THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY FOR **CASE NO. 19-1037-EL-ATA APPROVAL OF A TARIFF CHANGE.** IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION CLEVELAND **ELECTRIC** CASE NO. 19-1038-EL-ATA OF THE **ILLUMINATING** COMPANY FOR **APPROVAL OF A TARIFF CHANGE.** IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION **CASE NO. 19-1039-EL-ATA** OF THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY FOR **APPROVAL OF A TARIFF CHANGE.**

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on December 13, 2019

{**¶1**} On October 31, 2019, Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (jointly, FirstEnergy) each filed an application for an update of its annual rental fees in their respective pole attachment tariffs based on the company-specific Federal Energy Regulatory Commission Form 1 data.

{¶ 2} On November 21, 2019, the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association (OCTA) filed objections to the applications filed in the above captioned cases. On December 2, 2019, FirstEnergy, in the respective dockets, filed a response to OCTA's objections.

{¶ 3} Additionally, OCTA filed motions for intervention in these dockets. In support of its motions to intervene, OCTA submits that it has members who have existing and potential business interests in the FirstEnergy service territories. Specifically, OCTA asserts that pole and conduit access is essential for its members to provide a variety of communications services, including video, voice, and Internet access services in FirstEnergy's service territory. Therefore, OCTA avers that it and its members have a direct and significant stake in ensuring that the new proposed rates fully comply with the Commission's rules set forth in Ohio Adm.Code Chapter 4901:1-3 and are just and

19-1037-EL-ATA, et al.

reasonable. According to OCTA, its interests are not represented by any other party in these proceedings. OCTA states that its intervention will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues involved in these proceedings and will not result in an undue delay.

{¶ **4}** The motions for intervention are reasonable and should be granted.

{¶ 5} It is, therefore,

{¶ 6} ORDERED, That the motions for intervention be granted in accordance with Paragraph 4. It is, further,

 $\{\P 7\}$ ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties and interested persons of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

/s/ Jay S. Agranoff

By: Jay S. Agranoff Attorney Examiner

JRJ/mef

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/13/2019 3:48:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-1037-EL-ATA, 19-1038-EL-ATA, 19-1039-EL-ATA

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry granting the motions for intervention electronically filed by Ms. Mary E Fischer on behalf of Jay S. Agranoff, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio