO-01** U-3 6.0 - 8.0' 17.2 113.6 0.483 30 18 12 96.0 **GEO-02** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 21.5 **GEO-03 SS-6** 18.5 - 20.0' 13.6 **GEO-04** SS-1 1.0 - 2.5' 14.9 **GEO-05** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 33.7 30 17 13 67.4 CL **GEO-05** U-3 6.0 - 8.0' 13.9 SS-6 18.5 - 20.0' **GEO-05** 8.2 **GEO-06** U-2 3.5 - 5.5' 18.4 114.2 0.476 100.0 0.4 3.7 **GEO-06** SS-4 8.5 - 10.0' 15.2 **GEO-07** U-2 3.5 - 5.5' 19.8 105.9 0.591 90.6 **GEO-07 SS-5** 13.5 - 15.0' 17.1 **GEO-08** U-2 3.5 - 5.0' 14.9 125.3 0.345 100.0 **GEO-08 SS-3** 6.0 - 7.5' 11.3 20 14 6 **GEO-08** SS-4 8.5 - 10.0' 11.6 46.7 **GEO-08 SS-6** 18.5 - 20.0' 11.2 **GEO-09** U-3 6.0 - 7.5 13.8 131.2 0.285 100.0 1.4 9.7 **GEO-09 SS-5** 13.5 - 15.0' 11.7 **GEO-10** U-2 3.5 - 5.0' 13.7 112.8 0.494 74.7 **GEO-10** SS-5 14.2 13.5 - 15.0' **GEO-11** U-2 14.8 126.3 3.5 - 5.0' 0.334 100.0 SS-5 **GEO-11** 13.5 - 15.0' 11.9 **GEO-12** SS-3 6.0 - 7.5 12.6 19 14 5 **GEO-12** U-4 8.5 - 10.0' 12.5 138.9 0.213 100.0 **GEO-12 SS-6** 18.5 - 20.0' 14.2 **SS-3** 6.0 - 7.5' **GEO-13** 11.8 **GEO-13** U-6 9.9 2.1 15.0 - 17.0' 142.8 0.181 100.0 12.9 SS-1 **GEO-14** 1.0 - 2.5' 27.2 **GEO-14** U-5 10.0 - 12.0' 3.0 15.0



### SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 2 OF 6

CLIENT: Savion, LLC PROJECT NAME: Madison Fields Solar **PROJECT NUMBER: 020-0986** PROJECT LOCATION: Madison County, Ohio MOISTURE DRY ATTERBERG LIMITS SAMPLE SATURATION UNCONFINED STRAIN VOID BORING USCS SAMPLE DENSITY DEPTH CONTENT STRENGTH P-200 RATIO (%) (%) NUMBER LIQUID PLASTIC PLASTIC CLASS. I.D. (pcf) (%) (ft) (tsf) INDEX LIMIT LIMIT **GEO-15** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 27.3 **GEO-15** U-3 6.0 - 8.0' 25.3 110.0 0.533 100.0 **GEO-16** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 13.4 **GEO-16** U-5 10.5 - 12.5' 12.4 129.0 0.307 100.0 **GEO-17 SS-1** 1.0 - 2.5' 27.4 **GEO-17** U-5 10.0 - 12.0' 13.1 127.5 0.322 100.0 **GEO-18** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 19.1 **GEO-18** U-6 15.0 - 17.0' 12.7 136.8 0.232 100.0 **GEO-19 SS-2** 31.2 3.5 - 5.0' **GEO-19** SS-4 8.5 - 10.0' 12.5 **GEO-19 SS-7** 18.5 - 20.0' 14.2 **GEO-20** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 25.6 **GEO-20** U-6 16.0 - 18.0' 13.6 **GEO-21** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 17.6 89.8 **GEO-21** SS-3 6.0 - 7.5' 15.9 22 7 15 **GEO-21** SS-7 18.5 - 20.0' 14.4 **GEO-22** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 12.0 **GEO-23 SS-1** 1.0 - 2.5' 29.4 **GEO-23** U-3 6.0 - 7.5 14.5 122.2 0.379 100.0 1.6 12.4 **GEO-24** U-3 102.7 6.0 - 7.5' 14.3 0.642 60.2 **GEO-24** SS-5 13.5 - 15.0' 10.1 **GEO-25** U-2 13.4 122.9 2.6 3.5 - 5.5' 0.371 97.4 0.8 SS-5 **GEO-25** 13.5 - 15.0' 10.1 **GEO-26** SS-1 1.0 - 2.5'14.6 **GEO-26** U-5 10.5 - 12.5' 8.2 **GEO-27 SS-3** 6.0 - 7.5' 12.2 **GEO-27** 12.3 U-6 127.4 0.323 100.0 4.1 9.1 15.5 - 17.5' **GEO-28** U-2 3.5 - 5.5' 11.9 SS-5 8.2 **GEO-28** 13.5 - 15.0' **GEO-28 SS-6** 18.5 - 20.0' 10.9



### SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 3 OF 6

CLIENT: Savion, LLC PROJECT NAME: Madison Fields Solar **PROJECT NUMBER: 020-0986** PROJECT LOCATION: Madison County, Ohio MOISTURE DRY ATTERBERG LIMITS SAMPLE SATURATION UNCONFINED STRAIN VOID BORING USCS SAMPLE DENSITY DEPTH CONTENT STRENGTH P-200 RATIO (%) (%) NUMBER LIQUID PLASTIC PLASTIC CLASS. I.D. (pcf) (%) (ft) (tsf) INDEX LIMIT LIMIT **GEO-29 SS-1** 1.0 - 2.5' 28.4 0.221 **GEO-29** 10.5 - 12.5' U-5 11.0 138.1 100.0 **GEO-30 SS-1** 1.0 - 2.5'19.1 **GEO-30** U-2 3.5 - 5.0' 20.5114.1 0.477 100.0 0.3 13.8 **GEO-30** SS-4 8.5 - 10.0' 19.1 62.3 **GEO-31** U-2 3.5 - 5.5' 14.3 119.8 0.407 95.1 1.5 9.3 **GEO-31 SS-5** 13.5 - 15.0' 11.5 **GEO-32** U-2 3.5 - 5.5' 20.3 131.3 0.284 100.0 0.5 9.1 **GEO-32 SS-5** 7.5 13.5 - 14.5' **GEO-33** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 16.8 28 17 11 **GEO-33 SS-5** 13.5 - 15.0' 11.0 **GEO-34** U-3 6.0 - 7.5' 16.4 **GEO-34 SS-5** 13.5 - 15.0' 26.2 **GEO-35** SS-1 1.0 - 2.5' 29.8 36 17 19 **GEO-35** SS-6 13.5 - 15.0' 11.6 **GEO-36** SS-2 3.5 - 5.0' 13.2 **GEO-36** U-5 10.5 - 12.5' 11.7 123.6 0.364 86.6 **GEO-37 SS-1** 1.0 - 2.5' 27.2 47 17 30 **GEO-37 SS-3** 6.0 - 7.5 17.4 **GEO-37** U-5 10.5 - 12.5' 14.7 **GEO-38** U-2 14.7 0.428 92.5 3.5 - 5.0' 118.0 **GEO-38 SS-5** 12.3 13.5 - 15.0' SS-5 11.3 **GEO-39** 13.5 - 15.0' **GEO-40** U-2 3.5 - 5.0' 18.7 111.6 0.2 5.3 0.511 99.1 **GEO-40 SS-6** 18.5 - 20.0' 10.2 **GEO-41 SS-1** 1.0 - 2.5' 17.1 SS-4 **GEO-41** 8.5 - 10.0' 10.4 **GEO-42** U-2 3.5 - 5.0' 32.3 100.4 0.679 100.0 0.4 (UU) 15.6 SS-4 **GEO-42** 8.5 - 10.0' 19.2 **GEO-43 SS-3** 6.0 - 7.5' 13.2



# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 4 OF 6

PROJECT NA	ME: Madison	Fields Solar						CLIEN	T: Savion, LL	С			
PROJECT NU	MBER: 020-0	986						PROJ	ECT LOCATIO	N: Madison Cou	nty, Ohio		
BORING		SAMPLE	MOISTURE	DRY	VOID	SATURATION	UNCONFINED	STRAIN	A	TTERBERG LIMI	TS		2221
NUMBER	I.D.	DEPTH (ft)	CONTENT (%)	DENSITY (pcf)	RATIO	(%)	STRENGTH (tsf)	(%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	P-200	CLASS.
GEO-43	U-6	15.5 - 17.5'	11.6										
GEO-44	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	16.8	113.0	0.492	92.3							
GEO-44	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	12.8										
GEO-45	SS-2	3.5 - 5.0'	27.2						39	18	21	83.1	CL
GEO-45	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	16.4	126.9	0.328	100.0	1.3	11.1					
GEO-45	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	10.9										
GEO-46	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	13.6										
GEO-46	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	12.7										
GEO-47	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	30.6										
GEO-47	SS-7	18.5 - 20.0'	13.5										
GEO-48	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	12.9	126.5	0.332	100.0							
GEO-48	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	11.8										
GEO-49	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	17.4										
GEO-49	U-5	10.5 - 12.5'	13.8										
GEO-50	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	20.9	108.3	0.556	100.0							
GEO-50	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	11.3										
GEO-51	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	12.3	136.0	0.239	100.0	2.1	14.8					
GEO-51	SS-4	8.5 - 10.0'	11.1										
GEO-52	SS-2	3.5 - 5.0'	18.3										
GEO-52	U-5	10.5 - 12.5'	11.5	131.3	0.284	100.0							
GEO-53	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	23.7	107.6	0.567	100.0	0.8	6.1					
GEO-53	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	15.0										
GEO-54	U-1	1.0 - 2.5'	25.8										
GEO-54	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	14.8	121.5	0.388	100.0	1.2	8.9					
GEO-55	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	13.4	123.8	0.362	99.9							
GEO-55	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	11.5										
GEO-56	U-2	3.5 - 5.5'	13.2	126.3	0.334	100.0							
GEO-56	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	13.0										
GEO-57	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	15.9										
GEO-57	U-4	8.5 - 10.0'					1.7	14.9					



# SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 5 OF 6

PROJECT NA	ME: Madison	Fields Solar			_			CLIEN	T: Savion, LL	С			
PROJECT NU	MBER: 020-0	986			-			PROJ	ECT LOCATIO	N: Madison Cou	nty, Ohio		
BORING		SAMPLE	MOISTURE	DRY	VOID	SATURATION	UNCONFINED	STRAIN	A	TTERBERG LIM	ITS		11909
NUMBER	I.D.	DEPTH (ft)	CONTENT (%)	DENSITY (pcf)	RATIO	(%)	STRENGTH (tsf)	(%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	P-200	CLASS.
GEO-58	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	14.8	118.0	0.429	93.4	0.6	13.6					
GEO-58	SS-4	8.5 - 10.0'	11.1						17	13	4		
GEO-58	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	13.1										
GEO-59	SS-3	6.0 - 7.5'	19.2									50.9	
GEO-59	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	13.5										
GEO-60	SS-2	3.5 - 5.0'	14.6										
GEO-60	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	14.6										
GEO-61	SS-2	3.5 - 5.0'	22.9						45	17	28		
GEO-61	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	15.4	111.5	0.512	81.1						63.8	
GEO-62	U-1	1.0 - 2.5'	20.4										
GEO-62	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	3.0										
GEO-63	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	20.0				0.9	6.3					
GEO-63	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	13.4										
GEO-64	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	14.4	122.5	0.376	100.0	2.0	6.1					
GEO-64	SS-3	6.0 - 7.5'	14.5						25	16	9		
GEO-65	SS-3	6.0 - 7.5'	14.0										
GEO-65	SS-6	18.5 - 19.8'	6.0										
GEO-66	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	19.9	115.1	0.464	100.0	1.3	3.8					
GEO-66	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	9.8										
GEO-67	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	13.8	119.2	0.414	90.1	0.5	7.6					
GEO-67	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	9.1									5.5	
GEO-68	SS-2	3.5 - 5.0'	22.9										
GEO-68	U-5	10.5 - 12.5'	10.4										
GEO-69	U-1	1.0 - 2.5'	27.3	91.8	0.835	88.1	0.4	2.3					
GEO-70	U-1	1.0 - 2.5'	25.4	94.0	0.792	86.7							
GEO-70	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	8.6										
GEO-71	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	17.5	107.6	0.567	83.2	0.3	3.8					
GEO-71	SS-4	8.5 - 10.0'	13.4										
GEO-71	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	13.7										
GEO-72	SS-1	1.0 - 2.5'	14.6										



### SUMMARY OF LABORATORY RESULTS

PAGE 6 OF 6

PROJECT NA	ME: Madison	Fields Solar			_			CLIEN	T: Savion, LL	C			
PROJECT NUI	MBER: 020-0	986						PROJI	ECT LOCATION	N: Madison Cour	nty, Ohio		
DODINO		SAMPLE	MOISTURE	DRY		SATURATION		STRAIN	A	TTERBERG LIMI	тѕ		11000
NUMBER	SAMPLE I.D.	DEPTH (ft)	CONTENT (%)	DENSITY (pcf)	RATIO	(%)	STRENGTH (tsf)	(%)	LIQUID LIMIT	PLASTIC LIMIT	PLASTIC INDEX	P-200	CLASS.
GEO-72	SS-4	8.5 - 10.0'	9.6									16.3	
GEO-73	U-2	3.5 - 5.5'	21.3	111.4	0.512	100.0							
GEO-73	SS-4	8.5 - 10.0'	15.0										
GEO-73	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	14.3										
GEO-73	SS-9	33.5 - 35.0'	17.4										
GEO-73	SS-11	43.5 - 43.8'	10.2									23.9	
GEO-74	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	14.8	118.3	0.425	93.9	0.8	3.0	34	18	16		
GEO-74	SS-4	8.5 - 10.0'	12.8										
GEO-74	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	22.8									59.5	
GEO-74	SS-7	23.5 - 25.0'	22.0										
GEO-74	SS-9	33.5 - 35.0'	10.1										
GEO-75	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	12.8	124.2	0.357	96.4	2.1	7.2					
GEO-76	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	26.1	102.8	0.640	100.0	0.7	14.9					
GEO-76	SS-4	8.5 - 10.0'	11.8										
GEO-77	U-3	6.0 - 7.5'	11.6	121.3	0.390	80.4			21	15	6		
GEO-78	SS-1	1.0 - 2.5'	22.2										
GEO-78	U-4	8.5 - 10.0'	11.1	129.1	0.306	97.7							
GEO-79	U-2	3.5 - 5.0'	17.1	112.3	0.501	92.3							
GEO-79	SS-5	13.5 - 15.0'	10.4										
GEO-80	U-4	8.5 - 10.0'	13.3	123.1	0.369	97.4			23	15	8		
GEO-81	SS-3	6.0 - 7.5'	7.5									25.6	
GEO-81	SS-6	18.5 - 20.0'	16.0										





olsson

### **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**



olsson

## **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**



olsson

# **GRAIN SIZE DISTRIBUTION**





 Sinear Stress, (st)
 Image: Stress, (st)
 Image: Stress, (σ\_3)
 Image: Str



Olathe, KS 66061

www.olsson.com

			Project Information	
Project Name:	Savion Madison F	Fields Solar Pr	oject	
Project Number:	020-0986			
Client Name:	Savion LLC			
Project Location:	London, Ohio			
			Sample Information	
Boring No.:	Geo-73		Depth:.	N/A
Sample No.:	U-2		Elevation.:	N/A
			Tested By:	JC
Test No.:	1		-	
Test No.: Date Tested: Sample Description:	1 26-May-20 Yellowish brown t	o brown claye	Apparatus: y sand, wet, trace fine grained grave	LoadTrac II I, silt
Test No.: Date Tested: Sample Description: Soi	1 26-May-20 Yellowish brown t i <b>l Condition</b>	o brown claye	Apparatus: y sand, wet, trace fine grained grave	LoadTrac II el, silt Test Procedure
Test No.: Date Tested: Sample Description: Soi	1 26-May-20 Yellowish brown t il Condition Inintial	o brown claye Final	Apparatus: y sand, wet, trace fine grained grave Preparation:	LoadTrac II Il, silt Test Procedure Cutting Shoe
Test No.: Date Tested: Sample Description: Soi Moisture Content (%):	1 26-May-20 Yellowish brown t il Condition Inintial 18.1	to brown claye Final 14.0	Apparatus: y sand, wet, trace fine grained grave Preparation: Condition:	LoadTrac II el, silt Test Procedure Cutting Shoe Inundated
Test No.: Date Tested: Sample Description: Soi Moisture Content (%): Dry Density (pcf):	1 26-May-20 Yellowish brown t il Condition Inintial 18.1 111.1	o brown claye Final 14.0 120.6	Apparatus: y sand, wet, trace fine grained grave Preparation: Condition: Inundation Stress (psf):	LoadTrac II  I, silt  Test Procedure  Cutting Shoe Inundated 500
Test No.: Date Tested: Sample Description: Soi Moisture Content (%): Dry Density (pcf): Void Ratio:	1 26-May-20 Yellowish brown t il Condition Inintial 18.1 111.1 0.516	to brown claye Final 14.0 120.6 0.397	Apparatus: y sand, wet, trace fine grained grave Preparation: Condition: Inundation Stress (psf): Method:	LoadTrac II I, silt Test Procedure Cutting Shoe Inundated 500 B
Test No.: Date Tested: Sample Description: Soi Moisture Content (%): Dry Density (pcf): Void Ratio: Saturation (%):	1 26-May-20 Yellowish brown t il Condition Inintial 18.1 111.1 0.516 94.7	to brown claye Final 14.0 120.6 0.397 95.1	Apparatus: y sand, wet, trace fine grained grave Preparation: Condition: Inundation Stress (psf): Method: Interpretation Procedure:	LoadTrac II el, silt Test Procedure Cutting Shoe Inundated 500 B 1


































Olsson Associates M. Flanagan

1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

### Project Name - Madison Fields

Project Number - A20-0986

Attached are your analytical results for Madison Fields received by Origins Laboratory, Inc. May 07, 2020. This project is associated with Origins project number Y005088-01.

The analytical results in the following report were analyzed under the guidelines of EPA Methods. These methods are identified as follows; "SW" are defined in SW-846, "EPA" are defined in 40CFR part 136 and "SM" are defined in the most current revision of Standard Methods For the Examination of Water and Wastewater.

The analytical results apply specifically to the samples and analyses specified per the attached Chain of Custody. As such, this report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Origin's laboratory.

Unless otherwise noted, the analytical results for all soil samples are reported on a wet weight basis. All analytical analyses were performed under NELAP guidelines unless noted by a data qualifier.

Any holding time exceedances, deviations from the method specifications or deviations from Origins Laboratory's Standard Operating Procedures are outlined in the case narrative.

Thank you for selecting Origins for your analytical needs. Please contact us with any questions concerning this report, or if we can help with anything at all.

Origins Laboratory, Inc. 303.433.1322 o-squad@oelabinc.com





1725 Elk Place, Denver, CO 80211 | Phone: 303.433.1322 | Fax: 303.265.9645

May 14, 2020



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	CROSS	<b>REFERENCE</b>	REPORT	
Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received
GEO-02, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-01	Soil	April 16, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-06,1-2.5ft	Y005088-02	Soil	April 18, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-09,3.5-5ft	Y005088-03	Soil	April 22, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-15,1-2.5ft	Y005088-04	Soil	April 16, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-17, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-05	Soil	April 15, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-22, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-06	Soil	April 15, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-30, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-07	Soil	April 21, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-32, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-08	Soil	April 18, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-34, 3.5-5ft	Y005088-09	Soil	April 22, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-35, 6-7.5ft	Y005088-10	Soil	April 22, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-40, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-11	Soil	April 27, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-45, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-12	Soil	April 21, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-47, 6-7.5ft	Y005088-13	Soil	April 21, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15
GEO-55, 3.5-5ft	Y005088-14	Soil	April 20, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	CRO	SS REFEREN	ICE REPORT		
Sample ID	Laboratory ID	Matrix	Date Sampled	Date Received	
GEO-58, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-15	Soil	April 28, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15	
GEO-64, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-16	Soil	April 24, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15	
GEO-68, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-17	Soil	April 20, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15	
GEO-73, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-18	Soil	April 29, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15	
GEO-74, 1-2.5ft	Y005088-19	Soil	April 29, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15	
GEO-77, 3.5-5ft	Y005088-20	Soil	April 24, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15	
GEO-80, 3.5-5ft	Y005088-21	Soil	April 27, 2020 0:00	05/07/2020 15:15	

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver

CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

CAR	LABO	LATO	25	N			×	2	K K	28	WWW	.originsiabaratory page	Lo
Client:	Olsson						ď	piect	Manac	Micha	el Flanadan	Γ	
Address:	3990 Fox St	reet						Proje	ect Nan	Madis	on Fields		
-							a	ojec	4 Numb	er: A20-0	986		
Telephone Number:	303-918-250	15				5	alun	10	prind	mflan	adan@dissor	u com	
Email Address	mflanagan@	olsson.c	B			3	and	5	cried	J.			
			siers	æ	Serve	affve	-	12	atrix	1	Analysis		
Sample ID Description	Date Sampled	Time	t of Contain	bevieseignU	IDH	Sther 2016	ioundwoter	lios	Alt Summa	ther			
EO-02, 1-2.5 ft	4/16/2020	,	-	×		2	>	5 ×	2	Culfato	o and Chloddan	Souther instructions	
EO-06, 1-2.5 ft	4/18/2020	1	-	×	1	+	-			Cultato	o arru Urinuus	Use EPA Method 300.0	
EO-09, 3.5-5 ft	4/22/2020	1	-	×	1	+	-			Sulfato	o and Chinedan	USE EPA Method 300.0	~ I.I.I.I
EO-15, 1-2.5 ft	4/16/2020	,	-	×	1	-	-			Cultato	and Otherstore	USE EPA Method 300.0	201
E0-17, 1-2.5 ft	4/15/2020	1	-	×	1	+	1	< >		C. If the		USB EPA Method 300,0	
E0-22, 1-2.5 ft	4/15/2020		-	×	-	+	-	< >		Sulfato	s and Chlorides	Use EPA Method 300.0	. 1
EO-30, 1-2.5 ft	4/21/2020	1	-	×	-	-				Cultotor	Sanu Children	USE EPA Method 300.0	
E0-32, 1-2.5 ft	4/18/2020		-	×	1	-				Sulfatae	and Chloridae	Use EPA Method 300.0	- L.
EO-34, 3.5-5 ft	4/22/2020	4	-	×	1	-				Sulfatae	and Photona	Use EL A MERIOD 300.0	- I.
E0-35, 6-7.5 ft	4/22/2020	a	-	×	+	-				Sultated	and Chlorides	USE EPA Method 300.0	
Relinquished BY: North Almvound	51712	. 9	1 in the second	1 m		1 the		1 st	1	5/7	, time:	Turnaround Time: Same Dav	-
Relinquished By:	Date		time	- 22	1	Rec	Fived	By:		Date:	Time:	48 Hi 72 Hi	

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nenil



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver

80204

CO

### M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields



Origins Laboratory, Inc.

ment)



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver

CO 80204

### M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields



Origins Laboratory, Inc.

menil



1525 Raleig	gh St,	Suite	400
-------------	--------	-------	-----

Denver

CO 80204

M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

Origins Work Order:OOSO&&	Clie	ent: _O	1550	1,	
Tr	Clie	ent Projec	t ID: /	ndison	Fields
Checklist Completed by:	Shi	pped Via:	HD	and Dalling d	
Date/time completed: 5/7/70	Airk	oill #:	NTA	and Delivered,	Pick-up, etc.)
Matrix(s) Received: (Check all that apply):Soil/So	lid	_Water _	Oth	er:	
Cooler Number/Temperature:/ _/ ZI.3 · c	/	° c	//////////_	• c _ (	Describe)
Thermometer ID:					
Requirement Description	Yes	No	N/A	Comment	s (if any)
between 0°C to $\leq$ 6°C <sup>(1)</sup> ?		$\langle \rangle$			
Is there ice present (document if blue ice is used)		-			
Are custody seals present on cooler? (if so, document in comments if they are signed and dated, broken or intact)		1			
Are custody seals present on each sample container? (if so, document in comments if they are signed and dated, broken or intact)		/			
Were all samples received intact <sup>(1)</sup> ?	-				
Was adequate sample volume provided <sup>(1)</sup> ?					
Are short holding time analytes or samples with HTs due within 48 hours present <sup>(1)</sup> ?		-			
Is a chain-of-custody (COC) present and filled out completely <sup>(1)</sup> ?		/		No Sa	Ale Have
Does the COC agree with the number and type of sample bottles received <sup>(1)</sup> ?	1				1 - 1 11-2
Do the sample IDs on the bottle labels match the	1				
Is the COC properly relinquished by the client with date	-				
For volatiles in water – is there headspace (> ½ inch bubble) present? If yes, contact client and note in narrative.			/		
Are samples preserved that require preservation and was it checked <sup>(1)</sup> ? (note ID of confirmation instrument used in comments) / (preservation is not confirmed for subcontracted analyses in order to insure sample integrity)/(pH <2 for samples preserved with hNO3, HCL, H2SO4) / (pH >10 for samples preserved with NaAsO2+NaOH, ZnAc+NaOH)			~		
Additional Comments (if any):					

Reviewed by (Project Manager)

Date/Time Reviewed

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nenil



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

		GEO-0	)2. 1-2.5f	t					
		4/16/202	1 12·00·0	ΛΛΔΜ					
		Reporting	12.00.						
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
	GE	EL Labo Y0050	oratorie: 88-01 (So	s, LLC pil)					
Anions by EPA 300.0									
Chloride	3.82	2.46	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020	

Chloride	3.82	2.46	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	5.32	4.91	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

		GEO-0	6.1-2.5f	t					
		4/18/2020	12:00:	00AM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
	GE	EL Labo Y00508	ratorie: 38-02 (Se	s, LLC oil)					
Anions by EPA 300.0									

Chloride	3.39	2.66	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	11.9	5.33	"	"	n	JLD1	n	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

		GEO-0 4/22/2020	9.3.5-5f 12:00:	t 00AM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
	G	EL Laboi Y00508	ratorie 8-03 (S	s, LLC oil)					
Anions by EPA 300.0									

Chloride	4.31	2.31	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	5.84	4.61	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

		GEO-	15.1-2.5ft	t					
		4/16/2020	<u>0 12:00:0</u>	UUAM					
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
	GE	L Labo Y0050	oratorie: 88-04 (Sc	s, LLC oil)					
Anions by EPA 300.0									
Chloride	3.22	2.68	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020	

Chloride	3.22	2.68	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	7.70	5.36	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

GEO-17. 1-2.5ft												
4/15/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	l Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes			
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-05 (Soil)												
Anions by EPA 300.0												
Chloride	3.47	2.32	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020				

Chloride	3.47	2.32	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	5.29	4.65	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-22. 1-2.5ft 4/15/2020 12:00:00AM											
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	) Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes			
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-06 (Soil)												
Anions by EPA 300.0												
Chloride	4.70	2.24	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020				

Chloride	4.70	2.24	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	9.20	4.49	"	"	n	JLD1	n	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-30. 1-2.5ft 4/21/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-07 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0													
Chloride	2.67	2.38	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020					

Chloride	2.67	2.38	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	8.08	4.76	n	"	"	JLD1	n	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-32. 1-2.5ft 4/18/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	) Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-08 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0	A 5A	2 75	ma/ka dry	1	1996469	JI D1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020					

Chloride	4.54	2.75	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	14.3	5.50	n	"	"	JLD1	n	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-34. 3.5-5ft 4/22/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	l Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-09 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0	0.40	0.05		4	4000400	11 D4	05/40/0000	05/40/0000					

Chloride	3.43	2.25	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020	
Sulfate	4.16	4.51	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"	J

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

GEO-35. 6-7.5ft												
4/22/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes			
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-10 (Soil)												
Anions by EPA 300.0		0.00		4	4000400		05/10/0000	05/10/0000				

Chloride	4.04	2.22	mg/kg dry	1	1996469	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/12/2020
Sulfate	8.79	4.44	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-40. 1-2.5ft 4/27/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-11 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0													

Chloride	7.09	2.45	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020	
Sulfate	4.16	4.90	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"	J

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-45. 1-2.5ft 4/21/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-12 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0					(00000-								

Chloride	3.89	2.48	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020
Sulfate	6.56	4.96	n	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-47. 6-7.5ft												
		4/21/2020	0 12:00:	00AM									
Analyte	Result	Reporting	) Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prenared	Analyzed	Notes				
						, and you	Tioparoa	/ maryzou	1000				
	GE	L Labo	oratories	s, LLC									
		Y0050	88-13 (So	oil)									
Anions by EPA 300.0													
Chloride	7.20	2.28	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020					

Chloride	7.20	2.28	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020
Sulfate	17.5	4.57	"	"	"	JLD1	n	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

GEO-55. 3.5-5ft													
4/20/2020 12:00:00AM													
		Reporting											
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-14 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0	0.70	0.44		,	4000005		05/10/0000	05/10/0000					

Chloride	3.73	2.41	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020	
Sulfate	3.55	4.83	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"	J

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-58. 1-2.5ft 4/28/2020 12:00:00AM												
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	) Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-15 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0	4 32	2 65	ma/ka drv	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020					

Chloride	4.32	2.65	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020	
Sulfate	5.12	5.30	n	"	II	JLD1	"	n	J

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

	GEO-64. 1-2.5ft												
4/24/2020_12:00:00AM Reporting													
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes				
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-16 (Soil)													
Anions by EPA 300.0					(00000-								

Chloride	6.98	2.61	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020
Sulfate	10.9	5.21	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

GEO-68. 1-2.5ft 4/20/2020 12:00:00AM										
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes	
	GI	EL Labo Y00508	ratorie 88-17 (Se	s, LLC oil)						
Anions by EPA 300.0										

Chloride	2.85	2.59	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020
Sulfate	7.59	5.18	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent


1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

GEO-73. 1-2.5ft									
4/29/2020 12:00:00AM									
Analyta	_	Reporting	Linite		<b>D</b> ( )				
Analyte	Result	Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-18 (Soil)									
Anions by EPA 300.0									
Chloride	4.84	2.60	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020	

Chloride	4.84	2.60	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020
Sulfate	9.64	5.20	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

GEO-74. 1-2.5ft 4/29/2020 12:00:00AM									
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-19 (Soil)									
Anions by EPA 300.0									

Chloride	2.03	2.49	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020	J
Sulfate	18.7	4.98	"	"	"	JLD1	n	"	

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

		GEO-7	7. 3.5-5f	ft					
		4/24/2020	12:00:	00AM					
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-20 (Soil)									
Anions by EPA 300.0	0.00	0.44		4	4000005	11 D1	0540/0000	05/42/0000	I

Chloride	2.39	2.44	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020	J
Sulfate	12.4	4.88	"	"	"	JLD1	"	"	

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

GEO-80. 3.5-5ft 4/27/2020 12:00:00AM									
Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Dilution	Batch	Analyst	Prepared	Analyzed	Notes
GEL Laboratories, LLC Y005088-21 (Soil)									
Anions by EPA 300.0									

Chloride	2.73	2.20	mg/kg dry	1	1996695	JLD1	05/12/2020	05/13/2020
Sulfate	4.97	4.39	"	"	II	JLD1	"	"

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rent



80204

### **Olsson Associates**

1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

# Anions by EPA 300.0 - Quality Control GEL Laboratories, LLC

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 1996695 - EPA 300.0 PREP										
BLANK (1204560264-BLK)					Prepared	d: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/13/2020		
Chloride	ND	1.99	mg/kg				-			U
Sulfate	ND	3.98	"				-			U
LCS (1204560265-BKS)					Prepared	d: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/13/2020		
Sulfate	101	4.03	mg/kg	101		100	90-110			
Chloride	49.5	2.02	II.	50.4		98.3	90-110			
DUP (1204560267 D)		Source: Y0	05088-11		Prepared	d: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/13/2020		
Sulfate	3.97	5.06	mg/kg dry		4.16		0-101	4.47	101	J
Chloride	3.17	2.53	"		7.09		0-90	76.5	90	
MS (1204560269 S)		Source: Y0	05088-11		Prepared	d: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/13/2020		
Chloride	63.4	2.48	mg/kg dry	62.1	7.09	90.6	90-110			
Sulfate	128	4.97	"	124	4.16	99.7	90-110			

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



80204

### **Olsson Associates**

1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO

# M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

# Anions by EPA 300.0 - Quality Control GEL Laboratories, LLC

Analyte	Result	Reporting Limit	Units	Spike Level	Source Result	%REC	%REC Limits	RPD	RPD Limit	Notes
Batch 1996469 - EPA 300.0 PREP										
BLANK (1204559729-BLK)					Prepared	I: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/12/2020		
Sulfate	ND	3.99	mg/kg				-			U
Chloride	ND	2.00	"				-			U
LCS (1204559730-BKS)					Preparec	I: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/12/2020		
Sulfate	99.8	3.97	mg/kg	99.3		101	90-110			
Chloride	49.2	1.99	"	49.6		99.1	90-110			
DUP (1204559731 D)		Source: Y0	05088-01		Preparec	I: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/12/2020		
Sulfate	4.47	4.97	mg/kg dry		5.32		0-101	17.5	101	J
Chloride	4.02	2.49	"		3.82		0-90	5.11	90	
MS (1204559733 S)		Source: Y0	05088-01		Prepared	I: 05/12/202	0 Analyzed: 05	/12/2020		
Sulfate	127	4.91	mg/kg dry	123	5.32	99	90-110			
Chloride	63.9	2.46	"	61.4	3.82	97.9	90-110			

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

nend



1525 Raleigh St, Suite 400

Denver CO 80204

M. Flanagan Project Number: A20-0986 Project: Madison Fields

### **Notes and Definitions**

- U Result not detected above the detection limit
- J Greater than the detection limit but less than the reporting limit
- ND Analyte NOT DETECTED at or above the reporting limit
- RPD Relative Percent Difference

All soil results are reported at a wet weight basis.

Origins Laboratory, Inc.

rend

# APPENDIX F ELECTRICAL RESISTIVITY REPORT

May 20, 2020

Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC Attn: Mr. Jonathan Dimitriou 422 Admiral Blvd Kansas City, Missouri 64106

#### Re: Electrical Resistivity Testing Results Madison Fields Solar Project Madison County, Ohio Olsson Project No. 020-0986

#### Dear Mr. Dimitriou:

Olsson, Inc. (Olsson), under contract and authorization with Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC (Madison Fields) performed soil electrical resistivity measurements at the Madison Fields Solar Project in May of 2020. This letter presents the methods and results.

#### **Methods**

A total of twenty-one (21) electrical resistivity tests were performed by Olsson at the project site. Of those, nineteen (19) were performed at proposed solar array locations, and one was performed at each of the two proposed substation locations (GEO-73 and GEO-74). Test locations were provided to Olsson by Madison Fields prior to beginning the investigation. Test locations were staked in the field by Olsson prior to the investigation. All tests were centered as close to the proposed test location as possible while trying to avoid ground disturbed by geotechnical borings and test piles. The test locations, coordinates of each test location, and other pertinent information are provided in Table 1.

Olsson conducted the work in accordance with ASTM method G57 "Standard Test Method for Field Measurement of Soil Resistivity Using the Wenner Four-Electrode Method" (equivalent to IEEE Std. 81).

At the test locations, a single resistivity array orientation was utilized, and resistivity measurements were obtained. Each measurement for the solar array locations corresponded to one of the following electrode ("a") spacings: 0.5, 1, 1.5, 2, 3, 5, 7, 10, 15, 20, 30, 45, 70, 100, 150 and 200 feet. At the substation locations, an additional "a" spacing of 300 feet was performed. After one set of readings were taken, the array orientation was then rotated approximately 90 degrees with measurements taken at the same "a" spacings.

olsson

The equipment used to collect the data consisted of a resistivity meter, four metal electrodes and connecting wire. The meter used for the investigation was a SuperSting R1+ manufactured by Advanced Geosciences, Inc. The resistivity meter reads resistance ( $\Omega$ ) directly, but records the electric potential (V) and inductance (I). The meter outputs the apparent resistivity ( $\rho$ a) for a given "a" spacing

		Geographic Coordinates [NAD83]					
Structure Type	Geotechnical ID	Latitude (degrees)	Longitude (degrees)				
Solar Array	GEO-02	40.10292	-83.48532				
Solar Array	GEO-06	40.09417	-83.49264				
Solar Array	GEO-09	40.08507	-83.49308				
Solar Array	GEO-15	40.09857	-83.48755				
Solar Array	GEO-17	40.09896	-83.48102				
Solar Array	GEO-22	40.09624	-83.47375				
Solar Array	GEO-30	40.07617	-83.48048				
Solar Array	GEO-32	40.09377	-83.48601				
Solar Array	GEO-34	40.08932	-83.48343				
Solar Array	GEO-35	40.08467	-83.48097				
Solar Array	GEO-40	40.08194	-83.46848				
Solar Array	GEO-45	40.07301	-83.47360				
Solar Array	GEO-47	40.06915	-83.47064				
Solar Array	GEO-55	40.09189	-83.47786				
Solar Array	GEO-58	40.08700	-83.47158				
Solar Array	GEO-64	40.08191	-83.47489				
Solar Array	GEO-68	40.08932	-83.48933				
Substation	GEO-73	40.09070	-83.47043				
Substation	GEO-74	40.07990	-83.48725				
Solar Array	GEO-77	40.07685	-83.49095				
Solar Array	GEO-80	40.07677	-83.46533				

 Table 1: Testing Conditions and Coordinates

Co-linear arrays of four electrodes were placed in the ground for each measurement. Electrical current was input to the ground through the two outer electrodes of the array. The voltage drop produced by the resulting electrical field was measured across the two inner electrodes. The "a" spacing was increased with each measurement, expanding the array about a common center. Increasing the electrode separation increases the depth of investigation, and indicates vertical variation in resistivity.

In order to check the accuracy of the single resistivity array, a perpendicular array was set up at each test location for all electrode spacings.

Apparent resistivity ( $\rho_a$ ) was calculated for each measurement and corresponding electrode spacing (*a*) using the resistance measurement ( $\Omega$ ) and the geometric factor (*K*) as follows:

 $\rho_a = K(V/I)$ 

where:

K = 2*π*a

The field results and calculated values are presented in the attachments.

#### **Results and Discussion**

Apparent resistivity measurements for the proposed solar array locations ranged from 1,724 to 40,874 ohm-centimeters ( $\Omega$ -cm), with an average of 6,462  $\Omega$ -cm. Measurements at the proposed substation locations ranged from 2,622 to 45,964  $\Omega$ -cm with an average of 9,388  $\Omega$ -cm. Detailed results for the tested locations are attached to this letter report and summarized in Table 2.

Soil resistivity variations are likely associated with differences in soil type, layer thicknesses, and degree of water saturation in the near surface soils. Higher moisture contents and higher clay contents generally reduce the electrical resistivity of a soil. Generally, the apparent resistivity results were lower at smaller electrode spacings and higher at larger electrode spacings.

Apparent resistivity test results below 2,000  $\Omega$ -cm indicate that the soil could be considered corrosive to metal foundations placed in direct contact with the soil. Test results indicated that most apparent resistivities resulted in values higher than this 2,000  $\Omega$ -cm value, but testing had not yet been fully completed at the time of this letter. The foundation designer should select appropriate protection for the foundations.

Electrode Spacing	Apparent Resistivity [Ω-cm]								
[feet]	Range	Mean	Standard Deviation						
0.5	1783 - 6818	3,436	910						
1	1724 - 6239	3,431	828						
1.5	1992 - 4822	3,315	601						
2	2058 - 4974	3,389	611						
3	2226 - 5054	3,565	711						
5	2733 - 5846	4,046	805						
7	3286 - 6443	4,520	902						
10	3395 - 6803	5,102	891						
15	3706 - 7090	5,805	900						
20	4100 - 7977	6,461	949						
30	4990 - 10058	7,084	1,147						
45	5678 - 14533	7,864	2,165						
70	5837 - 20181	9,034	3,795						
100	5968 - 26237	10,424	5,514						
150	6319 - 34229	12,934	7,423						
200	7126 - 40874	15,782	8,933						
300	15106 - 45964	26,758	14,439						

Table 2: Apparent Resistivity versus Electrode Spacing for Solar Array and Substation Locations

### Closing

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this service. Please call me at 303-237-2072 with questions or requests for additional information.

Sincerely,

Michael & Flange

Michael Flanagan, E.I.

Attachments:

Figure 1: Electrical Resistivity Testing Locations Electrical Resistivity Test Results



OISSON           3990 Fox Street         TEL 303.237.2072           Denver, CO 80216         FAX 303.237.2659           www.olsson.com		Field Electrical Resistivity Results	
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/4/2020 GEO-02 57 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 000	99.84         112.3         125.0         138.9         165.8         191.8         207.0         211.5         218.6         220.4         228.9         219.8         212.8         216.7         245.9         270.4	3043         3423         3810         4234         5054         5846         6309         6447         6663         6718         6977         6700         6486         6605         7495
East-West	$ \begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 0.5 \\ 1 \\ 1.5 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 30 \\ 45 \\ 70 \\ 100 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ \end{array} $	270.4         92.86         107.8         121.1         126.2         161.2         184.8         211.4         223.2         225.3         230.4         232.7         229.5         222.0         202.5         217.0         240.3	6423           2830           3286           3691           3847           4913           5633           6443           6803           6867           7023           7093           6995           6767           6172           6614           7324
40000 (E: 35000 C) 30000 20000 40000 25000 10000 4000000	20 40 60	North-South East-West	

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 /.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/6/2020 GEO-06 56 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	106.9	3258
	1	97.40	2969
	1.5	108.1	3295
	2	118.7	3618
	3	122.2	3725
	5	131.6	4011
	/ 10	125.1	3813
North-South	15	178.3	5435
	20	200.4	6108
	30	219.7	6696
	45	235.1	7166
	70	251.9	7678
	100	289.4	8821
	150	376.7	11482
	200	509.2	3158
	1	119.6	3645
	1.5	143.9	4386
	2	125.4	3822
	3	106.4	3243
	5	118.7	3618
	7	139.1	4240
East-West	10	161.3	4916
	15	186.0	5669
	20	202.9	6140
	45	236.8	7218
	70	227.9	6946
	100	273.2	8327
	150	336.7	10263
	200	521.3	15889
40000	M	North-South — East-West	
E 35000			
<u>G</u> 30000			
it it			
. <u>≥</u> 25000			
20000			
15000 e			
00001 g			
₫ ▼ 5000			
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/6/2020 GEO-09 49 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	114.0	3475
	1	127.4	3883
	1.5	116.2	3542
	2	112.1	3417
	3	120.8	3682
	5	142.6	4346
	10	107.9	5118
North-South	15	228.9	6977
	20	249.8	7614
	30	230.0	7010
	45	196.4	5986
	70	191.5	5837
	100	195.8	5968
	150	222.7	6788
	200	202.8	3201
	1	125.0	3810
	1.5	107.4	3274
	2	109.6	3341
	3	115.1	3508
	5	139.2	4243
	7	166.3	5069
East-West	10	183.5	5593
	15	219.6	6693
	20	237.0	6760
	45	194.0	5913
	70	196.7	5995
	100	203.1	6190
	150	222.3	6776
	200	253.6	7730
40000	1	North-South — East-West	
S 35000			
<u>G</u> 30000			
.≥ 25000			
20000			
e 15000			
00001 g			
			•
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name:	Madison Fields	Test date:	5/4/2020
Project number:	020-0986	Test Location:	GEO-15
Project location:	Madison County, Ohio	Outside temperature:	63 F
Equipment model:	Supersting	Surface ground condition:	Moist
Test Method:	Wenner 4-electrode	Technician:	B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30	136.7         126.2         117.3         101.8         118.7         143.1         175.4         192.4         203.9         217.1         237.8	4167 3847 3575 3103 3618 4362 5346 5346 5864 6215 6617 7248
	45	267.0	8138
	70	290.7	8861
	100	317.1	9665
	150	332.6	10138
	200	361.4	11015
	0.5	143.7	4380
East-West	1	125.9	3837
	1.5	87.5	2667
	2	95.8	2920
	3	115.0	3505
	5	137.6	4194
	7	161.8	4932
	10	185.6	5657
	15	208.1	6343
	20	223.7	6818
	30	245.9	7495
	45	276.8	8437
	70	294.0	8961
	100	322.6	9833
	150	354.7	10811
40000 (E) 35000 C) 30000 C) 30000 C) 30000 LU 25000 LU 15000 C) 10000 C) 0 C) 0		North-South East-West	11467

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/12/2020 GEO-17 54 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \\ 1 \\ 1.5 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 30 \\ 45 \\ 70 \\ 100 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ \end{array}$	94.11 98.56 101.5 99.92 100.2 125.3 143.8 162.9 209.5 237.3 291.3 383.4 544.7 721.2 949.3	2868 3004 3094 3046 3054 3819 4383 4965 6386 7233 8879 11686 16602 21982 28935 33345
East-West	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 200	120.3 93.02 91.56 100.8 99.36 122.4 147.0 170.1 211.2 241.9 302.0 401.8 563.7 720.4 946.4 1094	3667           2835           2791           3072           3028           3731           4481           5185           6437           7373           9205           12247           17182           21958           28846           33345
40000 (E 35000 C) 30000 20000 400000 4000000	20 40 60	North-South East-West	140 160 180 200

OISSON           3990 Fox Street         TEL 303.237.2072           Denver, CO 80216         FAX 303.237.2659           www.olsson.com		Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/17/2020 GEO-22 79 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 200	69.57         79.20         81.91         84.62         101.5         129.9         155.2         184.4         220.6         253.7         320.3         453.8         654.3         860.8         1088	2120 2414 2497 2579 3094 3959 4730 5621 6724 7733 9763 13832 19943 26237 33162
East-West	$ \begin{array}{r} 200 \\ 0.5 \\ 1 \\ 1.5 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 30 \\ 45 \\ 70 \\ 100 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ 200 \\ \end{array} $	1205           83.17           65.27           88.90           89.18           105.4           131.7           135.6           213.9           261.7           330.0           476.8           662.1           859.4           1123           1341	2535         1989         2710         2718         3213         4014         4682         5764         6520         7977         10058         14533         20181         26195         34229         40874
40000 (E: 35000 C) 30000 20000 Vinit 25000 20000 Vinit 25000 10000 Vinit 15000 0 0		North-South East-West	

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/7/2020 GEO-30 66 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	101.6	3097
	1	117.4	3578
	1.5	128.2	3908
	2	119.7	3648
		136.2	4151
	7	100.3	5840
	10	209.2	6376
North-South	15	208.7	6361
	20	223.4	6809
	30	227.9	6946
	45	240.0	7315
	70	257.2	7839
	100	292.4	8912
	200	524 7	15993
	0.5	108.2	3298
	1	121.5	3703
	1.5	118.9	3624
	2	136.4	4157
	3	157.9	4813
	5	176.4	5377
	10	201.7	5907
East-West	15	187.4	5712
	20	208.6	6358
	30	217.6	6632
	45	231.2	7047
	70	245.9	7495
	100	286.4	8729
	200	398.8	12155
40000		North-South East-West	16773
40000			
E 35000			
G 20000			
 ↓			
25000			
r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r r			
te 15000			
00001 g			
× 5000			
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/6/2020 GEO-32 58 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	109.2	3328
	1	128.4	3914
	1.5	113.6	3463
	2	101.4	3091
	3	93.62	2854
	5	101.1	3082
	10	128.3	3911
North-South	15	148.7	4532
	20	184.3	5617
	30	211.6	6450
	45	203.4	6200
	70	231.0	7041
	100	262.5	8001
	150	292.3	8909
	200	97.41	2969
	1	108.7	3313
	1.5	123.6	3767
	2	136.1	4148
	3	115.7	3527
	5	94.8	2890
	7	112.8	3438
East-West	10	136.0	4145
	15	157.3	4795
	20	210.5	5022
	45	210.3	6821
	70	245.1	7471
	100	281.9	8592
	150	302.4	9217
	200	353.7	10781
40000	1	North-South —— East-West	
E 35000			
<u><u></u>G<sub>30000</sub></u>			
25000			
20000			
й И			
15000			
00001 gg			
DD DD			
5000			
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 V.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/6/2020 GEO-34 60 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	121.6	3706
	1	113.5	3459
	1.5	118.2	3603
	2	126.0	3840
	3	143.7	4380
	5	130.1	3965
	7	121.3	3697
North-South	10	111.4	3395
	15	121.6	3706
	20	134.5	4100
		103.7	4990
	70	205.1	6251
	100	203.1	7434
	150	322.3	9824
	200	398.1	12134
	0.5	123.1	3752
	1	112.1	3417
	1.5	119.7	3648
	2	131.1	3996
	3	140.7	4289
	5	128.6	3920
	7	112.3	3423
Fast-West	10	115.8	3530
East West	15	130.0	3962
	20	145.1	4423
	30	167.1	5093
	45	195.4	5956
	70	220.0	6706
	150	201.5	10860
	200	405.1	12347
	200	405.1 North-South — East-West	12347
40000			
Ê 35000			
30000			
25000			
isti			
e 20000			
± 15000			
e looo			
00001 g			
Q 5000			
S000			
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

OISSON           3990 Fox Street         TEL 303.237.2072           Denver, CO 80216         FAX 303.237.2659           www.olsson.com		Field Electrical Resistivity Results	
Project name:	Madison Fields	Test date:	5/9/2020
Project number:	020-0986	Test Location:	GEO-35
Project location:	Madison County, Ohio	Outside temperature:	47 F
Equipment model:	Supersting	Surface ground condition:	Moist
Test Method:	Wenner 4-electrode	Technician:	B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	108.7	3313
	1	114.6	3493
	1.5	128.7	3923
	2	112.8	3438
	3	134.3	4093
North-South	5	147.2	4487
	7	156.9	4782
	10	136.7	4167
	15	129.7	3953
	20	138.6	4225
	30	182.4	5560
	45	191.2	5828
	70	221.6	6754
	100	257.8	7858
	150	292.8	8925
	200	373.8	11393
	0.5	126.1	3844
	1	113.8	3469
	1.5	127.4	3883
	2	163.2	49/4
	3	145.9	4447
	5	123.4	3761
	7	112.3	3423
East-West	15 20 30	117.2 126.3 148.2 189.9	3850 4517 5788
	45	219.6	6693
	70	253.2	7718
	100	281.3	8574
	150	337.2	10278
40000 (E) 35000 C) 30000 Ain 25000 25000 H 15000 10000 V 5000 0		411.2 North-South East-West	
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

OISSON           3990 Fox Street         TEL 303.237.2072           Denver, CO 80216         FAX 303.237.2659           www.olsson.com		Field Electrical Resistivity Results	
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/7/2020 GEO-40 64 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150	118.7         126.9         134.3         141.8         122.7         144.2         152.7         191.6         232.6         252.3         275.5         254.9         277.9         293.6         398.6	3618         3868         4093         4322         3740         4395         4654         5840         7090         7690         8397         7769         8470         8949         12149
East-West	200 0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 200	556.4         132.9         123.7         117.6         126.8         136.2         149.7         163.9         182.4         211.0         237.7         224.6         249.5         273.2         297.4         412.6         515.9	16959           4051           3770           3584           3865           4151           4563           4996           5560           6431           7245           6846           7605           8327           9065           12576           15725
40000 (E: 35000 C) 30000 20000 400000 4000000		North-South East-West	

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/7/2020 GEO-45 63 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	128.6	3920
	1	90.63	2762
	1.5	87.53	2668
	2	84.63	2580
	3	79.45	2422
	5	89.67	2733
	10	107.8	3200
North-South	15	155.8	4749
	20	181.5	5532
	30	203.9	6215
	45	221.1	6739
	70	230.7	7032
	100	218.6	6663
	150	217.5	6629
	200	241.0 88.89	2709
	1	103.6	3158
	1.5	95.69	2917
	2	88.93	2711
	3	85.14	2595
	5	95.36	2907
	7	108.4	3304
East-West	10	131.0	3993
	20	159.4	4039 5471
	30	206.7	6300
	45	227.4	6931
	70	224.6	6846
	100	209.0	6370
	150	207.3	6319
	200	233.8	/126
	1	North-South — East-West	
40000			
Ē 35000			
C acces			
> 30000			
25000			
0 20000 K			
t 15000			
ຍ ສ 10000			
d			
≤ 5000			
0			
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 A.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name:	Madison Fields	Test date:	5/7/2020
Project number:	020-0986	Test Location:	GEO-47
Project location:	Madison County, Ohio	Outside temperature:	60 F
Equipment model:	Supersting	Surface ground condition:	Moist
Test Method:	Wenner 4-electrode	Technician:	B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5 1 1.5 2	108.2 100.5 100.8 96.88	3298 3063 3072 2953 2764
Northeast-Southwest	5	109.1	3325
	7	130.7	3984
	10	153.7	4685
	15	184.2	5614
	20	202.7	6178
	30	226.5	6904
	45	236.7	7215
	70	235.0	7163
	100	241.1	7349
	150	267.8	8163
	200	315.5	9616
	1	104.1	3173
	1.5	98.32	2997
	2	90.71	2765
	3	101.4	3091
	5	118.6	3615
	7	139.2	4243
	10	161.0	4907
Northwest-Southeast	15	191.3	5831
	20	208.7	6361
	30	212.1	6465
	45	227.4	6931
	70	237.1	7227
	100	245.6	7486
	150	279.1	8507
	200	331.3	10098
40000 40000 C 35000 30000 40000000 400000000	Northea	ast-Southwest  Northwest-Sour	theast
0	20 40 60	80 100 120 - a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/17/2020 GEO-55 76 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	86.34	2632
	1	115.6	3523
	1.5	111.4	3395
	2	98.46	3001
	3	118.2	3603
	5	127.5	3886
	10	182.6	5566
North-South	15	201.5	6142
	20	239.2	7291
	30	238.8	7279
	45	254.6	7760
	70	301.4	9187
	100	352.1	10732
	150	398.7	12152
	200	121.7	3700
	1	102.4	3121
	1.5	96.12	2930
	2	108.1	3295
	3	121.2	3694
	5	138.7	4228
	7	155.3	4734
East-West	10	181.4	5529
	15	196.2	5980
	20	234.0	6910
	45	248.9	7586
	70	286.2	8723
	100	334.7	10202
	150	378.2	11528
	200	478.4	14582
40000 Ê 35000	1	North-South — East-West	
C acces			
> 30000			
25000			
sist			
00000 M			
te 15000			
UT 10000			
5000			
0	20 40 60	80 100 120	140 160 180 200
		a-spacing (feet)	

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/9/2020 GEO-58 48 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
	0.5	112.5	3429
	1	99.74	3040
	1.5	90.93	2772
	2	117.4	3578
	3	131.6	4011
	5	152.4	4645
	7	127.6	3889
North-South	10	163.7	4990
	15	198.2	6041
	20	215.9	0581
		253.1	7190
	43	233.7	8726
	100	311.6	9498
	150	379.4	11564
	200	483.2	14728
	0.5	91.28	2782
	1	102.5	3124
	1.5	97.36	2968
	2	111.5	3399
	3	126.4	3853
	5	138.2	4212
	7	151.9	4630
East-West	10	182.8	5572
Edot Woot	15	201.3	6136
	20	217.4	6626
	30	228.9	6977
	45	211.6	6450
	100	201.2	8052
	150	362.9	11061
	200	447.3	13634
40000 (E. 35000 C) 30000 25000 25000 15000 15000		North-South — East-West	
	20 40 60	80 100 120 a-spacing (feet)	140 160 180 200

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name:	Madison Fields	Test date:	5/9/2020
Project number:	020-0986	Test Location:	GEO-64
Project location:	Madison County, Ohio	Outside temperature:	44 F
Equipment model:	Supersting	Surface ground condition:	Moist
Test Method:	Wenner 4-electrode	Technician:	B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5	171.7	5233
	1	139.1	4240
	1.5	122.2	3725
	2	113.3	3453
	3	121.9	3716
	5	131.1	3996
	7	149.8	4566
	10	176.3	5374
	15	208.2	6346
	20	222.3	6776
	30	227.6	6937
	45	226.1	6892
	70	241.3	7355
	100	285.5	8702
	150	383.5	11689
	200	489.9	14932
	0.5	147.8	4505
East-West	1	159.6	4865
	1.5	121.8	3712
	2	112.0	3414
	3	114.8	3499
	5	124.3	3789
	7	137.4	4188
	10	161.9	4935
	20	220.9	6733
	30	211.2	6437
	45	230.1	7013
	70	242.9	7404
	100	296.4	9034
	150 200	400.5	12207
40000 (E) 35000 C) 30000 25000 U 15000 U 15000 V 5000 0 0		North-South East-West	

OISSON           3990 Fox Street         TEL 303.237.2072           Denver, CO 80216         FAX 303.237.2659           www.olsson.com		Field Electrical Resistivity Results	
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/6/2020 GEO-68 54 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150	119.3         117.5         98.24         102.0         93.69         108.5         129.0         154.4         191.7         218.5         250.5         283.7         319.1         352.9         489.8	3636         3581         2994         3109         2856         3307         3932         4706         5843         6660         7635         8647         9726         10756         14929
East-West	200 0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 200	506.2         117.0         114.4         104.6         98.37         100.7         112.4         123.2         150.6         193.7         220.1         243.0         281.6         311.1         348.1         463.2         501.3	15429         3566         3487         3188         2998         3069         3426         3755         4590         5904         6709         7407         8583         9482         10610         14118         15280
40000 (E: 35000 C) 30000 20000 40000 20000 400000 400000 4000000		North-South East-West	

OISSON           3990 Fox Street         TEL 303.237.2072           Denver, CO 80216         FAX 303.237.2659           www.olsson.com		Field Electrical Resistivity Results		
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/17/2020 GEO-73 63 F Moist B. Tabor	
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)	
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 200 200	154.1         186.9         158.2         137.8         124.9         141.3         161.0         174.8         198.5         201.7         213.1         227.4         246.2         220.5         343.0         399.2	4697         5697         4822         4200         3807         4307         5328         6050         6148         6495         6931         7504         6721         10455         12168	
East-West	300         0.5         1         1.5         2         3         5         7         10         15         20         30         45         70         100         150         200         300	531.1         223.7         204.7         144.5         134.3         117.9         139.0         164.1         178.5         183.3         203.2         221.1         234.2         251.4         271.3         323.2         383.0         495.6	16188         6818         6239         4404         4093         3594         4237         5002         5441         5587         6194         6739         7138         7663         8269         9851         11674         15106	
Apparent Resistivity (D.cm) 0 0 0 0	50 100	North-South East-West a-spacing (feet) 200	250 300	

OSSON         Field El           3990 Fox Street         TEL 303.237.2072           Denver, CO 80216         FAX 303.237.2659           www.olsson.com			Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/17/2020 GEO-74 73 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	$\begin{array}{c c} 0.5 \\ 1 \\ 1.5 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 10 \\ 15 \\ 20 \\ 30 \\ 45 \\ 70 \\ 100 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ 150 \\ 200 \\ 2$	140.5       111.2       103.7       103.2       87.62       116.5       135.0       161.8       196.2       232.9       304.0       399.4       546.8       714.1       961.0       1185	4282       3389       3161       2671       3551       4115       4932       5980       7099       9266       12174       16666       21766       29291       36119
East-West	300         0.5         1         1.5         2         3         5         7         10         15         20         30         45         70         100         150         200         300	1508         97.38         112.8         86.02         94.65         94.87         115.3         135.0         157.9         200.0         235.7         302.0         390.6         539.5         709.7         850.6         1146         976.8	45964         2968         3438         2622         2885         2892         3514         4115         4813         6096         7184         9205         11905         16444         21632         25926         34930         29773
40000 40000 40000 40000 0 0 0 0 0	50 100	North-South East-West a-spacing (feet) 200	250 300

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/15/2020 GEO-77 66 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 200	58.51         63.12         69.46         67.51         73.02         92.35         108.2         132.9         163.5         203.9         214.0         217.6         229.9         289.7         260.2	1783         1924         2117         2058         2226         2815         3298         4051         4983         5566         6215         6523         6632         7007         8830
East-West	$\begin{array}{c c} 200 \\ \hline 0.5 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline 1.5 \\ \hline 2 \\ \hline 3 \\ \hline 5 \\ \hline 7 \\ \hline 10 \\ \hline 15 \\ \hline 20 \\ \hline 30 \\ \hline 45 \\ \hline 70 \\ \hline 100 \\ \hline 150 \\ \hline 200 \\ \end{array}$	300.3         58.63         56.56         65.34         71.30         75.87         90.42         109.4         132.3         159.4         201.6         213.0         219.3         241.9         292.5         354.7	10982           1787           1724           1992           2173           2313           2756           3335           4033           4033           6145           6492           6684           7373           8915           10811
40000 (E: 35000 C: 30000 25000 20000 4 40000 25000 4 4 25000 10000 0 0 0		North-South East-West	

3990 Fox Street Denver, CO 80216	ON TEL 303.237.2072 FAX 303.237.2659 v.olsson.com	Field Electrical	Resistivity Results
Project name: Project number: Project location: Equipment model: Test Method:	Madison Fields 020-0986 Madison County, Ohio Supersting Wenner 4-electrode	Test date: Test Location: Outside temperature: Surface ground condition: Technician:	5/17/2020 GEO-80 81 F Moist B. Tabor
Array direction	a-spacing (feet)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.ft)	Apparent Resistivity (Ω.cm)
North-South	0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150	66.28         94.53         98.77         121.8         146.1         182.5         201.8         214.7         221.9         231.4         232.0         243.3         258.3         276.8         311.0	2020 2881 3011 3712 4453 5563 6151 6544 6764 7053 7071 7416 7873 8437 9479
East-West	200 0.5 1 1.5 2 3 5 7 10 15 20 30 45 70 100 150 200	408.5         108.3         89.72         96.41         119.4         148.9         190.1         207.3         218.2         223.4         232.6         229.7         245.0         260.3         269.2         307.3         440.1	12451           3301           2735           2939           3639           4538           5794           6319           6651           6809           7090           7001           7468           7934           8205           9367           13414
40000 (E 35000 C) 30000 20000 40000 25000 400000 400000 4000000		North-South East-West	

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

7/17/2020 1:45:39 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-1881-EL-BGN

Summary: Application - Part 4 of 8 (Exhibit L) electronically filed by Christine M.T. Pirik on behalf of Madison Fields Solar Project, LLC