EXHIBIT NO	
------------	--

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company for an)	Case No. 20-585-EL-AIR
Increase in Electric Distribution Rates.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company)	Case No. 20-586-EL-ATA
for Tariff Approval.)	
In the Matter of the Application of)	
Ohio Power Company for Approval)	Case No. 20-587-EL-AAM
to Change Accounting Methods.)	

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREA E. MOORE ON BEHALF OF OHIO POWER COMPANY

Management Policies, Practices & Organizations

Operating Income

Rate Base

Allocations

Rate of Return

X Rates and Tariffs

Other

Filed: June 15th, 2020

INDEX TO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREA E. MOORE

I.	PERSONAL DATA	1
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	PROPOSED CHANGES TO TERMS AND CONDITIONS	3
IV.	MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES	11
V.	SERVICE SCHEDULE TARIFFS	12
VI.	UPDATES TO CURRENT RIDERS	13

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO DIRECT TESTIMONY OF ANDREA E. MOORE ON BEHALF OF OHIO POWER COMPANY

1 I. PERSONAL DATA

- 2 O. PLEASE STATE YOUR NAME AND BUSINESS ADDRESS.
- 3 A. My name is Andrea E. Moore and my business address is 700 Morrison Road, Gahanna,
- 4 Ohio 43230.
- 5 Q. BY WHOM ARE YOU EMPLOYED AND WHAT IS YOUR POSITION?
- 6 A. I am employed by Ohio Power Company, ("AEP Ohio" or the "Company") as Director –
- 7 Regulatory Services.
- 8 Q. WOULD YOU PLEASE DESCRIBE YOUR EDUCATIONAL AND
- 9 **PROFESSIONAL BACKGROUND?**
- 10 A. I received my Bachelor of Science in Accounting degree from the University of Rio
- Grande. I completed the Basic Concepts of Rate Making class through New Mexico State
- 12 University. I earned a Master of Business Administration degree from Franklin University.
- 13 I joined AEPSC in 2001 as an Accountant and joined the Regulatory Tariffs department as
- a Regulatory Analyst III in 2004. I progressed through various positions before being
- promoted to my current position of Director Regulatory Services. My duties within the
- regulatory department have included preparing cost-of-service studies for regulatory
- filings, preparing cost based formula rates for wholesale customers, preparing rider filings
- and rate designs, maintaining tariff books as well as other projects related to regulatory
- issues and proceedings, individual customer requests and general rate matters.

1 Q. WHAT ARE YOUR RESPONSIBILITIES AS DIRECTOR - REGULATORY

- 2 **SERVICES?**
- 3 A. I am responsible for directing the preparation and presentation of regulatory matters to
- 4 management as well as regulatory bodies. I plan, organize and direct team activities to
- 5 develop and support pricing structures, rider and true-up filings, maintenance of tariffs,
- 6 pilot programs, special contracts and other pricing initiatives depending on assigned
- 7 function.

8 Q. HAVE YOU PREVIOUSLY SUBMITTED TESTIMONY IN ANY REGULATORY

- 9 **PROCEEDINGS?**
- 10 A. Yes. I have testified before the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio in several cases.

11 II. <u>PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY</u>

12 Q. WHAT IS THE PURPOSE OF YOUR TESTIMONY?

- 13 A. The purpose of my testimony is to support the Company's proposed changes to the Terms
- and Conditions of Service, the combining of service tariffs, and updated prices for
- miscellaneous distribution charges. I will also sponsor treatment of certain rider rates.

16 O. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY SCHEDULE?

- 17 A. Yes. I am sponsoring the following Schedules:
- Schedule E-1 Proposed tariff schedules
- 19 Schedule E-2 Clean current tariff schedules
- 20 Schedule E-2.1 Redlined current tariff schedules
- 21 Schedule E-3 Narrative rationales for tariff changes

22 Q. ARE YOU SPONSORING ANY EXHIBITS?

23 A. Yes, I am sponsoring the following exhibits:

1	Exhibit AEM-1	 Calculation 	of Miscellaneous	Charges in	Terms and	Conditions

- 2 Exhibit AEM-2 Typical Bill Impacts of Distribution Investment Rider and Enhanced
- 3 Service Reliability Rider
- 4 Exhibit AEM-3 Illustrative Example of the Distribution Investment Rider

5 III. PROPOSED CHANGES TO TERMS AND CONDITIONS

6 Q. PLEASE PROVIDE AN OVERVIEW OF TARIFF CHANGES.

- A. The main changes we are proposing to our tariffs is finishing the combination of Ohio

 Power and Columbus Southern Power tariffs into one tariff book. Likewise, if the Public

 Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") approves the tariffs as proposed we will no

 longer have a Standard Service Offer ("SSO") and Open Access Distribution ("OAD")

 tariff book. In other words, all customers regardless of shopping or not shopping and
 regardless of service territory will be served under the same terms and conditions of service
- as well as service schedules.

14 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE MODIFICATIONS OF THE TERMS AND 15 CONDITIONS PROPOSED BY THE COMPANY.

- 16 A. The modifications to the terms and conditions were mostly to update certain sections to
 17 reflect the manner in which we currently operate, to eliminate duplication, and to clarify
 18 language.
- 19 O. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CHANGES TO SECTION 4 FOR AVAILABLE RATES.
- A. This change was to eliminate legacy language that is no longer relevant to the tariff schedules. The timeframe for which the terms in the section apply are expired and as such the language was removed from the terms and conditions. In addition, with the proposed

structure of the rate schedules, eliminating the provision to not allow changes from one schedule to another is no longer relevant.

3 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CHANGES TO SECTION 7 FOR INSPECTIONS.

- A. The Company has added an additional provision for service that is disconnected for a period of time greater than six months, the Company wants the ability to re-inspect the customer's facilities before re-energizing the service, and the ability to refuse to connect service if there is a safety hazard. The Company has included a cost-based fee associated with the inspection. Exhibit AEM-1 shows the calculations of the miscellaneous fees.
- 9 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES TO SECTION 8, LOCATION &
 10 MAINTENANCE OF THE COMPANY'S EQUIPMENT.
- 11 A. Changes to this section provide clarification that the Company may require a customer to
 12 provide the necessary easements for the Company to erect and maintain facilities on the
 13 customer's property. There is also clarification for when the customer needs to provide
 14 space for the Company's equipment. In certain circumstances, the Company needs space
 15 within a Customer's building or vault. These changes explain that once the Company puts
 16 its equipment on the customer's property, the Company will need to access its equipment
 17 periodically and the Customer needs to provide that access.
- 18 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 9, SERVICE
 19 CONNECTIONS.
- A. This section includes a reference to the National Electrical Safety Code, clarifies that cable can be either inside or outside of a building and updates the costs associated with multiple trips based on Exhibit AEM-1.

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 11, TEMPORARY
2		AND SPECIAL SERVICE.

- A. The Company modified this section to remove the language associated with generation capacity as the generation is now procured through an auction process. Additional modifications reflect updated temporary costs.
- 6 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 12, WORK
 7 PERFORMED ON COMPANY'S FACILITIES AT CUSTOMER'S REQUEST.
- 8 A. This section was updated to reflect the costs charged for this service. The update includes the list of costs as well as the manner in which charges and refunds will be applied.
- 10 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 13, NOMINAL
- 11 **VOLTAGE LEVELS.**
- 12 A. In this section, the Company is proposing to eliminate the Subtransmission voltage classes.

 13 The Subtransmission and Transmission tariff classes are being combined since their rates

 14 were the same. The language is also updated for clarification.
- 15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 14, METER
 16 REGISTRATION AND TESTING.
- 17 A. In this section, the Company is proposing language that requires customers to run
 18 communication equipment so that the meter frequency can be picked up by Company
 19 communication equipment. Again, once the metering equipment is installed, Company
 20 employees must have access to the equipment. The tampering fees have been updated in
 21 this section. The language is also updated to remove reference to older type meters that
 22 are no longer on the Company's system. Clarification language is included in this section
 23 around unmetered service.

- 1 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 15, INTERVAL
- 2 **METERING INSTALLATIONS.**
- 3 A. The costs associated with interval metering have been updated in this section.
- 4 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 16, ADVANCED
- 5 **METER OPT OUT.**
- This section was modified to remove reference to the meter reading fee for opt outs around 6 A. 7 the schedule of deployment for meters. This language was implemented as the Company 8 began to roll out AMI meters and is no longer necessary as the criteria of 85% or greater 9 of designated AMI or AMR has been achieved. In addition, language has been added to 10 clarify the AMI opt-out process. The Company modified language to reflect that the Opt 11 Out is available to customers that are not the property owner unless the property owner 12 requires the installation of an AMI or AMR meter. The Company proposes language that 13 would prohibit net metering customers from opting out of AMI meters. Measuring energy 14 usage and generation hourly promotes consistency in metering and settlement processes. 15 From a system perspective, net metering data needs to be consistent to achieve potential 16 system changes in the future of settling load on an hourly basis.
- 17 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 18, RESALE OF ENERGY.
- A. Changes proposed in this section were intended to clarify the language to accept any order the Commission issues relating to who can or cannot offer electric-related services. The Commission has adopted a three-part test providing guidance on resale of energy and this language clarifies that the resale must fall within the parameters of that Commission order.

1 (Э.	PLEASE DESCRIBE	THE	CHANGES	MADE TO	SECTION 21	, RESIDENTIAL
-----	----	-----------------	-----	----------------	---------	------------	---------------

- 2 SERVICE.
- 3 A. This section includes clarification language that includes certain terms but also recognizes
- 4 that those examples are not an all-encompassing list.

5 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 23, BILLING AND

- 6 **BILLS PAYABLE.**
- 7 A. The Company moved the language from Supplement 21 out of the schedules section of the
- 8 tariffs and included the language in the terms and conditions of service. There is no change
- 9 to the proposed Supplement 21, only its placement in the tariff book. The fee for any
- dishonored payment was also updated in this section.

11 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 24.

- 12 A. Only the title of this section was changed because the Company believes the new title
- reflects that this section addresses what happens when a customer requests service to be
- terminated at a given location.
- 15 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 25, DENIAL OR
- 16 **DISCONTINUATION OF SERVICE.**
- 17 A. This section was updated to provide clarification that if a safety concern exists at the
- premise and has not yet been addressed, the Company will not restore power. This section
- was also updated to reflect updated costs associated with trip charges as well as
- 20 disconnection and reconnection of service.

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 26, DISCONNECT
2		PROVISIONS- NON-RESIDENTIAL.
3	A.	This section was updated to provide clarification that a former customer requesting service
4		may not be provided service if their former account is in arrears.
5	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO THE DELETED SECTION 27,
6		PRE-ENROLLMENT CUSTOMER INFORMATION LIST AS WELL AS THE
7		NEW SECTION 27 – CHANGING COMPETITIVE SERVICE PROVIDERS.
8	A.	The old section was deleted because it is included in the Supplier Terms and conditions in
9		the new Section 31.8. The new section 27 was edited to provide to add clarification that
10		mercantile customers must contact the competitive retail electric service ("CRES")
11		provider directly in order to stop a pending switch that was not requested by the customer.
12	Q.	PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CHANGES TO SECTION 28, CUSTOMER CHOICE
13		OF COMPETITIVE SERVICE PROVIDER.
14	A.	This section includes a change to the way the Company will notify customers of CRES
15		providers enrolled and actively seeking residential customers in AEP Ohio's territory. The
16		Company will refer customers to the Commission's Apples to Apples website or mail a list
17		of CRES providers upon request.

- 18 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES TO SECTION 29, LOSSES.
- 19 A. This section includes updated loss factors.

1	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 30, TRANSMISSION
2		SERVICE.
3	A.	The change made to this section reflects a new charge (Transmission Enhancement
4		Settlement) that has already been assigned to CRES Providers through PJM's line item
5		billing. Updating this line to the tariff reflects the current bills from PJM.
6	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO THE SUPPLIER TERMS AND
7		CONDITIONS SECTION OF TARIFFS.
8	A.	The Supplier Terms and Conditions were taken from the OAD section of tariffs. The whole
9		section was accepted in the redline version of the terms and conditions and then track
10		changes were made after this section was incorporated into the SSO terms and conditions.
11	Q.	PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO THE NEW SECTION 31.1,
12		CONTENTS.
13	A.	The changes made to this section simply update the table of contents for the supplier terms
14		and conditions. Some sections were eliminated or combined with others to simplify the
15		tariff or to eliminate duplication.

- Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO THE PREVIOUS SECTIONS
 31.3 AND 31.4.
- 18 A. These sections were eliminated because they are already contained elsewhere in the terms
 19 and conditions. Specifically, these are now new Sections 27 and 28.
- 20 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 31.4.
- A. The transmission portion of this section and the PJM billing line items were deleted because they are already contained in Section 30. In addition, the Company removed the

- reference to the current general service schedules, GS-2 or above, to be demand-metered general service to reflect the proposed general service schedules.
- 3 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 31.6.
- 4 A. Changes made to this section reflect clarification language of the process and includes an
- 5 additional provision for rejecting CRES registrations if the Supplier is no longer certified
- 6 by the Commission.
- 7 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 31.8.
- 8 A. Changes to this section reflect AEP Ohio's method of providing the pre-enrollment list
- 9 information through the Company's CRES portal and changes to Ohio Developmental
- Services Agency's name. Other changes reflect changes made to the Ohio Administrative
- 11 Code ("O.A.C.") that require CRES providers to produce letters of authorization when
- requested and use of a governmental aggregation code when a customer is registered as a
- member of a government aggregation program.
- 14 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 30.10, LOSSES.
- 15 A. This section reflects updated loss factors.
- 16 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 30.15, METERING
- 17 **AND LOAD PROFILING.**
- 18 A. Changes made to this section were to delete references to Interval Metering Charges
- because those are already contained in Section 15 of the terms and conditions.
- 20 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO SECTION 31.20, BILLING
- 21 **SERVICES.**
- 22 A. This section was modified to eliminate charges for special billing work that may have been
- done on behalf of the CRES Provider. Also added to this section is a provision that says

- 1 the Company can terminate a billing service agreement if they are in violation of the O.A.C.
- 2 The Company will permit three "strikes" before terminating the agreement.

3 IV. MISCELLANEOUS SERVICE CHARGES

4 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE CHANGES TO MISCELLANEOUS CHARGES WITHIN

5 THE TERMS AND CONDITIONS.

A.

The miscellaneous charges in the terms and conditions were modified to reflect the Company's current costs of labor and vehicle expenses. These costs have not been updated in the terms and conditions since the last base rate case. Some costs increased while others decreased due to improvements to technology and implementation of AMI meters. WPE-1 a-h shows the current costs and rate calculations for miscellaneous charges. The calculations are also attached here as Exhibit AEM-1.

The Company is also requesting to include a late payment fee for residential customers. Currently, approximately 38% of residential customers pay their bills late. The Company is proposing this fee in order to incent on time payment of electric bills. Currently the Company factors its account receivables and has certain metrics which it must maintain. One such metric is the days sales outstanding. In order to meet the metrics for days sales outstanding, timely payments must be received. Through increased efficiency in credit metrics such as on time payments, less delinquencies, etc., there is a chance that the factoring rate could improve, reducing factoring expense and producing savings for all customers. Due to the unknown measure of how a late payment fee may incent on time payment, the Company is proposing to credit any late payment fees charged to and collected from residential customers to the bad debt rider.

V. SERVICE SCHEDULE TARIFFS

renumbered to better organize the tariff book.

2 Q. PLEASE DESCRIBE THE CHANGES MADE TO THE SERVICE SCHEDULE

3 TARIFFS IN GENERAL.

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

- A. Service Schedule Tariffs were consolidated between CSP and OP and further within the various voltage classes with the elimination of GS 1 4 designations. The Company is offering a few new service tariffs, and eliminated tariff size requirements that would require a customer to move from one tariff to another based on load growth or reduction. The Company eliminated specific references to water heating and other specific uses of electricity that were no longer used. The Service Schedule tariffs and all riders were
 - Each time there is a rate change, the Company has to manage an extensive list of tariffs. This is no longer necessary as many have the same rate structure, but due to changes over time, historically needed to be separate. This change reduces the tariffs and provides operational benefits when it comes to managing the tariff books as well as the customer billing system. RDMS is being eliminated, as there are no current customers.

16 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE NEW SERVICE TARIFFS THE COMPANY IS 17 OFFERING.

18 A. The Company is proposing new LED service offerings in both its Street Lighting and Area
19 Lighting Tariffs, as sponsored by Company witness Williams. I am sponsoring a new
20 Alternate Feed Service Schedule.

21 O. EXPLAIN THE COMPANY'S ALTERNATE FEED SERVICE SCHEDULE.

A. The Company currently charges customers for alternate feed service. The proposed rates were combined for the Columbus Southern Power and Ohio Power rates zones as supported

by Company witness Roush. This change only impacts two customers in the Ohio Power rate zone. The addition of this schedule is being proposed in the tariff book to provide transparency.

4 VI. <u>UPDATES TO CURRENT RIDERS</u>

A.

5 O. IS THE COMPANY REQUESTING ANY UPDATES TO CURRENT RIDERS?

A. Yes. As discussed below, the Company is requesting updates to the Distribution
Investment Rider ("DIR"), Enhanced Service Reliability Rider ("ESRR"), Bad Debt Rider
("BDR"), the Storm Damage Recovery Rider ("SDRR"), Auction Cost Reconciliation
Rider ("ACRR"), and Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider ("EDR").

10 O. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED UPDATES TO THE DIR.

The Company is proposing an update to the DIR that includes a formulaic change that reflects that the revenue requirement caps as proposed by the Company are the sum of the monthly revenue requirement, which reflects one-twelfth of the current month revenue requirement, which is what is actually passed through to customers. This update is only recommended to better identify the caps set by the Commission and avoid unintended under recoveries. The Company is proposing to create a separate cap calculation section that is not linked in the DIR workbook that can be analyzed during the quarterly filings for accuracy. See Exhibit AEM-3 for an illustrative example of the format proposed. All numbers are for illustrative example only. Exhibit AEM-3 shows that if in any year the total annual amount will exceed the Commission approved DIR cap, the Company will adjust the remaining months to ensure the caps are not exceeded. This change will provide transparency to the cap calculation. If a quarter reflects a lowered amount, the rate will be adjusted to collect the average of the quarter. As an example in Exhibit AEM-3, the

December amount for the full year application of the improved cap calculation example was adjusted to reflect a reduction of \$3,083,333. The rate for the DIR will be the average of the 4^{th} quarter, or in this example, \$11,472,222, which represents the entire 4^{th} quarter revenue requirement (*i.e.*, \$11,472,222 for 3 months = \$34,416,667)

Additionally, the Company is proposing to increase the DIR upon expiration of the theoretical reserve amortization as agreed to in ESP III (Case Numbers 16-1852-EL-SSO et al.). The test year of the base case includes the amortization of a current theoretical reserve. This amortization has the impact of lowering depreciation expense during the test year. Upon expiration of the theoretical reserve the amortization amount included in the test year of \$23,726,170, as found in Appendix A of the Stipulation filed in Case No. 18-1652-EL-SSO et al., will be included as additional revenue requirement through the DIR. After the test year in this case is established, the theoretical reserve amortization will increase by approximately \$21M beginning June 1, 2021 and ending May 31, 2023. Just as the Company is proposing to increase the DIR by \$23.7M in June of 2023, the Company proposes to pass back this additional benefit through the DIR from June 1, 2021 through May 31, 2023. The bill impacts shown in Exhibit AEM-2 include this proposal.

The Carrying Charge rate for the DIR is being updated to include the most recent average depreciation rate as proposed by Company witness Cash, an updated property tax component based on 2019 actuals and a new Weighted Average Cost of capital as proposed by Company witness Messner. The proposed Revenue requirement of the DIR based on the amounts supported by Company witness Kratt are \$71M in 2021, \$117M in 2022, \$164M in 2023, and \$211M in 2024. Exhibit AEM-2 shows the ongoing bill impacts associated with the DIR and ESRR. The revenue requirement for 2021 will be

implemented upon approval of the base distribution case. Currently the Company has a revenue cap for 2021 of \$290 million with the potential of \$295 million if there is a carryover from the previous year. Upon approval of the base distribution case, this cap will reset based on the timing of Commission approval. As an example, if the Commission approves the base case and the new DIR caps are effective June 1, 2021, then 1/12 of the approved cap will be calculated each month beginning in June 2021 and the basing point of the DIR will be December 2019, the date certain of this case effective that same month.

The DIR will also be updated to reflect that the only rider in which capital plant is being collected is through GS Phase II. As such, adjustments were made to remove the plant from gridSMART Phase I, the Energy Efficiency and Peak Demand Reduction Cost Recovery Rider ("EE/PDR") and the ESRR. The costs included in the ESRR will be included in the rider going forward as O&M. To the extent there is capital, it will be included in the DIR. In addition, the amount collected through the DIR for the revenue requirement in the Company's last base case, Case No. 11-351-EL-AIR will also be removed.

The caps as proposed do not include an adjustment for the normalized ADIT. The estimated increase in plant based on the normalized ADIT is already included in the cap calculation and as such it is no longer necessary to exclude that calculation from the cap balance. If the caps are not approved as proposed, the Company would need to continue to exclude the normalized ADIT from the cap calculation.

1 Q. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING ANY CHANGES TO THE PROTECTED ADIT

2 RECOVERED THROUGH THE DIR OR THE UNPROTECTED ADIT

3 RECOVERED THROUGH THE TAX SAVINGS CREDIT RIDER?

- A. No. Per pages 3 and 4 of the Stipulation in Case No. 18-1451-EL-ATA, the protected ADIT will continue to be passed back through the DIR and not subject to the caps. The unprotected ADIT per pages 4 and 5 of that same Stipulation explains that the unprotected ADIT will be recovered through the tax savings credit rider. The protected and unprotected ADIT was removed from the test year in order to reflect that those benefits are being and will continue to be passed back through other mechanisms.
- 10 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE UPDATES TO THE ESRR.

18

21

22

23

A.

11 A. The Company did not adjust the current levels of ESRR out of the base rates. The total
12 level included in base rates is \$45,091,362. Per the Stipulation in Case Numbers 17-003813 EL-RDR and 18-0230-EL-RDR, the Company will, upon approval of the rates filed here,
14 begin to expense certain work that used to be classified as capital. Per Company witness
15 Kratt, the incremental spend needed for vegetation management is \$57,746,869,
16 \$49,031,169, \$49,031,169 and \$49,031,169 for 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024 respectively.
17 The annual forestry dollars spent above the base rate amount will be included in the ESRR.

19 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE UPDATES TO THE STORM DAMAGE RECOVERY 20 RIDER.

The test year reflects the five-year average of major storm expenses experienced by Ohio power from 2015 through 2019. The adjustment reflects that the current level of O&M has been reduced from the base of approximately \$5.120 million dollars currently established

Exhibit AEM-2 shows the ongoing bill impacts associated with the ESRR and the DIR.

in the storm damage rider to approximately \$3.341 million dollars as proposed for the new baseline. As such, the Company has reset the test year to include \$3.341 million O&M for Storm O&M expenses and will collect or return to customers the amount spent each year on major events above or below that new baseline.

5 O. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE PROPOSED UPDATES TO THE BAD DEBT RIDER.

A.

The Company is requesting to update the bad debt rider based on the test year level of factoring expense. The rider will track the test year level of factoring expense to the actual factoring expense. The Company is proposing to include any late payment fees collected from residential customers to be included as a credit to the bad debt rider.

The Company currently offers customers to pay their bill online by credit card. However, there is currently a fee associated with Automated Clearing House ("ACH") payments made through the vendor as well as credit and debit card payments. The Company has provided a level of credit card fees to be included in base rates that is equal to the 2019 number of customers utilizing ACH, credit/debit card payments and the estimated amount per transaction from the vendor. However, if the number of customers that will utilize the credit or debit card payment increases, the amount of fees associated with these incremental payments may exceed the current level as included in base rates. As such, the credit card fees included in the test year will be trued-up through the bad debt rider. Credit card options are another way to potentially prevent nonpayment, reducing the levels of factoring expense, producing savings to all customers.

1 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENT TO THE BAD DEBT RIDER

ASSOCIATED WITH THE COMMISSION APPROVED DEFERRAL OF COVID-

3 **19 COSTS.**

2

12

4 In Case No. 20-604-EL-AAM, the Commission ordered the Company to track and defer A. 5 certain incremental expenses as they related to the coronavirus pandemic. The Company 6 worked with the Staff of the Commission in order to determine that a separate FERC 7 account be established that would defer incremental costs as they relate to COVID-19 less 8 any cost savings recognized through the pandemic. The Company is requesting to collect 9 the amount of the deferral through the Bad Debt Rider upon approval in this case to the 10 extent a recovery mechanism is not approved in Case No. 20-604-EL-AAM. The costs 11 associated with the deferral will be subject to audit during the bad debt rider proceeding in

Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN THE ADJUSTMENTS REQUESTED FOR THE AUCTION COST RECONCILIATION RIDER.

compliance with the Commission order.

15 A. The Company is proposing to include payments to Co-generation customers through the
16 ACRR. Those payments are currently included in account 555 and as such were removed
17 from the test year. To the extent customers qualify for co-generation, the amount of
18 generation produced would decrease the amount of energy necessary to procure through
19 the auction process. The ACRR is the true-up mechanism to the auction process for AEP
20 Ohio. Co-generation credits would be included as an additional cost through the ACRR.

21 O. IS THE COMPANY PROPOSING ANY UPDATES TO THE EDR?

22 A. Yes. As supported by Company witness Williams, the test year includes an estimated amount of energy efficiency program costs. To the extent the Company does not reach the

estimated costs of those programs as included in the test year, any unspent dollars will be refunded to customers through the EDR. In addition, an adjustment was made to the EDR to include the portion of Interruptible credits currently being collected through the EE/PDR rider.

5 Q. PLEASE EXPLAIN ADJUSTMENTC-3.8 FOR ENERGY EFFICIENCY LABOR.

A. The test year excludes any cost and revenue associated with the current Energy Efficiency/Peak Demand Reduction program costs as the rider is winding down and will expire. In the Company's last base distribution case, the EE/PDR team members' labor was included in the rider and as such adjusted out of the base case as adjustment C-3.3. Adjustment C-3.8 was made in order to reflect that the labor amounts for the EE/PDR team should remain in the test year as removing the entire EE/PDR costs would also remove this labor. As the team members are retained, the labor associated with those team members should remain in base rates.

14 Q. DOES THIS CONCLUDE YOUR TESTIMONY?

15 A. Yes.

Ohio Power Company Case No. 20-0585-EL-AIR Exhibit AEM-1 Page 1 of 8

Miscellaneous Service Revenue Increases

01/01/19 - 12/31/19

			01/0	01/19 - 12/31/19)	
	Tariff	Test Year	Current	Current	Proposed	Proposed
	<u>Sheet</u>	<u>Charges</u>	<u>Rate</u>	<u>Revenue</u>	<u>Rate</u>	Revenue
Reconnect Charges						
At meter - regular business hours	5 - 1	135,515	\$53	\$7,182,295	\$27	. , ,
At meter - overtime	5 - 1	4,728	\$98	\$463,344	\$58	\$274,224
At meter - Sunday or holiday	5 - 1	78	\$119	\$9,282	\$71	\$5,538
At pole - regular business hours	5 - 1	95	\$154	\$14,630	\$161	\$15,295
At pole - overtime	5 - 1	11	\$192	\$2,112	\$222	\$2,442
At pole - Sunday or holiday	5 - 1	3	\$221	\$663	\$261	\$783
*Remove & reset meter	5 - 1		\$73	\$0		\$0
Install locking device	5 - 1	99	\$73	\$7,227	\$78	\$7,722
At customer's request for non-credit reasons	5 - 1	5,168	\$153	\$790,704	\$168	\$868,224
Inspections for over 6 Months		10,607	\$0		\$27	\$286,389
Collection Trip Charge	5 - 1	60,282	\$16	\$964,512	\$18	\$1,085,076
Meter Test Charges			4	4-	4.0	4.0
All meters	5 - 2		\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
Single phase meters	Revised		\$64	\$0	\$75	\$0
All other meters	Revised		\$85	\$0	\$100	\$0
Minimum Fraudulent or Tampering Charge	5 - 2	296	\$49	\$14,504	\$52	\$15,392
Returned Check Charge	5 - 1	26,629	\$9	\$239,661	\$12	\$319,548
Temporary Service						
Read-in/Read-out existing meter	Revised	1	\$57	\$57	\$61	\$61
Overhead - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A	Revised	562	\$237	\$133,194	\$383	\$215,246
Underground - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200A	Revised	2,124	\$134	\$284,616	\$144	\$305,856
στιστοι βιστικού το τη Εφινή Εστικού		_, :	7	¥=0.70=0	****	4000,000
Connect Phone Line			\$57		\$66	
Perform Manual Meter Read			\$43	\$0	\$50	\$0
Check Phone Line & Perform Manual Read		6	\$47	\$282	\$55	\$330
Repair/Replace Surge Protector			\$119		\$119	
Repair/Replace Interval Board			\$121		\$137	
Repair/Replace Modem Board			\$210		\$236	
Repair/Replace Interval and Modem Boards			\$260		\$276	
Total Miscellaneous Service Revenue				\$10,107,083		\$7,061,031

Total Revenue Differential

-\$3,046,052

Schedule Reference

\$30.67 Schedule E-1 Page 16

4.58

AEP Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2019 Cost Information

 Reconnect at meter for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect is made at meter.

Current charge: \$53:00 Proposed charge: \$27.00

Weighted Average travel time per trip: 25 Minutes

Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .42 hour = \$17.67

Average time to disconnect and reconnect: 12 minutes Labor: \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .2 hour =

Labor: \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .2 hour =

Vehicle cost for class 34: \$7.50 per hour x .611 hour =

Total cost justified reconnect charge

Cost justified disconnect charge

Cost justified disconnect charge30.67Total rounded cost justified charge\$61.00AMI Meter Adjustment Rounded 55% Credit-\$34.00

Total AMI Adjusted cost justified Rounded Charge \$27.00 Schedule E-1 Page 31

2. Reconnect at meter for non-pay during overtime hours after 4:00 p.m.and Saturday.

Current charge: 98.00 Proposed charge: \$58.00

Average travel time per trip: 1 hour

Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$29.84 per hour x 1.5 (time and a half) x 2 hr. (min) = \$89.52

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 34: \$7.50 per hour x 1 hour = $\frac{7.50}{1.50}$

Total cost justified reconnect charge \$97.02 Schedule E-1 Page 16

Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours)

Total rounded cost justified charge

AMI Meter Adjustment Rounded 55% Credit

\$128.00

-\$70.00

Total AMI Adjusted cost justified Rounded Charge \$58.00 Schedule E-1 Page 32

Ohio Power Company Case No. 20-0585-EL-AIR Exhibit AEM-1 Page 3 of 8

AEP Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2019 Cost Information

3. Reconnect at meter on Sunday or holiday when double time is required.

Current charge: 119.00 Proposed charge: 71.00

Average travel time: 1 hour

Labor: \$29.84 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) =

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 34: \$7.50 per hour x 1 hour =

Total cost justified reconnect charge

Cost justified disconnect charge (during regular hours)

Total rounded cost justified charge

AMI Meter Adjustment Rounded 55% Credit Total AMI Adjusted cost justified Rounded Charge

, ,

4. Reconnect for non-pay during regular business hours (8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.) when disconnect is made at pole.

Current charge: 154.00 Proposed charge: \$161.00

Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour

Labor: Line Mechanic A @ \$38.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x 1 hour =

Vehicle cost for class 68: \$25.81 per hour x 1 hour =

Cost justified reconnect charge Cost justified disconnect charge Total rounded cost justified charge

Schedule	Reference

\$119.36
7.50 \$126.86 Schedule E-1 Page 16 30.67 \$158.00 -\$87.00
\$71.00 Schedule E-1 Page 32

\$54.44

25.81

\$80.25

80.25

\$161.00 Schedule E-1 Page 32

Ohio Power Company Case No. 20-0585-EL-AIR Exhibit AEM-1 Page 4 of 8

AEP Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2019 Cost Information

5. Reconnect for non-pay during overtime hours when disconnect is made at pole.

(Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during overtime hours)

Current charge: 192.00 Proposed charge: \$222.00

Average travel and time to disconnect or reconnect: 1 hour

Reconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$38.61/hr. x 1.5 (overtime) x 2 hr. (min) =

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 68: \$25.81 per hour x 1 hour =

Cost justified reconnect charge

Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$38.61/hr. plus fringes at 41% (reg. time)

Vehicle cost for class 68: \$25.81 per hour x 1 hour =

Total rounded cost justified charge

6. Reconnect at pole on Sunday or holidays when double time is required.

(Disconnect during regular hours/reconnect during overtime hours)

Current charge: 221.00 Proposed charge: \$261.00

Average travel time: 1 hour

Labor: \$38.61 per hour x 2 (double time) x 2 hr. (min.) =

(Two hour union contractual call out minimum)

Vehicle cost for class 68: \$25.81 per hour x 1 hour =

Total cost justified reconnect charge

Disconnect: Line Mechanic A @ \$38.61/hr.x 1 hour plus fringes at 41% (reg. time)

Vehicle cost for class 68: \$25.81 per hour x 1 hour =

Total rounded cost justified charge

Schedule Reference

\$115.83

<u>25.81</u>

\$141.64

\$54.44

<u>25.81</u>

\$222.00 Schedule E-1 Page 32

\$154.44

<u>25.81</u>

\$180.25

\$54.44

25.81

\$261.00 Schedule E-1 Page 32

Ohio Power Company Case No. 20-0585-EL-AIR Exhibit AEM-1 Page 5 of 8

AEP Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2019 Cost Information

7.	Install locking device and reconnect service.	Schedule Reference
	Current charge: \$73.00 Proposed charge: \$78.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .50 hour =	\$21.04
	Average time to install lock and reconnect meter 15 minutes Labor: \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .25 hour =	10.52
	Locking device (Jiffy Lock) Vehicle cost for class 34: \$7.50 per hour x .75 hour =	10.55 5.63
	Cost justified disconnect charge Total cost justified reconnect charge	30.67 \$78.00 Schedule E-1 Page 32
8.	Disconnect and Reconnect at customer's request for non-credit related reasons.	
	Current charge: \$77.00 Proposed charge: \$160.00	
	Disconnect/Reconnect is made at pole, weatherhead, secondary bushings of transformer or other similar manner. Customer will be assessed charge for each trip.	
	Cost justified reconnect charge from item #4. Cost justified disconnect charge from item #4.	\$80.00 <u>\$80.00</u> \$160.00 Schedule E-1 Page 32
9.	Collection trip charge accessed the customer for each time an employees is dispatched to the customer's premise for the purpose of performing collection activities.	
	Current charge: \$16.00 Proposed charge: \$18.00	
	Average travel time per trip: 19 Minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .317 hour =	\$13.34
	Average time to complete collection task at customer site: 2 minutes Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .033 hour =	\$1.39
	Vehicle cost for class 34: \$7.50 per hour x .4 hour = Total cost justified reconnect charge	3.00 \$18.00 Schedule E-1 Page 31

Ohio Power Company Case No. 20-0585-EL-AIR Exhibit AEM-1 Page 6 of 8

AEP Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2019 Cost Information

10. Meter test charge for single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted within thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test.

Schedule Reference

\$35.35

11.90

27.93

\$35.35

15.80

10.55

Current charge: \$64.00 Proposed charge: \$75.00

Average travel time per trip: 38 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .633 hour

Vehicle cost for class 44: \$10.53 per hour x 1.13 hours =

Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .5 hour =

Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters \$75.00 Schedule E-1 Page 21

11. Meter test charge for other than single phase meters for each subsequent test conducted within thirty-six (36) months of the last previous test.

Current charge: \$85.00 Proposed charge: \$100.00

Average travel time per trip: 38 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .633 hour =

Vehicle cost for class 44: \$10.53 per hour x 1.5 hours =

Average time at meter other than single phase: 52.5 mins

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.31 per hour plus fringes at 41% x 52.5 mins = 48.87

Total rounded cost justified charge for other than single phase \$100.00 Schedule E-1 Page 21

12. Minimum charge to investigate tampering or fraudulent practice.

Current charge: \$49.00 Proposed charge: \$52.00

Average travel time per trip: 30 Minutes

Labor: MRO Specialist @ \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .5 hour = \$21.04

Average time to investigate and inspect equipment 20 minutes

Labor: \$29.84 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .333 hour = 14.01

Locking device (Jiffy Lock)

Vehicle cost for class 34: \$7.50 per hour x .833 hour = 6.25

Total cost justified tampering of fraud charge \$52.00 Schedule E-1 Page 21

Ohio Power Company Case No. 20-0585-EL-AIR Exhibit AEM-1 Page 7 of 8

AEP Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2019 Cost Information

13. Returned check charge. Schedule Reference

Current charge: \$9.00 Proposed charge: \$12.00

Bank fee per returned check \$6.50

Labor: Direct Collection Associate @ \$20.90 per hour plus fringes at 50% x 1/12 hours (average processing time).

2.72

Labor: Payment Option Coordinator @ \$33.00 per hour plus fringes at 50% x 1/20 hours (average process time)

2.57

Total rounded cost justified charge processing and review \$12.00 Schedule E-1 Page 30

14. Temporary service requiring only reading-in and reading-out an existing meter.

Current charge: \$57.00 Proposed charge: \$61.00

Same as disconnect and reconnect at meter during normal business hours.

Total cost justified charge from item #1. \$61.00 Schedule E-1 Page 19

15. Connect Phone Line

Current charge: \$57.00 Proposed charge: \$66.00

Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .5 hour = \$27.93

Vehicle cost for class 44: \$10.53 per hour x 1 hour = \$10.53

Vehicle cost for class 44: \$10.53 per hour x 1 hour = Average time at meter single phase: 30 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .5 hour = \$27.93

Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters \$66.00 Schedule E-1 Page 22

16. Perform Manual Meter Read

Current charge: \$43.00 Proposed charge: \$50.00

Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .5 hour = \$27.93

Vehicle cost for class 44: \$10.53 per hour x 3/4 hour = \$7.90

Vehicle cost for class 44: \$10.53 per hour x 3/4 hour = Average time at meter single phase: 15 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at41% x .25 hour = \$13.96

Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters \$50.00 Schedule E-1 Page 22

Ohio Power Company Case No. 20-0585-EL-AIR Exhibit AEM-1 Page 8 of 8

\$27.93

\$8.77

AEP Ohio Power Company Terms and Conditions of Service Cost Support for Proposed Schedule of Charges Using 2019 Cost Information

17. Check Phone Line and Perform Manual Meter Read Due to Loss of Communication Schedule Reference

Current charge: \$47.00 Proposed charge: \$55.00

Average travel time per trip: 30 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .5 hour =

Vehicle cost for class 44: \$10.53 per hour x 50 min = Average time at meter single phase: 20 minutes

Labor: MRO Electrician A @ \$39.61 per hour plus fringes at 41% x .333 hour = \$18.60

Total rounded cost justified charge for single phase meters \$55.00 Schedule E-1 Page 22

18. Repair/Replace Surge Protector

Current charge: \$119.00 Proposed charge: \$119.00 Schedule E-1 Page 22

19. Repair/Replace Interval Board

Current charge: \$121.00 Proposed charge: \$137.00 Schedule E-1 Page 22

20. Repair/Replace Modem Board

21. Repair/Replace Interval and Modem Boards

22. Temporary service - 120/240V, 1 ph, 200A with 100 foot service lateral from permanent overhead source.

Install and remove service.

Current charge: \$237.00 Proposed charge: \$383.00 Schedule E-1 Page 19

23. Temporary service - 120/240 V, 1 ph, 200 A with 100 foot service lateral from permanent underground

source. Instal and remove service.

Current charge: \$134 Proposed charge: \$144.00 Schedule E-1 Page 19

24. Inspection

Current Charge: \$0.00 Proposed Charge: \$27.00 Schedule E-1 Page 14

Ohio Power Company Typical Bill Comparison Estimated DIR and ESRR Bill Impacts Ohio Power Rate Zone

<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	<u>Current</u>	Year 1	Diffe	rence	Difference	Year 2	<u>Dif</u>	ference	<u>Difference</u>	Year 3	Differen	<u>Difference</u>	Year 4	Dif	ference	Difference
Residential	100	\$	28.14 \$	29.55	\$	1.41	5.0% \$	29.99	\$	0.44	1.5% \$	31.20	\$ 1.2	1 4.0% \$	32.30	\$	1.10	3.5%
	250	\$	45.38 \$	47.13		1.75	3.9% \$	47.69	\$	0.56	1.2% \$	49.20	\$ 1.5		50.57		1.37	2.8%
	500	\$	74.13 \$	76.46	\$	2.33	3.1% \$	77.22	\$	0.76	1.0% \$	79.22	\$ 2.0		81.04		1.82	2.3%
	750	\$	102.90 \$	105.80	\$	2.90	2.8% \$	106.74	\$	0.94	0.9% \$	109.25	\$ 2.5		111.52	\$	2.27	2.1%
	1,000	\$	131.65 \$	135.14	\$	3.49	2.7% \$	136.26	\$	1.12	0.8% \$	139.27	\$ 3.0	1 2.2% \$	141.99	\$	2.72	2.0%
	1,500	\$	189.15 \$	193.80	\$	4.65	2.5% \$	195.29	\$	1.49	0.8% \$	199.30	\$ 4.0	1 2.1% \$	202.92	\$	3.62	1.8%
	2,000	\$	246.66 \$	252.47	\$	5.81	2.4% \$	254.33	\$	1.86	0.7% \$	259.34	\$ 5.0	1 2.0% \$	263.86	\$	4.52	1.7%
GS-1	375	3 \$	58.71 \$	60.27	\$	1.56	2.7% \$	60.77	\$	0.50	0.8% \$	62.10	\$ 1.3	3 2.2% \$	63.31	\$	1.21	2.0%
Secondary	1,000	3 \$	121.56 \$	124.25		2.69	2.2% \$	125.11	\$	0.86	0.7% \$	127.42	\$ 2.3		129.51		2.09	1.6%
Cocondary	750	6 \$	96.44 \$	98.66		2.22	2.3% \$	99.38	\$	0.72	0.7% \$	101.30			103.04		1.74	1.7%
	2,000	6 \$	222.14 \$	226.62		4.48	2.0% \$	228.07		1.45	0.6% \$	231.94	\$ 3.8		235.43		3.49	1.5%
GS-2	1,500	12 \$	225.19 \$	229.99		4.80	2.1% \$	231.54	\$	1.55	0.7% \$	235.68	\$ 4.	+			3.74	1.6%
	4,000	12 \$	429.99 \$	439.30		9.31	2.2% \$	442.30	\$	3.00	0.7% \$	450.33	\$ 8.0	+			7.26	1.6%
	6,000	30 \$	796.50 \$	817.70		21.20	2.7% \$	824.53	\$	6.83	0.8% \$	842.82			859.35		16.53	2.0%
	10,000	30 \$	1,032.35 \$	1,053.55		21.20	2.1% \$	1,060.38	\$	6.83	0.7% \$	1,078.67	\$ 18.2		1,095.20	\$	16.53	1.5%
	10,000	40 \$	1,164.10 \$	1,191.40		27.30	2.4% \$	1,200.21	\$	8.81	0.7% \$	1,223.76	\$ 23.		1,245.05	\$	21.29	1.7%
	14,000	40 \$	1,399.95 \$	1,427.25		27.30	2.0% \$	1,436.06	\$	8.81	0.6% \$	1,459.61	\$ 23.		1,480.90	\$	21.29	1.5%
	12,500	50 \$	1,443.26 \$	1,476.66		33.40	2.3% \$	1,487.44	\$	10.78	0.7% \$	1,516.25	\$ 28.8		1,542.30	\$	26.05	1.7%
	18,000	50 \$	1,765.86 \$	1,799.26		33.40	1.9% \$	1,810.04	\$	10.78	0.6% \$	1,838.85	\$ 28.8		1,864.90	\$	26.05	1.4%
	15,000	75 \$ 100 \$	1,920.04 \$ 3,125.46 \$	1,968.70 3,189.38		18.66 33.92	2.5% \$ 2.1% \$	1,984.40 3,209.99	\$	15.70 20.61	0.8% \$ 0.7% \$	2,026.37 3,265.12	\$ 41.9 \$ 55.		2,064.32 3,314.97	\$ \$	37.95 49.85	1.9% 1.5%
	30,000 36,000	100 \$ 100 \$	3,475.87 \$	3,169.36		3.92 3.92	1.8% \$	3,209.99	\$	20.61	0.7% \$	3,615.53	\$ 55.		3,665.38	Ф \$	49.85	1.5%
	30,000	150 \$	3,784.21 \$	3,878.65		94.44	2.5% \$	3,909.10		30.45	0.8% \$	3,990.55	\$ 81.4		4,064.21	\$	73.66	1.9%
	60,000	300 \$	7,512.54 \$	7,698.52			2.5% \$	7,758.49	\$	59.97	0.8% \$	7,918.89	\$ 160.4		8,063.95	*	145.06	1.8%
	90,000	300 \$	9.264.63 \$	9,450.61			2.0% \$	9,510.58	\$	59.97	0.6% \$	9,670.98	\$ 160.4		9,816.04		145.06	1.5%
	100,000	500 \$	12,483.67 \$	12,791.70			2.5% \$	12,891.05	\$	99.35	0.8% \$	13,156.71	\$ 265.0		13,396.98		240.27	1.8%
	150,000	500 \$	15,403.80 \$	15,711.83			2.0% \$	15,811.18	\$	99.35	0.6% \$	16,076.84	\$ 265.0		16,317.11		240.27	1.5%
	180,000	500 \$	17,155.86 \$	17,463.89			1.8% \$	17,563.24		99.35	0.6% \$	17,828.90			18,069.17	*	-	1.4%

Ohio Power Company Typical Bill Comparison Estimated DIR and ESRR Bill Impacts Ohio Power Rate Zone

<u>Tariff</u>	<u>kWh</u>	<u>KW</u>	Current	Year 1	<u>Difference</u>	<u>Difference</u>	Year 2	Difference	<u>Difference</u>	Year 3	Difference	<u>Difference</u>	Year 4	Difference	<u>Difference</u>
GS-3 Secondary	18,000 30,000 50,000		\$ 1,765.86 \$ 2,796.08 \$ 3,964.14	\$ 2,844.74	\$ 48.66	1.9% \$ 1.7% \$ 1.2% \$	1,810.04 2,860.44 4,028.50	\$ 15.70	0.6% \$ 0.6% \$ 0.4% \$	1,838.85 2,902.41 4,070.47	\$ 41.97	1.6% \$ 1.5% \$ 1.0% \$	1,864.90 2,940.36 4,108.42	\$ 37.95	1.4% 1.3% 0.9%
	36,000 30,000	100 150	\$ 3,475.87 \$ 3,784.21	\$ 3,539.79 \$ 3,878.65	\$ 63.92 \$ 94.44	1.8% \$ 2.5% \$	3,560.40 3,909.10	\$ 20.61 \$ 30.45	0.6% \$ 0.8% \$	3,615.53 3,990.55	\$ 55.13 \$ 81.45	1.6% \$ 2.1% \$	3,665.38 4,064.21	\$ 49.85 \$ 73.66	1.4% 1.9%
	60,000 100,000 120,000	150 150 300	\$ 7,872.39	\$ 7,966.83		1.7% \$ 1.2% \$ 1.7% \$	5,661.17 7,997.28 11,262.65	\$ 30.45	0.5% \$ 0.4% \$ 0.5% \$	5,742.62 8,078.73 11,423.05	\$ 81.45	1.4% \$ 1.0% \$ 1.4% \$	5,816.28 8,152.39 11,568.11	\$ 73.66	1.3% 0.9% 1.3%
	150,000 200,000 180,000	300 300 500	\$ 15,688.90	\$ 15,874.88	\$ 185.98 \$ 185.98 \$ 308.03	1.5% \$ 1.2% \$ 1.8% \$,		0.5% \$ 0.4% \$ 0.6% \$	13,175.13 16,095.25 17,828.90	\$ 160.40	1.2% \$ 1.0% \$ 1.5% \$	13,320.19 16,240.31 18,069.17	\$ 145.06	1.1% 0.9% 1.4%
	200,000 325,000	500 500	\$ 18,323.92	\$ 18,631.95	\$ 308.03 \$ 308.03	1.7% \$ 1.2% \$	18,731.30		0.5% \$ 0.4% \$	18,996.96 26,297.28	\$ 265.66	1.4% \$ 1.0% \$	19,237.23 26,537.55	\$ 240.27	1.3% 0.9%
GS-2 Primary	200,000 300,000	1,000 1,000			\$ 568.88 \$ \$ 568.88	2.3% \$ 1.9% \$		\$ 183.49 \$ 183.49	0.7% \$ 0.6% \$	25,985.47 31,681.79		1.9% \$ 1.6% \$	26,429.23 32,125.55		1.7% 1.4%
GS-3 Primary	360,000 400,000 650,000	1,000 1,000 1,000	\$ 36,135.10	\$ 36,703.98	\$ 568.88 \$ 568.88 \$ 568.88	1.7% \$ 1.6% \$ 1.1% \$	36,887.47	\$ 183.49 \$ 183.49 \$ 183.49	0.5% \$ 0.5% \$ 0.4% \$	35,099.58 37,378.11 51,618.92	\$ 490.64	1.4% \$ 1.3% \$ 1.0% \$	35,543.34 37,821.87 52,062.68	\$ 443.76	1.3% 1.2% 0.9%
GS-2 Subtransmissi	1,500,000	5,000	\$ 107,458.46	\$ 107,920.98	\$ \$ 462.52	0.4% \$	108,070.15	\$ 149.17	0.1% \$	108,469.06	\$ 398.91	0.4% \$	108,829.84	\$ 360.78	0.3%
GS-3 Subtransmissi	2,500,000 3,250,000	5,000 5,000		\$ 156,056.08 \$ 192,157.40		0.3% \$ 0.2% \$,		0.1% \$ 0.1% \$	156,604.16 192,705.48		0.3% \$ 0.2% \$,		0.2% 0.2%
GS-4 Subtransmissi	3,000,000 5,000,000 6,500,000 10,000,000 13,000,000	10,000 10,000 10,000 20,000 20,000	\$ 301,731.31 \$ 373,933.96 \$ 594,006.81	\$ 302,193.83 \$ 374,396.48	\$ 462.52 \$ 462.52 \$ 462.52	0.2% \$ 0.2% \$ 0.1% \$ 0.1% \$ 0.1% \$	374,545.65 594,618.50	\$ 149.17 \$ 149.17 \$ 149.17	0.1% \$ 0.1% \$ 0.0% \$ 0.0% \$ 0.0% \$	206,471.71 302,741.91 374,944.56 595,017.41 739,422.71	\$ 398.91 \$ 398.91 \$ 398.91	0.2% \$ 0.1% \$ 0.1% \$ 0.1% \$ 0.1% \$	303,102.69 375,305.34 595,378.19	\$ 360.78 \$ 360.78 \$ 360.78	0.2% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1% 0.1%
GS-4 Transmission	25,000,000 32,500,000		\$ 1,470,833.31 \$ 1,831,846.56				1,471,445.00 1,832,458.25			1,471,843.91 1,832,857.16			1,472,204.69 1,833,217.94		0.0% 0.0%

^{*} Typical bills assume 100% Power Factor

AEP Ohio Distribution Investment Rider

<u>Line</u>		-		Return	<u>D</u>	epreciation	<u>P</u>	roperty Tax		<u>Total</u>
1	Distribution Plant as of 12/31/2019									
2	Accumulated Depreciation as of 12/31/2019									
3=1-2	Net Distribution Plant		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
4										
5	Distribution Plant		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
6	Accumulated Depreciation		\$	-			\$	-	_	
7=5-6	Net Distribution Plant		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
8										
9=7-3	Change in Distribution Net Plant		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
10										
11	gridSMART II Net Plant Adjustment (Recovered through GS Rider)		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
12	A LANGE TO A STATE OF									
13 14	Incremental ADIT/Theorectical Reserve Offset		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-		
14 15=9-11-13	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant		\$		\$		\$			
15=9-11-15	Adjusted Change in Distribution Plant		Ş	-	Ş	-	Ş	-		
17	Carrying Charge Rate			9.45%		3.50%		4.24%		17.19%
18	carrying charge nace			3.4370		3.3070		4.2470		17.1570
19=15*17	Initial Rider Revenue		\$	_	\$		\$		\$	_
20			-		,				•	
21	Gross Up Factor (CAT)									100.26%
22										
23=19*21	Revised Grossed Up Revenue		\$	-	\$	-	\$	-	\$	-
24										
25	DIR Cap Adjustment (Annual Cap Verification)								\$	-
26										<u></u>
27	Normalized ADIT Pass Back Not subject to Cap								\$	-
28										
29	Theoretcial Reserve Adjustment								\$	-
30									_	
31=23+25+27+29 32	Total DIR Revenue Requirement								\$	-
33	(Over)/Under (Based on Actuals)			101		101		101	\$	
34	(Over)/Order (Based on Actuals)			xx		XX		xx	Ş	-
35=31+33	Fully Adjusted Revenue Requirement								\$	
36	rany ragastea nevenue nequirement								ب	-
37	Annual Base Distribution Revenue (12 months ending December XX)									\$0.00
38										+
39=35/37	AEP Ohio Percentage of Base Distribution Revenue									XX
•	•								_	

	Example: Partial Year													
	2021 Cap* 1/12 Revenue													
	71,000,000 Requirement (Over)/Under													
Jun-21	\$	5,916,667		4,000,000										
Jul-21	\$	5,916,667	\$	4,500,000										
Aug-21	\$	5,916,667	\$	5,000,000										
Sep-21	\$	5,916,667	\$	5,500,000										
Oct-21	\$	5,916,667	\$	6,000,000										
Nov-21	\$	5,916,667	\$	6,500,000										
Dec-21	\$	5,916,667	\$	7,000,000										
	\$	41,416,667	\$ 3	8,500,000	\$	2,916,667								
* Represents Partial Year														

Example Full Year Over the Cap													
	20	22 Cap	\$	117,000,000									
	20	21 Under	\$	2,916,667									
	To	otal 2022	\$	119,916,667	•								
			1/1	2 Revenue									
		2022Cap	R	equirement	((Over)/Under							
Jan-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	7,500,000									
Feb-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	8,000,000									
Mar-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	8,500,000									
Apr-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,000,000									
May-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,500,000									
Jun-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	10,000,000									
Jul-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	10,500,000									
Aug-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	11,000,000									
Sep-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	11,500,000									
Oct-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	12,000,000									
Nov-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	12,500,000									
Dec-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	13,000,000									
	\$	119,916,667	\$	123,000,000	\$	(3,083,333							

I	Exar	nple Full Yea	ır Cı	ırrent Cap Ca	lcul	ation
	202	2 Cap	\$ 1	17,000,000		
	202	1 Under	\$	2,916,667		
	То	tal 2022	\$ 1	19,916,667		
			1/1	2 Revenue		
		2022Cap	Re	quirement	(C	Over)/Und
Jan-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	7,500,000		
Feb-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	8,000,000		
Mar-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	8,500,000		
Apr-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,000,000		
May-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,500,000		
Jun-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,993,056		
Jul-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,993,056		
Aug-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,993,056		
Sep-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,993,056		
Oct-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,993,056		
Nov-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,993,056		
Dec-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,993,056		
1	\$ 1	19,916,667	\$ 1	12,451,389	\$	7,465,2

Exa	ımpk	e Full Year Apj	plica			. ,
	202	22 Cap	\$	117,000,000		
	202	21 Under	\$	2,916,667		
	To	otal 2022	\$	119,916,667	•	
			1/12	2 Revenue		
		2022Cap	Rec	quirement	(Over)/Under	
Jan-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	7,500,000		
Feb-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	8,000,000		
Mar-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	8,500,000		
Apr-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,000,000		
May-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,500,000		
Jun-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	10,000,000		
Jul-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	10,500,000		
Aug-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	11,000,000		
Sep-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	11,500,000		
Oct-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	12,000,000		
Nov-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	12,500,000		
Dec-22	\$	9,993,056	\$	9,916,667		
	\$	119,916,667	\$	119,916,667	\$ (0)	

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document upon the following parties. In addition, I hereby certify that a service copy of the foregoing *Direct Testimony of Andrea E. Moore* was sent by, or on behalf of, the undersigned counsel to the following parties of record this 15th day of June 2020, via electronic transmission.

/s/ Steven T. Nourse
Steven T. Nourse

EMAIL SERVICE LIST

angela.obrien@occ.ohio.gov;
Bethany.Allen@igs.com;
Christopher.Healey@occ.ohio.gov;
jkylercohn@BKLlawfirm.com;
joliker@igsenergy.com;
Bojko@carpenterlipps.com;
kboehm@BKLlawfirm.com;
mpritchard@mwncmh.com;
mkurtz@BKLlawfirm.com;
mnugent@igsenergy.com;
paul@carpenterlipps.com;
rglover@mcneeslaw.com;
rdove@keglerbrown.com;

Attorney Examiner

Greta.See@puc.state.oh.us; Sarah.Parrot@puc.state.oh.us;

Attorney General

Werner.margard@ohioattorneygeneral.gov; steven.darnell@ohioattorneygeneral.gov; Andrew.shaffer@ohioattorneygeneral.gov: Kimberly.Naeder@ohioattorneygeneral.gov; This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/15/2020 11:38:46 AM

in

Case No(s). 20-0585-EL-AIR, 20-0586-EL-ATA, 20-0587-EL-AAM

Summary: Testimony -Direct Testimony of Andrea E. Moore on Behalf of Ohio Power Company electronically filed by Mr. Steven T Nourse on behalf of Ohio Power Company