BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of

Mahmoud M. Elkattan : Case No.
Notice of Apparent : 19-1806-TR-CVF

Violation and Intent to : Assess Forfeiture.

## PROCEEDINGS

Before Anna Sanyal, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Tuesday, January 28, 2020, at 10:00 A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Ms. Jodi Bair
            Assistant Attorney General
 3
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
                 Public Utilities Commission
                 of Ohio.
 6
 7
 8
            Mr. Howard Elliott
            218 South Main Street
 9
            Findlay, Ohio 45840
                 On behalf of the Respondent.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3				
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	-				
2						
3	DIRECT CROSS					
4	STATE'S WITNESSES					
5	William R. Ogden 6 14					
6	Brad Long 26					
7	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES					
8	Mahmoud M. Elkattan 31 36					
9						
10						
11						
12						
13						
14						
15						
16						
17						
18						
19						
20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STAT	E'S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	8	30	
5	2		27	30	
6		Determination			
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

Proceedings 5 1 Tuesday Morning, 2 January 28, 2020. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good morning, 5 everyone. The Public Utilities Commission has

6 called for hearing Case No. 19-1806-TR-CVF which 7 is captioned In the Matter of Mahmoud M.

8 Elkattan.

9

10

11

My name is Anna Sanyal, and I am the Attorney Examiner assigned to this case. I will start with appearances, first Staff.

12 MS. BAIR: Thank you, Your Honor.

13 On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities

14 Commission of Ohio, Jodi Bair, Assistant

15 Attorney General, 30 East Broad Street,

16 Columbus, Ohio 43215.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Ms.

18 Bair.

19 MR. ELLIOTT: Attorney Howard 20 Elliott, Findlay, Ohio, 218 South Main Street, 2.1 on behalf of the alleged violator, Mr. Elkattan.

22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Then 23 the Staff, you may proceed.

24 MS. BAIR: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 Yes. Staff would like to call Officer Ogden to

6 1 the stand, please. 2 (WITNESS SWORN) 3 WILLIAM R. OGDEN 4 5 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 6 7 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Ms. Bair: 8 9 Would you please state your full Ο. 10 name for the record and spell it? 11 William R. Ogden, Jr. O-G-D-E-N. Α. 12 Where are you employed? Q. 13 Α. I am employed with the Ohio State Highway Patrol, assigned to the Findlay 14 15 licensing and commercial standards office. 16 And what is your title, what is your Ο. 17 position title? 18 Α. I am the Assistant Commander for 19 the operations in the Findlay district. I 20 supervise all the motor carriers in my district 2.1 as well as civilian and sworn units. I 22 supervise motor vehicle inspectors and motor 23 coach inspectors. 24 Q. And how long have you been with the

25

Patrol?

A. Twenty-eight years.

2.1

- Q. And what training do you have in the area of motor vehicle inspections?
- A. Initially back in 2004 I went through Part A, Part B course in the North American Standards, all the hazmat courses, and bulk and nonbulk courses, passenger bus courses. And when I took a promotion in 2005 I let my certification expire, and I took over my current position in 2015, and I went through those courses again, which is the Part A, Part B courses.

The bulk hazmat, nonbulk hazmat, other hazmat, passenger bus. I carry all the NAS certifications except Level 6.

- Q. And when you say Part A and Part B what are you referring to? What does that have to do with our --
- A. Part A is driver only inspections, and Part B is the truck inspections. Equipment. Basically one teaches you how to do a driver only, inspect log books, driver-type violations.

The other one finishes the course, teaches you how to do all the inspection of the vehicle and the load securement.

1 MS. BAIR: Your Honor, may I 2 approach the witness? 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. And you may do so freely during your examination today. 4 5 MS. BAIR: Thank you. Your Honor, I would like to have the examination report marked 6 7 as Staff Exhibit 1. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So marked. 8 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 10 Do you recognize that document? Q. 11 Α. Yes. This is a copy of my 12 Driver/Vehicle Examination Report that was 13 conducted on May 27, 2019. 14 And is this document kept in the Ο. regular course of business at the Patrol? 15 16 Α. Yes. 17 Q. What matters did you report on in 18 this examination report? I have listed the violation Code 19 Α. 20 392.2LV, which is a lane restriction violation 2.1 for your traffic control sign which was all 2.2 trucks use left lane. 23 And also noted violation of 24 392.80(a), which is "Driving a commercial motor vehicle while texting. Cell phone was observed 25

in right hand to and being held up by the dash as driver passed officer setting at exit on I-75 entering construction zone."

- Q. Thank you. I meant to ask you, is this document in the same condition or substantially as of the day that you issued it?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And can you tell me specifically where you were in the roadway at the time?
- A. Yes, I can. That particular time and day I was out sitting on the on ramp in an elevated position looking down on the interstate. Vehicles coming into the construction zone there on I-75 on the southbound side. That elevated position put me slightly above the height of the truck. So I could actually see down inside the vehicles as they were coming by to note any type of violations inside the cab such as seatbelt, texting and driving, and/or anything else that would constitute a violation inside the vehicle.
  - Q. And in this circumstance what specifically did you observe?
- A. Sitting there, I had my door open, I was kind of sitting facing the interstate and

observed the vehicle go by me. And as the vehicle went by me, like I said, the sun was shining down inside the cab so it was very well illuminated.

2.1

And as the driver went by I could see in his right hand holding up, not super high, but holding up a cell phone and appeared to be manipulating it with his thumb. And I was able to observe that probably 2 seconds, 3 seconds, during that time.

and as he passed by I went, pulled out behind him. And at that time his vehicle was in the right lane. So he was in the lane actually closest to me. The only lane that would have been between us right there in that spot would have been the berm. As he passed by the lane shifted over. Traffic was shifted onto the berm. And that's why they didn't want — they had this lane restriction there, they didn't want the trucks operating on the berm side because they were doing the construction, all the mud and grass and stuff was tore up.

And the berm was not developed as well as the highway to support weight. And we also were having incidents where drivers were

dropping off into the mud and being pulled over and being involved in crashes.

2.1

So ODOT had put a restriction in there telling them they were to use the left-hand lane.

- Q. And there were signs up on the roadway instructing them?
- A. Yes. The signs started being posted up near the -- about probably half, three-quarters of a mile north of where I was sitting at the 161 exit ramp. And, like I said, then they were posted again right there as you go by the ramp where I was at as well.
- Q. Just one further question about your vantage point. Your vantage point would have been from the passenger side at an elevated --
- A. Right. I would have seen him from the passenger side view, yes. I would have been looking down in the truck across the passenger seat through the front windshield and through the side window.
- Q. And according to the report what is the violation listed here specifically?
- A. The violation was 392.80(a), driving a commercial vehicle while texting. Cell phone

was observed in his right hand to and being held up by the dash as driver passed by officer setting at exit 161 and Interstate 75 entering construction zone.

2.1

- Q. And where did you pull Mr. Elkattan over?
- A. At about five miles south of where I observed him, that was the exit, two exits off of US 78 and State Route 15. He did exit off there and I stopped him there outside the construction zone.

Because I explained in the construction zone there was only two lanes active, there was no safety berm or -- and the exits are kind of adjusted in that area because it is a urban area and there is a lot of traffic that uses those.

I would have taken him there or taken him on down towards the rest area near mile post 153, 154.

- Q. And when you pulled Mr. Elkattan over did you see that there was a phone there?
- A. At the time I exited my car I made a passenger side approach, we had him unlock the door, open the door. I opened the door and I

stepped up on the side boards. I was not complete in the vehicle, but I was standing on the rail with the door open and I could actually see the driver.

2.1

And at the time of the stop his cell phone was in a cup holder to his right down on the just -- it's a shelf they put on the trucks. And at the time I noted that his cell phone was playing an audio file, like, I don't want to say squawk box, but something similar to that. He was listening to music, an app was open at that time and appeared to be playing that type of -- some type of music.

And I asked him about the violation, which he adamantly denied. When you went by me the phone was right here (indicating) in your hand, you were looking at it and I could see your fingers moving.

And through the course of the contact he continued to deny it. I advised him, also asked him about why he didn't move over to the left lane like the signs required him. And I don't remember what the conversation, how that transgressed, but he did exit down there, so I am thinking if he

```
travels that route he probably thought he needed to be over to avoid any complications exiting later.
```

- Q. And after that you issued a report which Mr. Elkattan received a copy of?
- A. Yes. I went back to my car, completed the report, and when I was finished went up, explained everything to him, presented him a copy of the report, a signed copy by me.

  And advised him that he needed to turn that into his company within 24 hours.
- MS. BAIR: Okay. Thank you. Your
  Honor, I would move Staff Exhibit 1 into
  evidence subject cross-examination.
- MR. ELLIOTT: No
- 16 objection.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. We will just admit all the exhibits at the end at that time for administrative purposes.
- MS. BAIR: Thank you.
- 21 (Mr. Elliott had a conversation with
- 22 his client off the record)
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 By Mr. Elliott:
- Q. As I understand it you indicated

you observed a cell phone being held in the right hand I believe you said it was?

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- Q. Okay. And at one point you said it appeared to you to be manipulating with the thumb.
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And then later on you testified that it appeared to be involving the other fingers manipulating it.
- 11 A. I said with his fingers, fingers,
  12 thumbs. Depends on how you conject five
  13 fingers, or four fingers and the thumb. So, he
  14 was manipulating the phone.
  - Q. Was it the thumb or was the other fingers involved in this?
  - A. Sir, it was the thumb.
- Q. Okay. All right. Now, you said
  that you observed the phone that was in there.

  When you ultimately stopped his vehicle and then
  looked in the truck you said the phone was in a
  cup holder.
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. And you said he appeared to be listening to audio files?

- A. At that time, like I stated, screen was open. When you play music, there was music and --
- Q. Did he appear to have some headphones on?
- 6 A. Not that I recall, no, sir.
- 7 Q. Okay. Could have been, you just 8 don't recall?
  - A. It could have been, yes, sir.
- Q. Did you hear music playing in the truck?
- A. Not that I recall, no. I couldn't say for sure.
- Q. Now, you were there, did you ask to take a look at the phone?
- A. I did see the phone in his hand and stuff.
- 18 O. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

- 19 A. I did touch the phone, yes.
- Q. You did touch the phone. Did you
  check with the phone to see if there were any
  text messages that had been generated within a
  couple of minutes after that?
- 24 A. No.
- Q. Prior to the stop?

17 1 Α. No. 2 So you didn't examine it for that Q. 3 purpose? That would require a warrant for me 4 5 to go that far. Or require permission? 6 Q. 7 Α. Sure. How did you manage to touch 8 Q. 9 the phone without --10 Α. He handed it to me. 11 All right. And you didn't think it 0. 12 appropriate to ask him whether or not you could examine the phone? 13 I didn't feel there was a need to 14 Α. 15 examine the phone at that time. 16 That would solve the problem, Ο. 17 wouldn't it? Obviously he is disputing what you

are saying.

Α. Sure.

18

19

22

23

24

25

20 Q. Okay. And I understand you need a 2.1 warrant.

> Α. Yes.

Q. But, you may or may not have been in a position to get a warrant at that time; correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. However, the warrant is taken care of if you ask for permission and he gives it; correct?
- MS. BAIR: Objection. Calls for legal conclusion. He is not testifying as an attorney.
- Q. Let me ask you about your training then, sir.
  - Why do you think that a warrant was necessary? Because that's part of your training; correct?
  - A. It's like anything else, yes. My training would be say personal property, some of that stuff requires some type of search warrant without I guess probable -- in the absence of probable cause to do certain things.
  - Like I said, not fully understanding what search warrants or what requirements would be to search the compartment.
    - Q. But --
- MS. BAIR: Objection. He needs to be able to answer the question without being interrupted.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Can you allow

the witness --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Certainly. You can continue.
- A. If I would have something of that nature I would contact counsel to ensure that I was not proceeding unlawfully.
  - Q. Okay. So you have an understanding that you often times need a warrant; as part of your training that there are exceptions to whether you need a warrant?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And that is part of what you are taught; correct?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Is it a fair statement that if someone gives you permission that is one of the exceptions to a warrant; correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
  - Q. Okay. So, someone asks, so, for example, if you asked somebody to search their car and they say it's okay, your training is that is all right.
- 22 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, you asked to examine the phone and he said it was okay, your training would say that is correct; correct?

A. Right.

1

4

5

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. But that wasn't done in this case?
  - A. No, because --
  - Q. No. Nothing further.

6 MS. BAIR: Object.

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I am going to

8 let him finish his answer.

entered the violation he was manipulating the phone with his thumb. Whether he was texting or whether he was initiating a phone call, whether he would have been opening or closing an internet site, he was still using the device during the time I observed him.

- Q. But that is your supposition; correct? Your interpretation of what you saw?
- A. That is what I saw.
- Q. Okay. How long did you see him in this mode?
- A. As I explained it was two or three seconds.
- Q. How fast was he traveling?
- A. He would be probably -- the posted speed limit for that area at that time was 55

miles an hour.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. So somewhere in that range?
- 3 A. Yes.
  - Q. And you say you are located if I understand the exit, you are on -- is this the 161 exit?
  - A. Yes. County Road 99.
    - Q. So you are halfway down the exit ramp, give or take?
- 10 A. Give or take, yes. If you go down
  11 there is a place to pull off that is paved where
  12 I sat.
- Q. So you are halfway down the exit ramp?
- 15 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And the vehicle is coming from your back; correct?
- A. Well, as I explained, I had turned
  sideways so my vehicle is facing this way, and I
  am looking straight down at the interstate, so I
  have a very --
- Q. Diagonally cross your front?
- 23 A. Perpendicular across the front.
- Q. Okay. At what point did you first observe the vehicle?

- A. As far as --
- Q. You said you observed --
  - A. So my --

2.1

MS. BAIR: Objection. The witness should be able to answer the question.

MR. ELLIOTT: Ma'am, he had trouble with the question, so I was trying to help him.

MS. BAIR: He wasn't having any trouble with the question.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. We are just going to slow down your questions so you stop talking over each other. Okay?

- Q. Okay.
- A. Could you repeat that, sir?
- Q. At what point did you first observe the vehicle?
- A. It was slightly to my left. Exactly what angle or perspective I don't know. But as I am looking down I can see down through the front windshield and see what he was doing there at that point.

And, like I said, I continued to observe him as he come across in front of me and then, like I said, once he would get at an angle that I couldn't see anymore that is as far as I

could see.

1

4

5

- Q. Now, the vehicle he was driving was a commercial truck?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Correct? Had a trailer?
- A. Yes.
- Q. The truck itself, do you recall
  whether it was simply a cab only, or did it have
  a sleeper cab?
- 10 A. To the best of my recollection it
  11 was a sleeper birth truck.
- Q. Okay. So it was a sleeper birth,

  just so we understand for the record, then would

  have varying size, maybe six to eight feet,

  maybe a little bit less, little bit more, behind

  the driver's seat and the passenger seat;

  correct?
  - A. Yes, sir. Probably.
- Q. And generally, it would be generally your experience those would generally be covered with metal, not part of the windshield or the side windows?
- A. You are talking about the part behind the driver?
- Q. Sleeper cab.

- A. Yes. Everything behind the driver encompassed and enclosed.
- Q. So your vantage point then typically on a vehicle of that nature would be through the windshield and to some extent through the side windows for the passenger's side?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And your testimony is you had that vantage point for three seconds?
- 10 A. Two to three seconds, yes, sir.

  11 MR. ELLIOTT: Okay. Nothing further

12 for this witness.

- MS. BAIR: I have a question on
- 14 redirect.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

20

2.1

- 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure.
- 16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 17 By Ms. Bair:
- Q. Just to be clear about your vision, that day you said what was the weather like?
  - A. It was sunny.
  - Q. Did the sun help you see more clearly into the driver?
- A. Yes. It illuminated the interior
  of the cab. And as I explained, with the 2 to 3
  seconds at 60 miles an hour going roughly 90

```
25
     feet per second, so at three seconds he only
 1
 2
     went 180 feet.
 3
                 So it may have been longer. So, it
     depends on how long I see him. So it could have
 4
 5
    been longer, but two or three seconds is my best
 6
     quess.
 7
                 MS. BAIR: Thank you. I have
 8
     nothing further.
9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Actually let's
10
     go off the record.
11
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
12
                 (RECESS TAKEN)
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Back on the
14
     record. And, Mr. Elliott, do you have any
15
     questions?
16
                 MR. ELLIOTT: I do not.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. You may
18
     step down.
19
                 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Does the Staff
2.1
     have another witness?
22
                 MS. BAIR: Yes. Staff would like
23
    to call Brad Long to the stand, please
24
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
25
```

		26			
1	BRAD LONG				
2	called as a witness, being first duly sworn,				
3	testified as follows:				
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
5	By Ms. Bair:				
6	Q. Would you please state your name and				
7	spell it for the record?				
8	A. Brad Long, L-O-N-G.				
9	Q. And where are you employed?				
10	A. Compliance Section, Transportation,				
11	Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.				
12	Q. And what is your position at the				
13	Commission?				
14	A. Assistant Chief of Compliance.				
15	Q. How long have you been in that				
16	position?				
17	A. In this position for two months now.				
18	Q. And what's your employment history				
19	immediately before that?				
20	A. Twenty-five years as a State				
21	Trooper. During that 25 years I had 11 years as				
22	a crash reconstructionist. And my last two				
23	years with the Highway Patrol was with the				
24	licensed commercial standards section in				
25	Columbus, Ohio.				

Q. And currently with the Commission how would you describe your duties?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. Duties right now is maintain records and files. I assist in supervision of the compliance officers in the Compliance Division.

  And also assist with the oversight of the civil forfeiture program.
  - Q. And do you have training, certifications, any expert qualifications for this position?
  - A. Yes. I was certified in North

    American Standards Part A, B, bulk cargo,

    hazmat, general hazmat, other bulk, and school

    bus inspections. All that.
- MS. BAIR: Thank you. And may I approach the witness, Your Honor?
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you may.
- MS. BAIR: Thank you. I would like to mark the Notice of Preliminary Determination as Staff Exhibit 2.
- 21 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
- 22 | IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
- 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It shall be so 24 marked.
- Q. Do you recognize this document, Mr.

Long?

2.1

2.2

- 2 A. I do.
  - Q. Could you please tell me what it is?
  - A. What we call an NPD, Notice of Preliminary Determination.
- Q. And could you explain how a forfeiture amount is determined at the Commission?
  - A. Sure. Once a roadside inspection has been completed it's turned into whatever agency files that inspection, then it comes into what we call Safety Net.

In Safety Net, one of our files storage systems, once it goes in there it goes into an internal program we call Sales Force.

Sales Force then takes the violations that are on the inspection sheet and automatically groups them based on their violation in their grouping. There is six total groupings. Zero to 4 are your equipment violations and your driver violations with the 5th what we call the 6 violations, solely hazmat violations.

So once they are broken down then

Sale Force automatically assesses the forfeiture

based on those violations and those groupings.

- Q. And does the Commission apply this process uniformly to everyone?
- A. Yes. Everyone that's in the Group 4 or this type of violation are issued the same forfeiture amount.
- Q. And referring back to Staff Exhibit 2, is this document sent to the Respondent?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Is this document kept in the regular course of a Commission record?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. And is the penalty here that has
  been assessed consistent with the fine schedule
  according to the Commercial Motor Vehicle
  Alliance?
- 17 A. Yes. Commercial Vehicle Safety
  18 Alliance, yes.
- 19 Q. That is a federal program?
- 20 A. That's correct.
- Q. And what is the forfeiture amount in this case?
- 23 A. It's \$250.
- Q. Do you believe that to be the
- 25 | correct forfeiture?

```
30
            A. I do.
 1
 2
                 And would you recommend this
            Q.
 3
     forfeiture amount to the Commission?
            Α.
                 I do.
 4
 5
                 MS. BAIR: Thank you. I have no
 6
     further questions.
 7
                 MR. ELLIOTT: No questions, Your
 8
     Honor.
9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. You may
10
     step down. Any other witnesses for the Staff?
11
                 MS. BAIR: No. We would like to
12
    move Staff Exhibit 2 into evidence, Your Honor.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
14
                 MR. ELLIOTT: No objection.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Staff
16
     Exhibits 1 and 2 are admitted.
17
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Elliott,
19
     you are free to present your case whenever you
20
     are ready.
                 MR. ELLIOTT: The Staff has rested?
2.1
22
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Staff has
23
     rested.
24
                 MR. ELLIOTT: I would call Mahmoud
25
     Elkattan to the stand, Your Honor.
```

```
31
 1
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
 2
 3
                   MAHMOUD M. ELKATTAN
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
 4
     testified as follows:
 5
 6
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
 7
     By Mr. Elliott:
 8
            Q.
                 Sir, can you state your name for the
9
     record?
10
            Α.
                 Mahmoud Elkattan.
11
                And where do you live?
            Q.
12
            Α.
                 I live in Dearborn, Michigan.
13
            Q.
                 Are you employed?
14
                 Yes, sir.
            Α.
15
            Q.
                 How are you employed?
16
            Α.
                18 years.
17
                 What do you do?
            Q.
            A. Truck driver.
18
19
            Q. You have been doing that for 15
20
     years you said?
2.1
            Α.
                 18.
22
            Q. Okay. Now, I am going to turn you
    back to May the 27th, 2019. So a little over
23
24
     six months ago. Were you driving truck on that
25
     day?
```

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Were you driving truck in at least in the outskirts of the City of Findlay in the State of Ohio?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Did you have -- you were present in the courtroom previously when Officer Ogden offered some testimony; correct?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Did you have occasion to meet with him, have an encounter with him that day?
- A. I think Officer before this day, I
  was pulled over on the road just to ask him if I
  needed headphone.
- Q. My question was relatively simple.

  Had you met Officer Ogden before this day?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. That was back on May 27th of last year; correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Now, you heard his testimony
  about him observing you holding a cell phone in
  your hand; correct? You heard that?
- A. As a matter of fact I didn't remember, but all I know is that I text, I

didn't use the text at any time.

- Q. I will try it again. You heard his testimony about you holding a cell phone in your hand; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. You heard that testimony. Okay. What happened on that day? What do you recall happened on that day?
- A. Mr. Officer stopped me and asking me if I use the phone. I use the headphone. He told me I saw that, that you used the headphone. And I hold him I didn't use the telephone.

And after that give me the -- went to his car and bring the paperwork. I told him, sir, but I didn't use the telephone. He told me again maybe hold the telephone and didn't you? That is it.

- Q. Now, you heard his testimony that as you were passing the underpass -- well, passing the overpass and coming into his view he was looking at the highway down there and he said he saw you with a cell phone in your hand.
  - A. No.
- Q. That did not happen, or you don't recall?

- A. I didn't use the -- didn't text at all.
- Q. Is it possible you held the cell phone in your hand?
  - A. Maybe, sir. But maybe I hold stuff. Sometime I take the cover for -- the glass cover to change my glasses, maybe I hold the telephone instead of the glass cover. But I didn't use it.
  - Q. Now, he indicated that you had -when he stopped your vehicle the cell phone was
    located in a cup holder; is that correct?
  - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. All right. And you heard the Officer testify that he had picked up the phone at one point in time?
  - A. He picked the phone up, yes.
- Q. Did he ever ask you to take a look and see if you had texted on it?
- A. I told him I didn't use
  the telephone, and hold and put back to the
  dash.
- Q. If he had asked you if he could look
  at the phone to see if you had texted would you
  have let him?

- A. I think I told him, but that I don't remember. But I said I didn't use the telephone, I didn't use it any.
- Q. Okay. He talked in terms of, if you recall his testimony, that it appeared that you were listening to some music?
- A. No, no. I had headphones in. Why would I use the telephone? I had Blue Tooth.

  If I received any call that Blue Tooth tell me answer. I say yes answer or decline. Or deny the call.
- If I need to any -- to call any call number by voice call number 111 for company, see something like that. The Blue Tooth have full option to avoid using the telephone, sir.
- Q. So your practice is not to use the phone?
  - A. Of course.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. For that. And on the day in question you weren't texting?
- A. No, of course. Of course. I don't control the truck while I am texting.
- 23 Absolutely. I can't do that.
- MR. ELLIOTT: Nothing further of this witness.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 By Ms. Bair:

1

7

- Q. I believe in your testimony recently you said that you don't recall if you used your phone; is that correct?
- A. What now?
  - Q. Do you remember weather you used your phone or not?
- A. No, no, no. I don't say that. I am not using the telephone at any time. While in my home, while in shopping, while in my company.

  I don't use the telephone, I use headphone all
- 13 | the time.
- MS. BAIR: I have no further guestions.
- 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any questions?
- MR. ELLIOTT: No, ma'am.
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. I have
- 19 | some questions, Mr. Elkattan?
- 20 THE WITNESS: Okay.
- 21 EXAMINATION
- 22 By the Attorney Examiner:
- Q. So, while you were driving could you
- 24 | have touched your phone that day? Could you
- 25 | have held it that day?

- A. Hold the telephone?
- Q. Yes.

- 3 A. I don't remember.
- Q. So you don't remember. You could have; is have correct?
- A. Maybe.
- Q. Okay. And then did you have -- was the phone open, was the screen unlocked that day when you were pulled over?
- 10 A. I looked, yes. I don't look unless
  11 I receive call.
- Q. Okay. So, did you hear Mr. Ogden testify before?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. Today?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, he testified that when he pulled you over the phoned had an app open.
- A. Because Mr. Officer hold the
  telephone, maybe he pushed a button. I don't
  know what happened. He held the telephone by
  his hand. Maybe he pushed the speaker.
- Q. But he observed that there was -one moment.
- There was an audio site open and it

```
was playing visibly. Did you see that, an app open?

A. No.

Q. And your phone was locked; is that what you are saying?
```

- A. Telephone, yes, was locked. I use headphone. The telephone, I looked when I use it, the headphone.
- Q. Okay. So, it's your testimony today that you don't agree that there was an app open?
- 11 A. Yes. I told Mr. Officer, I told him
  12 I didn't use the telephone.
- Q. Okay. Answer my question.
- 14 A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

- Q. So it is your testimony today that when you were pulled over your phone did not have an application open?
- A. I didn't see that. I didn't see
  that application open.
- 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any questions
  21 based on my questions?
- MR. ELLIOTT: No, Your Honor.
- 23 FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 By Ms. Bair:
- Q. Do you use a music app when you are

```
39
 1
     driving?
 2
            Α.
                Music?
 3
            Q. Yes.
            Α.
                I used the headphone sometimes.
 4
 5
                 MS. BAIR:
                            Thank you.
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Any other
 7
     questions?
 8
                 MR. ELLIOTT: No, Your Honor.
9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. You may
10
     step down.
11
                 THE WITNESS: Okay.
12
                 MR. ELLIOTT: We will rest, Your
13
     Honor.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Do we
    want to brief this?
15
16
                 MR. ELLIOTT: I mean, it's a
17
     factual --
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are you willing
19
     to submit it just on --
20
                 MR. ELLIOTT: I mean, the violations
2.1
     are relatively straightforward. So it's a
22
     question of how you want to interpret the
     testimony and the credibility. So there really
23
24
     isn't anything to brief. I mean, it isn't like
25
     we are trying to decide whether it's a this or a
```

```
40
     that. I don't think there is anything to brief.
 1
 2
                 MS. BAIR: I agree with you. Are
 3
     you comfortable with that?
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I wanted to make
 4
 5
     sure I asked in case one of you was dying to
     submit briefs on this matter. Okay. Well, any
 6
 7
     other issues before we go off the record?
 8
                 MS. BAIR: None, Your Honor.
9
                 MR. ELLIOTT: No.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Hearing none
     this matter shall be submitted to the record and
11
12
     the Commission will issue an opinion in due
13
     course. We do try for three months. Thank you.
14
                 (At 10:45 A.M. the hearing was
15
     concluded)
16
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on January 28, 2020, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

2/13/2020 3:18:16 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-1806-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Mahmoud M. Elkattan Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on January 28th, 2020. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.