BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of Andre :
Giden, Notice of Apparent :

Violation and Intent to : Case No. 19-2099-TR-CVF

Assess Forfeiture.

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Anna Sanyal, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 11:00 a.m. on Tuesday, March 10, 2020.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            By Mr. Steven T. Darnell
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 3
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
                  On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
 5
            Mr. Andre Giden
 6
                 On his own behalf.
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1					$\neg \neg$
					3
1		INDEX			
2					
3	WITN	ESS		PAGE	
4	Andrea Giden				
5		Voir Dire by Mr. Darnell Direct Examination		9 32	
6	Examination by Examiner Sanyal			43	
	Inspector John Rammel				
7	Direct Examination by Mr. Darnell Examination by Examiner Sanyal		13 24		
8	Direct Examination (Rebuttal) by Mr. Darnell			37	
9		Moser	٦	24	
10	Direct Examination by Mr. Darnell Examination by Examiner Sanyal			29	
11	Further Examination by Examiner Sanyal Direct Examination (Continued) by Mr. Darnell		38 39		
12		rther Examination by Examiner	-	42	
13	C III N E			W T M M T I	
		F EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED ADI	MITIEI	١
14		Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	14	44	
15	2	10-30-2019 Notice of			
16		Preliminary Determination	26	44	
17		Photograph of Driver's Side of the Truck	17	44	
18			± ,		
19		Photograph of Passenger's Side of the Truck	17	44	
20		Certificate of Vehicle			
21		Registration	18	44	
22		Dealer's Reassignment of Title for a Motor Vehicle	18	44	
23	6	Photo of License Plate	19	44	
24	7A	Photo of Tire Tread	19	44	
25	7в	Photo of Tire Tread	19	44	

				4
1		INDEX (Continued	d)	
2				
3	STAE	FF EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
4	8A	Photo of Tongue of Trailer Hooked to Truck	20	44
6	8B	Photo of Safety Chains and Breakaway Cable on Trailer	20	44
7	9A	Photo of Rear of Trailer and License Plate	22	44
9	9В	Closeup of License Plate and Renewal Sticker	22	44
10	10	8-9-2019 Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture		
11			39	44
12				
13	RESPONDENT EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED ADMITT		ADMITTED	
14 15	1	Request for Hearing, Bill of Sale, Certificate of Gross Retail or Use Tax, Insurance		
16		Card, and Application for Certificate of Title for a Vehicle	32	44
17	2	Certificate of Title for a		
18	۷	Vehicle	32	44
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

1 Tuesday Morning Session, 2 March 10, 2020. 3 EXAMINER SANYAL: Well, let's go on the 4 5 record. Good morning, everyone. 6 MR. GIDEN: Good morning. 7 EXAMINER SANYAL: The Public Utilities Commission has called for hearing Case No. 8 9 19-2099-TR-CVF which is captioned as in the Matter of 10 Andre Giden, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent 11 to Assess Forfeiture. 12 My name is Anna Sanyal, and I am the 13 Administrative Law Judge -- sorry, the Attorney 14 Examiner assigned to hear this case. At this point I 15 will start with appearances. We will start with 16 Staff. 17 MR. DARNELL: Yes, your Honor. On behalf 18 of Staff, Steven Darnell, Assistant Attorney General, 19 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 20 EXAMINER SANYAL: Thank you. 2.1 And, Mr. Giden, if you just want to tell 22 us your name and your address for the record. 23 MR. GIDEN: Andre Dwayne Giden, 2844 24 Dietz Street, Indianapolis, Indiana 46203. 25 EXAMINER SANYAL: And, Staff, you may

proceed.

2.1

MR. DARNELL: Thank you, your Honor.

Before we get to our case in chief, Staff would just like to make sure we are addressing the proper party in this matter. Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-08(A) in practice before the Commission in the representation of corporations, the rule in brief states that all corporations must be represented by an Attorney at Law.

All the violations in this case are carrier violations. They are not directed at the driver himself, and so we just want to make sure that the Company has sent a representative to the case today and is not proceeding in some way which might question the results of this hearing today.

And with that if we may voir dire Mr. Giden just to make sure.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Well, I think I have some questions before we do that.

MR. DARNELL: Certainly, your Honor.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Because at this point I don't have -- I don't think the record at least has the Notice of Violation that was issued, so actually at this time if I could just have a copy, that would be great.

7 1 MR. DARNELL: Certainly. 2 EXAMINER SANYAL: And we can enter it 3 into evidence later on. And then, Mr. Giden, do you understand 4 5 what's happening here? So the charge -- the 6 violations that were issued, identified against you 7 is against a corporation so --MR. GIDEN: But I don't understand 8 9 corporation. I don't work for anybody --10 MR. DARNELL: May I approach, your Honor? MR. GIDEN: -- so I don't understand. 11 12 EXAMINER SANYAL: Yes. Okay. So I'm 13 just looking at the Notice of Preliminary Determination. And do you have a copy of this, 14 Mr. Giden? 15 16 MR. GIDEN: I may have. I have copies. 17 I mean, I have so much stuff here. 18 MR. DARNELL: If not, your Honor, I do 19 have an extra. 20

EXAMINER SANYAL: If you could hand Mr. Giden a copy, and just for the record I would like to note that Mr. Giden appears to be --MR. GIDEN: Yes, I have this.

2.1

2.2

23

24 EXAMINER SANYAL: Mr. Giden appears to be 25 unrepresented, so I am going to give him a little bit

```
1 of leeway.
```

2 MR. DARNELL: Certainly, your Honor.

3 EXAMINER SANYAL: Mr. Giden, I know you

4 | want to voir dire, but I do have some questions. Are

5 | you -- are you the owner of D&B Auto Sales?

6 MR. GIDEN: No, I'm not.

7 EXAMINER SANYAL: At this time I see your

8 | point. Would you like to voir dire Mr. Giden?

MR. DARNELL: Just one or two questions.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Mr. Giden, would you

11 | come up here.

MR. GIDEN: Yes, ma'am.

13 EXAMINER SANYAL: And I'm going to swear

14 you in.

9

10

15 (Witness sworn.)

16 EXAMINER SANYAL: Please be seated. So,

17 | right know, Mr. Darnell has some questions for a very

18 | specific purpose.

19 THE WITNESS: Okay.

20 EXAMINER SANYAL: I assume to figure out

21 | your relationship with D&B Auto Sales so we will --

22 after this, we will kind of resume our normal course

23 of operation.

24 THE WITNESS: Okay.

25 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay?

9 1 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 2 3 ANDRE GIDEN being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 4 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 VOIR DIRE 7 By Mr. Darnell: 8 Q. So good morning, Mr. Giden. 9 Α. Good morning. 10 As Attorney Examiner Sanyal said, my name Q. is Steven Darnell. I just have a couple of questions 11 12 just to make sure you are the right individual we 13 should be talking to today. 14 Α. Okay. 15 Q. So, Mr. Giden, you are not an attorney? 16 Α. No, I am not. 17 Q. And you have never worked for D&B Auto 18 Sales? 19 No, I haven't. Α. 20 Q. You never received a paycheck from D&B 2.1 Auto Sales? 22 Α. No, I haven't. 23 You are here to provide your side of the Q. 24 story as the driver that was stopped by Officer 25 Rammel.

A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. And to allow the Attorney Examiner to determine whether the fines that were assessed against D&B Auto Sales, correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

MR. DARNELL: All right. At this point, your Honor, I would renew my motion under 4901:2-7-14 as Mr. Giden is not a representative or an attorney who could represent D&B Auto Sales in this matter and move for default of this case as they have not provided an appropriate representative at this time.

EXAMINER SANYAL: And have you contacted D&B Auto Sales?

MR. DARNELL: Your Honor, I am not aware, but as D&B Auto Sales -- as Mr. Giden represented himself to be the party at interest in this matter and he did receive a copy of the Notice of Preliminary Determination and the Notice of Intent to Assess Forfeiture, from my understanding I could also put a witness up to testify as to that being sent out. It would appear that the Company has waived the right to proceed with the matter, and as they have sent an individual who is not eligible to represent them by law, I would ask that they be held in default in this matter.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. But you don't know -- we don't know at this point for sure if the Company was --

2.1

MR. DARNELL: The Company was served, your Honor. The Company was served the original Intent to Assess Forfeiture, and as they did not respond to that matter and instead sent Mr. Giden in their place, that would be way over the 30-day time limit they have per the rules to move forward with their case had they so desired.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. I just don't think I have any information to rule on your motion at this point, so I am going to deny that motion, and we are just going to go ahead and let Staff put on their case and then have Mr. Giden on the stand if we need it.

MR. DARNELL: Certainly.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay.

MR. DARNELL: And if I may understand your point, your Honor, is Mr. Giden not going to be allowed to object or act as an attorney on behalf of D&B Auto Sales in this matter as Staff would ask he not be allowed to perform those things or act as a representative in this case?

EXAMINER SANYAL: And I would agree.

12 1 MR. DARNELL: Thank you. 2 EXAMINER SANYAL: Because this is a 3 carrier violation and not a driver violation. MR. DARNELL: Certainly. 4 5 EXAMINER SANYAL: Mr. Giden, you may step 6 down. What's going to happen right now is Staff is 7 going to go ahead. They have the burden of proof in this case, so they are going to go ahead and present 8 their case, and then I am going to allow you to get 9 10 on the stand and provide your version of what 11 happened. 12 MR. GIDEN: Yes, ma'am. 13 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. Does that make 14 sense? 15 MR. GIDEN: Yes. 16 EXAMINER SANYAL: Do you have any 17 questions? 18 MR. GIDEN: No, ma'am. 19 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. Staff, you may 20 proceed. 2.1 MR. DARNELL: Certainly, your Honor. 22 would call Inspector Rammel to the stand. 23 (Witness sworn.) 24 EXAMINER SANYAL: Please be seated. 25

13 INSPECTOR JOHN RAMMEL 1 2 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 3 examined and testified as follows: DIRECT EXAMINATION 4 5 By Mr. Darnell: Would you please state your name spelling 6 Ο. 7 the last for the record. 8 Α. First name is John. Last name is Rammel, R-A-M-M-E-I9 And where are you employed, sir? 10 Q. 11 At the Ohio State Highway Patrol Motor Α. 12 Carrier Division. 13 Q. And how long have you been there? 14 17 years. Α. 15 Q. And what are your duties as an inspector 16 for the State Highway Patrol? 17 Α. I do DOT inspections. 18 What sort of training do you have to have Q. 19 to be a DOT inspector? 20 Go through initial level A and level B Α. 2.1 class and then all the other classes that are 2.2 associated with that with annual in-services.

And why do you conduct these inspections?

For truck safety.

23

24

25

Q.

Α.

```
year on average?
```

- A. On average probably around a thousand.
- MR. DARNELL: Your Honor, may I approach
- 4 | the witness and the Bench?
- 5 EXAMINER SANYAL: Yes, you may, and you
- 6 | may do so freely while you examine your witness,
- 7 Mr. Darnell.
- 8 MR. DARNELL: Thank you, your Honor. Let
- 9 the record reflect I have marked this as Staff
- 10 | Exhibit 1.
- 11 Q. Inspector Rammel, what have I just handed
- 12 you?

- 13 A. It's the examination report that I served
- 14 the defendant.
- 15 Q. And is this a fair and accurate copy of
- 16 | that report?
- 17 A. Yes, it is.
- 18 Q. And is this document a patrol record?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- 20 Q. Is it kept in the ordinary course of
- 21 | Patrol business?
- 22 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Is it the practice of the Patrol to make
- 24 | these reports?
- 25 A. Yes, it is.

- Q. So did you report on matters observed pursuant to a duty imposed by law as to which there was a duty to report when you made this report?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And was the reason for you producing this report an inspection of the motor carrier vehicle owned by D&B Auto Sales, Incorporated?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. So do you remember the inspection on August 6, 2019?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What do you remember about that report?
- was no markings on the truck and had ghosting. And as I did the inspection, it had a dealer plate with insurance card belonging to D&B Auto Sales. The trailer was registered to David Starks, and according to the driver, he was the owner of D&B, the title to the car that he was hauling was to D&B Auto Sales. The driver had stated to me he had bought the car for his daughter at auto auction in Marion, Ohio, but he didn't have any proof to show me that it was his. Everything that I had saw that day was to D&B Auto Sales.
 - Q. So just to back up a little bit, what

exactly do you mean when you say ghosting?

- A. On the side of the truck it had a place where originally had markings and the markings had been removed.
 - Q. All right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

EXAMINER SANYAL: I just have a clarification question. Markings of what?

THE WITNESS: For the company name.

EXAMINER SANYAL: For D&B.

THE WITNESS: For D&B, yes, ma'am.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay.

MR. DARNELL: Let the record reflect I have marked this as Staff Exhibit 3 through 9B.

- Q. Inspector Rammel, do you recognize these documents?
- A. Yes. These are the pictures that I took that day of the inspection.
 - Q. And are these a fair and accurate set of copies of the pictures you took that day?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Have they been altered in any way?
- A. Not that I am aware of. They all look
 like they are the originals.
 - Q. And how do you know these are the pictures you took that day?

- A. Because I uploaded the pictures myself and these are the pictures that I uploaded.
- Q. Certainly. So if we would go to what has been marked as Exhibits 3A and 3B, what do these two pictures show?
- A. So that's what I refer to as the ghosting. If you look on -- right behind the doors, you can see --
- EXAMINER SANYAL: Could you tell us which picture you are looking at.
- THE WITNESS: Either one, A or B.
- 12 EXAMINER SANYAL: 3A or 3B.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. 3A or 3B, yes, ma'am. There is tape markings or some kind of magnetic or adhesive that's on the back half of that door. That's where the markings would have been.
 - Q. And why -- why was this suspect to you?
 - A. The size of the truck and the trailer I suspected it to be an in commerce vehicle.
- Q. Did you mark how large the truck was on your inspection report?
 - A. Yes, I did.
- Q. How large was the truck?
- A. The gross vehicle weight of the truck I believe was 12,800. That's what I had on my report.

- Q. And did that suspicion you had, did that equate to any of the violations you wrote on that report?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

- Q. Which was that or which were those?
- A. For me to be able to do a DOT inspection, a commercial vehicle has to be larger than 10,001 gross vehicle weight. So that gave me the authority to do the inspection at that point.
- EXAMINER SANYAL: And what was the weight again?
- 12 THE WITNESS: 12,800.
- Q. So moving on to what has been marked as Exhibit 4, what is this a picture of?
- 15 A. That's the actual registration that was 16 in the truck.
 - Q. And who is the registration made out to?
- 18 A. D&B Auto Sales LLC.
 - Q. And what did that suggest to you?
- 20 A. That the truck belonged to them.
- Q. And moving on to Exhibit 5, what is that?
- A. That is the title to the car that the driver was hauling.
- Q. And what did this document say to you?
- 25 A. That it was titled to D&B Auto Sales LLC.

Q. Moving on to Exhibit 6, what is this a picture of?

- A. That is the dealer plate that was on the truck.
 - Q. And what did that suggest to you?
- A. The reg -- matched the registration which was, I believe, Exhibit 4 which was to D&B Auto Sales.
 - Q. And taking those three exhibits in conjunction with each other, what violations did you write in response?
 - A. There was no carrier name or USDOT number displayed on the truck and after some research, there was no DOT number in the FMCSA system so they had not registered as an interstate carrier.
- Q. Could you identify which violations that would be on Staff Exhibit 1.
- A. So that would be 390.19A1, no reg. A
 motor carrier failed to register with the FMCSA prior
 to beginning interstate operations. And then
 390.21B, carrier name and USDOT number not displayed
 as required.
- Q. Thank you. Moving on to what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 7A and 7B, what are these two pictures of?

- A. Those are the tires that were on the truck.
 - Q. All right. Is it one tire or?
 - A. Two separate tires.
- Q. And what violation did you observe with those two pictures?
- A. So both of those tires have little to no tread. That would be 393.75C, tire other than tread depth less than 2/32 of an inch measured in major tread grooves, axle 2 left outside; and tire other tread depth less than 2/32 of an inch measured in major tread grooves, axle 2 right outside.
- Q. Did you actually measure the treads on those tires?
- A. I don't think I measured them, but

 obviously when I looked at them, there is no tread on

 them.
 - Q. So it was pretty apparent.
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

- Q. Thank you. Moving on to what has been marked as Staff Exhibit 8A and B, let's take Exhibit 8A first. What does this picture show?
- A. It shows the trailer hooked to the truck.
 - Q. And is there a problem that you saw?
- 25 A. Yes. There should be a breakaway cable

hooked to the truck also and there should also be two safety chains.

Q. Could you identify for us what that breakaway cable looks like.

2.1

- A. It should be a cable that -- that's in a switch on the tongue of the truck so when the -- if the trailer would happen to become unhitched from the truck, the cable unhooks from the truck and the brakes set on the trailer.
- Q. Now, turning to picture -- or Staff Exhibit 8B, what does this picture show?
- A. That's the actual pictures of the safety chain wrapped around the tongue and the switch with the breakaway cable that's behind the wench on the tongue.
- Q. And did you write any violations after observing these two pictures, or the scene as displayed in the two pictures?
- A. Yes. 393.43, no/improper breakaway or emergency braking and not hooked to the truck, cable not hooked to the truck. And 393.70D8, improper safety chain attachment and, once again, they were not hooked to the truck.
- Q. And I see on your report there marked as OOS.

- A. That's correct. That puts the trailer out of service until he fixes those problems.
- Q. Certainly. So turning to what has been marked as Exhibit 9A and 9B, what do these two pictures show?
- A. That's the picture of the license plate on the trailer.
- Q. And what violations did you write in response?
- A. Part of the plate was broken off, so you couldn't read the first half of the plate. So Exhibit 9A shows the part of the place that was broken off. 9B shows the actual sticker which shows the actual trailer plate number.
- Q. And then in Exhibit 9A, there is a vehicle on the trailer. Is that the vehicle that corresponded to the documents in Exhibit 5?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Turning back to your report, what notes did you make after your stop?
- A. Inspection notes. Stopped the vehicle.

 There were no markings, ghosting. Truck had a dealer plate with insurance card in the truck that belongs to D&B Auto Sales LLC. Trailer was registered to David Starks, according to the driver was the owner

- of the D&B. Title to the car was listed as D&B Auto Sales LLC. Driver had stated he bought the car for his daughter from auto auction in Marion, but he didn't have the proof, and everything that I saw was to D&B Auto Sales.
- Q. Certainly. Did Mr. Giden say anything to you when you stopped beyond what you noted in your inspection report?
 - A. No, he didn't.

- Q. And at the end of the report, what happened? Or at the end of the inspection, I should say.
- A. He fixed -- he hooked up the safety chains and the breakaway cable and then was allowed to continue on with his trip.
- MR. DARNELL: All right. Thank you, Inspector Rammel. I have no further questions at this time and would save the witness for rebuttal with the court's permission.
- 20 EXAMINER SANYAL: Sure.
- MR. DARNELL: Thank you.
- EXAMINER SANYAL: Give me one moment to see if I have any questions.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Sure.
- 25 | - -

	24			
1	EXAMINATION			
2	By Examiner Sanyal:			
3	Q. Just one. Did you issue any violations			
4	to the driver, to Mr. Giden?			
5	A. No, I didn't.			
6	Q. So the violations that were issued by you			
7	were only to D&B Auto Sales LLC?			
8	A. That's correct.			
9	EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. That's all I			
10	have so you may step down.			
11	And you may present your next witness.			
12	MR. DARNELL: Certainly, your Honor. The			
13	Staff would call Rod Moser to the stand.			
14	(Witness sworn.)			
15				
16	ROD MOSER			
17	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was			
18	examined and testified as follows:			
19	DIRECT EXAMINATION			
20	By Mr. Darnell:			
21	Q. Would you please state your full name			
22	spelling your last name for the record.			
23	A. My name is Rod Moser. Last name is			
24	M-O-S-E-R.			
25	Q. And where are you employed, Mr. Moser?			

- A. I am the Chief of Compliance for the Transportation Department of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
- Q. And what exactly does Chief of Compliance entail you to do?
- A. My job largely is to administer the forfeiture -- civil forfeiture process for the Department.
- Q. And how long have you been Chief of Compliance?
- 11 A. About three years.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Do you have any expert qualifications in the violations -- of the subject matter of violations, certifications, continuing education, training?
 - A. I am certified to do inspections. I have North American Standard certifications for parts A and B, Hazmat, bulk and nonbulk, and motor coach and cargo tank as well.
- Q. And would you please explain for us how you determined the amount of forfeiture in a case like this.
- A. Sure. The violations that are written
 through roadside inspections are divided into groups.
 There's actually six groups, Group 0, 1, 2, 3, and 4

and then Hazmat. The violations are assessed -- for anything that's not Hazmat violations are assessed in an automated system that we have depending upon the group and whether or not the violation is an out of service violation.

- Q. And is this process applied uniformly to everyone who is -- comes before you?
 - A. It is, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$ DARNELL: Let the record reflect I am approaching with what has been marked Staff Exhibit

2. I believe you already have a copy.

EXAMINER SANYAL: I do. Thank you.

- Q. Mr. Moser, what did I hand you?
- A. It's a copy of a letter titled "Notice of Preliminary Determination."
- Q. Is this a fair and accurate copy of that document?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. And is this kept in the course of Commission business?
- 21 A. It is.
- Q. And the document does not appear to be altered in any way?
- 24 A. No, sir.
- Q. So what exactly does this document show?

A. Well, this document is sent to a

Respondent following an unsuccessful attempt to

resolve matters from a roadside inspection. And it

generally shows the beginning forfeiture amount and

then an ending forfeiture amount. In this case

because there was no agreement, those two amounts are

the same.

2.1

- Q. And what is the amount listed on the document?
 - A. The total amount due is \$850.
- 11 Q. And would you explain how the civil forfeiture in this case was derived?
- A. You want me to just go by each violation down there?
 - Q. If you wouldn't mind.
 - A. All right. So the first violation is 390.21B. That's not having your carrier name or number displayed properly. That is what's considered a Group 4 violation. It is always a \$100 civil forfeiture assessment.

The next one is 393.75. Actually that's the next two. Those are both considered Group 2 violations. In this case those were non-marked as being out of service violations and for that reason there is no fine assessed on those.

The next one down is 393.43 Group 1 violation. This is marked as out of service and at the bottom you'll see it says Group 1 violation, group total to the right of 250. So 393.43 and 393.70 combined to make that total of 250. Our Group 1 violations are -- initial violation on an individual inspection is \$100. Each subsequent on the same inspection is 150 additional, so in this case it would be 250.

The next violation is 392.2R. That's a Group 0 so there is never a fine on that.

And the last one is 390.19. It's a Group 4 and that's for failing to have FMCSA authority prior to beginning your operations. That is always a \$500 fine.

- Q. Are the penalties consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor Vehicle Alliance?
 - A. Yes, sir, it is.
- Q. And what is the forfeiture amount?
 - A. The total amount is \$850.
- Q. Would you recommend this amount to the Commission?
- 25 A. I would, yes.

2.2

- Q. And is there anything else important to note that we have not discussed with you in this matter?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. And one last thing, is this document considered a Commission record?
 - A. It is.
- Q. And it is kept in the ordinary course of business?
- 10 A. It is, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

22

23

24

- 11 MR. DARNELL: Thank you. I have no 12 further questions for this witness, your Honor.
- 13
- 14 EXAMINATION
- 15 | By Examiner Sanyal:
- Q. I do have some questions. I do. Don't go.
- So I know that this Notice of Preliminary

 Determination was sent to Mr. Giden in Indiana. Was

 it also sent to the place of business for D&B Auto

 Sales?
 - A. No. Letters that we send out pursuant to settlement -- to a conference which would either be a settlement or an NPD always go to the person who requested the conference. So in this case we believe

- Mr. Giden to be a representative of the Company, and he requested the conference, so the conference summary, the NPD in this case was mailed to him.
- Q. Okay. And so subsequently any other conferences that you had, you've never actually spoken to any other representative of D&B Auto Sales?
 - A. Not to my knowledge.
- Q. Okay. So just to clarify, you have only spoken to Mr. Giden?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And in the course of these conferences, have you determined if Mr. Giden is an employee of the Company?
- A. From -- from what I saw from the

 conference information that was in the file, there

 was no discussion of that.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- 18 A. I think we just assumed he was.
- Q. But so Staff does not know whether he is --
- 21 A. Correct.
- 22 EXAMINER SANYAL: -- an employee or not.
- Okay. I think those are all the
- 24 questions I have. Thank you.
- 25 And, Mr. Giden, I just want to help

you -- make sure you understand. The reason why you weren't -- usually you would be able to ask questions of Staff's witnesses but here because Staff considers you a representative of a corporation we need a corporation to be represented by an attorney.

MR. GIDEN: Right, right.

EXAMINER SANYAL: So that is the reason why you have not been able to ask questions. But at this point Staff -- Mr. Darnell, do you have any other witnesses?

MR. DARNELL: Not at this time.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. So at this point you can come up to the stand and if you could let us know your version of what happened.

MR. GIDEN: Yes, ma'am.

EXAMINER SANYAL: And it looks like you are bringing up some papers.

MR. GIDEN: Yes, ma'am.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Do you have copies for everyone?

MR. GIDEN: No, ma'am, I don't.

EXAMINER SANYAL: So let's go off the

23 record.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

24 (Discussion off the record.)

25 EXAMINER SANYAL: Let's go back on the

record.

I would like the record to reflect that we have marked two exhibits, or Respondent Exhibit 1 and 2.

2.1

ANDRE GIDEN

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified further as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

EXAMINER SANYAL: And then, Mr. Giden, you can go ahead and give us your version of events, and you can let us know what these exhibits are.

THE WITNESS: Right. Okay. Like I was trying to tell the officer at the scene, you know, the truck belongs to my ex-wife, and the car I was towing belongs to my daughter. But once he seen the dealer tag, he said if something has a dealer tag, that is commercial. If it looks like a duck, it's a duck.

He wouldn't let me show him the paperwork for this and that's why we are here now. I mean, like I told him, you know, you can see the insurance. The truck is in my ex-wife's name. The insurance is in my ex-wife's name.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. Can you tell me

```
33
 1
     what you are looking at?
 2
                 THE WITNESS: I am looking at the first
 3
     one. Did you call it Exhibit A?
 4
                 EXAMINER SANYAL: Exhibit 1, yes.
 5
                 THE WITNESS: Exhibit 1, right, where I
 6
     am looking at. It shows that the truck was purchased
 7
     from the dealer, but it shows also that it was
 8
     insured personally to Vanessa Brown. And, I mean,
     it's her truck. The reason it was never titled was
 9
10
    because the engine went out of the truck, and D&B
11
     never got a copy of the title. And that's why it
12
     took so long for my daughter to register because when
13
     the title came in, she registered the car. That's
14
     why I have the original title to the car. But, you
15
     know, that's how it is. I have been going through
16
     this for a while, but I don't work for D&B.
17
                 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. So the truck
18
    belongs to whom?
19
                 THE WITNESS: Vanessa Brown.
20
                 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. And the trailer?
2.1
                 THE WITNESS: The trailer belongs to
22
     David Starks.
23
                 EXAMINER SANYAL: Which is?
24
                 THE WITNESS: David Starks is the owner
```

of D&B.

1 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. And then the car 2 that was --3 THE WITNESS: Belonged to Barbara Robinson, that's my daughter. 4 5 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. And you have no 6 relationship --7 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. 8 EXAMINER SANYAL: -- to D&B Auto Sales? 9 THE WITNESS: No, ma'am. Never worked 10 for them at all. No, ma'am. 11 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. And do you 12 understand why you were pulled over? 13 THE WITNESS: Yes. He said I was pulled 14 over for a DOT inspection because when he seen it, it 15 looks like something that's been taken off of there, 16 off the truck. So he said the signs had been taken 17 off, and he gave me the DOT inspection but, you know, 18 since the dealer tags were on there it was just a 19 done deal, that I was D&B, and he didn't want to 20 discuss it or nothing. So that's why. There was no 2.1 discussion. It was over. I'm D&B. 22 EXAMINER SANYAL: So have you contacted 23 D&B? 24 THE WITNESS: No. D&B contacted me when 25 they got this paperwork. Yeah, they called me, and

he is like what's going on? I said what do you mean?

And they gave me the paperwork, and I called -- when
I called in, that's why I've been doing all the
hearings and everything because it was all in my
name. D&B had nothing to do with this. I just
borrowed his trailer, and I had his tag because she
couldn't get the title to it.

2.1

EXAMINER SANYAL: Who is she?

THE WITNESS: Vanessa Brown. He let her use the dealer tag because she was buying the truck. Instead of giving the truck back, he let me use the tags until the title company, she could drive on the tag, but she had her own individual insurance.

14 That's why the truck was insured in her name.

EXAMINER SANYAL: And can you explain why D&B is not here today?

THE WITNESS: I have no idea. Because really it has nothing to do with D&B, you know. Everything -- I have been dealing with this case since -- from the start.

EXAMINER SANYAL: So they are aware of what is happening.

THE WITNESS: No. They are aware of the first -- when they first got the letter. When they first got the letter, they called me and gave me the

36 letter and I have been dealing with it ever since. 1 2 He had no idea what was going on. 3 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. THE WITNESS: So I don't know if anybody 4 5 talked to them or not, but like the attorney was 6 saying they haven't got ahold of D&B. I have been 7 dealing with this from the start. EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. And do you 8 9 understand that hauling over 10,000 may put you in 10 interstate commerce, what we call interstate 11 commerce? 12 THE WITNESS: Right. I understand that, 13 yes. But I have a license to tow up to 26,000 14 pounds. I have a license I can tow up to 26,000 15 pounds. 12,000 plus 3,000 is 15,000. I am 16 underweight. I wasn't in violation of weight, and I 17 am not running DOT. I was working personally. I was

19 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. At this time do 20 you have questions?

towing a car for my daughter in my ex-wife's truck.

2.1 MR. DARNELL: No questions, your Honor. 22 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. You may step

23 down.

18

24 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

25 MR. DARNELL: If I may, your Honor? Ι

37 would call Inspector Rammel on rebuttal. 1 2 EXAMINER SANYAL: Sure. Sure. Go ahead. 3 MR. DARNELL: Thank you. EXAMINER SANYAL: And, Inspector, I am 4 5 just going to remind you you are still under oath. 6 THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am. 7 INSPECTOR JOHN RAMMEL 8 9 being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 10 was examined and testified as follows on rebuttal: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 By Mr. Darnell: 13 Q. Inspector Rammel, did you review what has been marked as Respondent's Exhibit 1 and 2? 14 15 Α. Yes. Did Mr. Giden show you those when you 16 Ο. 17 pulled him over? 18 No, he didn't. Α. 19 Did he make any reference to these Ο. 20 documents when he pulled you over? 2.1 Α. No, he didn't. 22 So why did you write the violations you Q. 23 did on that day? 24 So when I made the stop that particular Α.

day, I did ask him for registration, and the only

thing he could find in the truck was the registration that I took a picture of.

- Q. And was there anything else on that stop that led you to believe he was operating on D&B's auspices?
- A. Just the registration and the title that showed that the car was titled to D&B. That's what led me to do the inspection.

MR. DARNELL: Certainly. I have no further questions for this witness, your Honor.

EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. You may step down.

Mr. Moser, I have one question for you if you will come back over here.

15

16 ROD MOSER

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified further as follows:

FURTHER EXAMINATION

By Examiner Sanyal:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And I would also like to remind you you are still under oath. So I am still trying to wrap my head around a few things. So this violation that was issued, whose record does it go on?
- 25 A. They are all carrier violations.

39 1 Q. Okay. 2 So it would go against the motor carrier. Α. 3 So it would be D&B --Q. Α. Correct. 4 5 Q. -- Auto Sales? 6 That's right. Α. Does it affect Mr. Giden's licensure? 7 Q. None of those violations are attributable 8 Α. 9 to the driver, so no. 10 Okay. And I think, refresh my memory, I Q. 11 think you testified that D&B Auto Sales never 12 participated in any of these? 13 Α. To the best of my knowledge, no, 14 everything was directed to Mr. Giden. 15 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. Those are all 16 the questions I have. Thank you. 17 And I didn't ask you, did you have any 18 questions? Don't go. 19 MR. DARNELL: One question for Mr. Moser. 20 EXAMINER SANYAL: I'm sorry. 21 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION (Continued) 23 By Mr. Darnell:

approaching with what we have marked as Staff Exhibit

One question and then motion. I am

24

25

Ο.

40 10. 1 2 MR. DARNELL: I apologize I didn't make 3 extra copies, your Honor. EXAMINER SANYAL: Sure. May I look at 4 5 it --6 MR. DARNELL: Certainly. 7 EXAMINER SANYAL: -- real quick and then 8 you will have to make a copy for the court 9 reporter --10 MR. DARNELL: Certainly. 11 EXAMINER SANYAL: -- at some time. 12 how is Staff Exhibit 10 different from Staff Exhibit 13 2? 14 MR. DARNELL: If I may ask Mr. Moser, 15 your Honor. 16 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. I am going to 17 look at it real quick. 18 MR. DARNELL: Certainly. 19 EXAMINER SANYAL: Go ahead. 20 Mr. Moser, do you recognize the document Q. 2.1 I handed you? 2.2 A. I do, yes. Q. What is it? 23 24 It is what we call a Notice of Apparent 25 Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, NIO

Forfeiture.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. And what does this document do in the overall forfeiture process before the Commission?
- A. So this is the initial letter advising the carrier that there are violations and that we intend to assess a monetary fine for those.
- Q. Are these documents exclusively sent to carriers?
- 9 A. No. They're sent to whoever is deemed to 10 be the Respondent.
 - Q. And who was this document sent to?
- 12 A. In this case the carrier.
- 13 Q. And that is?
- A. The carrier in this case was the
 Respondent on all of the violations on that
 inspection.
- Q. Certainly. And is this document kept in the regular course of Commission business?
- 19 A. It is.
- Q. Is this a Commission record?
- 21 A. It is.
- Q. Has it been altered in any way, shape, or form?
- A. It doesn't appear so.
- Q. And is that a fair and accurate copy of

the Notice of Intent, Notice of Apparent Intent to Forfeiture?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

- Q. Mr. Moser, if a carrier never responds in a case like this, what typically happens?
- A. Well, our next step in the process if nobody responded would be to send a second notice of the same letter. And then after that, if nobody responded, we would go to what's called show cause which is basically a default process. And then after that, the case will be referred to collections with the Attorney General's Office.
- Q. And you obviously didn't go through that process in this case. Was there a particular reason?
- A. Because we had a conference request for the case.
 - Q. And who was that from?
 - A. It was from Mr. Giden.

MR. DARNELL: I have no further questions at this time, your Honor.

21 | - - -

FURTHER EXAMINATION

- 23 | By Examiner Sanyal:
- Q. Okay. I do have one question. So help me get this timeline straight, so Staff Exhibit 10,

which is the Notice of Apparent Violation which was issued sometime in August of 2019 and sent to D&B whatever, I'm forgetting the full name, D&B Auto Sales LLC, correct?

A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And between that time and when the Notice of Preliminary Determination was issued on October 30, 2019, Mr. Giden requested a conference.
 - A. Correct.

EXAMINER SANYAL: That helps. That is all the -- those are all the questions I have. You may step down.

13

14 ANDRE GIDEN

being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified further as follows:

FURTHER EXAMINATION

18 | By Examiner Sanyal:

- Q. And then, Mr. Giden, I'm sorry, I failed to ask you this. I don't think you identified for the record your Exhibit 2. Could you tell us what this is.
- A. Oh, that's the title to the car I was towing.
- Q. Okay. The car that was on the trailer.

44 1 Α. Right. 2 Q. Belongs to your daughter. 3 Yes, ma'am. Α. EXAMINER SANYAL: Got it. Would you like 4 5 to admit any exhibits? 6 MR. DARNELL: If I may, your Honor. 7 EXAMINER SANYAL: Yes. MR. DARNELL: All of Staff Exhibits 1 8 9 through 10. 10 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. And those shall 11 be admitted. 12 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 13 EXAMINER SANYAL: And then, Mr. Giden, 14 would you like to admit the two exhibits? 15 MR. GIDEN: Yes, ma'am. 16 EXAMINER SANYAL: Do you have any 17 objection? 18 MR. DARNELL: No, your Honor. 19 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. Those are 20 admitted. 2.1 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 22 EXAMINER SANYAL: Do we have anything we want to discuss before we go off the record? 23 24 MR. DARNELL: I don't believe so, your

25

Honor.

45 MR. GIDEN: No, ma'am. 1 2 EXAMINER SANYAL: I assume we don't want 3 briefing. 4 MR. DARNELL: No, your Honor. 5 EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. Any questions, Mr. Giden? 6 7 MR. GIDEN: No, ma'am. EXAMINER SANYAL: Okay. Well, in that 8 9 case the case will be submitted to the record, and 10 the Commission will issue an opinion in due course. 11 We do try to get these out in about three months. 12 Thank you, everyone. We are off the 13 record. 14 (Discussion off the record.) 15 (Thereupon, at 11:49 a.m., the hearing 16 was adjourned.) 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, March 10, 2020, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

7

8

9

1

2

3

4

5

6

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter.

10

11

(KSG-6897)

13

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

OTARY AUS This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/23/2020 12:58:58 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-2099-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Andre Giden, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on March 10th, 2020. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.