In the Administrative Tribunal Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

2020 FEB 26 PM 2: 22 RECEIVED LEGAL DEPT.

**PUCO** 

19.2237-TR-CVF

Steven Upchurch Respondent Feb.21st 2020

<u> kesponaen</u>

 $\nu$ 

Ohio P.U.C.O.

Case No. OH3230014289D

<u>Relator</u>

with

Werner L.Margard III Assistant Ohio Atty.Gen. council for relator In RE. for Relators Motion Centra

EB 26 PM

Where to begin.

Relator insists, this is a civil matter & a civil proceeding ... ok . Yet on 12/27/19 the P.U.C.O recieved my request for Administrative Hearing, (which is the ONLY available provision other than handing you hundred dollar bills, for no causation) which included a jurisdictional challenge (3rd paragraph "I'll have your jurisdiction on record." Yet, council for the relator while insisting a civil matter ... Has not produced for us a contract / agreement by which I am bound (by signature?) in order to achieve Jurisdiction for the administration to arbitrate / or weigh a civil matter, such a contract has to exist also such a contract must be broken, there must be evedence of such a breach. Wait don't tell me ... UCC doesn't apply here either????

Further, relator insists that there is NO OBLIGATION TO FORWARD EVEDENCES. Claiming that the commissions rules do not allow for discovery & my discovery demand should be denied, relator somehow believes my demand is

Tais is to centify that the images appearing are as accurate and complete reproduction of a complete document delivered in the regular course of the lasts.

Technician 6 Date Processed 2/26/20

unfounded.

I am expected to come off my hard earned money, because the C.F.R. is somehow immune to constitutional / statutory rights?

So far , the 4<sup>th</sup> ammendment does not apply . With & for the 4<sup>th</sup> ammendment is O.R.C. 4513.263 Part (D) , O.R.C 4513.263 includes within it's provisions definitions with & for the section ... C.M.V. is not a definition which is left out . P.U.C.O officers are officers of the State of Ohio , they are not excluded from the above O.R.C provisions . The U.S. Supreme Court agrees in Delaware v. Prottse.

## Justice White wrote for the Majority.:

Stopping an automobile and detaining it's occupants constitutes a "seizure" within the meanings of the  $4^{th}$  &  $14^{th}$  ammendments 440 US. 648 No. 77-1571

The stop was for capricious reason & not for lawful causation. The initial reason for the stop is stated on the citation as just that the initial reason. The above outlines the stop itself as unlawfull.

On to evedences, P.U.C.O. aledges a violation of 49 C.F.R. 392.16. Then denies my right to evedence demand ... 49 CFR subsection 5.59 disagrees entirely. & subsection 5.97. flat out forbids penalty without finding of fact ... subsection 5.83 outlines duty to disclose evedence as a DUTY This greatly implies that the finger pointing and "Because I said so" attitude which I've been subjected to so far is just unacceptable for the purpouses of attaining a forfeiture. So ... EVEDENCE OR AQUITTAL!!!

Proceedings have been delayed for 6 months, a  $6^{th}$  ammendment violation. Which is the responsability of the court, in this case the P.U.C.O Tribunal. Yours has to

be a subsection (19) of section (4) of Ohio's Constitution ... Court of consiliation .

I am entirely sure that you must observe & practice The Constitutions safeguards which the relator has expressed zero respect for . Seem's that the same is true of the relator concerning administrative ORDERS .

I recieved 3 phone calls on the 11<sup>th</sup> none for which were the P.U.C.O. or from the office of the Ohio Attorney General .

Relator is 24 days late in failing to comply with ordered time limits for relators "Motion contra" deadline was set Jan  $22^{nd}$  within Jan.7ths journal entry . Relators Proof of Service is dated . Feb.14<sup>th</sup> 2020 .

For failure to prove the tribunals Jurisdiction, failure to comply with administrative orders, failure to produce evedences, & because the whole process from the very start is a violation of my constitutional / statutory rights, also because the allegations are a lie, I want them dismissed, acquittal will do just fine.

Certificate: Proof of Service for P.U.C.O Case No. OH3230014289D

&

in reguard to:

In RE: for relators Motion Contra

I hereby certify . the foregoing is served to the below listed parties Feb.21<sup>st</sup> 2020 by U.S.P.S. mail or in person .

Werner L. Margard III
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
30 east Broad St.
Columbus Ohio 43215

Served By: U.S.P.S. Mail &

Anna Sanyal
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
Attorney Examiner
Docketing division 11th floor
180 e. Broad St.
Columbus, Ohio 43215

Served By: U.S.P.S. Mail &

George Turner Turner Diesel 11921 Avon Belden Rd. Grafton Ohio 44044

Served: in person

Steven P. Upchurch 843 w. 13th. St. Lorain, Ohio 44052