BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of Republic
Wind, LLC for a Certificate:
of Environmental:

Compatibility and Public : Case No. 17-2295-EL-BGN

Need for a Wind-Powered : Electric Generating : Facility in Seneca and : Sandusky Counties, Ohio. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Jay S. Agranoff and Ms. Anna Sanyal,
Administrative Law Judges, at the Ohio Power Siting
Board, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A, Columbus,
Ohio, called at 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday, November 6,
2019.

VOLUME III

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
523
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2.
            Bricker & Eckler, LLP
            By Mr. Devin D. Parram,
 3
            Mr. Dane Stinson,
            Mr. Dylan F. Borchers,
            Ms. Elyse H. Akhbari,
 4
            Ms. Jennifer A. Flint,
 5
            Ms. Sommer L. Sheely,
            Ms. Kara H. Herrnstein,
 6
            and Ms. Sally W. Bloomfield
            100 South Third Street
 7
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291
 8
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
 9
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            By Mr. John Jones,
10
            Section Chief
            Ms. Jodi Bair
11
            Senior Assistant Attorney General,
            and Mr. Robert Eubanks
12
            Assistant Attorney General
            Public Utilities Section
13
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
14
                 On behalf of the Staff of the OPSB.
15
            Mr. Derek W. DeVine,
16
            Seneca County Prosecutor
            79 South Washington
            Tiffin, Ohio 44883
17
18
                 On behalf of the Adams, Scipio, and Reed
                 Townships of Seneca County, Seneca County
19
                 Commissioners, and Seneca County Park
                 District.
20
            Environmental Defense Fund
21
            The Ohio Environmental Council
            By Ms. Miranda R. Leppla
22
            and Mr. Chris Tabner
            1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I
23
            Columbus, Ohio 43212
24
                 On behalf of the Environmental Defense
                 Fund and The Ohio Environmental Council.
25
```

		524
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	Ohio Farm Bureau Federation By Ms. Amy M. Milam	
3	280 North High Street P.O. Box 182383	
4	Columbus, Ohio 43218-2383	
5	On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau Federation.	
6	Van Kley & Walker, LLC	
7	By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley 132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1	
8	Columbus, Ohio 43235	
9	On behalf of the Local Resident Intervenors.	
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		525
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESSES	PAGE
4	Matthew Robinson	
_	Direct Examination by Ms. Sheely	528
5	Cross-Examination by Mr. DeVine Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	532 557
6		
7	Paul Kerlinger, Ph.D.	E 7 1
/	Direct Examination by Ms. Flint Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	571 572
8	Cross-Examination (Cont.) by Mr. Van Kley	621
	Cross-Examination (Cont.) by Mr. Van Kley	716
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Eubanks Redirect Examination by Ms. Flint	729 757
10	Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	779
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Eubanks	787
11	Energie III. Managatha	
12	Francis T. Marcotte Direct Examination by Mr. Parram	691
	Cross-Examination by Mr. DeVine	692
13	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	697
14	Redirect Examination by Mr. Parram Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley	703 707
15		, , ,
16	APPLICANT EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED	
_		
17	21 - Direct Testimony of 528 Matthew Robinson	568
18	ria ceriew Robertson	
	22 - Direct Testimony of 571	799
19	Paul Kerlinger, Ph.D	
20	23 - 1/25/2018 e-mail string 683	799
21	Subject: RE: Business Confidential: Republic	
	Wind Survey Summary	
22		715
23	24 - Direct Testimony of 691 Francis T. Marcotte	715
24	25 - Project Boundary Map 773	799
<u> </u>	Eagle Use Surveys Map	1 2 3
25		

		526
1	INDEX (Continued)	
2	INDEX (CONCINGED)	
3		
4	LOCAL RESIDENT EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED A	DMITTED
5	13 - "How Birds Migrate" 594 Second Edition	
6	14 - Passerine Migration Surveys 635 for the Emerson West Wind	799
7	Project, Seneca County, Ohio	
8	15 - Eagle Conservation Plan 641 Guidance, Module 1,	799
9	Land-based Wind Energy	
10	Version 2, April 2013	T.0.0
11	16 - Technical Memorandum 721	799
12		
13	SENECA COUNTY PARK EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED A	DMITTED
14	2 - "Nature Preserves" map 537	
15		
16	STAFF EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED A	DMITTED
17	1 - Staff Report of Investigation 742 July 5, 2019	799
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

Wednesday Morning Session,
November 6, 2019.

2.1

- - -

ALJ SANYAL: Good morning, everyone.

Just a brief procedural matter that we discovered earlier today. So, yesterday, we admitted several attachments that were the Company's exhibits, so they're Applicant's Exhibits 1A through 1L that were admitted yesterday. Some of them are confidential, so I've made the Company aware, so I just want to make sure they are appropriately admitted to -- appropriately put -- given to the court reporter under seal if necessary. I do know some of those exhibits, the Company is trying to see if they can be made available publicly. So I just wanted to make sure the record is clear.

MR. PARRAM: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Are there any questions? Just so the record is clear, the specific exhibits that are confidential are Exhibits 1G through 1L and that's what I have marked. If that's incorrect, let me know.

MR. PARRAM: That's what I have, Your Honor.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. In that case, if the

Company is ready to proceed with their first witness of the day.

MS. SHEELY: Yes, Your Honor. This is

Sommer Sheely with Bricker & Eckler, 100 South Third

Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215, on behalf of the

Company. We would like to call Matthew Robinson,

please.

ALJ SANYAL: Mr. Robinson, if you will take this stand.

10 (Witness sworn.)

11 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. You may be seated.

12 And you may proceed whenever you're

13 ready.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

24

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

15 | - - -

16 MATTHEW ROBINSON

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

19 DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 By Ms. Sheely:

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Robinson. Can you please state your full name for the record.
- A. Matthew Michael Robinson.
 - Q. By whom are you employed?
- 25 A. Environmental Design & Research.

Q. In front of you should be a document -- I gave out one too many copies as it turns out.

MS. SHEELY: Thank you.

MS. BAIR: Do you want this?

MS. SHEELY: I'm good. Thank you very

much. I appreciate it.

- Q. (By Ms. Sheely) Okay. You have in front of you a document marked Exhibit 21?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- 10 Q. What is Exhibit 21?
- 11 A. It is my Direct Testimony.
- Q. Was Exhibit 21 prepared by you or at your direction?
- 14 A. Yes.
- Q. If I were to ask you the same questions that are contained in Exhibit 21 today, would your answers be the same?
- 18 A. Yes.
- 19 Q. Do you have any modifications to
- 20 | Exhibit 21?
- 21 A. No.
- 22 Q. Okay.
- MS. SHEELY: So, Your Honors, I would
- 24 | move for the admission of Exhibit 21, pending
- 25 cross-examination, and tender Mr. Robinson for

```
1 cross-examination.
```

2 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you, Ms. Sheely.

Before we do cross, just so I'm on the

same page, Mr. Robinson, you are tendering

5 | Exhibit AA, correct?

6 THE WITNESS: Correct.

7 ALJ SANYAL: The Visual Impact Study.

8 | Just so I can follow along, is that the one that was

9 | filed on February 2nd or December -- there's a

10 December filing and then a February filing, which one

11 | should I be looking at?

MS. SHEELY: December 2018.

13 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. I have that pulled

14 up.

4

Mr. Van Kley.

MR. DeVINE: Actually, with the Court's

17 permission, Mr. DeVine will do cross first if that's

18 | okay? Thank you.

19 ALJ SANYAL: Yes.

20 ALJ AGRANOFF: If I can ask one

21 clarifying question. Was the February 2, 2018

22 version of AA also marked as an exhibit?

MS. SHEELY: Yes. They were both part of

24 | the public record, both with the Applications, there

25 | was just a later version in December 2018. They're

```
531
 1
     both marked Exhibit AA to the respective
 2
     Applications. Does that answer the question?
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Well --
 3
 4
                 ALJ SANYAL: No.
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: -- we do have a specific
 5
 6
     exhibit which is marked as Applicant Exhibit 1D which
 7
     I believe is --
 8
                 ALJ SANYAL: That's the December 27.
 9
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: That's the December 27,
10
     2018.
11
                 MR. PARRAM: 1D is Exhibit AA to the
12
     Amended Application filed on December 27, 2018.
13
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Right. I'm just asking if
14
     there is also the companion AA for the February 2,
15
     2018 Application, whether or not that has been marked
16
     as an exhibit separately.
17
                 MR. PARRAM: This is not -- it is not
18
     specifically called out in -- AA is not the -- the
19
     February 2, 2018 Exhibit AA is not a specific exhibit
20
    but it is encompassed within Exhibit 1, Your Honor.
2.1
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.
2.2
                 MR. PARRAM: Does that clarify?
23
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Yeah, it does. Thank you.
24
     We have a lot of paper, a lot of exhibits, and I just
25
     want to make sure --
```

MR. PARRAM: I understand.

ALJ AGRANOFF: -- that when everybody has to finally sit down and write these briefs, that they're referring to the right documents.

MR. PARRAM: We're working on getting you, the Bench, a comprehensive exhibit book -
ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

MR. PARRAM: -- right now as we speak.

ALJ SANYAL: And, Mr. DeVine, thank you for being patient.

11

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 | By Mr. DeVine:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- O. Good morning, sir.
- 15 A. Good morning.
 - Q. On page 5 of your testimony, you reference three categories of viewer/user groups who you identified for the visual study area, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. The first group you identified were local residents. Did you speak to any local residents about their views about the project?
- A. I attended two open houses as part of
 this project and was able to speak to the public. I
 displayed my simulations there and explained to them

what I was trying to do with those representative simulations, so I did interact with the public on two occasions.

There was no real specific interaction regarding, how do I say it, specific questions. It was just an open-house-type setting; so whatever somebody could ask me, they could ask me. I didn't ask them questions.

- Q. Who hosted the open house that you're referencing?
 - A. Republic Wind.
- Q. Are you aware there is an organized group that goes by the name of Seneca Anti-Wind?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Did you ever attend any of their meetings?
- 17 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Did you ever speak directly to any members of that organization?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And did you take their views into consideration as you prepared your testimony today?
- A. I take into view the local residents as
 much as they are in the viewer groups. Specific
 interactions, I didn't get any, like, specific "You

need to look at this, you need to look at that." It was more of a general understanding of where the residents were and how they would be affected.

2.1

- Q. The second category of viewer/user you identify in your testimony is through-travelers/ commuters. Did you speak to any through-travelers or commuters?
- A. Probably less than local residents. I would not know if there were any commuters at the open house specifically, but I imagine probably not.
- Q. Okay. What about the third category, the tourist or recreational users, did you speak to any of them?
- A. I did run into a few people when I was out doing my research but nothing was formalized.
- Q. Did you speak to anybody, during your work on this project, who actively engages in bird watching?
 - A. Not that I know of.
- Q. Did you speak to anybody who actively utilizes the local park system?
- A. As I said, when was I out, traveling through the local parks, I did see a few people using them but I did not speak to them in a manner that I was approaching them regarding this project.

Q. Okay. What local parks did you visit?

2.1

- A. I would have to look exactly to see, but
 I went through the Steyer Preserve as we know for the
 Seneca County Parks, the Clinton Park. There was -let's see here -- the Sandusky Abbott's Bridge Scenic
 River Access, the Clyde Community Park, the Sandusky
 Wolf Creek Scenic River Access, the Sandusky Izaak
 Walton Scenic River Access, East Side Park, Robert L.
 Walsh Memorial Park, Roger Young Memorial Park,
 Apple-Jack Park, and the Castalia Quarry Reserve, as
 well as the Blue Heron Reserve, the Countryside Park.
 I have simulations also from the Kibby Preserve and,
 as I said, I went to the Steyer Preserve and the
 Clinton Preserve.
 - Q. How did you go about determining which parks you visited as part of your assessment?
 - A. So we do exhaustive research, before I go out into the field, based on available GIS data and databases that are out there; so we came up with over 430 resources and put a viewshed analysis to those to try to narrow down which ones I should visit.

Unfortunately, in some of that research, there are local and community resources that are not in a database that we can get ahold of, so we hopefully find those during the field review and I

was able to come across and locate the Seneca County
Parklands and the Sandusky County Parklands and Erie
County Metro Parks over my studies throughout here,
so they have been represented and included in this.

So, to make that a little clearer, we look at our viewshed analysis and our visually sensitive resource list to find out where we're going during our field review.

- Q. During the course of your review, did you do an assessment to determine a list of all of the Seneca County Park District parks?
 - A. We did not.
- Q. Do you know if the Seneca County Park
 District has a website?
- 15 A. It does, yes.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. Did you visit that website?
- 17 A. I have, yes.
- 18 Q. Did you -- does that website provide a 19 list?
- A. It certainly does, yes, and maps, as well, that I used.
- Q. Okay. Of all of the parks on the Seneca
 County Park District roster of parks, which park
 would be closest to the project area?
- A. From my field review, I was not sure. I

1 have not done exact research into that so I do not 2 know.

- Q. Wouldn't it be important to know which park is closest to the project area?
- A. It could be, but we do -- we're not required to go to every single location. We provide a representative view and we provide representative views from within the foreground distance from like landscape similarity zones to parks that would be traveled on there.
- MR. DeVINE: Permission to approach the witness with an exhibit?
- 13 ALJ SANYAL: Yes.
- MR. DeVINE: I forgot to give you one.

 Too busy giving everybody else a copy.
- 16 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
- Q. (By Mr. DeVine) Sir, I'm showing you what's been marked Seneca County Park District
 Exhibit No. 2.
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

Q. Okay. And I would represent to you that
this is a representation of the Seneca County Park
District parks located within Seneca County, Ohio; so
if you could assume that's true, could you tell me
which park is closest to the project area?

1 MS. SHEELY: Object to foundation.

2.1

ALJ SANYAL: I agree, so --

MR. DeVINE: I don't understand, Your

Honor, how -- that's fine. I'll start over.

- Q. (By Mr. DeVine) Do you know where the project area is for the project you're testifying for here today?
- A. I work on a visual study area which is a little bit different than the project area. I do know where the project area is and I also am aware of the entire 10-mile visual study area.
- Q. Which park is closest, on Exhibit 2, to the visual study area?

MS. SHEELY: Objection. Same objection.

Lack of foundation. He's been asked to -- he's not testified he's ever seen this document before or that it has any accuracy.

MR. DeVINE: It's cross-examination. I'm representing it's what it is. He can say it's not true, he can say -- and I asked for leave to call a witness from the Seneca Park District to testify this is true so I can do cross-examination.

ALJ SANYAL: I'll give you some brief follow-up if you wish.

MS. SHEELY: Okay. I'm sorry, just to

clarify. Do you -- you mean to respond?

ALJ SANYAL: Yes.

MS. SHEELY: Okay. So I guess the objection is that we're about to ask a series of questions that I'll assume the accuracy of this document when he hasn't testified to have any particular knowledge about this document. Of course he can be asked questions about his knowledge of the parks and that sort of thing and obviously the things contained in his assessment, but this document is just a document that hasn't been supported by anything yet.

ALJ SANYAL: Mr. Robinson.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

15 ALJ SANYAL: Have you seen this map

16 before?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

17 THE WITNESS: I have not seen this map

18 | before.

ALJ SANYAL: But, as part of your work on this project, are you aware of the general areas where the nature preserves are located?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. And does this map comport to that general knowledge? I'll give you some time to look it over.

1 THE WITNESS: It does, yes.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay.

2.1

MS. SHEELY: That resolves my objection.

ALJ SANYAL: Mr. DeVine.

THE WITNESS: The Bowen Park looks the closest from here.

- Q. (By Mr. DeVine) Did you ever go to Bowen?
- A. I did not.
- Q. From your understanding of the project area, will turbines be visible from Bowen?
- A. I have not been on site. From my looking at aerials and things and my experience in the field, there would be areas along the trail network that would have visibility.
- Q. Can you explain to me why you would not go to the park that's closest to the turbine area?
- A. In our -- as I stated, in our research we only can look at what is available documents, and the research that was done for this, and I'd have to look at where things were exactly picked, chosen from and what GIS databases were used, those parks -- that park did not show up on my list and it's not actually in our visually sensitive resource list at all because it doesn't show up on available GIS databases. So, in my travels through there, I did

not recognize that as a park when I went past that land. I did stop at other parks that I did recognize that I did see signage for.

I'd also like to bring up that we did -I did visit a lot of the available wildlife
management areas, protection areas, and other types
of resources that mimic and represent similar types
of Seneca park resources like this, that were
available on the GIS database, and it did allow me to
get representative photos from like landscape
similarity zones and distance zones.

- Q. So you took photos, representative photos from Steyer Nature Preserve?
 - A. I did.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And am I understanding correctly that you didn't believe that the turbines would be visible from Steyer except from a parking area?
- A. We did research into that, yes, we even provided wire frame simulations, I believe from that park, that show that the simulations, the turbines, were blocked by the intervening hedgerows from most of the trail network.
- Q. And that's important for the use of the Steyer Nature Preserve that the turbines not be readily visible?

- A. I don't know how to answer that question.
- Q. You made the determination they weren't visible.

2.1

2.2

- A. From our research I believe that I said, as you stated, they had the possibility of being visible from certain areas like the parking lot, and from our research and the provided wire frame simulation it shows there's potential for the hedgerows to block much of the visibility for most of the trail network.
- Q. Do you have any idea if turbines will be visible at Bowen Nature Preserve if the project is constructed as the Application sits now?
- A. I have no viewshed analysis or anything like that that can tell me, 100 percent. Like I said, from my field review and from my understanding of the park and from looking at it and its map and the trail network and understanding what landscape zone that is in and the different types of vegetation and open areas associated with it, yes, I do believe there would be potential for visibility of turbines from there.
 - Q. How many turbines would be visible?
 - A. I don't have that research.
 - MR. DeVINE: Permission to approach with

543 Exhibit 1? 1 2 ALJ SANYAL: Yes, and you may do so 3 freely during your examination of this witness. ALJ AGRANOFF: Exhibit 1 or Exhibit 2? 4 5 MR. DeVINE: Seneca County Park District 6 1. 7 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. The one from 8 yesterday? 9 MR. DeVINE: Yes. Yesterday or Monday. ALJ SANYAL: If Counsel will give me a 10 moment to locate that. Is it this one? 11 12 MR. DeVINE: Yes. 13 Q. (By Mr. DeVine) Have you had a chance to look at Seneca County Park District Exhibit 1? 14 15 Α. I think I understand what it is showing. 16 Ο. Okay. And what do you believe is being 17 depicted on that exhibit? 18 It is the Bowen Nature Preserve with Α. 19 lines coming out of it, pointing where the proposed 20 turbines may be. 2.1 Ο. And if I said there were 21 lines, would 22 you agree or disagree with that? 23 MS. SHEELY: Object to foundation.

any foundation that he's seen this document or has

a similar objection to the last one. We haven't laid

24

familiarity with what's contained in it other than trying to interpret it right now.

ALJ SANYAL: Mr. DeVine, I believe you can ask some questions, as I did previously, to make this objection go away.

Q. (By Mr. DeVine) Does Seneca County Park
District 1 represent -- what's represented in Park
District 1, sir?

MS. SHEELY: Objection. Same issue.

- A. I answered that question already, I think.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the contents of Exhibit 1 as it relates to the area depicted?
- A. I guess so. You know, I have not counted these lines.
 - Q. I'm asking about the area not the lines.
 - A. I'm aware of this area, yes.
- Q. Okay. And does it fairly depict the area?
 - A. It's an area of the photograph that I assume is of the area, so I would assume that yes, this is an aerial photograph that depicts the area.
 - Q. Okay. And it depicts Bowen Nature
 Preserve and the area around the nature preserve?
- 25 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

MS. SHEELY: He still hasn't said that he's actually ever seen this before, so I guess that was the one remaining issue. For purposes of the record, I don't think that question has been asked if he's ever actually seen the document.

- Have you seen the document you're holding Ο. in your hand?
 - Α. Not prior to this hearing.
 - Ο. Have you seen it now?
 - Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

24

- 11 Do you believe it fairly and accurately Ο. 12 depicts the area of the Bowen Nature Preserve?
- 13 Α. As far as my knowledge, yes.

14 ALJ SANYAL: Does that take care of your 15 objection, Ms. Sheely?

16 MS. SHEELY: Yes.

17 Exhibit 1 has lines to approximately 21 Q. 18 turbines.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. DeVine, just so the record is clear, there's a lot of Exhibit 1's --

2.1 MR. DeVINE: Sorry.

22 ALJ AGRANOFF: -- that have been thrown 23 about.

Seneca County Park District Exhibit 1 has Ο. 25 approximately 21 lines to proposed turbine locations.

Do you acknowledge that many of those turbines will be visible from the park district?

- A. It's hard for me to say, without doing an analysis, how many would actually be visible. From my knowledge of the vegetation on site and from the trail network from doing online research, I would say there's potential for visibility of turbines. A number, I could not tell you.
 - Q. Did you ever go to Bowen?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. So you don't have a clue of what the landscape is at Bowen?
- MS. SHEELY: Objection.
 - A. I do have a clue.
 - Q. Well, how do you know what Bowen consists of?
 - A. Because, during my evaluation of the 10-mile study area, we come up with landscape similarity zones that describe the potential areas and their characteristics. This area follows a lot of those characteristics. It also follows a lot of the characteristics of other sites that I visited with the same types of trail networks through open meadows with large vegetation and wooded forest.

ALJ SANYAL: Mr. Robinson, before you

Proceedings - Volume III 547 proceed, just so your counsel can object when she 1 2 needs to after he asks a questions, give her a moment before you launch into it. So if there's an 3 objection pending, don't answer until we clear it up. 4 5 MS. SHEELY: Thank you. 6 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. 7 (By Mr. DeVine) On Exhibit AA to the Q. 8 December 26, 2018 Application, do you have that in front of you? 9 10 Α. Yes. 11 If you could flip to page 18. Q. 12 Α. I'm there. 13 Q. The last paragraph of page 18 is talking 14 about the Seneca County Park District, correct? 15 ALJ SANYAL: I'm sorry, where are you? 16 MR. DeVINE: Page 18. 17 ALJ SANYAL: Of? 18 MR. DeVINE: Exhibit AA of the 19 December 26, 2018 Application. 20 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you. 2.1 THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALJ SANYAL: I'm there. Thank you.

MR. DeVINE: Okay.

22

23

24

25

(By Mr. DeVine) If you could flip to Ο. page 19. The first full sentence of 19, states

"Scenic quality and viewer sensitivity in these areas are considered to be relatively high."

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. What did you mean by that statement?
- A. So, often when we talk about viewer groups and we talk about tourists and recreational users, they often have the potential for higher sensitivity to changes in their landscape as to what they're using. It depends on the user and it depends on the trail and the specific area but, in general, throughout projects, recreational users of lands like this have a little bit of a higher sensitivity to change in that landscape.
- Q. Flipping now to Appendix B of that same exhibit. Are you at Appendix B?
 - A. Yes, I am.
- Q. Did Bowen Nature Preserve make it -- strike that. What is Appendix B?
- A. Appendix B is our visually sensitive resource viewshed analysis and distance analysis. This comes from our online research and database searching of available information for visually sensitive resources within the 10-mile study area.
- Q. Did Bowen Nature Preserve make it on Exhibit B?

- A. As I stated earlier --
- Q. I'm sorry, Appendix B.
- A. Yeah, sorry. As I stated earlier, when we do our online database search and our GIS search, often local and county parks do not have available data for that, so no, the available data did not provide that information for us.
- Q. At the time you did your report, AA, you knew Bowen Nature Preserve existed though, didn't you?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Why didn't you add Bowen to Appendix B?
- A. I would have to look into the databases and understand what the GIS analysis was doing. I assume it was because we did not have correct footprints or a GIS database that had that available information within it.
- So my field review, as stated in the report, covers that we did know that the Seneca County Park District existed. We just did not have a database for it.
- Q. On page -- staying on Appendix B, 5 of
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And it runs over into, I believe, 6 of

- 12. You list various recreation sources with a subheading of "Local Parks and Playgrounds"?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And, for example, you list Apple-Jack
 Park as a local park or playground, correct?
- A. If it is on there, it was listed as that in a GIS database, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And you list a distance from the nearest turbine for Apple-Jack Park as 8.4 miles, correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. But there's no data for Bowen Nature

 Preserve which is within a proximity much closer than

 Apple-Jack Park, correct?
 - A. It's not in this list because it was not in our database, correct.
 - ALJ SANYAL: I just want to ask a clarification question. So the reason why, just so I understand this, the data was not in your database was because there was no GIS data available for that particular preserve?
- THE WITNESS: That's what I would assume.

 I would have to look and, like I said, discuss with

 the GIS analyst who actually did it where -- what

 they looked at that we do have a resource list. It

speaks to that, so I'm just guessing that whatever available data was out there did not include that.

And this is where, if I can speak to it, why our field review is also important as we did pick up, through field review, there was a Sandusky park system and we did include as much of that as we could.

ALJ SANYAL: And because those parks, those other parks in the Seneca County Park District, had the data available?

THE WITNESS: They did not have data available. I saw them during my field review and ran into them during my field review.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Proceed.

- Q. (By Mr. DeVine) So when you found the Sandusky County Parks and the Seneca County Parks in your field review, that was important information?
 - A. Absolutely.
- Q. So why would you not go to the park that is closest to a turbine for analysis for your report?
- 21 MS. SHEELY: Objection. Asked and
- 22 answered.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- MR. DeVINE: I don't believe he's
- 24 answered.
- 25 ALJ SANYAL: I'm going to overrule it. I

can't remember.

2.1

A. In this particular instance, as I was doing my field review, I came upon Seneca County Parks and the ones that I saw I did go to.

When I got back to the office after field review, I did additional research on these parks as we included in our analysis in the written testimony -- I mean in the written part of the analysis that they were visited and they were looked at and we did mention them and we -- we -- because we had not visited that specific park, we included representative simulations from like landscape similarity zones and distances so people would be able to understand the visual impact that the turbines would have on that type of resource.

- Q. Which park did you visit and analyze that's comparable to Bowen Nature Preserve?
- A. The Steyer Park. The original -- if we look at the original layout, there were turbines that were much closer to Steyer Park when I did my field review. The layout has since changed from then but that does not change the landscape similarity zone and field review of the existing vegetation in the context of these parks.

I also went to, as I stated, wildlife

management areas that are also available to the public. They have very similar characteristics based on the landscape and the vegetation and distance from turbines as did the Bowen Preserve.

2.1

- Q. How close did a turbine -- how close was a turbine proposed to be to Steyer when you did your analysis?
- A. I would have to look back because I do not remember exactly the distance the original ones were, and it's also the same as the simulation provided for Knobby's Creek.

During the original evaluation there were turbines that were closer to both of these sites that I did evaluate. And then, when they rearranged some of the turbines, they were moved further from those sites but that does not change the representative simulations that we created from that distance and landscape similarity zone.

- Q. Were there proposed turbines within a half mile of Steyer?
- A. I'm not -- I can't answer that. I do believe they were in the foreground distance zone which would be up to a half mile or they were within a mile. Again, I'd have to check exactly where they were.

Q. When you say "foreground distance," what do you mean by "foreground distance"?

2.1

A. We break the study area into distance zones based off of prior methodology that has been around and written about and stated in our resources. They break down into 0 to .5 as the foreground distance zone; .5 to -- let's see here. Let me just look at it again to make sure I'm saying the right thing.

Interesting. It appears there is not a section on the distance zones but foreground is up to .5; middle ground is .5 -- I mean to 1.5; and -- see, I'm wrong with this. I don't know why it's not in here. I'm sorry.

- Q. Well, let me ask you a different question. So what's the -- what's the importance of objects in the foreground in your analysis?
- A. Objects in the foreground have more impact because you can -- you would get more contrast and you can see them clearer so they have more impact on a user to that area.
- Q. And then I think you said middle ground is from a half mile to a mile and a half?
- A. There's -- yes, I believe so, and then background is after that.

Q. And what's the -- what's your analysis as it relates to items that are in the middle ground?

2.1

- A. You start getting hedgerows to block things more often because you get more distance to the turbines. In the foreground zone, the turbines tend to be taller than the hedgerows so you see them over the existing wooded stands. In the middle ground you start to have that -- it starts to disappear and the project starts to get chopped off more based off of vegetation.
- Q. Calling your attention to page 13 of your testimony. Going to line 13, you have a sentence that starts "The Sandusky and Seneca County Park System properties proved to have limited Project visibility, with the majority of open views being available from the parking areas rather than the trail networks."

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. DeVine, what page are you on?

MR. DeVINE: Page 13, line 13.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

- Q. That was your testimony?
- A. Correct.
- Q. If you could look on the Seneca County

 Park District Exhibit 1. Hypothetically, I bring in

witnesses who identify that the turbine locations, as marked on Seneca County Park Exhibit 1, are the proposed locations for the turbines in this project, is it your opinion, as it relates to Bowen Nature Preserve, that it will have — that property will have limited project visibility with the majority of open views being available from parking areas other than from trail networks?

2.1

A. Without having actually fully been on site, it would be hard to say about the trail networks. Obviously most parking areas do have visibility because of the lower vegetation and the availability of seeing more.

I would assume, from looking at this, that the grass areas would grow up during the summer and be fairly tall which I experienced in the other parks of similar style to this so, again, I'm not positive as to how many turbines would be visible but certainly, as I've said, there would be turbines visible from this area.

- Q. So would it be fair to say that somebody who has spent time in Bowen Nature Preserve would be better able to answer my last question than you?
- A. I'm not sure, without the proper analysis, they would be able to answer that fully as

well as I if we were doing the same analysis. Based off of experience in the trails, they might be able to point you to exact areas where the visibility would be greater, but I'm not positive that they would be able to tell me more information than I know, no.

- Q. But you didn't spend any time analyzing
 Bowen as it relates to the proposed turbine sites in
 this project, correct?
- A. Not specifically, no.
- Q. So anybody, who has spent time in Bowen, would be able to provide better information related to Bowen than you would.
- A. Related to Bowen park, yes; related to the project, no.
- MR. DeVINE: I have no further questions of this witness.
- 18 ALJ SANYAL: Mr. Van Kley.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20 ALJ SANYAL: I don't know if your mic is 21 actually on. You might have to share.
- 22
- 23 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 24 By Mr. Van Kley:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

25 Q. Good morning, Mr. Robinson.

A. Good morning.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Based on your report submitted in this Application, is it true that there is no mitigation that is feasible to hide turbines from off-site receptors?
- A. I don't believe I would have said that exactly like that. Are you looking at a quote or?
- Q. No. I'm just basing it on some statements, general statements in your report that discuss mitigation.
- A. I would often say that it is challenging to mitigate the entire visual impact of a wind turbine from certain sites, but I would not say it is impossible. It is very site-specific as to what can be done.
- Q. With respect to this project, what, if any, mitigation measures would be effective?
- A. I would have to know what site was being considered right now. I have listed other mitigation measures that are taken into consideration on a project scale, but I do not know, like, individual site-wise if there was a resource, that rose to a level that needed to be mitigated, whether that could happen or not on that site.
 - Q. Generally speaking, what types of

mitigation measures are available for mitigating the views of turbines?

2.1

- A. We look at what landscape similarity zone they're located in, amount of adjacent resources, distance to those adjacent resources, and visibility from those resources.
- Q. All right. So those are the factors you use in determining what mitigation may be available?
- A. It is looked at as part of the process, yes.
- Q. So specifically what types of mitigation measures are available for a project of this nature?
- A. As I just said, it's about where the turbines are sited and how many adjacent resources are in those areas. By siting things in certain landscape similarity zones, you take the turbines away from the majority of resources that may be adjacent to it and within a proximity that would create a larger impact.
- Q. So you're talking about the siting process.
- A. Correct. Talking about mitigation with wind turbines, as I stated, it really is a general type, a whole project kind of idea as to where things are going and based off of a larger type of area.

Individual mitigation measures would have to be chosen and taken up on an individual basis based on that property, so I do not know, but there is potential for mitigation from things, as discussed, like shadow flicker, with vegetation and with other types of treatment.

2.1

- Q. Did you provide the Applicant with any advice on locations that could be used for the turbines that would cause less visual impact to off-site receptors?
- A. At this point in the project, we have not been asked to provide that information.
- Q. How far can a turbine in this project be seen from off site, assuming that there is no intervening obstruction of the view?
- A. Based off of weather conditions and atmospheric conditions and things like that, turbines can be viewed up to 30 miles with no obstruction at all. This usually happens out west. The curvature of the earth will come into play when we're talking about these distances if there was no intervening vegetation or topography. We deal with this during offshore projects a lot. Turbines really start to disappear behind the horizon at the 17-mile mark and you start losing the whole turbine at that distance.

- Q. Do you know whether the turbines in the project area, once they're constructed, could be seen from Lake Erie?
- A. I have done research up on the shoreline of Lake Erie, and I do not believe that they would be seen from the shoreline of Lake Erie.
 - Q. And why do you believe that?
- A. Based off of viewshed analysis and field review.
- Q. Do you know that the tallest turbine model considered for this project currently is 602-feet tall?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And do you know how tall the mature trees in the project area are?
- A. From my field review, the majority of the mature stands are in the 50-foot range, give or take.
- Q. Would you go to page 52 of your report, which I believe is identified as Company Exhibit 1D and then Exhibit AA.
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. Do you see a statement on that page concerning the number of turbines that can be seen from nonparticipating homes?
- 25 A. I'm looking at a page that has a

cumulative viewshed result summary on it.

2.1

Q. Okay. Is there a statement on that page to the effect that the nonparticipating homes, near the project area, can see anywhere between 1 and 45 turbines?

ALJ SANYAL: Will you please give us a section? These paragraphs are numbered. Just so I can also follow along?

- Q. Well, let me just ask the question based on your memory. Do you know what the maximum number of turbines are that can be seen by a nonparticipating landowner from that person's home?
- A. I have the ability to tell you that. I cannot tell you that from the information I have in front of me.
 - Q. Okay. We'll just move on then.

Would you go to your testimony, please.

Directing you to page 5. I'm going to ask you a

question or two about Answer 13. Just tell me when

you found it

- A. I have it.
- Q. All right. The last sentence of the bullet point labeled "Local Residents" that starts at the third-to-last line of that paragraph. Starting with the word "Residents' sensitivity."

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. That sentence reads: "Residents' sensitivity to visual quality is variable; however it is assumed that residents will be sensitive to changes in particular views that are important to them." What did you mean by the words "sensitivity" and "sensitive"?
- A. "Sensitive" and "sensitivity" are terms that, in the visual world, are used to try to help understand the impact that will be felt by that user or that landscape similarity zone.
- Q. Now, would you go to page 8 of your testimony. I'd like to refer you to Answer 19. The last sentence reads as follows on that page: "The blade tip viewshed analysis indicates that approximately 54.8 percent of the visual study area could potential have views of some portion of a wind turbine." You actually meant "potentially" there, right?
 - A. Apparently, yes.
- Q. So my question is: With regard to the visual study area, what is the size of that area?
 - A. It's a 10-mile visual study area.
- Q. Now, do you know what the percentage would be for a 5-mile study area?

- A. I don't believe it was broken down to that. Let me check.
- No, I do not believe it was broken into 5-mile.
- Q. Was it broken down into a 1-mile study area?
 - A. No.

7

8

9

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Broken down in any sized study area other than the 10-mile study area?
- 10 A. No.
- 11 Now, go to page 14 of your testimony. Ο. 12 Referring you to Answer 22 on page 14, I'd like to 13 look at lines 11 through 16 which state "Based on 14 experience with currently operating wind power 15 projects elsewhere, public reaction to the Project is 16 likely to be generally positive, but highly variable 17 based on proximity to the turbines, the affected 18 landscape, and personal attitude of the viewer 19 regarding wind power."
 - A. I see that.
 - Q. All right. So with regard to the statement in the sentence that it's highly variable based on proximity to the turbines, what's meant by that statement?
- 25 A. Often residents or activities that are

happening closer to the turbines, have a higher impact.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. That is they dislike it more than people who are further away from the turbines?
- A. I don't use that term. I say "impact."

 It's -- it can go either way, but when they're in a close proximity there's a stronger reaction.
- Q. And what type of reaction or reactions occur?
- A. Positive and negative. It comes down to, like I said, users in closer proximity will have more of an impact on their relation with the turbines so they will either like them or they will dislike them, but that reaction will be heightened versus -- by somebody at .5 miles versus somebody at 9.5 miles.
 - Q. The negative reaction would be heightened at a shorter distance away from the project?
- A. The reaction would be; I don't know if it's positive or negative.
- 20 MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further 21 questions.
- 22 ALJ SANYAL: Ms. Leppla?
- MS. LEPPLA: No, Your Honor.
- 24 ALJ SANYAL: Staff?
- MS. BAIR: No questions.

566 1 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Redirect? 2 MS. SHEELY: Could we take just a moment 3 with the witness? ALJ SANYAL: Why don't we take five 4 minutes. Go off the record. 5 6 (Recess taken.) 7 ALJ SANYAL: We're now back on the 8 record, and Ms. Sheely has just confirmed she has no redirect. 9 10 We have a few questions. 11 If you will turn to page 8 of your 12 testimony. So, on line 1, is there a reason why the 13 only turbines that you assumed would be part of the 14 project were those two models? 15 THE WITNESS: When we wrote this 16 actually, Nordex, I believe, had just bought Acciona 17 so it was just a slash. 18 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. 19 THE WITNESS: It's just Nordex now. 20 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. 2.1 THE WITNESS: So it was one model and that was the tallest blade tip and also highest hub 22 23 height which for --24 ALJ SANYAL: At that time. 25 THE WITNESS: At that time, which I

believe when this was updated this was what it's supposed to be versus the shadow flicker which uses a larger rotor usually because that's more impactful to the visual. The hub height is more impactful so we go with a higher hub height.

ALJ SANYAL: That's helpful.

THE WITNESS: So there's a reason why different turbines are used in those different analyses.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay.

2.1

2.2

ALJ AGRANOFF: And if I understood your statement previously, you're saying your testimony reflects the most-recent?

THE WITNESS: From that date from the submittal. From when we submitted. So we did an update from the January -- I mean from the February submittal to the December one.

ALJ SANYAL: Just so we understand, your testimony today is based on the December 2018 update.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And, to your knowledge, are there other models that are now being considered aside from the ones that are reflected in your testimony?

568 1 THE WITNESS: No, not that I know of, 2 besides the smaller one which would not affect my analysis because we always go with the taller one for 3 worst-case. So yes, there's a smaller one out there, 4 5 but I usually only think about the tallest ones. 6 ALJ SANYAL: We have one more question --7 THE WITNESS: Sure. ALJ SANYAL: -- but we're finding it. 8 9 Okay. Any questions based on our 10 questions? 11 MR. DeVINE: None from me. 12 MS. BAIR: None. 13 MS. SHEELY: Nothing. 14 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Well, thank you very 15 much, Mr. Robinson. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 17 MS. SHEELY: Could I ask that Exhibit 21 18 be admitted at this time? 19 ALJ SANYAL: Yes, you may. 20 Any objections to that? 2.1 Okay. Hearing none, Republic Wind 22 Exhibit 21 is admitted. 23 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 24 ALJ SANYAL: And then, Mr. DeVine, what 25 are we doing with Seneca County Park District Exhibit

Proceedings - Volume III 569 No. 2? 1 2 MR. DeVINE: I'd ask you to hold it with No. 1 until next week. 3 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. We shall hold it. 4 5 MR. DeVINE: Or maybe the week after, 6 depending on the schedule. 7 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Let's go off the 8 record briefly. 9 (Discussion off the record.) 10 ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the record 11 and you may --12 MR. PARRAM: Which side? 13 ALJ SANYAL: We're just doing it based on 14 who is taking which witness, so I will be taking 15 Mr. Kerlinger. Mr. Kerlinger, you may proceed and be 16 17 seated here. 18 (Witness sworn.) 19 ALJ SANYAL: And I'm really enjoying your tie today, sir. 20 2.1 THE WITNESS: Thank you. 22 ALJ SANYAL: Ms. Flint, before you do 23 your brief direct, I know Mr. Kerlinger's testimony

again, will you let me know where on the docket I can

refers to various studies on pages 4 through 8 and,

24

find them so I can follow along? Because I'm sure during cross --

MS. FLINT: I'll do my best. I would hope whoever is crossing him can also direct us.

ALJ SANYAL: Sure. But do you know generally where those studies are in his testimony?

MS. FLINT: I think so. I was kind of flipping through the actual binders.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Is it in the February -- I mean the December 2018 Updated Application?

MS. FLINT: I believe so.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay.

2.1

2.2

ALJ AGRANOFF: Also, before we actually begin with the direct, I know that Mr. Van Kley had pointed out previously that there are studies referenced within Mr. Kerlinger's testimony that are not currently in the record. Are we going to address that issue now before he actually testifies?

MR. VAN KLEY: I'm planning to mark two of those as exhibits. That will get those two into the record. And the third one I'm not going to have any questions, so I don't plan to introduce that as an exhibit.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay.

571 1 ALJ AGRANOFF: Are there just three? 2 ALJ SANYAL: There's several studies 3 referenced in pages 4 through 8. MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I believe all the 4 5 rest of them are in the record. 6 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. 7 MR. VAN KLEY: As I question the witness about those, I will provide you with the locations of 8 those studies in the record. 9 10 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Perfect. Thank you. 11 You may proceed. 12 MS. FLINT: Thank you. Do I need to make 13 an appearance again? 14 ALJ SANYAL: No. 15 MS. FLINT: Okay. Thank you. 16 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 17 18 PAUL KERLINGER, Ph.D. 19 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 20 examined and testified as follows: 2.1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 22 By Ms. Flint: 23 Q. Could you state your full legal name for 24 the record, sir. 25 A. Paul Kerlinger.

Proceedings - Volume III 572 Dr. Kerlinger, you have a document in 1 Q. 2 front of you, marked Exhibit 22. If you could take a look at that. 3 Α. 4 Yes. 5 Q. What is Exhibit 22? 6 This is a copy of my Direct Testimony. Α. 7 Do you have any modifications or Q. corrections to that? 8 Α. 9 No. 10 MS. FLINT: Your Honors, I move for the admission of Exhibit 22, pending cross-examination, 11 12 and I tender Dr. Kerlinger for any cross-examination. 13 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you. 14 Mr. Van Kley. 15 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, Your Honor. 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 By Mr. Van Kley: 19 Mr. Kerlinger, where do you live? Ο. 20 Α. I live in Cape May, New Jersey. 2.1 Q. How long have you lived there? 22 Α. 20 years. 23 Where did you live before that? Q.

New York City for five years.

Have you ever lived in Ohio?

24

25

Α.

Q.

A. No.

2.2

- Q. Other than your work on the project that we have the hearing on today, have you conducted any other work related to birds in Ohio?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. Could you give me a general overview of that work?
- A. Yes. I worked on two different wind projects in Ohio. One of them was in Logan County. We did pre-construction avian risk assessment for that project. I don't know what the status of it is. And I worked on the LEEDCo Icebreaker project for a number of years starting in 2008, I believe, and I did avian risk assessment for that and other pieces of work, pre-construction work for that.
- Q. For either of these other two projects, did you personally do any fieldwork related to the bird studies?
 - A. No, I did not.
- Q. Have you ever done any fieldwork related to bird studies in Ohio?
 - A. No.
- Q. Other than work-related activities that involve birds, do you watch birds for recreational purposes?

- A. I do. May I -- I just realized I didn't complete my -- the previous answer. May I do that now?
 - Q. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- A. Although I didn't personally do the field studies, I directed field studies at the Logan site -- the Logan County site and some for -- oh, then there was one other project, Green Energy Ohio hired my firm to do a feasibility study and I directed fieldwork for that as well.
- Q. But you didn't do any field studies yourself in that project?
- A. No, I did not.
- Q. So with respect to the question that I had pending, do you watch birds for recreational purposes?
- 17 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. And have you ever watched birds in Ohio for recreation?
- 20 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of a natural area, near Lake Erie, named Magee Marsh?
- A. I'm very familiar with it.
- Q. How did you become familiar with Magee
 25 Marsh?

A. I did a study for the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service that ended up being used by the nonprofit organizations that either own land or control land or do their programs on land in that area from Ottawa National Wildlife Refuge, west several miles, Magee Marsh, and other parts of what is called the Black Swamp.

2.1

2.2

I did an economic study of bird watching in that area, what the impacts were. I did that in 1993, '94, and that was used for conservation purposes in that area as well as elsewhere.

- Q. Can you generally describe the economic impacts of bird watching in that area?
- A. When I did it there were fewer bird watchers because it was the mid-90s. It's increased since then. I've read about it. It's a multimillion-dollar industry that benefits the local communities as well as the agencies that are doing their activity in that area as well.
- Q. Are you aware of the reputation that Magee Marsh has nationally with regard to bird watching?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. Okay. And what is that reputation?
- A. It's a very good bird-watching place

during some seasons of the year.

2.1

- O. What seasons are those?
- A. Spring is the most important and other seasons are generally less important, although there is bird migration through that, you know, Erie, Lake Erie Shore area in the fall as well.
- Q. What is the general pathway that the birds follow during the spring migration in order to get to Magee Marsh?
- A. The birds that arrive at Magee Marsh are generally part of a broad-front migration from -- a lot of them come across the Gulf of Mexico,

 Mississippi, all the way to Louisiana and Texas and then they go north, they spread out across the landscape. This is called "broad-front migration."

 You can read about it in my second book, "How Birds Migrate."

As they come north, they're spread across the landscape until they reach the lake or within a mile, maybe two miles of the lake, at which time some of them descend. Others continue to migrate as a broad front over Lake Erie towards Canada. Those that are dropping out at the shoreline tend to congregate in those narrow forested or marshy areas right on the edge of the lake.

- Q. Is that what makes Magee Marsh such a good area for bird watching?
 - A. I believe that's part of it.
 - O. What's the rest of it?

2.1

- A. It's the marshy habitats and the forested and marshy habitats that are in that area right there along the coastline.
- Q. Is Magee Marsh an example of what you would refer to as a stopover for migrants?
 - A. It's a stopover, correct.
 - Q. What is a stopover?
- A. A stopover is a place where migrants stop over during migration. They descend, they distribute themselves in those local habitats so they can rest and forage safely away from raptors for example, and it's a fairly common phenomenon. One of the reasons I live in Cape May is because it's a great stopover area and it's good bird watching.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If I could just seek a clarification. Is Magee Marsh in Ohio?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

Q. So the birds that stop at Magee Marsh, arrive there, generally speaking, from a southern direction? In other words, they're flying south to Magee Marsh?

- A. Generally speaking from the south. It could be from the southwest; it could be from the southeast.
- Q. And you refer to, I think you said the "broad"? What was the term you used?
 - A. It's called broad-front migration.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with where Seneca County, Ohio is relative to Magee Marsh?
 - A. I am.

- Q. How far is it between Magee Marsh and Seneca County?
 - A. I do not know the exact distance between Magee Marsh and the lakeshore, but I do know it's about 10 miles from the northernmost part of this project area to the southernmost extension of Lake Erie.
- Q. Okay. And Magee Marsh actually is located on the bank of Lake Erie, correct?
 - A. Yes, it's farther to the west.
- Q. Okay. So part of or at least some of the birds in this broad-front migration fly over Seneca County?
- A. All of Seneca County is covered with broad-front migration, as are counties to the east and the west.

- Q. With respect to the stopover areas, do the migrating birds choose those areas based on the habitat?
- A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that, please? I missed something.
 - O. Sure. Yeah.

2.1

When the migrating birds stop to rest and forage, do they select a particular type of habitat in which to do that stopover?

- A. They do.
- Q. Okay. And what kind of habitat do they select?
- A. It depends on the bird species in question.
 - Q. Okay. So let's take, for example, passerines. First of all, can you give us a definition of what a passerine is?
 - A. Passerine is what's called a toxignomic order of birds. These are called the songbirds; things like the blackpoll warbler or white-throated sparrow are different examples. Small birds in general.
 - Q. Yeah. So with respect to passerines, what kind of habitat do they choose for their stopovers?

A. It, again, depends on the species. It's a wide variety of habitat, although the primary habitats are forested or at least brushy habitats.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And Magee Marsh is full of that type of habitat, correct?
- A. It has some. It's not extensive habitat there though.
- Q. Is part of the reason why migrating birds stop over at Magee Marsh the fact that it is on the southern bank of Lake Erie?
- A. Part of the reason they do is, yes, I believe.
 - Q. And why do they -- why does that fact that it's on Lake Erie matter or produce more stopovers by the migrants in Magee Marsh?
 - A. Lake Erie is a water crossing and, depending upon the time of night that birds encounter the lake, they will either cross it if it's early in the evening -- these are all nocturnal migrants I assume you're speaking of -- they encounter the lake and some will drop out especially later in the night, 2, 3, 4 in the morning they will drop out.

Early in the evening you will get some of them dropping -- I mean -- I'm sorry.

If it's early in the evening, birds from

the south will continue out over Lake Erie going directly to either Michigan on the other side or all the way to Ontario. So they're reluctant to cross at certain times of day and that's why they drop out in that area. It concentrates those birds right near the shoreline. It's a fairly vertical descent when they do that sort of thing.

- Q. Do they use Magee Marsh to rest before the rigor of crossing the lake?
 - A. Some do.
- Q. Okay. I believe that you just stated that at least some of the migrants will drop out vertically to get to Magee Marsh? Is that what you said?
- A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. What did you mean by that?
- A. It's a fairly steep descent. This has been monitored in different ways but, in short, birds that want to stop if they encounter the lake, for example, they drop very vertically. I've watched this on the Gulf of Mexico, birds arriving from Gulf of Mexico, they do that sort of thing.
- Q. Do some of the migrants descend gradually before a stopover?
- 25 A. On occasion some will.

Q. Okay. With respect to stopover habitat, do you know what the relative abundance of suitable stopover habitat exists in the project area for the Republic Wind project?

- A. I missed the first part. I'm sorry.
- Q. Yeah.

2.1

We were talking about the quality of habitat for the stopovers and my question is whether you know how much abundance of stopover habitat is available for migrants in the Republic Wind project area.

- A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And would you explain that, please?
- A. Yes. Approximately, in the documents that I'm referring to, some of those for the studies I read, it's about 85 percent of the area within the project boundary is tilled agriculture which is pretty much the opposite of forests that birds like; so, in other words, less than 15 percent of the entire boundary.

If you look at the footprint of the area,

I believe the environmental assessment said

97 percent of the footprint was tilled agricultural;

so, in other words, there isn't very much habitat

area-wise for those birds, and the forests in that

site are very small, quite small.

2.1

In other words, if you look at a topographic map or a Google Earth, which I've done, those are isolated patches, so they're not really great for migrants.

- Q. So what does that mean with respect to how many migrant birds will use the project area as a stopover?
- A. It means that relatively few will. Small numbers. Much smaller numbers.
 - Q. What distance does a migrating bird, a passerine, typically travel between stopovers?
 - A. It varies. Some only 40, 50 miles.

 Others 3-, 4-, 500 miles. It depends on where they are. If there is an obstacle to migration like the Gulf of Mexico, obviously, because they don't swim very well, Lake Erie is another one, but if the weather is not very good, if the winds aren't good following winds, they'll only fly short distances if they're over land.
 - Q. How far can a migrating bird, while it is flying, see a body of water such as Lake Erie?
- A. It's an interesting question and I don't know the exact answer to that because there are lots of variables.

Q. Okay. Is it possible that a bird passing over the project area or actually approaching the project area can see Lake Erie from that location?

A. What location?

2.1

- Q. From just south of the project area.
- A. I don't know. I don't know the visual capabilities of birds migrating in the dark so I'm sorry, I can't answer that question.
- Q. Okay. Do you have any idea how many people visit Magee Marsh per year?
 - A. I don't have that number in my head.
- Q. Do you know that people from all over the United States watch birds at Magee Marsh?

MS. FLINT: Objection. One, I'm not sure why we're having all these questions about Magee

Marsh. It's obviously not in the project area and

Dr. Kerlinger also is not here to testify about

economics or how many people visit an area that is

not in this project.

MR. VAN KLEY: Well, my line of questions so far have been relevant for two purposes. One is to show that the birds flying over the project area use that pathway to get to Magee Marsh which is probative of the fact that they do migrate over the project area.

The second reason is that, as the Applicant's Application shows, the economics of the project are a factor in the Board's consideration of this Application, and to the extent that the project may kill birds that otherwise would be enjoyed by the vast population of people who come to Magee Marsh to watch them, that is relevant to the economics of this project.

2.1

ALJ SANYAL: I'll allow you a brief response.

MS. FLINT: I would say, first of all, Dr. Kerlinger has already testified as to migrant birds migrate over the entirety of Seneca County. That's already been established. And again, Dr. Kerlinger is not here to testify about the economics of the project.

ALJ SANYAL: So, Mr. Van Kley, I'm going to give you some brief leeway. I'll let you ask some more questions about the Magee Marsh and let you finish with that topic, but we should move on soon.

MR. VAN KLEY: Sure.

ALJ SANYAL: And as Ms. Flint pointed out, the witness can't answer any questions about economics, so make sure you don't answer any questions about that, and I'm sure she'll have

1 | objections if you do.

4

9

17

18

25

2 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I was not intending 3 to ask any questions about economics --

ALJ SANYAL: Perfect.

5 MR. VAN KLEY: -- other than the one that 6 was pending.

7 ALJ SANYAL: Do you need the question

8 reread, Mr. Kerlinger?

THE WITNESS: Yes, please.

10 ALJ SANYAL: Carolyn, if you could please

11 read back the question. Thank you.

12 (Record read.)

THE WITNESS: From the study I did, I

know that people travel. I don't know if it's all

over the United States but they do travel to Magee

Marsh and -- yeah, that's it.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Have you personally visited the Republic Wind project area?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Have you personally visited the Emerson
 West project area?
- 22 A. No.

MR. VAN KLEY: Could we get -- I'll visit that topic later.

Q. Your report or your testimony in this

case lists a number of studies that you have reviewed for the purpose of your testimony, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. And if you would take out your testimony, I would like to refer you to Answer 7, starting on page 4, and that answer goes through page 8, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And Answer 7 contains a list of study reports that you reviewed for purposes of your testimony?
 - A. There are study reports in there, yes.
- Q. Okay. Did you review any bird study reports relative to the Republic Wind project or the Emerson West project other than those listed in Answer 7 of your testimony?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Are you aware of the existence of any other study reports?
- A. Are you talking about empirical field study reports? Is that what you're --
- 21 O. Yes.
- 22 A. -- referring to?
- 23 Q. Yes.
- A. I don't have any knowledge of others that are directly applicable to the project.

Q. Other than the information contained in the reports listed in Answer 7 of your testimony, have you received any information about the birds in or near the Republic Wind project area other than -- I better start over. I lost my microphone.

ALJ SANYAL: I'll grab you a new battery.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Why don't we go off the record for a second.

(Off the record.)

2.1

2.2

10 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Let's get back on the record.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I'm going to re-ask my question.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Other than the reports listed in Answer 7 of your testimony, have you received any other information, whether it's in writing or verbally, about birds that have been seen in or near the project area for Republic Wind?
- A. I have not specifically received, but I have looked into the literature of that area and some of the birds that might be there.
 - O. Okay. And what was that literature?
- A. Breeding Bird Atlas for Ohio and the breeding bird studies. Actually they were summarized in one of the documents, the breeding bird survey

that is. And I looked at North American Birds, it's an online publication out of Cornell Laboratory of Ornithology. I looked on eBird to see what birds, the records of sightings.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: And were those sources used for the purposes of developing your testimony in this case or was that separate and apart from your testimony?

THE WITNESS: It was separate and apart from my testimony. I just wanted to look into some of the bird species to brush up. I hadn't seen some of them in a couple years, that sort of thing. Also to find out if there were records from that area that could help me understand the testimony. I didn't really cite it in here other than the Breeding Bird Atlas but that was already in, I think, at least one of the reports I looked at, maybe two of the reports.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Have you received any information concerning the discovery of eagle nests in the Republic Wind project area that are not described in reports listed in Answer 7 of your testimony?
- A. I received a copy of testimony from a woman whose name I cannot pronounce, Hecht, Hoepf, for this proceeding.

Q. Okay. Has Republic Wind provided you with any information about that nest other than Ms. Hoepf's testimony?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Are you aware that Republic Wind sent a consultant to go look at the nest?
 - A. No.
- Q. Other than the eagle nests described in the reports in Answer 7 of your testimony, are you aware of the presence of any eagle nests located in the Emerson Wind project area?
- 12 A. I don't believe so. My knowledge is from these reports.
 - Q. When you reviewed the reports that are in Answer 7 of your testimony, did you ask Republic Wind whether it possessed the -- whether it possessed any field notes that may have been prepared by the persons doing the field studies?
 - A. I never requested that.
 - Q. Have you received any such notes?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Do you have any information concerning the expert qualifications of the persons who performed the field surveys that are described in the reports listed in Answer 7 of your testimony?

- A. I know of the two different companies that provided those reports. I've interacted with people from those companies though not on this project. Yes, I do know something about their credentials.
- Q. Well, do you know anything about the credentials of the specific individual persons who did the field surveys?
 - A. No.

2.1

Q. During the spring migration of passerines, are you -- well, let me start over.

Are you aware of any instances in which passerines, that are headed north for the spring migration, actually backtrack and fly the opposite direction in order to avoid bad weather?

- A. I've actually seen footage of radar images of that happening for fall and spring.
- Q. Okay. And based on the information you have, what distances do those passerines travel in reverse?
 - A. I don't know the total distance.
- Q. Do you have a range of distances or an estimate of distances?
- A. I have heard and seen radar that looks like they may do 15 or even maybe 100 miles if the

weather is such. They do tend to fly downwind frequently. And if you have a very strong north wind in the spring or south wind in the fall, reverse migration can occur.

- Q. And during that reverse migration, do the birds then stop over some place to wait out the weather?
 - A. I believe yes.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: And just so the record is clear, when you say "reverse migration," if you could give some context of what that is intended --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry? My hearing isn't what it was.

ALJ AGRANOFF: The reference you made to reverse migration, if you could just define what that is intended to represent.

THE WITNESS: Reverse migration?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Reverse migration is when birds are going 180 degrees or even 140 degrees almost reverse of the direction that is seasonally appropriate for migration. It doesn't occur that often or they wouldn't get to their destinations. Was that what you wanted?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes. Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) So some bird species migrate during daylight; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And some migrate at night during the dark?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. What is the relative percentage of birds that migrate during dark?
- A. I don't know what the percentage is, but it's a large majority of small birds and even larger birds like waterfowl and shorebirds.
- Q. How about passerines, what percentage of the passerines migrate during darkness?
- A. Of the passerines that are migratory, because some aren't, a very large portion migrate at night and many of them also migrate in the daytime.
- Q. Is it accurate to say that during the peak of nighttime migration, hundreds of millions of birds can pass a given point in endless ways?
- A. That's a very general question. I'm not sure you've given me enough guidance to answer it.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. May I approach the witness?
- 24 ALJ SANYAL: Yes, you may.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I'm going to mark my next

1 | exhibit. Tell me what I'm up to.

ALJ SANYAL: I'm sorry, was that a question for me?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. What was the next exhibit number? Because you keep better records than

7 ALJ SANYAL: I think this will be 13.

8 Yes.

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. I've handed
you what's been marked as LR Exhibit 13. Do you
recognize this --

ALJ SANYAL: Do you have a copy of it?

THE WITNESS: No.

MR. VAN KLEY: I'm sorry, I forgot the witness. My apologies. I forgot the most-important person.

ALJ SANYAL: But I believe you wrote this so perhaps you don't need it at all.

MR. VAN KLEY: I'll give you just a moment to look through that.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Have you had a chance to look through it?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Exhibit LR 13 consists of excerpts

from a book you wrote, entitled "How Birds Migrate,"
correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

Q. I'd like to refer you to page 86 in that document which is entitled "By Day or By Night?" And I'm looking at the first paragraph on that page which reads as follows: "After dark, millions upon millions of birds fly quietly through the night skies above our heads. Although some birds move in daylight, they account for only a small proportion of all migrants; the vast majority of birds migrate at night. The latest studies, which use radar to 'see' night migrants, indicate that a peak nighttime can feature hundreds of millions of birds passing a given point, in endless waves."

Do you believe that the statement that I just read is accurate?

A. It is not entirely accurate. This is a -- certainly millions. I don't know if hundreds of millions pass a given point. This might be for a place like Cape May, New Jersey. I don't think you would get this in -- I wrote this in Cape May. It was Cape May-centric. That is one of the largest bird migration locations in North America or perhaps even the world. This would be true perhaps for Cape

May. It may not be true for other places especially where there is broad-front migration.

Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. If you live in places like New York City you might get similar migrations because of the lights that attract the birds; huge, huge numbers.
- Q. Okay. Other than perhaps substituting "millions" for "hundreds of millions" in this paragraph, the rest of the paragraph is still considered to be accurate?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is it true that some of the most common bird species in the passerine group migrate during the daytime?
- A. Yes, and not necessarily entirely in the daytime.
- Q. Okay. So, for example, it is common to see flocks of red-winged blackbirds migrate during the daytime?
- A. They also migrate at night, which we can't see, but they'll also migrate crepuscularly which means in that light area of dawn and dusk.
- Q. If a person is out in the field looking for birds, that person would be more likely to sight a flock of birds flying in the distance than to see a

single bird flying in the distance?

2.1

- A. That is likely.
- Q. Based on the statement I just read you from your book about studies that use radar to see night migrants, am I correct in assuming that radar can be used for that purpose?
- A. It can be used for studying birds at night, bats at night, and insects at night. Moths are big migrants.
- Q. Do any of the studies, that are listed in Answer 7 of your testimony, reveal that any radar studies were done for the project area or for the Emerson West project area?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do any of the studies, listed in Answer 7, reveal that any field surveys were conducted during darkness?
 - A. No.
- Q. Can you tell me what months of the year the spring migration for passerines occurs in northern Ohio?
- A. 90 percent of the migration occurs in April and May. You get a little tail into June. You get some small amounts of migration, miniscule compared to what happens in May and the later part of

April.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

- Q. How about March, do any species of passerines migrate north in March?
 - A. They do.
 - Q. And what kind of species are those?
- A. Things like yellow-rumped warblers and sparrows.
 - Q. Does bird migration, whether it's passerines or another type of bird, occur during other times of the year?
 - A. I'm sorry, would you repeat that, please?
 - Q. Sure. I'll break it down a little bit.

With respect to birds traveling in a northerly direction during migration, do any of them travel north for that purpose during months other than the ones that you've just identified for passerine northern migration?

- A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. What months are those?
- A. Well, if you're looking at waterfowl, it can be much earlier, as early as January in some cases. It's not all that common but it does occur. Other birds, crows, migrate earlier sometimes. So, night migrants, generally late April through May, the vast majority.

Q. Okay. And some birds will migrate from territories further north than Ohio, into Ohio for the winter, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. That includes some of the owl species for example?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. So do any of those species of birds travel further south for the winter than Seneca County?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And during what month or months of the year do those birds return to the north?
 - A. You're talking specifically about owls?
- Q. Owls or any other species of bird that would stay in Seneca County.
- A. Owls migrate. I believe they start in March, late March. April is a good month. Then there are fewer of them in May, it tapers down.

But if you have other species like white-throated sparrows, they'll migrate earlier and a few other species will migrate earlier than April 15, but the main bang for them is usually in April. The most you'll see is in the month of April right into May for those birds, as well, even though

they're going much farther north.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And then during other months of the year, Seneca County would experience birds flying in a southerly direction through Seneca County?
 - A. Yes, just like the rest of Ohio.
- Q. Yeah. And it looks like, based on your book, you think that the term "fall migration" might not be an entirely accurate term to describe that movement of birds?
- A. I'm sorry, I don't know what you're referring to. I lost something there, my apologies.
 - Q. No problem.

Do you refer to the southerly migration of birds, during the fall, as a "fall migration"? Is that a correct term?

- A. It's commonly called "fall migration," yes.
 - Q. Okay. During what months of the year does fall migration of bird species occur?
 - A. Fall migration starts in July. Birds heading south, different species, different, you know, amounts right through August, September, October, November, December, depending upon the species.
- Q. Okay. So based on all of your answers so

far, is it accurate to say there is some migration of bird species occurring during every month of the year?

- A. Sometimes it's minute during some of those months but yes, you can detect movements in virtually every month of the year, going in one direction or the other.
- Q. During what months of the year would you characterize the amount of migration as minute?
- A. Beginning of July, end of June, December, January into February maybe.
 - Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. Compared to the overall migrations.
- Q. I don't believe your answer was quite clear. Are you saying from June through December, or are you saying that during December and during June the amount of migration is minute?
- A. End of June into early July it's low, minute in some, when you compare it to the vast numbers of birds that migrate from late August through early October to mid-October even. Depending on your latitude of course. If you're farther north, it's a little bit different.

But yeah, the main bang for fall would be in that period. It would be minute in late June,

early July. And then in December again, late December, things really taper off heavily into January even into February.

2.1

- Q. So between early July and late December, the amount of migration occurring is significant?
 - A. Which months were significant again?
- Q. From mid, I guess it would be mid-July through mid-December?
- A. No. It builds. It's not really common.
 Only a couple species of warblers, for example,
 three, four, five, six species of warblers out of the
 30 are going to be seen and the numbers are going to
 be relatively small in late July. Then it starts to
 build through August and reaches a crescendo in
 September generally into early October and then a
 different suite of species comes in and then it
 tapers off.
 - Q. When does it taper off?
- A. Depending on the species, early October to early November.
 - Q. At what altitude do migrating birds fly?
 - A. What type of birds?
- Q. I'm just speaking generally. Can you
 give me a range of altitudes at which migrating birds
 fly during migration?

- A. I'm reluctant to give that because you're not being specific about what types of birds, what time of day, what type of habitat.
- Q. Okay. Well, maybe we can clarify things by going back to your book excerpts which have been labeled LR 13. Go to 165, the page that is numbered 165. Tell me when you've found that page.
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. And I'd like to direct your attention to the second paragraph on that page.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And I'll read the text of that that I would like to ask you about where it says "There is no simple answer to the question of how high birds migrate. Most songbirds choose altitudes of 2,000 feet or less. But, for birds overall, 10 feet (3 meters), 1,000 feet (300 meters), 10,000 feet, (3,000 meters): all are correct, at least for some birds, some of the time." Do you agree with that statement today?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And then at the end of that paragraph there's a sentence that states as follows: "These extremes within single species illustrate how variable the height of migratory flight can be and

how birds change their behavior according to weather and topography and in special situations." Do you agree with that statement today?

- A. Pretty much, yes.
- Q. Okay. Now go to page 166 in

 LR Exhibit 13, and at the end of the first partial

 paragraph there's a sentence that says "Songbirds fly
 lower, on average, than most ducks and shorebirds."

 Do you see that sentence?
- A. I do. I'm just reading the sentences before it to make sure it's in context.
 - O. Sure.

2.1

- A. The sentence before that, did you read the "Within minutes, birds using powered flight can climb or descend to whatever level"?
- Q. I did not read that one, but you can read it if you'd like to.
 - A. They "can climb or descend to whatever level of the atmosphere promotes the most efficient, safe, and rapid flight anywhere from one foot to several thousand feet above the ground or water."

 Okay?
- Q. Uh-huh, all right. So in that context,
 do you agree that songbirds fly lower on average than
 most ducks and shorebirds?

- A. Depends on time of day and what they're doing --
 - O. Sometimes --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. -- but I generally agree, during the main bang of flight, they are.
- Q. Okay. Going to the middle of that page 166 of LR 13 and you'll see a table there.
 - A. I see the figure, yes.
- Q. Oh, figure? Okay. All right. So explain to us how to read this figure.
- A. Okay. Let's look at songbirds. The far left bar, notice how it tapers up to the middle, very thin line at the bottom. This is over water, by the way. Do you have that?
 - Q. Yes.
 - A. That bar says very few migrants are below 1,000 feet over water in the daytime for songbirds. They prefer to fly between 1,000 and 5,000 feet above the water in daytime and then it tapers off after that to smaller numbers up to 8,000 or even more feet. Is that what you were looking for, sir?
 - Q. Yes.
- So what does your figure show with regard to the altitude that songbirds fly during the daytime over land?

A. It shows that very few that are actively migrating are below a couple hundred feet above the ground. Most of the daytime songbird migrants over land are below 1,000 feet and then it tapers down to very little above 1,000 feet to 2,000 feet.

2.1

And for night migrants it shows that very few are below about 400 feet, then it starts to be more of them up to about 2,000 feet, that's where that band, that altitudinal distribution between about 4- or 500 feet and 2,000 feet, there's most of those birds and then it tapers off above that.

- Q. Okay. What does it show with regard to the altitude that shorebirds fly over land at night?
- A. It shows that shorebirds fly over land from about 4- or 500 feet up to 3,500 feet higher than songbirds.
 - Q. What does it show --
- A. That's at night. They fly higher in the daytime.
- Q. What altitudes do they fly at during the daytime?
- A. They don't get generally below, during migration, below about 800 or 900 feet and there's a good number well up to 7,000 feet, 6,000 feet.

Remember these are generalities. I mean

this was a heuristic device to try to educate the reader as to where birds are in the night or the daytime sky when they're migrating.

- Q. Okay. What about waterfowl?
- A. Waterfowl over water --
- Q. Over water.

2.1

- A. -- during the day for water birds, quite low. Below a thousand feet generally. Over land, mostly fly higher especially at night, day or night, they go upwards to 4 or 5,000 feet and they taper off above that altitude.
 - Q. And what about soaring birds?
- A. Soaring birds down to perhaps around 300 feet, maybe even less, and they average out at about 21-, 2,200 feet. There's more and more of them as you get higher up to about that height and then it tapers down. Once they're over 4,000 feet, there are fewer and fewer of them.

And on ridges, they're much lower. These are ridges like in the eastern United States along the Appalachians or even some of the western states along the Rockies, they'll fly fairly low.

- Q. What are the soaring birds that are referred to in this figure?
 - A. Eagles, ospreys, hawks, also things like

gulls, but mostly for this one it's referring to hawks.

2.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And the figure provides the altitudes for thermals for soaring birds, correct?
- A. Yes, one of the two bars is thermals and the text actually explains the other bar. It's barographic uplift. In other words, wind deflected off ridges or hills.
- Q. Are there thermals that are used by soaring birds in Seneca County?
- A. There are thermals everywhere. Over Columbus, today, you'll get thermals.
 - Q. What is a thermal?
- A. A thermal is a column or a bubble of air that rises because of the differential temperature and differential pressure. You get a warming of asphalt parking lot for example, you'll get an updraft of air there and gulls will soar in that, but you can find thermals anywhere over the landscape.
- Q. When the soaring birds are not using the thermals to fly, then at what altitudes do they fly?
- A. They frequently will sit in trees if there are no thermals to use. It's just too expensive physiologically to flap.
 - Q. What if they're just flying from location

to location?

2.1

- A. Well, they will use thermals or they will use flapping flight.
- Q. And if they're using flapping flight, at what altitude would they fly?
- A. It depends on what they're trying to do and what species is involved.
- Q. So, general speaking, at what altitude do they fly when they're flapping their wings?
- A. Well, they have to flap to take off, but each species is different in how far or how high they engage in that type of flight, and the habitat is important as well.
- Q. Is the flapping flight of soaring birds generally under 600 feet in altitude?
- A. Much of it is, although you'll see birds, at 1,000 feet, pump their wings once in a while or in bursts.
- Q. With regard to the figure on page 166 that we've been discussing, do you still believe that this information is accurate today?
- A. I think it's relatively accurate, yes.

 It's -- remember this is a heuristic device. It's

 not meant to reflect, to within 95-percent confidence

 intervals, all the heights. This is not a textbook

in other words. This is not an empirical study.

This is a generalization, trying to help people
understand approximately what altitudes, under what
conditions, and this chapter goes on to discuss some
of that.

- Q. If radar is being used to monitor flying birds at night, does that monitor show the altitudes at which the birds are flying?
- A. It depends on the type of radar and how you're using it.
- Q. Okay. So there are some types of radar that can identify the altitudes of the migrating bird at night?
- A. If they can discern the difference between the birds, bats, and moths, yes. If they can also get the right atmospheric conditions to be able to actually see them clearly. It depends on the type of radar as well.
- Q. Are you familiar with the term "point count" as used to survey birds?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Could you describe or define that term?
- A. Point count is a location on the ground
 you go to and you look for birds and you count or
 measure the behaviors of birds. You can use devices

or you can just simply use your eye to approximate, and point counts are generally restricted to some diameter depending upon what type of information you're looking for for what types of birds.

2.1

- Q. And those point counts are done during daylight hours?
- A. Not necessarily entirely. They're done from dawn sometimes where you have twilight or even before dawn where you can have twilight conditions. I've used that method to publish on certain types of flight.
- Q. Okay. Are they ever used during dark conditions other than the conditions you see at dawn?
- A. No, not generally. At least not for counting.
- Q. So if a point-count method is used to look for birds in a particular area, would the observer look for both flying birds and birds that are on the ground or in vegetation?
 - A. It depends on the purpose of the study.
- Q. But the point counts cannot be used to identify the birds that are flying over a particular area at night if those point counts are being conducted during daytime hours, right?

MS. FLINT: Objection. I suppose if you

can understand the question but we need some clarity on the question. It was extremely confusing to me.

2.1

ALJ SANYAL: Are you able to rephrase?
MR. VAN KLEY: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) If an observer is using a point count during daylight hours to find birds in a specific area, those point counts would not identify the birds that had flown through the same area during dark hours the night before, correct?
- A. Actually, we do that and we do that for what's called morning flight because many night migrants continue flight after dawn. I've published on this in Cape May and elsewhere. These are night nocturnal migrants that land in the night and then take off again at dawn or just before dawn and fly until 10:00 a.m. The passerine migration studies that were done for Republic used that methodology because it reflects what was migrating the previous night.
- Q. And that methodology would count the birds that actually stopped over in the area that's being counted, right?
- A. Well, the birds that were actually flying are not stopping over at that site, but the birds that you can count in the trees are likely to be the

same ones that were flying the previous night and arrived the previous night or the night before that, and that's what the purpose of those passerine migration studies was, because it's an index of what's going to be going over a site, for example, and using the site to rest and forage.

- Q. But if a bird flew over the count area the previous night and did not stop, that bird will be gone by the time you do your daytime count, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

Q. Let's take a look at some of the studies you reviewed for purposes of your testimony. I think we'll start with the studies related to passerine migration.

So the first study I would like to look at would be listed on page 5 of your testimony and it's the first report listed on that page which is entitled "Results of the passerine migration survey, Republic Wind Farm, Seneca and Sandusky Counties Ohio. December 2011," by BHE Environmental, Inc.

Now, in front of you should be a whole bunch of binders that contain the Application in this case. You can find this particular report in Exhibit J of the Application of December 26, 2018,

1 | starting at the twenty-fifth page of part 7 of 33,

2 | for those of you who are trying to access this

3 online.

4 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you. That was very

5 helpful.

7

9

6 MR. VAN KLEY: You're welcome.

MR. PARRAM: Exhibit N?

8 MR. VAN KLEY: It would be Exhibit J,

part 7 of 33. Although his copy probably does not

10 have dividers for the different parts.

Have you found the report?

12 MR. PARRAM: Is that for the

13 | Environmental Assessment Report?

14 ALJ SANYAL: The page I'm on starts with

15 | Summer Breeding Bird Survey. It has a ton of

16 | pictures. Am I looking at the right part,

17 Mr. Van Kley?

18 MR. VAN KLEY: It doesn't sound like it.

Let me just pull out a copy of it to show you.

20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Why don't we go off the

21 record.

19

2.2

(Off the record.)

23 ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the

24 record. I think we've all found the document we're

25 | looking for, so you may proceed, Mr. Van Kley, when

you're ready.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

15

16

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I'm ready.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Is this one of the reports that you reviewed for your testimony?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I'd like to refer you to the Executive Summary of that report, which you'll find on page 1.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, this is a study that was done on the Republic Wind project area, correct?
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. And this study was performed for purposes of evaluating migration of passerines, right?
- 14 A. Correct.
 - Q. And the study period was from April 4 through May 30, in the spring, correct?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. And from -- from August 18 through
 November 18, 2011, during the fall, right?
- 20 A. Correct.
- Q. Further down in that page, I'd like to
 direct you to the last paragraph of that page, the
 second sentence, which reads as follows: "Due to the
 limited size of woodlots, wetlands, open water, and
 potential stopover sites, and due to an availability

of similar habitat in northwestern Ohio, the Project
Area does not represent a unique resource for large
numbers of passerines during migration." Do you see
that sentence?

- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you agree with that sentence?
- A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. Let me ask you a few questions about the meaning of that sentence. First of all, that sentence says that there are limited potential stopover sites in the project area, right?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In other words --
- A. Actually it says due to the limited size of woodlots, and due to an availability of similar habitat in northwestern Ohio.
- Q. Okay. I see how you're reading that. So it's saying that the potential stopover sites are limited in size; is that how you're reading that sentence?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And because the potential stopover sites, and open water, wetlands, and woodlots are limited in size, that means that only a limited number of migrant passerines are going to stop in the

project area during migration, correct?

A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. And where the sentence refers to "an availability of similar habitat in northwestern Ohio," it is referring to Magee Marsh and other natural areas near Lake Erie?
- A. That's a very, very small percentage of that northwestern Ohio area. In fact, I would say it's probably less than 1 percent is the Magee Marsh. This, to me, is referring to other agricultural habitats in northwest Ohio with these very small patches of forest. Also there's no lake.
- Q. Oh, okay, I see how you're reading that. You're reading it to say that other habitat in northwest Ohio is similar to that in the project area; is that correct?
 - A. That's what the sentence says.
- Q. Okay. And that's another reason why a limited number of passerines use the project area as a stopover site?
- A. That and the fact that cultivated fields, tilled fields occupy a vast majority of that project site, suggests that they won't be -- there won't be large numbers of passerines. Passerines don't use corn fields or soybean fields; there's nothing there

for them really.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Would you go to page 2 of this document. I'd like to refer you to the third paragraph of the report. The first sentence states "Passerines appear to be especially vulnerable to collision with wind turbines, and in one synthesis, were found to represent 74 percent of all fatalities recorded in existing data sets (Strickland 2008.)" Do you agree with that sentence?
- A. There's two ways to look at this sentence. The first is, when you say "especially vulnerable," that could mean that the largest numbers of birds killed by wind turbines are these passerines but it doesn't tell me anything about the absolute numbers. And also you have to realize that those birds are more numerous than the other birds. So, "especially vulnerable," I agree with this sentence kind of in general but it's not very specific, telling me what I need to know.
- Q. Now, there are many species of passerines that fly through northern Ohio, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And many of those species have more limited populations than some of the more-common species like the red-winged blackbird, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

16

17

18

19

- Q. Moving down page 2 to Section 2.1.
- A. Yes.
 - Q. It states there that point counts were conducted at 11 points in the project area?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Would you go to Figure 1 of this report.

 That's a map showing the Republic Wind project area?

9 ALJ SANYAL: Where is Figure 1?

MR. VAN KLEY: It would be after page -the figures start after page 9. Then there's a title
page that simply states "Figure."

MR. VAN KLEY: And the next page would be

13 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you. I've located it.

15 Figure 1.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) So this Figure 1 shows the project area for the Republic Wind project as it was configured in 2011 when this report was written, correct?
- 20 A. I believe so.
- Q. Are you familiar with the location of the project area under its current configuration?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The project area shown in Figure 1 of this report is different than the current project

Proceedings - Volume III 620 area footprint, correct? 1 2 Α. It appears to be. 3 Do you know how many of the point count Q. 4 locations in this report are located outside of the 5 current footprint? 6 Α. No. 7 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. I would like to 8 move to the next document. Would you like to take a lunch break at this time? 9 10 ALJ SANYAL: Let's go off the record. 11 (Discussion off the record.) 12 (At 11:58 a.m. a lunch recess was taken

until 1:00 p.m.) 14

13

16

17

15

18 19 20 2.1

22 23

621 1 Wednesday Afternoon Session, 2 November 6, 2019. 3 4 ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the 5 record. Mr. Van Kley, if you could just identify 6 7 the document we're looking at for the record. 8 MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, Your Honor. The next 9 document that we're going to look at is Exhibit K to 10 the Amended Application of December 26, 2018, and it 11 is the report that is the first listed report in 12 Answer 7 of the witness's testimony. It is the 13 Diurnal Bird/Raptor Migration Survey for Republic 14 Wind Farm, dated December 2011, and prepared by BHE. 15 16 PAUL KERLINGER, Ph.D. 17 being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 18 was examined and further testified as follows: 19 CROSS EXAMINATION (Continued) 20 By Mr. Van Kley: 2.1 Q. Mr. Kerlinger, are you familiar with this 22 document? 23 Α. Yes, I am. 24 It's one of the reports that you studied Ο. 25 for purposes of your testimony, correct?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And this is a migration survey?
- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Okay. And it was conducted in 2011; is that correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. If you would go to page 2 of the report under Section 2.1, labeled "Diurnal Bird/Raptor Migration Survey." Do you see in the paragraph, underneath that title, the days of the survey?
 - A. That was Section 2.1 under Methods?
- Q. Yes. Go about two-thirds of the way down in the paragraph.
 - A. "Due to species-specific differences" is that where you're talking about?
 - Q. Yes, sir.
- 17 A. Okay.
 - Q. Now, according to that sentence there were 20 days of surveys conducted from March 17 through April 30, and 22 days of surveys completed from September 4 through October 28, 2011, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is there a difference in the scope of this migration survey compared to the scope of the passerine migration survey that we discussed

previously today?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- A. I'd have to go back and look, but I believe -- why don't you let me look for a second.
 - O. Sure.
- A. The other one was Exhibit N, passerine migration. I have it.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. There are differences.
 - Q. Okay. What are the differences?
- A. First of all, the passerine migration survey captured morning flight of passerines. It started at dawn and went until about 10 a.m. This study went from 9 in the morning until 4 in the afternoon.
 - Q. Are there any other differences in scope?
 - A. There may be. I'd have to look more.
- Q. Aren't the birds, that were surveyed in Exhibit K, broader in nature than the birds that were surveyed in Exhibit N. Maybe to help you out --
- A. I don't -- when you say "broader," do you mean species-wise?
 - Q. Yes.
- A. Not really. There are probably more passerine migrant numbers, in fact overall numbers and numbers of species than there are diurnal and

raptor species, you know, out there in the world.

- Q. Well, in Exhibit N, that study evaluated the migrating passerines, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And Exhibit K looks at not only passerines but raptors and other types of categories of birds as well, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So does that explain why the report, labeled as Exhibit K, was performed?
 - A. Would you repeat that, please?
- Q. Yeah. Is the difference in the scope of the species evaluated the only explanation for the reason why the study in Exhibit K was performed?
 - A. I'm not sure I understand the question.
 - Q. All right. Well, I'll try again.

Why was the study in Exhibit K performed since Republic Wind had already done the study that is labeled Exhibit N?

A. Because they were trying to look for different types of birds and that's why I mentioned the 9 to 4 for raptors, that's when they migrate most, and other diurnal birds will migrate in that same period.

But in N, these were passerines. They

were looking for passerines engaged in morning flight and making stopovers and that's far better to do early in the morning from dawn until 10.

- Q. You state, in your testimony, the methods used to conduct the study in Exhibit K followed the Ohio Department of Natural Resources' 2009 guidance document. Is there a protocol in that document that sets a standard or sets a goal with respect to how many point count locations should be used?
 - A. I believe there is.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know what that is?
- A. I have to look at that but I think it might be -- if I'm not mistaken it's two times the numbers of turbines at a site, but you also have to look at the first page of ODNR's guidance document from 2009 to look to see when they talk about the flexibility and they're allowed to change things and that's how this sample size is different. They're allowed -- they speak with the Applicant and arrive at a study plan that's specific to that site and it's best for that site. That's why there is a slight difference there.
- Q. So how many points -- point-count sites were conducted in the study that's in Exhibit K?
 - A. Oh, I'm sorry, I got this backwards. It

was the passerine migrant one, that I was talking about before, the numbers. This has only three.

- Q. Okay. Let me make sure the record is clear on what you just said. With respect to the passerine migration survey, the ODNR 2009 guidance states that there should be two monitoring sites for -- for each turbine location, for the number of turbine locations?
- A. I may have mixed that up. I'd like to go back and look at the original ODNR document because I just don't recall.
- Q. Okay. With respect to the Diurnal Bird/Raptor Migration Survey, does the ODNR 2009 guidance provide for the number of monitoring sites that will be used in such a study?
- A. I'd have to go back and look at the quidance document.
- Q. Okay. We're going to get that for you here --
- A. Thank you.

- Q. -- through the wonder of the internet shortly. As we're looking that up, I'll continue with my questions.
- MR. VAN KLEY: May I approach the witness?

627 1 ALJ SANYAL: Yes, you may, but make sure 2 you show Counsel what you're looking at, and maybe if 3 we can pull it up too. MR. DeVINE: It's my iPad he pulled it up 4 5 on. 6 ALJ SANYAL: You had it? 7 MR. DeVINE: No, I just looked it up real 8 quick. 9 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. What did you look up? 10 MR. DeVINE: 2009 ODNR bird guidance. 11 MR. VAN KLEY: It's entitled "On-Shore 12 Bird and Bat Pre- and Post-Construction Monitoring 13 Protocol for Commercial Wind Energy Facilities In Ohio." 14 15 MS. FLINT: May 4, 2009. 16 MR. VAN KLEY: It has a May 4, 2009 date. 17 MR. DeVINE: I'm going to object if he 18 tries to enter my iPad into evidence. 19 ALJ SANYAL: Objection is noted. 20 Has everyone pulled up this document?

MR. PARRAM: Can I have one more second,

Your Honor?

2.1

22

24

23 ALJ SANYAL: Sure.

MR. PARRAM: We're there. Thank you.

25 ALJ SANYAL: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Would you like me to read this?

ALJ SANYAL: I'm not sure.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$ VAN KLEY: I'll ask you some questions about it.

ALJ SANYAL: Why don't you look at it and make sure you know what the document is.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Okay. Do you have in front of you the 2009 ODNR guidance that we've been talking about?
 - A. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

- Q. Okay. With respect to passerine migration surveys, does this document refresh your memory as to whether the guidance provides for the number of monitoring sites that are recommended?
- A. The guidance document reads: "The number of sample points will vary with the size and configuration of the proposed facility."
- Q. Where are you reading from in the guidance document? Can you give us a paragraph?
- A. I'm reading page 5. 2.2 is the paragraph
 "Diurnal bird/raptor migration."
 - Q. Okay. So you're reading the provision for the diurnal bird/raptor migration survey, right?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Q. Okay. Because I had asked you about passerine migration, so why don't we go there next. Is there a recommendation with respect to the number of monitoring sites for passerine migration surveys?

A. One point-count location should be established for every 100 hectares of combined forest, shrub, and wooded wetland; however if the site would require less than 5 survey points, the ODNR will consider eliminating this survey requirement after a field review of habitat quality.

ALJ SANYAL: I'm sorry, which page of this document are you reading this from?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. That's the bottom of page 4 where it says "Passerine migration."

ALJ SANYAL: Yeah, I don't think I'm looking at the same document because --

THE WITNESS: There is another portion farther down.

ALJ SANYAL: Will you come up? Will someone come up here and point me to this document? (Off the record.)

23 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's get back on the record.

25 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you, Ms. Flint.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. So with respect to the diurnal bird/raptor migration survey, which you will find in Exhibit K, how many monitoring sites were used in that project?

A. I believe it said three.

2.1

2.2

Q. Did ODNR waive the survey requirement for diurnal bird/raptor migration?

MS. FLINT: Objection to his,

Mr. Van Kley's -- he said did they waive the survey
requirement, and Dr. Kerlinger just read what the
survey requirement is, and I think we need to
establish what the survey requirement is before we
see if it was waived.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could lay a little bit more of a foundation before you ask that particular question.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, foundational was about two questions earlier, but I'll refer the witness back to the ODNR 2009 guidance document.

Actually, I misphrased my question. I meant to ask about passerine migration, so let me start over.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) With regard to the recommendation in the ODNR guidance document of 2009 for passerine migration, as you've stated there's a

recommendation of one point-count location for every 100 hectares of combined forest, shrub, and wooded wetland. Did I characterize that accurately?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And then the guidance goes on to say "however if the site would require fewer than 5 survey points, the ODNR Division of Wildlife will consider eliminating this survey requirement after a field review of habitat quality." Do you see that in the document?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. So my question to you is, did the ODNR
 Division of Wildlife provide Republic Wind with such
 a waiver?
- A. The ODNR signed off on the protocol. I don't know if they specifically mentioned that detail during their meetings.
- Q. Well, the Division of Wildlife didn't tell Republic Wind, to your knowledge, that it did not have to do this survey, did it?
 - A. I -- I'm not sure what you're asking.
- Q. Are you aware of any statement by ODNR to Republic Wind that it did not have to do this survey?

MS. FLINT: Objection. I believe he basically answered that question which was he said

1 | that ODNR signed off on the protocol.

MR. VAN KLEY: That's not the same thing. I asked if there was a waiver.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I'll allow the question.

- A. It's -- from this report, they didn't waive the survey.
 - Q. Okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

ALJ AGRANOFF: So, from your perspective, the protocol that was used was consistent with ODNR's requirements for these types of surveys?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Did you do a calculation to determine whether there were enough monitoring locations to establish a monitoring location for every 100 hectares of combined forest, shrub, and wooded wetland?
 - A. No.
- Q. Go to Figure 1 of Exhibit K, which you'll find a couple of pages after page 8 of the document, and tell me when you found it.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Figure 1 shows the locations for the monitoring sites, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And do you see that Figure 1 also shows

the footprint of the project area for the Republic Wind project that existed at the time that this study was performed?

A. Yes.

- Q. It's not the same footprint as the project that exists today, correct?
 - A. No, it isn't exactly the same.
- Q. Do you know whether any of the monitoring sites that were used in this study are located within the present-day footprint of the Republic Wind facility?
 - A. I've not compared the maps.
 - Q. So you don't know?
 - A. No.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If I could ask one clarifying question. With respect to Figure 1 that we were just looking at, can you explain to me the difference between Point 2-1 and Point 2-2? Are those two different survey locations?

THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at the text.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I'm curious as to why they have 2-1 and 2-2 versus having them numbered as 2 and then 3 and then --

25 THE WITNESS: I don't know why they did

- that. I'm looking in the results now and I can't find an explanation for that.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) So the results of the survey, included in this report, show results only for three monitoring sites?
- A. I believe so.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 ALJ AGRANOFF: But isn't it four if you
- 9 count --
- THE WITNESS: 2-2?
- 11 ALJ AGRANOFF: -- Point 1, 2-1, 2-2, and
- 12 2-3?

1

2

3

4

- 13 THE WITNESS: It's four if you count 2-2.
- 14 | I don't see a 2-3.
- 15 ALJ AGRANOFF: I'm sorry, Point 3.
- THE WITNESS: Oh, yeah, that's four. I
- 17 | don't know if they used data from all of those sites
- 18 or how they divided it. I'd have to look at this
- 19 | more carefully and I may not know because there might
- 20 | not be an explanation here.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I'd like to mark the next
- 22 | exhibit. I think we're up to 14?
- 23 ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes. And what might that
- 24 exhibit be?
- MR. VAN KLEY: This is a document

entitled "Passerine Migration Surveys for the Emerson
West Wind Project, Seneca County, Ohio," dated
August 16, 2016 through May 31, 2017. Actually
that's the range of dates on the top of the document,
and then the date of the document itself is
January 26, 2018.

ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. VAN KLEY: For the record, Your Honor, this is one of the wildlife surveys that was mentioned in the witness's testimony which is not contained in the docket at the present time.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. And just for the purposes of cross-referencing, if you could point me where in his testimony this specific exhibit would be?

MR. VAN KLEY: Uh-huh. Page 7. Towards the middle on the page.

ALJ AGRANOFF: So it's the first full bullet point.

MR. VAN KLEY: Correct.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Do you recognize the document that has been labeled as LR Exhibit 14?

A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And that is the document -- that's a document that is listed on page 7 of your testimony?
 - A. They're the same.
- Q. And this is another migration survey, correct?
- 6 A. It is.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

MS. FLINT: Excuse me, I'm sorry to interrupt you, but I have a copy of the same study and it's missing a page, the last page, at least the copy you gave me.

11 MR. DeVINE: I have it electronically.

MS. FLINT: I have a copy of what

13 Mr. Van Kley handed out.

MR. DeVINE: Oh, okay.

MS. FLINT: I'm saying I haven't gone
through the entire document but I can tell this copy
is missing the last page that I have.

MR. VAN KLEY: What about yours?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Is the last page supposed to be Appendix A?

MR. VAN KLEY: Do you have that?

22 ALJ SANYAL: I don't. This is my last

23 page.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, it seems that all of

25 the copies, except for mine, are missing that last

637 1 page. 2 MS. FLINT: I have copies. They have 3 your Bates stamp on it when they were produced to you 4 so --5 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. MS. FLINT: -- you're free to use it. 6 7 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, if you would like to substitute that, that would be great. 8 9 (Off the record.) 10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the 11 record. 12 (By Mr. Van Kley) Do you recognize Q. Exhibit LR 14? 13 14 Α. Yes. 15 Q. What is it? 16 Α. It's the report for the passerine migration survey done in 2016 and '17. 17 18 And that survey was performed within the Q. 19 footprint for the Emerson West Wind project? 20 Yes. Α. 2.1 Ο. Would you go to page 2 of the main text 22 of that document. 23 Α. Yes. 24 Is Figure 1, on that page, a map showing Ο. 25 the project area?

A. It's a map showing the Emerson West project area, yes.

2.1

- Q. And do you know whether any of the land inside of the footprint for the Emerson West Wind project, as it existed at that time, is currently within the footprint of the Republic Wind project?
- A. I did see a map showing there was an overlap at the north end of this site and the south end of the Republic site. I couldn't tell you the percentage though. I just know there was some overlap unless these maps are different.
- Q. This map also shows the locations of the monitoring sites?
- A. Yeah. I can make them out by the lettering, yes, and the dots next to the letters, yes.
- Q. Do you know how many of these monitoring sites are currently -- let me start over.
- Do you know how many of the monitoring sites, shown on this figure, are located on land that is currently within the footprint of the Republic Wind project?
- A. I believe I saw a map that showed, I think, these point-count locations to look at the overlap and I think some of them did overlap but I

didn't happen to bring that map with me up here. I think you had received a copy.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And your response, with respect to the overlap between the Emerson West project and the Republic Wind project, was comparing the final Emerson West project area to the currently-proposed Republic Wind project area?

THE WITNESS: I believe that was the map. There have been many and I've been confused more than once.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Go to page 3 of this report. Directing your attention to the first paragraph on page 3. The last sentence states that there were 18 monitoring sites; is that correct?
- A. Yes, located near forest habitat within the project.
- Q. That first paragraph on page 3 also mentions the recommendation in the ODNR guidance that there be one point-count location for every 100 hectares of combined forest, shrub, and wetland wooded habitats. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Can you tell from this report whether that recommendation was followed?
- 25 A. Well, if you divide 18 into 1,751, I

think you get 100 hectares.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. All right. And according to this page, the surveys were generally completed -- I'm looking at the second paragraph there, first sentence, states the surveys were generally completed weekly from April 1 to May 31 and August 15 to November 15, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. What year was that?
- 10 A. 2016 and 2017.
 - ALJ AGRANOFF: So studies were done in between April and May 31 for both 2016 and 2017?

 THE WITNESS: Those time periods in those two years.
 - Q. Okay. So during 2017 and 2016, the periods of April 1 to May 31 and August 15 to November 15 were the periods of time during both years in which a survey occurred.
- A. Yes. August 15 to November 15, 2016, and 20 April and May of 2017.
- Q. Okay. So it's the opposite of what I had assumed.
- 23 The survey detected the presence of some 24 bald eagles? You can look in the Executive Summary
- 25 A. Thank you.

- Q. Last paragraph on page 1.
- A. Two bald eagles, yes.
- Q. All right. You can set that document aside.

All right. Let's talk about bald eagles for a while. Go to page 4 of your testimony,

7 Answer 7. I'd like to refer you to the last line on

8 | that page where you'll see a reference to the U.S.

9 Fish and Wildlife Service 2013 Eagle Conservation 10 Plan Guidance. Do you see that?

11 A. Yes.

1

2

14

15

16

2.1

22

23

24

25

- 12 Q. Are you familiar with that guidance?
- 13 A. Yes.

MR. VAN KLEY: I think I'll mark a copy of that document so you have it in front of you to assist you in answering questions about it.

Your Honor, I'd like to mark that as

LR Exhibit 15.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. It shall be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) I've handed you what has been marked as LR Exhibit 15, entitled "Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service," April 2013. Is this the document to which

the last line on page 4 of your testimony refers?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. What, if anything, did you do to determine that any of the bald eagle surveys, listed in Answer 7 of your testimony, were performed using methods consistent with LR 15?
- A. I first read the documents, the survey reports, and having done these cooperating in compliance with the Fish and Wildlife Service guidance document, this guidance document for several years, I pretty much knew what the guidance document was asking for and what the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, in meetings, has also asked for.
- Q. Let's look at page 25 of LR Exhibit 15.

 On the top of that page there is a title that states

 "4. Site Categorization Based on Mortality Risk to

 Eagles." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And then under that title you'll see a category 1, category 2. On the next page, we have category 3. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Can you explain to me what's meant by these categories?
- 25 A. I think it's pretty explanatory right

there on the page. If you look at category 1, it says high risk, potential to avoid or mitigate impacts is low. B, moderate. C, on the next page, minimal risk to eagles. Then it has certain criteria that it uses.

- Q. For category 1 on page 25 of

 LR Exhibit 15, it states that a project is in this category if it, No. 1, has an important eagle-use area or migration concentration site within the project footprint, correct?
- A. That's what it says.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Does a nest, within the footprint of a project, constitute an important eagle-use area?
 - A. Not necessarily.
- Q. What, in your opinion, determines whether it is?
- 17 A. Whether it is a high-risk site?
- Q. Whether it is an important eagle-use area.
- 20 A. Is this for golden eagles or bald eagles
 21 because --
- 22 Q. Bald eagles.
- A. -- this guidance document is for both.
- Q. It's for bald eagles.
- 25 A. You're asking the question for bald

eagles?

2.1

- Q. That's correct.
- A. But this document was written primarily for golden eagles, so there's a major-league difference between what the risk categories really are.
- Q. Are you saying that the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service does not use these categories to evaluate risk to bald eagles?
- A. They somewhat do. You have to realize when you talk to them, they're flexible, because bald eagles are not nearly as susceptible to collisions as golden eagles, especially golden eagles in the western part of this country. That's what this rule was originally written for. That's just as bald eagles started to explode in the eastern United States.

They use this document but it's a flexible document. They want to be in on what the site is actually like, if there are golden eagles on the site. That's why you have meetings prior to going into and studying projects, or even prior to trying to develop a project. It's a big difference between golden and bald eagles.

Q. If you look at the second paragraph under

category 1, you will see it states as follows: "In addition, projects that have eagle nests within 1/2 the mean project-area inter-nest distance of the project footprint should be carefully evaluated (see Appendix H). If it is likely eagles occupying these territories use or pass through the project footprint, category 1 designation may be appropriate." Did I read that correctly?

A. You did.

2.1

- Q. Does the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service follow the statement that I just read to you when it evaluates risks to bald eagles?
- A. In part, they do. Since 2013, they have changed some of what they actually ask developers to do based on empirical information that we've been gathering on actual risk to bald eagles especially here in the eastern part of the country.

I've been involved in numerous sites where I've spoken with Fish and Wildlife Service, so personal experience out west where you have golden eagles and in the east and it's a very, very different way of doing things because bald eagles are not high-risk animals. In the west, you have golden eagles which are much higher-risk animals and that's where these original rules came from, this guidance

came from.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What is the empirical evidence that you've just referred to in your answer?
- A. 170 studies of post-construction fatality studies done across North America. That's what I base most of what I'm saying right now.

I also base it on studies that I've done at sites that would qualify as high-risk sites to eagles, where no eagles, no bald eagles were ever killed. I can give you a list of these sites.

You can look along the lakes, the shores of Lake Erie where there are, on the Canadian side, there are 32 projects that have turbines close to the lake; yet, the fatality numbers are very, very small.

Despite the small fatality rates, we're seeing eagle populations soaring, so that's one of the reasons that the Fish and Wildlife Service is being more liberal in monitoring -- in following this guidance today.

- Q. With respect to the bald eagle fatality data that you've just mentioned, is that data that is reported by the wind companies?
- A. It's actually reported in peer-reviewed journals now. There are about four of these reviews that have passed peer review to look at the total

numbers of individuals of all kinds of species killed but you also have a lot of this data available. Some of it is done by the wind companies, most of it is actually, yes, in collaboration or in cooperation with the Fish and Wildlife Service and often the various DNRs in the states in which they're done.

- Q. So it's the wind company that reports to the government agency how many eagles are killed, correct?
- 10 A. It's actually the consultants working for the wind company, and we follow federal laws.
 - Q. Okay. Do you know whether the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has applied the one-half mean project-area inter-nest distance formula to the Republic Wind project?
 - A. I'm sorry, you mixed something there that I didn't understand.
 - Q. Yeah. I'm just reading, I'm reading from the language on page 25 of LR Exhibit 15 --
 - A. I see it.
 - Q. -- that I read to you about the inter-nest distance.
- 23 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Q. My question is, has the U.S. Fish and
Wildlife Service applied this formula to the Republic

Wind project?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- A. I believe they did, yes.
- Q. And they did that with respect to some bald eagle nests that were located outside the Republic Wind project area, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. To your knowledge, the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has not, at this point in time, determined what the inter-nest distance that will be applied to the nest that has now -- the eagle nest that has now been found inside the footprint of --
- MS. FLINT: Objection to the foundation for the statement of the eagle nest that was just found.
- MR. VAN KLEY: That was earlier established in Mr. Kerlinger's testimony.
- MS. FLINT: Objection. I don't know what was established in his testimony.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Well, if the Bench wishes,

 I'll do it again.
- 21 ALJ SANYAL: Let's do that.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Earlier in your
 testimony you stated that you had received some
 information, from the testimony of Dawn Hoepf, that
 an eagle's nest has been located within the footprint

of the Republic Wind project. You saw that testimony, right?

2.1

- A. I -- it was allegedly found there. I don't know any of the details.
- Q. Okay. Assuming that it has been found there, are you aware of any action that U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has taken with regard to that nest?
 - A. I can't assume that it was there.
- Q. Well, am I also to assume then that you're not aware of any U.S. Fish and Wildlife
 Service action with respect to any nest that might be within the footprint of the Republic Wind facility?
 - A. I have no knowledge of that.
- Q. Okay. Tell me how the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service calculates the inter-nest distance.
- A. They look at the distances between the nests and then they do a calculation of what that half inter-nest distance is.
- Q. And in what area do they use the nest data in to order to come up with that calculation?

 I'll rephrase the question. It wasn't very well phrased.
- You said that U.S. Fish and Wildlife

 Service calculates the distances between eagle nests.

 My question is, what area does the eagle nest have to

be in before it is included in that calculation?

- A. They look in a larger area surrounding a project site because that helps them determine what the population density may be and the population numbers in that, I think it's a 43-mile-radius area for some of that, and then they come up with that number.
- Q. Okay. So depending how many nests there are within that area, the inter-nest distance can vary from time to time?
- A. It's constantly varying because with bald eagle numbers increasing so dramatically there aren't going to be too many sites that have half the internet distance -- inter-nest distance anymore.

 There's just so many bald eagles out there.
 - Q. Go to page 57 of LR Exhibit 15.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. I'd like to refer you to the first full paragraph following the bullet points on that page.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. I'm specifically looking at the sentence that starts on the fourth line of that paragraph, starting with the words "We also reiterate."
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. That sentence says "We also reiterate

that these (and most other) surveys should be conducted for at least 2 years before project construction and, in most cases, across all seasons."

Did I read that correctly?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Okay. Do you know what the sentence refers to when it refers to "most other surveys"?
 - A. No, not exactly.
- Ο. Go to page 58 of LR Exhibit 15. And I'm going to refer you to the first paragraph on that page. And I'll just read at least part of it, the first part of that paragraph which states "The first case (i.e., (1) above) is the use of point count data to validate whether a proposed project meets category 3 criteria when Stage 1 information is inadequate. Based on experience with current parameters of the 'prior term' in our predictive model (see Appendix D), we calculate an average of 20 hours per turbine as an optimal level of annual sampling via point count survey (for example, equivalent of ten 4-hour point count surveys at each of 20 sample points for a 40-turbine project; our 20-hour recommendation considers the hazardous area created by a generic 2.5-megawatt turbine with a rotor diameter of about 100 meters; sample effort for turbines with smaller

rotor diameters would be less)." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Can you explain to me what all of that means?
- A. I can try, but I have to go back and look at what Stage 1 information is involved. I can tell you that their predictive model, they're collecting data.

Just to explain to you guys, you go out in the field and you set up a set of points and, at those points, you position somebody, like, twice a month and they will be there for 40 minutes or an hour, whatever your time period is that you're looking for. They will take observations on whether or not bald eagles are seen within an 800-meter radius circle and the height of those. So that circle is a cylinder. It goes up to 800-meters high.

So you collect the data there and you give it to them at the end of your year, two-year period, depending upon how risky a potential site is deemed to be. Also that is if they want you to go out and take measurements for a site because they don't always ask for it.

In the case of Republic, they did not ask for an Eagle Conservation Plan so they really didn't

need to go out and measure the eagle use. However, they did, in two different years, one with 20 points, one with 29 points, so they got a pretty good idea of how many eagles are out there, what they're doing out there, how often they are out there.

2.1

And they put those types of numbers into a model to see how many number of birds would actually get killed. It's a complex model, it's yet to be truly well-vetted, only a couple sites have done it, and it doesn't always come out with a predictive number of eagles killed on that site, on that particular site.

I did one in Michigan, and we spent two years looking for carcasses of bald eagles up there. It was supposed to be a high-risk site and none were killed. None were found dead. And that's what I meant before about bald eagles not being a high-risk species.

So you take these numbers, they go through the model for you, you can get the model online in a statistical package if you wish to run these yourself and, from that, you can tell what the absolute risk is likely to be.

But, for the Republic site, the risk was thought to be low because of the small numbers of

eagles that were seen during the two years of study out there.

Sorry if I gave you more than you wanted.

Q. Actually, you didn't give me quite as much as I hoped, so let me follow up with another question about this language, and that is with regard to the recommendation to calculate an average of 20 hours per turbine as an optimal level of annual sampling.

First of all, with regard to the size of the turbine to which this recommendation applies, that size is a 2.5-megawatt turbine as stated there, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And that turbine model, as expressed here, has a rotor diameter of about 100 meters, correct?
 - A. Give or take, yes.
- Q. A larger turbine, that is a turbine that has a larger rotor diameter, would pose more of a risk to bald eagles than a turbine with a rotor diameter of about 100 meters, correct?
- A. It's never been empirically determined to be correct.
 - Q. Then why does the U.S. Fish and Wildlife

Service link its recommendation of 20 sample points to a turbine of that size?

2.1

A. I won't say it was a swag, but they designed this model and these parameters to be collected -- to be collected for golden eagles in the west, where fatalities were much higher.

Once turbines reached the east,
everything changed because they just didn't fly into
the turbines with the regularity that they did in
California which is also designed for different types
of turbines. You remember -- I'm sorry, I won't go
on.

- Q. Go to page 64 of LR Exhibit 15, and I'd like to refer you to the first sentence after the heading that reads as follows: "2. Survey of the Project-Area Nesting Population: Number and Locations of Occupied Nests of Eagles." Do you see where I'm at?
 - A. Yes. Thank you.
- Q. The first sentence states as follows: "To evaluate project siting options and help assess potential effects of wind energy projects on breeding eagles, we recommend determining locations of occupied nests of eagles within the project area for no less than two breeding seasons prior to

construction." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Then towards the bottom of that page in the last paragraph, I'd like to direct your attention to the second sentence of that paragraph which reads as follows: "At least two checks via aircraft or two ground-based observations are recommended to designate a nest or territory as unoccupied, as long as all potential nest sites and alternate nests are visible and monitored (i.e. alternate nests may be widely separated such that a full-length, ground-based observation should be devoted to each)."

 Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. All right. Keep this plan in front of you. We will refer back to it as we go through the bald eagle surveys that are mentioned in your testimony but you can set it to one side for the moment.

Are there certain times of the year when bald eagles are easier to find than others?

- A. It depends on where you are, what the habitat is.
- Q. Okay. With respect to habitat that's in the project area for Republic Wind, are there certain

times of the year when eagles are easier to find?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And what are those periods of the year?
- A. Early spring -- for eagles? To find eagles you're just talking about?
 - Q. Bald eagles, yes.
- A. Pretty much any time during the year you can see bald eagles perched, unless it's in the summer and spring and they're inside of a forest; otherwise, pretty much any time of the year because they're soaring and flying around.
- Q. Are they harder to find during periods of time when the leaves are on the trees?
- A. It's very easy to find eagles themselves when the leaves are on trees. If you're talking about nests, it's a very different situation. You want to search when the leaves are off the trees.
- Q. When do the young eagles leave the nest in Ohio?
- A. Probably in May. It could be later, it could be earlier depending on the season. If you have an early spring, it might be earlier. Not much.
- Q. After they leave the nest, is the nest unoccupied for the rest of the year?
- 25 A. No.

- Q. Okay. What happens after they first leave the nest?
- A. They fly a short distance and return to the nest.
 - Q. And how -- how long do they do that?
- A. As long as they keep getting fed, but generally a month or more.
- Q. During the time that the adult eagles have young in the nest, what is the travel range for those adult bald eagles when they are foraging for food?
- A. It varies depending upon how many roads you have in the area because roadkills are a very, very important source of food for eagles. If you have a lot of roads, they don't need to go very far. Without roads, they're going to go farther depending upon what they're used to feeding on. It may be to a certain point where you have lots of ducks.
- Q. Is it fair to say their travel range depends on the availability of food?
- A. I think that was the point I was trying to make with roadkills.
- Q. Yeah. They'll travel as far as necessary to obtain enough food, correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Generally speaking, do bald eagles, that nest near rivers or lakes, travel as far to satisfy their food needs as eagles that are nesting in areas where rivers or large lakes are not nearby?
- A. It could be. It also depends on the availability of food and the type of food. Again, roadkills, gut piles from hunters, that season of the year can be very helpful. Well, marginally helpful.
- Q. You're aware, from reviewing these reports, that there are bald eagle nests in areas surrounding the Republic Wind project area, right?
- A. They're surrounding it but, you know, they're scattered throughout the landscape, yes.
- Q. Okay. Have you done any study or looked at any information to determine what the travel range for those bald eagles is?
 - A. No.

2.1

- Q. Would you expect their travel range to be longer than the travel range for bald eagles that are living near Lake Erie?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Do you have an opinion on how long eagle survey data is useful?
- A. There are what are called "historic nests." Those nests presumably are in good habitat

and you can find them year after year after year.

2.1

But with the changes in eagles these days, we have so many more nests. I live in New Jersey. We're coming up to over 200 nests in the state and that's quadrupled or more than that. New York has quadrupled. So nests are starting to appear in more locations, they're young birds wandering and, as they become sexually mature, they're settling in new habitats that haven't seen bald eagles in a century or more. So, I think that might help answer your question.

- Q. Yes, it does. Let me follow up on that. With respect to Seneca County, have you determined whether eagle populations in that county are expanding?
- A. With respect to Seneca, I don't know if it's expanding. In Ohio, it's expanded. It's quadrupled in the last 17, 18 years. There are four times as many bald eagle nests today and it's approaching 300 nests. This is unheard of in modern history because we don't have DET and people aren't shooting them like they used to.
- Q. So, for Seneca County, are you able to express an opinion as to how recent a bald eagle survey has to be in order to reflect current

conditions of the bald eagle populations?

- A. With the number of nests that are increasing each year, it'd almost have to be annually because they're just off the charts. They're popping up -- they're on Staten Island in New York City now. I mean that's how they nest in new habitats that they're ending up in.
- Q. Let's look at some of the bald eagle survey reports that you list in your testimony in Answer A-7. I think we'll start with the bald eagle survey that is labeled as Exhibit M, Bald Eagle Survey, to the Amended Application of December 26, 2018. If you could get that in front of you.

14 ALJ SANYAL: And what's the exhibit again?

MR. VAN KLEY: M.

THE WITNESS: November 2012, you said?

MR. VAN KLEY: It is November 2012,

19 correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

18

23

20 THE WITNESS: Yes, I have it.

21 MR. VAN KLEY: We'll just pause and let

22 everybody else find it.

Ready to go?

24 ALJ SANYAL: Yeah. I'm good. Thank you.

25 My co-ALJ was just telling me he found it quicker

than the lady with the computer.

(Laughter.)

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. The date of the survey report is November 2012, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And it's titled "Final Results for the Bald Eagle Survey Effort, Republic Wind Farm, Seneca and Sandusky Counties, Ohio," correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And this report was prepared for Republic Wind, LLC, Nordex USA, Inc., according to the title page, correct?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Let's go to page No. 1 of that report.
- 15 A. Okay.
 - Q. This page has the title "Introduction" on the top. According to the first paragraph on page 1 of this report, Nordex, at that time, was anticipating 83 turbines to be installed in the project area, correct?
 - A. Of 200 megawatts. Did you say that?
- Q. No. 83 turbines is what I was reading.
- A. 83 turbines, okay, yes.
- Q. And according to the second paragraph on page 1, BHE, the consultant, used the procedures in

- the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service Draft Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. So that was a draft of the final document that is marked as LR Exhibit 15, correct?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. Now, if you go to page 2 of the report, you'll see an outline for the project area that was being considered at the time, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And the footprint for that project area is different than today's footprint for the Republic Wind project area, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Go to page 3 of the report. Under the heading "3.0 Bald Eagle Nest Monitoring and Results," the first sentence states "During the nest survey, BHE identified three Bald Eagle nests within close proximity (approximately 6 miles) to the Project Area (Figure 1)," correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how BHE performed this nest survey?
- A. I believe they used road counts. In other words, they looked from the roads and, as they

drove, they looked for nests. It's a commonly-used method. And where nests in areas could not be accessed easily, they walked into them.

2.1

I believe that's the methodology, I just want to make sure.

Yeah. If access to the nest was not available, BHE used GPS to record the location from the nearest publically accessible area and then estimated the distance.

Okay, let me just go back a page.

Here they refer to "The Ohio Protocol specifies raptor nest surveys." So if you looked at that in this handy document finder -- I can't open this up again, I'm sorry.

ALJ SANYAL: It's your iPad that's been adversely possessed, yes.

MR. DeVINE: I'll gladly give you my finger.

THE WITNESS: I don't have an iPad. I also didn't want to drop it.

Raptor nest searches. ODNR on page 3.

Nest searching, 1.2. Conducted on or within 1 mile of the proposed site. A 2-mile buffer should be used if the site is within 1 mile of large bodies of water or wetlands greater than 5 acres -- 5 hectares

rather. I don't see where it says how to do these, but I'm pretty sure it was done in the way most of us do them: Driving a car, looking for nests. If you can't see into a wooded area, you walk into it. It's very simple.

- Q. Does this report indicate that they walked into any wooded areas?
 - A. I can't find anything to that effect.
- Q. And they did a nest survey in 2011, correct?
- 11 A. Yes. March 17 through 25, 2011.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, can I just get
an estimate with respect to remaining cross for
Mr. Kerlinger? Mr. Marcotte has to get out by

15 5:15 to make his flight, so I just wanted to check.

16 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go off the record.

17 (Discussion off the record.)

18 ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the

19 record.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right.
- 21 Mr. Kerlinger, did you have a correction to any of your testimony?
- A. I prefer to call it an addition.
- Q. Okay. Go ahead.
- 25 A. Page 3 of the survey that we were just

discussing, you look at the -- there's a table at the top, Table 1.

ALJ SANYAL: Sorry, sir, we were looking at several. Is it the Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance or the ODNR --

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry. It's the Final Results for the Bald Eagle Survey Effort, Republic Wind Farm --

ALJ SANYAL: Thank you.

about what methodology they used and I couldn't find it, but the last sentence in the first paragraph below Table 1, the last two sentences, "At the time of the survey, access had been secured for three woodlots in the southern portion of the Project Area, which were searched on foot. The remainder of the survey was conducted by automobile from public roads."

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) There were three nests found by this survey, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Do you know whether those three nests were on a list of nests provided by ODNR to the consultant?
- A. I don't recall. I believe some of them

were but I'd have to look into other documents.

- Q. And then in 2011, after these three nests were found, then the consultant did some monitoring of the nests, correct?
 - A. I believe so.
- Q. And then in 2012 was there another nest survey conducted or not?
 - A. No.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. That's -- yeah.
- Q. So with respect to page 4, Table 2, in the right-hand column that is labeled "2012 Breeding/ Nesting Season Observation Dates," do you see that column?
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And there's a bunch of dates under that. For those dates in 2018, the consultant was monitoring or was -- yeah, was monitoring the three nests that had been found in the 2011 survey, correct?
 - A. So we're jumping to the 2018 report now?
 - Q. No, no, we're still on Exhibit M.

 ALJ SANYAL: Mr. Van Kley, you said 2018.

 MR. VAN KLEY: Oh, I did?
- 25 ALJ SANYAL: We were referring to the

2012 one.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Well, you caught me then in error. Let me rephrase the question.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) On page 4 of Exhibit M, Table 2, you see the right-hand column that is labeled "2012 Breeding/Nesting Season Observation Dates"?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. The dates under that title were the dates in which the consultant was monitoring the three nests that had been discovered during the 2011 nest survey, correct?
 - A. I believe so, yes.
- Q. Exhibit M, that we have been discussing, is the only report in your Answer 7 of your testimony for nest surveys in the Republic Wind project area; is that correct?
- A. Not entirely. If you look at what the WEST Study did in 2016, they actually search in an area well beyond the Emerson West project. I've seen a map, I think that was part of the discovery you received, that showed there was great overlap, almost an entire overlap where they had a buffer area beyond the Emerson West site and they did eagle surveys in there --

Q. All right.

2.1

- A. -- within that buffer and within the core.
- Q. All right. Well, let's take a look at that report. There was more than one Emerson West Wind report that included eagle nest surveys, is that right, or is there only one?
- A. They monitored nests and they did the point count surveys, but there was one nest and raptor -- I mean raptor nest and bald eagle nest survey.
- Q. Okay. Yeah, I appreciate that explanation. So we have two reports here for the Emerson West Wind project, one for a nest survey and another for a monitoring survey of existing nests, if I'm understanding what you're saying, right?
- A. Actually there's a third one, I believe.

 Use surveys done in 2016 through 2017, where they set up 29 point count sites at Emerson. Some of them actually overlapped into Republic. I think, again, that's one of those maps you received.
- Q. Okay. Why don't we just look at all three of those reports then. All three of those reports are cited in Answer 7 of your testimony, correct?

A. Yes.

1

8

- Q. So why don't we start with a report that
 is mentioned on page 6 of your testimony, entitled
 "Eagle Nest Monitoring Surveys for the Emerson West
 Wind Project in Seneca County, Ohio. Final Report
 2017." And I believe you can find that in Exhibit J
 of the Amended Application of December 26, 2018.
- 9 A. I don't have J here in this folder. I've 10 got K.
- 11 Q. Perhaps they're on the other bench over 12 here.
- 13 ALJ AGRANOFF: Why don't we take a 14 5-minute break.

That would be in part 8 of 33 parts.

- 15 (Recess taken.)
- 16 ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the record.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Kerlinger, you have another document in front of you.
- ALJ SANYAL: Actually, Mr. Kerlinger,
 could you hand me the laptop that you've been -- no,
 no, the laptop.
- MR. PARRAM: The iPad.
- 24 ALJ SANYAL: The iPad, sorry. I said
- 25 | laptop but I meant iPad. I'm sorry.

MR. DeVINE: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right.
- 3 | Mr. Kerlinger, do you have in front of you the report
- 4 | that is entitled "Eagle Nest Monitoring Surveys for
- 5 | the Emerson West Wind Project in Seneca County,
- 6 Ohio," dated January 25, 2017?
- 7 A. June 30, 2016, Raptor Nest Surveys for
- 8 | the Emerson West, Spring 2016.
- 9 Q. You're on the wrong document.
- 10 MS. FLINT: You want the eagle nest
- 11 | monitoring survey?

1

- MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, the document dated
- 13 | January 25, 2017.
- MS. FLINT: What's the title of the
- 15 | document? What's the report?
- MR. VAN KLEY: "Eagle Nest Monitoring
- 17 | Surveys for the Emerson West Wind Project."
- 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: And just so there's
- 19 cross-reference to the Application itself, it's the
- 20 | Amended Application, Exhibit J.
- 21 ALJ SANYAL: And if we were looking, I
- 22 | think it's part 8 or 7, I forget.
- MR. VAN KLEY: It looks like, based on
- 24 | the title, it is the first title on page 6 of the
- 25 | witness's testimony, and it can be found on the

docket in Exhibit J, part 8 of 33, and then page 102 of that PDF on the website.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. Sir, do you have that document in front of you?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Can you identify it?
- A. Eagle Nest Monitoring Surveys for the Emerson West Wind Project in Seneca County, Ohio; Final Report; January 25, 2017.
- Q. Does this document describe any eagle nest surveys?
- 13 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

17

18

- Q. Okay. It only describes eagle nest monitoring surveys of existing known nests?
- 16 A. Yes, known bald eagle nests.
 - Q. Okay. So the study that is described in this document involved watching nests that were known to exist in the Emerson West project area, correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And if you look at page 5, Figure 2 of that document, you'll see an outline of that project area?
- 24 | A. Figure 1?
- 25 Q. 2, page 5.

A. Got to keep going.

Yes.

- Q. And on that figure you will see the locations of the monitoring sites.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Are any of these monitoring sites located within the present boundary of the project area for the Republic Wind project?
- A. I don't believe so. It's possible that on the nests in question, on the upper right of the screen, a couple of those observation points fall near the boundary or possibly even within, but this nest site is outside of the Republic project.

ALJ AGRANOFF: When you say "nests," is that the same as "points" that we've been discussing?

THE WITNESS: No. The nest in this figure, the star, the stars are the three nests; upper right, lower left, and lower right.

ALJ SANYAL: So just for clarification, these three nests, the starred ones are the actual known locations of the three nests.

THE WITNESS: Yes. And the observation points are surrounding them, those little green circles.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. And these were all

within Seneca County?

2.1

THE WITNESS: I believe, yes, they are.

The Emerson site that is to the south of Republic.

Republic goes up just barely into Sandusky.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Given the fact that these eagle nests were located outside of the Republic Wind project area, why did you include them in your testimony? Why did you include this report in your testimony?
- A. It is certainly relevant to eagle activity at Republic. If you only went inside the project, you wouldn't really get a true picture of the nesting numbers and their distribution in this part of Seneca County.
- Q. Is that another way of saying that this information is relevant to whether bald eagles from these three nests may travel into the Republic Wind project area?
- A. Potentially, yes, and that's why this study was done is to look at the direction of flight from these nests.
- Q. Okay. You can put that one aside. Let's move on to the next one.
- 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: If I could ask one 25 follow-up question. Do you know whether there were

any studies that were done relative to the Sandusky County portion of the Republic project?

THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at the maps where the point counts and stuff were. No, they were close to the boundary, the ones that were done by WEST in 2017, I believe. Their point counts went up that high but I'm not sure exactly.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

9 MS. FLINT: I was going to help you find 10 it.

THE WITNESS: Will you find this for me?

MS. FLINT: Which survey are you going

to, Jack, so I can pull it up?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I'll try to make it easier to find.

MS. FLINT: What's the name of it?

17 ALJ AGRANOFF: We can go off the record,

18 Carolyn.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

13

19

(Off the record.)

20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's go back on the

21 record.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right.

23 Mr. Kerlinger, I've referred you to a report that is

24 entitled "Large Bird and Eagle Use Surveys for the

25 Emerson West Wind Project," Final Report, May 13,

2016 through April 20, 2017, and it's dated
2 January 8, 2018. Do you have that report in front of

3 you?

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

A. Yes.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And just for the purposes of record clarification, Mr. Van Kley, if you can tell us where that report can be found within the Application --

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

10 ALJ AGRANOFF: -- or the Amended

11 Application.

MR. VAN KLEY: On the online docket you

will find that in Exhibit J of the Amended

14 Application of December 26, 2018, part 8 of 33, and

15 page 133 of that PDF.

16 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Kerlinger, are you familiar with this document?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. Does this document describe any eagle nest surveys?

A. Yes, it does.

Q. Okay. Would you point us to the part of this document that discusses eagle nest surveys?

A. The second paragraph in the Executive

- 1 | Summary. I guess that's page 1 or is it i?
- 2 ALJ SANYAL: I see it. Either way.
- THE WITNESS: Okay.
 - A. Do you see the second paragraph?
- 5 Q. Yes, I do.

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- A. That describes the methodology.
- Q. Is this a survey or surveys that were looking for nests or were they looking for bald eagles that may be flying or roosting?
- A. It's called "use" generally for these types of studies. How these birds use a particular area. And if they're nesting there, they would report that as well.
- Q. Is that a different type of protocol than what was used in the bald eagle survey that was labeled Exhibit M to the Application for the Republic Wind area?
- A. They're almost identical. It comes right out of the Eagle Conservation Plan Guidance Document for 2013 that we looked at before.
- Q. Were any of these surveys conducted on land that is within the current footprint of the Republic Wind project?
- A. I'm pretty sure they did some of them.
 I'm going to look for the map.

- Q. Page 4 perhaps?
- A. You're faster than I am. Yes.
- Q. And you're looking at Figure 2 on page 4 of that document, correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. Can you tell whether any of the survey points are located within the footprint of the current Republic Wind project area?
- A. I believe some of them at the northern parts of the Emerson site do overlap. I think you have a map from the discovery that shows how they overlap and which ones, how many of them would be.
 - Q. Do you know how many of them are?
- A. I don't know the exact number. I -- I'm not going to speculate.
- Q. Were any eagle nests monitored in the activities described in this document?
- A. I don't think so. I'm just going to look down, scroll down, because I think this was strictly a use study.

No.

Q. Okay. You can set that document aside.

MR. VAN KLEY: The next document we're

going to search for is listed on page 6 of the

25 witness's testimony, and it is on the docket in

- 1 Exhibit J of the Amended Application of Republic
- 2 | Wind, dated December 26, 2018, part 8 of 33, page 91
- 3 of the PDF document, and it is entitled "Raptor Nest
- 4 | Surveys for the Emerson West Wind Project," Spring
- 5 2016.
- 6 MS. FLINT: It's June 30th, 2016?
- 7 MR. VAN KLEY: Correct. June 30, 2016 is
- 8 | the date of the report.
- 9 O. (By Mr. Van Kley) Do you have it?
- 10 A. Yes.
- 11 Q. Okay. And this is another one of the
- 12 reports that you reviewed to prepare your testimony
- 13 | in this case, correct?
- 14 A. Yes.
- 15 Q. And this report does describe eagle nest
- 16 | surveys, correct?
- 17 A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Going to page 3 of this document, do you
- 19 | see a Figure 2?
- 20 A. Yes.
- 21 O. And that shows the Emerson Wind --
- 22 | Emerson West Wind project to which this report
- 23 pertained, correct?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And on Figure 2 on page 3, you can see

the outline of the Emerson West Wind project, correct?

A. Yes.

2.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Does this figure provide you with the locations at which the nest, the eagle nest survey was performed?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And can you tell from that figure whether any of that area included land that is within the footprint of the current configuration for the Republic Wind project?
- A. Yes, the black line shows an outline of the 4-mile buffer area beyond the Emerson project.

 Quite a bit of that is within the Republic footprint.
- Q. How many acres within this eagle nest survey was -- was conducted on land within the Republic Wind project area?
 - A. I don't know the exact number.
- Q. Can you provide me with any estimate as to the distance between -- let me ask you this: Does the Emerson West Wind project area, as depicted on Figure 2, abut up against the current project boundary for Republic Wind?
- A. The red line, I believe, overlaps in places with the Republic boundary.

Q. Which is the red line? Is that the circular line or is that --

2.1

- A. Oh, I'm sorry. You have it in black and white.
- Q. I have a black-and-white copy in front of me.
 - A. The red line is with the straight lines, the rectangular, rectilinear I guess you'd call it. The other one, the curved lines, are the 4-mile buffer outside of the Emerson project.

There is a map showing the overlap between the two sites that could be used in one of the -- the last one of the reports that I site that would be on page 8. You'll see where the boundaries of the two sites are and then you can go from there with these 4 miles to see how much overlap there actually is.

ALJ AGRANOFF: When you say "page 8,"

19 page 8 of your testimony?

THE WITNESS: I'm sorry, my testimony, correct. Top of the page. The memorandum, Technical Memorandum from WEST to Apex.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Is that memorandum included as part of the application exhibits?

THE WITNESS: I believe it is, yes.

1 MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, it's not.

2 That's one of the documents that was not included in 3 the Application on the docket.

MS. FLINT: Are we going to reference it?

Because we can introduce it.

MR. VAN KLEY: I'm not going to use it.

I didn't have any questions about it, but if you want to -- if you want to mark it, that would be okay. I don't have any preference in that regard.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If I understand the witness's testimony, there is, within that document, a clear comparison of the Emerson West project area to the currently-proposed Republic project area in this case?

15 THE WITNESS: Yes.

16 ALJ AGRANOFF: I think that would be a valuable piece of information.

MS. FLINT: I agree.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Do you want to have that marked as?

21 MS. FLINT: 22.

22 ALJ SANYAL: It should be 23, actually, I

23 think.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

MR. PARRAM: I apologize, 23.

25 ALJ SANYAL: I'm sorry.

683 1 MR. PARRAM: You're correct. 2 ALJ AGRANOFF: 23. 3 MR. PARRAM: 23. ALJ AGRANOFF: It shall be so marked. 4 5 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 6 ALJ AGRANOFF: And just for the purposes of record clarification, either Ms. Flint or 7 8 Mr. Parram if you want to describe what that document 9 is. 10 MS. FLINT: Exhibit 23 is -- the first 11 page is an e-mail from an Erin Hazelton to Jennie 12 Geiger and -- or a series of e-mails and then 13 attached to that e-mail is the January 10, 2018 14 Technical Memorandum that Dr. Kerlinger is 15 referencing. MR. VAN KLEY: That document is mentioned 16 17 in the witness's testimony on the top of page 8. 18 ALJ AGRANOFF: Just for the purposes of 19 clarification, Dr. Kerlinger, if you could explain to 20 us where specifically within Applicant Exhibit 23 2.1 that comparison can be found? 2.2 THE WITNESS: There's a memorandum after 23 the introduction sheet, a Technical Memorandum from 24 Jennie Geiger, and if you go to page 4 of that 25 document there's a map showing the boundaries of both

entities.

2.1

2.2

ALJ AGRANOFF: So the boundary that is shown in the darker black line is for which -- which project area?

THE WITNESS: Republic.

ALJ SANYAL: And then the Emerson West is shown in the remaining?

THE WITNESS: Yeah. They kind of overlap a little bit in the area in the lavender color, I don't know how to describe that, that is the Repub -- Emerson.

ALJ AGRANOFF: So if you were speculating as to what percentage of overlap there is, would you be able to make that kind of estimation?

THE WITNESS: Very rough. If you look at Figure 2 that we were looking at in the 2016 raptor nest report, and you look at the 4-mile, that curved line represents the 4-mile buffer that study used and you can see that study overlapped greatly with -- you see where it says "Adams Township" in the middle of the memorandum, Technical Memorandum?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes.

THE WITNESS: Much of that is covered by this 4-mile buffer for this eagle use survey, but the actual overlap of the sites is probably 10 to

20 percent. That sounds reasonable. And the boundary is shared for a greater area. I'd say 20, 25 percent overlap.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Are you saying that
 25 percent of the Republic Wind project area has been
 the subject of eagle nest surveys conducted for the
 Emerson West Wind project?
- A. No, I'm saying more than that is. If you note this is -- in the Emerson West Project 2016

 Raptor Nest Report they show a 4-mile buffer. If you put 4 miles on top of the -- the southernmost boundary of -- I should say the northernmost boundary of the Emerson project, that 4 miles covers a large portion of the Republic site. There's a mileage gauge at the bottom on the left of the Technical Memorandum from WEST.
 - Q. Were there monitoring sites set up in that 4-mile buffer?
 - A. I believe there were. That's generally what you do with a buffer is you look to see if there are any nests in it.
- Q. Is there a map, in the Emerson West report that we're currently discussing, that shows the location of those monitoring stations?

- A. No. But in the original document you asked me to go to, this 2016 raptor nest report, you can see how they surveyed out to the edge of the Emerson boundary and they did find eagle nests in green, active nests. So if they were searching in the buffer beyond that, it makes perfect sense that they were 4 miles into the Republic site.
- Q. Just to be clear then, what percentage approximately of the Republic Wind project area has been surveyed for eagle nests that were conducted for Emerson West?
 - A. I don't know exactly.

2.1

- Q. And what are the dates on which this survey for Emerson West was performed? You can look on page 1 if it helps.
 - A. March 25 through April 13, 2016.

Actually, if you look in the first paragraph on the Methods on page 2, it says No. 2 in the first one -- actually Nos. 1 and 2. "A survey for raptor and eagle nests within one mile...of the proposed Project, and 2) assessment of four known eagle nests within the 4 miles of the Project."

So they didn't actually survey all of that 4-mile buffer, I stand corrected, but they did survey out to a mile which would still bring you well

into the Republic project if you go back to the original maps in the WEST technical report.

- Where did you just read from, which Ο. document?
 - Α. Which -- which --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

19

20

2.1

24

- The document from which you obtained the Ο. information that the survey was 1 mile into the Republic Wind project area?
- 9 The 2016 raptor nest report by WEST, 10 page 2.
 - Under the title "Other Raptors"? Q.
 - I'm sorry, what was that? Α.
 - Q. Did you find that information in a paragraph that is labeled "Other Raptors"?
- I don't think so. It was page 3, top of 15 Α. 16 the page, it says "Methods."
- 17 Q. Just to make sure we're clear here, 18 what's the title of the document you're looking at?
 - "Emerson West Wind Project 2016 Raptor Α. Nest Report."

ALJ SANYAL: So I think the issue, 22 Mr. Van Kley, is on the document the first few --23 many of the first few pages are numbered as 1, but there is a paragraph on one of the pages numbered 1, there is a section that says "Methods," from where I 25

1 | think Mr. Kerlinger gave you that 1-mile information.

THE WITNESS: Thank you for explaining.

ALJ SANYAL: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) So it's under "Methods" in that document?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. In which paragraph?
- A. First.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If we can go off the record for just one minute.

11 (Discussion off the record.)

12 ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the record.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Was the survey for eagle nests, in the raptor nest survey report of June 30, 2016, conducted as point surveys?
- 17 A. No.
 - Q. What kind of surveys were conducted?
 - A. Driving along public roads. If you look at the next paragraph in the Methods section, you'll see "driving along public roads while looking for raptor nest structures within areas of suitable habitat, such as riparian forested areas," and then it goes on to describe those, and "searched from the ground after obtaining landowner permission." That's

if they couldn't see it, see into a forested area.

2.1

- Q. Did the acreage, included in the eagle nest surveys of this report, overlap any other eagle nest surveys?
- A. Yes, it overlapped on the earlier 2011 eagle nest surveys that were done within the Republic project site.
- Q. But it did not overlap any eagle nest surveys conducted for Emerson West.
- A. Are you talking about -- I don't understand the question.
 - Q. Yeah, let me rephrase it then.

Did the eagle nest surveys, described in this report, overlap the acreage that was surveyed for eagle nests in any other study done for Emerson West?

- A. I think your question is contradictory to what you said. Either that or I just don't understand it. You talked about the Emerson West, this report, the 2016 report, did it overlap on other eagle nesting reports?
- Q. In other words, were the eagle nests surveyed for, on the land covered by this report, on any other occasion besides the occasion reported here?

A. I understand now. I don't believe so.

It was -- for use surveys there was big overlap and

3 for some of the nest monitoring there was overlap.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. I think we're at a breaking point.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you. For the interim then, Mr. Kerlinger, you'll be excused and then we'll have you come back.

9 ALJ SANYAL: Should we take a quick 10 5-minute break?

MR. VAN KLEY: If you want to.

MR. PARRAM: I'm fine. Unless others

13 | would like to.

14 ALJ SANYAL: I'd like to.

MR. PARRAM: Okay.

16 ALJ AGRANOFF: We'll come back at ten

17 | till.

6

7

8

18 (Recess taken.)

19 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Let's get back on the

20 record. And then, Mr. Marcotte, I'm going to swear

21 you in.

THE WITNESS: Do I stand?

23 ALJ SANYAL: Whatever you prefer.

24 (Witness sworn.)

25 ALJ SANYAL: You may be seated.

691 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 1 2 3 FRANCIS T. MARCOTTE being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 4 5 examined and testified as follows: 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Parram: 8 Q. Mr. Marcotte, can you please state and 9 spell your name for the record. 10 Α. Francis Marcotte. F-r-a-n-c-i-s 11 M-a-r-c-o-t-t-e. 12 And what is your address? Q. 13 A. 1033 Tallokas Road, Crestview, Florida. 14 Do you have a document in front of you, Ο. 15 marked Applicant Exhibit 24? 16 Α. I do. 17 Q. And what is this document? 18 A. It's my Direct Testimony. 19 And was this prepared by you or under Q. 20 your direction? 2.1 Α. Yes. 22 If I were to ask you the same questions Q. 23 in Applicant Exhibit 24, today, would your answers be 24 the same? 25 Α. That's correct.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I move for admission of Applicant Exhibit 24, and tender Mr. Marcotte for cross-examination.

ALJ SANYAL: Thank you.

Go ahead, Mr. DeVine.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. DeVine:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Good afternoon, Mr. Marcotte. Can you hear me okay?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

turbines?

12 Q. Good. I have a few questions for you.

In reviewing your testimony, would it be fair to summarize your testimony that, with additional training, Life Flight pilots and personnel will be able to overcome the minimal additional risk, time, and stress involved in landings near wind

- A. I'm not sure I understand the question because I'm not sure that there's additional risk involved.
- Q. From your perspective there's no
 additional risk in landing near wind turbines during
 normal operations?
- MR. PARRAM: Objection. The definition

of "near," Your Honor?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

2.1

2.2

THE WITNESS: And "normal."

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. So if you could rephrase or break those questions up somehow.

- Q. (By Mr. DeVine) Is there any additional risk presented by operating a helicopter in an area where wind turbines are present?
 - A. Compared to what, sir, additional?
- Q. Are you familiar with the area involved in the Application for the wind turbine project in this case?
- A. I'm sorry, say it again.
- Q. Are you familiar with the area where the wind turbine project in this case is being applied for?
- 16 A. I've never been there.
- Q. Have you reviewed a map of it?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Would the addition of wind turbines make operating a Life Flight helicopter more risky than they are prior to the construction?
 - A. I don't believe so.
- Q. In your testimony you reference there
 needs to be proper training and testing for operating
 a helicopter near a wind farm, correct?

A. Where is that?

2.1

- Q. Page 4, Answer 12.
- A. And the question again?
- Q. Is it correct to say that your testimony says that after proper training and testing, the rescue missions around wind farms should become routine?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What additional -- why is additional training and testing needed if the presence of wind turbines does not create any additional risk?
- A. As -- as new -- as the wind turbines go up, the pilots -- during the construction phase the pilots will observe their locations, their heights and their relations to the flight paths they're flying. As new pilots are brought in, they will have to be trained as to where they are during the initial familiarization period.
- Q. On that same page, in Question 13, the question is "Does the presence of a nearby wind farm delay the time it takes for an EMS flight helicopter to arrive on the scene," and your answer is "Not necessarily," correct?
 - A. Right.
 - Q. Would you agree, in the event of a low

ceiling, that the presence of a wind farm could cause a delay in the operation of a helicopter?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- Q. Do you also agree, in the absence of good visibility, that the presence of wind turbines could impact the arrival of a helicopter?
- A. Again, it could be, yes, but the question becomes whether that's a significant delay in the interest of safety.
- Q. Does the presence of the wind turbine farm make the lack of good visibility a more dangerous situation than if the wind turbine farm is not there?
- MR. PARRAM: Objection. Again, what's the definition of "dangerous"?
- MR. DeVINE: I think the witness knows the Webster's definition of "dangerous."
- 18 ALJ SANYAL: Overruled. You can answer.
- THE WITNESS: Can you repeat it, please?
- 20 ALJ AGRANOFF: Would you like it read
- 21 back?
- MR. DeVINE: Please.
- 23 (Record read.)
- A. Not necessarily.
- Q. Mr. Marcotte, have you ever served as an

expert witness before in any type of case?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. In what type of cases have you been an expert witness in?
- A. It's in the vitae, the documents I already gave you, but I'm a helicopter accident investigator, I'm a safety specialist, and I have provided testimony at a prior wind, Ohio wind project.
 - Q. Which project was that?
- A. I believe it was 2012 and I think it was called Champaign.
 - Q. You said you have looked at a -- at some documents regarding the Republic application about the area that the project applied to be installed in?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Have you looked -- have you been able, from what you looked at, to determine what the tallest structures are presently in that environment?
- A. I haven't looked at each one, but there seems to be some towers that are in the area that are in the mid-300 ranges.
- Q. Okay. And you would agree that if towers are constructed that are over 600 feet, they would become the tallest objects in the area?

- A. I'm not certain of that, but that's quite possible.
 - Q. You're not aware of any other objects taller than the 300-foot towers that you've referenced?
- A. I have checked some aeronautical charts.

 I have not seen the area, so I'm not certain.

MR. DeVINE: I have no further questions.

9 Thank you.

3

4

5

10

14

15

2.2

ALJ SANYAL: Mr. Van Kley.

11 | - - -

12 CROSS-EXAMINATION

13 | By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. Do you know what model helicopter is used by Life Flight in Seneca County?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- Q. I note that in Answer 5, on page 2 of your testimony, that it states that you flew an Augusta 109 single pilot instrument flight rules helicopter in the California coastal mountains. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. To your knowledge is that a helicopter
 that is similar to the types of helicopters that are
 used as air ambulances in Ohio?

- A. Again, I don't know what they're using.

 I believe the ones I've seen locally are

 Aerospatiales but I'm not certain of the model.
- Q. You also flew a helicopter for the Coast Guard?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. Was the helicopter you flew for EMS purposes a lighter model, a lighter helicopter model than the one you flew for the Coast Guard?
 - A. Yes, sir, it was.
- Q. So the Coast Guard helicopter is more durable in emergency situations, such as wind and storms, than the EMS helicopter you flew?
- A. "Durable" is a term I've never considered about helicopters. The Sikorskys that I flew were old, low-powered, heavy, amphibious devices. The Augusta 109 is a twin-engine, high-speed, wheeled, but they were both wheeled helicopters.
- Q. To your knowledge does an operating wind turbine produce a wake in the wind or a wake in the atmosphere?
- A. I wouldn't describe it as a wake. The wind that is -- the discharge, in my mind, from the wind turbines is dissimilar from prop wash. Even though they both look like propellers, if you walk

behind a small aircraft or even a C-130 or something with a propeller on it, the engine is adding energy to the air it's moving, thousands of horsepower sometimes so that when you walk behind them you are blown, you can feel it, or it will move small objects.

2.1

In a wind turbine it is subtracting energy from that laminar flow of air through it so that the air behind it is -- has probably -- most likely has less velocity but it will be coming at a different direction.

The way I would describe it would be if I was on the freeway and you change lanes and get behind a semi, you'll feel some disruption but, behind the turbines, it's going to be not 70 miles an hour but it's going to be 20 miles an hour, whatever the ambient air flow is, so it's not going to be downwash and it's not going to be extremely turbulent, it's just going to be disrupted air.

And if a helicopter was flying through it at speed for some reason, it might get a bump. But if you're taxiing, air taxiing, you can come up to an area like that and sense it and simply stop if you don't like it. Helicopters can move at 3 knots if they have to.

Does that help answer your question, sir?

- Q. Do you know how fast the wind turbine's blades travel through the air?
 - A. No, sir.

2.1

- Q. So then how do you know whether the turbulence created by a wind turbine would be equivalent to a 20-mile-per-hour vehicle?
- A. I have a degree in engineering for one thing, and it was an example, an estimate.
- Q. Okay. So it's just for the sake of illustration.
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. How far away does an air ambulance helicopter have to stay away from a turbine for safety purposes?
- A. The pilot is responsible for the safe distances and the proximity that he comes to fixed and moving objects. "Safe" is relative and the only one that counts is the pilot's objective view of it. I could provide you with examples of some of the things they do, but if you've seen pictures of helicopters operating either around trees, power lines or bridges, you can get a sense of what they're comfortable with.
 - Q. Well, you were, at one time, a pilot in

an air ambulance helicopter, right?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Based on your standard for yourself, how far away would you stay from the wind turbines?
- A. I'd be comfortable quite close to them.

 You can -- most -- by way of example, most operators

 land their helicopters next to the hangar at night.

 When we land in front of a hangar so they can tow

 them in for maintenance, you're only 40 feet from a

 building. The turbine, in my mind, is a fixed

 object. It's no different than that.

When I've searched as a Coastie, as a Coast Guard rescue pilot when I've searched power line bases or bridge supports over the different rivers and bays, you can get very close to the bridge pylons comfortably.

- Q. But the airport hangar has no rotating turbine blades, right?
- A. In the interest of safety, I don't believe it matters. You can't touch anything with the rotor blades on the helicopter. So any fixed object in the path plane of that rotating disc presents the same hazard to me.

MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further questions.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Redirect?

MS. BAIR: I have no questions. Thank

3 you.

2.1

2.2

ALJ SANYAL: I'm sorry.

testimony from prior Board cases.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I do have a housekeeping matter that, I apologize, I failed to do earlier. As of yesterday, Mr. Marcotte did review some documentation when he came into town, and I wanted to be able to provide the documents to counsel. I provided some earlier and then some was just dropped off recently. There's two deposition -- two additional deposition transcripts and some

I want to be able to hand that out to counsel to be responsive to a discovery request about documents he reviewed in preparation for testimony. He didn't review it before his Direct Testimony was drafted and filed, but I do want to provide it to counsel now. And if there's any additional questions based on those documents, I want to give the parties an opportunity.

ALJ SANYAL: Sure. Why don't we go off the record for about five minutes, you can hand out the documents and see if there are any additional questions based on that.

(Off the record.)

ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the

3 record.

2.1

2.2

_ _ _

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Parram:

- Q. So, Mr. Marcotte, Prosecutor DeVine asked you some questions about whether or not flying helicopters in the project area would be more dangerous or having wind farms, whether or not flying within the project area, whether wind turbines would result in it being more dangerous for helicopter pilots. You indicated you didn't believe so. Could you explain why?
- A. I'm not sure just how much helicopter traffic there is through this area. It looks very -- not residential even. It looks very agricultural to me. I don't see an awful lot of intersections. There's not a lot of high-density population where I would expect the Saturday-night traffic accidents so that, in general, I would think this is a lesser-traveled area than other portions of the country that I've flown in like downtown Oakland, San Francisco, and the rest.

and mountains and terrain like that, so this is a very comfortable area to operate helicopters in.

It's relatively flat land.

2.1

The -- I don't think it would increase danger, was the question I believe, or risk in that manner because these things aren't going to grow up like mushrooms in the dark. They're going to take years to develop.

Over those years, on clear days like this, the existing pilots are going to be able to fly the area, see what exists, where they're digging, where it's going to be, how high it is, they're going to get ranges, bearings, routes of traffic on their normal areas of progress.

The ops bosses, the people that control flights for the operators, will be including what they want in the training for the new pilots.

They'll -- in general they will have the -- they will get to know the bearings of these obstacles that are going to be along the way now. They will know that if they're just a few degrees off this heading or that heading, they will be clear of them when they head out.

So it's not like you're going to come up to an obstacle and climb vertically over it, or come

up to it and deviate 5 miles to one side. They're just going to take a heading that's 4 or 5 degrees off to one side and start out.

2.1

There will be very few -- as time goes on there won't be delays involved. They will just -- and if it comes to the point where they have to do something in the vicinity of one of these areas, these turbines are far enough apart that they can be comfortably circumnavigated by experienced pilots and, in general, the EMS pilots are not novices. They start off with higher-time pilots and just train them for the local areas.

So I don't -- as -- as the development of the project goes on, it will be a known quantity and even in the periods of low visibility at nighttime it won't be an overwhelming problem because, in today's aviation, these fellas are going to have the county -- as I understand it, your county EMS people, that get the 911 calls, already have a GPS location of where that is with a visual display, so they will be able to call out you've got one at this point and that should include -- and then that's passed to the operator who dispatches it. These operators have flight following groups who are going to be monitoring their progress and the known areas that

these are going to be built in.

2.1

And, in the cockpit, they're going to have GPS displays that show them that they've got something that sticks up into their airspace and warn them about. So it's not going to be a surprise that -- that is -- that increases their danger level. They're just going to see and observe, the way they are now, a different situation and then react to it.

And even if they have to operate in the proximity of them, helicopters, unlike fixed-wing, have the ability to slow down. They can just slow up and observe it, evaluate it, make sure they can see it properly. It's not a situation where you have to fly into something like a fixed-wing.

So I don't see it as something that's going to be an increase in risk after the operational awareness of where they are is displayed, and that's going to -- that will be from the minute they start breaking ground until the paint dries on the new installation.

Is that clear enough?

Q. Thank you.

There was also a question about whether or not bad visibility or low ceilings can cause a delay because of alternate flight paths a pilot might

have to take. Even if there isn't a wind farm project in an area, is it true that low visibility or low ceilings can have a delay on helicopter pilots?

A. And if there was a trauma center located in the center of it, that may be so, but if the trauma center is located, what is it, 40 miles away or 40 minutes of flight time away, the delays will not be significant.

These helicopters travel between 2 and 3 miles each minute. To deviate half a mile out of the way to avoid having to fly directly over a wind turbine is not significant. It's not going to -- as time goes on and they -- everybody gets used to what exists. It's not going to be noticeable in my mind.

MR. PARRAM: Thank you.

I have no further questions, Your Honor.

ALJ SANYAL: Any recross based on the

responses?

MR. DeVINE: I have no recross. Thank

you.

MR. VAN KLEY: I do

23 RECROSS-EXAMINATION

24 By Mr. Van Kley:

Q. Have you ever driven State Route 19

through the project area?

- A. No, sir.
- Q. Are you familiar with that route?
- A. No, sir.

5 miles at the most.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

2.2

- Q. So you have no basis then to say that you would not expect many accidents on that road.
 - A. I never said that.
- Q. Okay. Do you know that the size of the project area is 24,000 acres?
- 10 A. That would not surprise me, but I don't
- Q. How long does it take an air ambulance to fly halfway around 24,000 acres?
- 14 The project -- I don't deal with 15 distance. An acre is not a distance to size. The project is about 10 miles across at its widest 16 17 breadth. If you flew up to that in -- if you flew up 18 to one edge of it, you wouldn't have to go around. 19 If you flew up to the middle of that, you would have 20 5 miles to go out of the way. If you flew right up to it and then stopped, you would have to deviate 2.1
- You know, in an Augusta 109, you can
 travel 3 miles in 1 minute; so it would be a minute
 and a half if you fly right up to it.

If, at your departure point, you deviate 5, 10 degrees, you don't have to deviate at all, you just go to the edge of it and make another 4-, 10-degree heading change and you go around it. It depends on how far back you are at the starting point of your deviation.

2.1

Does that -- does that make any sense to you? It's hard to do without a chart.

Q. Have you ever had any conversations with medical doctors, for example, to determine how-many-minute delay will increase the risk for patients being delivered to the hospital?

MR. PARRAM: Can I have the question reread?

MR. VAN KLEY: I can rephrase it.

Q. Are you aware of any medical information for which you have the expertise to opine that would tell you whether a delay in delivering a patient to a hospital, in any number of minutes, would increase the risk for that patient?

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I'm going to object because we haven't tendered this witness to provide any medical opinion or, as far as I'm aware, any medical expertise where he could provide a medical opinion, so.

ALJ SANYAL: But he has EMS flying experience so I'm going to let him respond to the extent he knows.

2.1

A. Time is critical in many transports. The question to you would be whether it's significant or not, the delays would be significant. I'm sure, in some instances, delays would be unacceptable, any delays would be unacceptable.

I'm not certain that the number of flights that are going to pass through that area would reach the level of significance that also involve delays of any kind because, on days like this, you'll be able to see those turbines from miles away and that's either during the break-in period when the pilots are getting used to them, and at night you'll know where they are from miles away, so I don't -- I don't anticipate significant delays.

MR. VAN KLEY: I have nothing further.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. I have one question.

So, Mr. Marcotte, if you'll turn to page 3 of your testimony and then on line 62 and 64 you say "Just as instruction on flying near power lines is an essential part of the safety training for pilots, flying near wind turbines should already be a part of all flight training programs, particularly

for EMS crews." So are you suggesting that you know that this training is already part of training programs or this is just a suggestion on your part?

THE WITNESS: It would be an awareness on

2.1

my part. The -- and it would be more of a suggestion to answer your question.

By way of example, when you're dealing with pilots in a mountainous area, you have to tell them how to operate around power lines. We have power line strikes occasionally. The old thought is if you fly over the tops of the towers, you won't hit the wires. That's not necessarily true because the engineers put tension wires across the tops of them.

So the pilots that are being trained in areas of operation where power lines are a significant hazard or a significant presence, I would say presence, then they get more sophisticated training in terms of area familiarization or chart training so they know where they are.

And the same -- I would expect the same thing with an EMS operator that, when you come in, it's going to be on the wall of the operating office. Before you get in your helicopter to go anywhere for the day, there's going to be a chart of the local operating area, and I would expect this area will be

highlighted on those charts so it will become part of their training exposure.

ALJ SANYAL: Just to clarify, if there are wind turbines built in this area, you will expect that it will become common knowledge and part of the specialized training that EMS crews receive?

THE WITNESS: Yes, ma'am.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Marcotte, just so I understand the context for your testimony. Are your responses premised off of a knowledge of the location of the Life Flight helicopter as to where that would be and how much time it would take to get there?

THE WITNESS: I'm not sure I'm following the question. You mean the Life Flight base of

16 ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes.

17 THE WITNESS: All I know is it's near

18 Tiffin.

operations?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

2.1

22

23

24

25

19 ALJ AGRANOFF: You wouldn't know where 20 they were going to.

THE WITNESS: I would imagine they fly everywhere they're needed.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Right. But in terms of which medical facility they would potentially be taken to.

713 1 THE WITNESS: I think they go up into the 2 northwest, most of the time, to the trauma facility. ALJ AGRANOFF: Which would be located 3 where? 4 THE WITNESS: As far as I -- is it 5 6 Toledo? 7 ALJ AGRANOFF: I'm asking you. THE WITNESS: I don't -- I'm not certain. 8 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. 10 THE WITNESS: But I don't believe it's in this area of the wind farm. 11 12 ALJ AGRANOFF: And you don't know what 13 the estimated --THE WITNESS: I know the second one was 14 15 in Columbus that they would go to. I'm sorry. 16 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you. 17 MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, there is one 18 more item I wanted to clarify. There is something 19 that needs to be modified in Mr. Marcotte's 20 testimony. There is a sentence, at the bottom of 2.1 Question -- Answer 5, about a copy of his curriculum 22 vitae attached to his testimony. There's no 23 attachment there to his testimony, there wasn't 24 supposed to be, so I wanted to indicate to the

witness or have that modified.

714 Mr. Marcotte, is that your understanding 1 of the last sentence that it should be stricken? 2 3 THE WITNESS: If it's not attached, yes, that's my understanding. 4 5 ALJ SANYAL: What page are you on? 6 sorry. 7 MR. PARRAM: I'm on page 2 and it's the 8 last sentence of Answer 5. 9 ALJ SANYAL: Is there a page 2? 10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Page 2 of his testimony. So the sentence would end at the word "industry" with 11 12 respect to the answer of Question 5? 13 MR. PARRAM: Question 5, "Please 14 summarize your educational background and experience 15 flying helicopters." 16 ALJ AGRANOFF: Right, and then the answer 17 would end at --18 MR. PARRAM: "Oil industry." 19 ALJ AGRANOFF: -- "oil industry." 20 MR. PARRAM: Yes, Your Honor. 2.1 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you. 22 ALJ AGRANOFF: Based on my limited 23 question or Ms. Sanyal's question, was there any 24 follow-up from any of the parties? 25 Okay.

715 1 ALJ SANYAL: Thank you. 2 MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I move for the 3 admission of Applicant Exhibit 24. ALJ SANYAL: Any objections? 4 5 Hearing none, it is admitted. (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 6 7 ALJ SANYAL: Let's go off the record. 8 (Recess taken.) 9 ALJ SANYAL: Let's get back on the 10 record. Mr. Van Kley, the floor is yours. 11 12 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. Let's find the next 13 report and that one would be listed on page 4 of the witness's testimony. It's entitled "Raptor Nest 14 15 Survey, Republic Wind Farm, " prepared by BHE. 16 ALJ SANYAL: Is that Exhibit M to the 17 December --18 MR. VAN KLEY: It should be Exhibit L, I 19 believe. Let me just doublecheck. I think it's 20 Exhibit L. 2.1 MS. FLINT: What's the name of it again? 22 MR. VAN KLEY: "Raptor Nest Survey, 23 Republic Wind Farm." 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: 2011?

ALJ SANYAL: It is Exhibit L.

716 1 THE WITNESS: Okay. 2 3 PAUL KERLINGER, Ph.D. being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, 4 5 was examined and further testified as follows: 6 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 7 By Mr. Van Kley: 8 Ο. All right. This is one of the reports 9 that you cite in your testimony, correct? 10 Α. Yes. 11 Ο. And it's entitled "Raptor Nest Survey, 12 Republic Wind Farm"? 13 Α. Correct. 14 Q. It has a date of May 2011 on it? 15 Α. Yes. Did the survey described in this report 16 Ο. 17 include any surveys to locate eagle nests? Α. 18 I believe -- eagles are raptors and 19 generally when you do a raptor survey, looking for 20 nests, you would find eagle nests as one of those 2.1 types of nests. In other words, yes. 22 Were any eagle nests detected by the Q. survey that is described in this document? 23 24 Α. I don't believe so. I'm still looking 25 though.

Actually, there were bald eagle nests found outside of the project boundary and, if you look at Figure 1, there's one in the northwest outside of the 2-mile boundary, and one -- that's the only one I can find.

Oh, another one due west. I believe it's on the other side of the Sandusky River. It's out that way. South of the transmission line corridor.

- Q. What were the dates of the survey that is described in this report?
 - A. 17 through 25, March, 2011.
- Q. Turning your attention to the Executive Summary. If you could find that, it would be --
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. You found it, page 1 of the document. It has the page number 1 at the bottom of the page. It's not the first PDF page of the document.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Directing your attention to the first paragraph of that Executive Summary, do you see where it says that the survey was done in the Republic Wind project area and the 2-mile surrounding area?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. And if you keep your finger there

and go back to Figure 1.

- A. Yes.
- Q. You will see that that 2-mile surrounding area is described as the 2-mile buffer?
 - A. The yellow dashed line?
- Q. Yeah. In the legend. The legend of
- 7 Figure 1.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

22

23

- A. Yes.
- Q. You'll see that the 2 -- the 2 miles around the project area are referred to there as the 2-mile buffer?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. What was the purpose for doing the survey within the 2-mile buffer?
- 15 A. To locate raptor and eagle nests.
- 16 Q. And why was that important?
- A. Buffer areas can sometimes reveal things
 that the actual project area doesn't reveal and it's
 always good to have a buffer or at least kind of a
 pad so you're sure of what's in or near the project
 site.
 - Q. Is that area also surveyed because the raptors, including the eagles, in that 2-mile buffer zone could fly into the project area?
- 25 A. I imagine that they could fly into the

project area whether they were in the 2-mile or in the project itself.

- Q. Looking at Figure 1, do you see the footprint of the Republic Wind project outlined on that figure?
 - A. I do.

2.1

- Q. And that's a different configuration for the project area than the configuration we have today for Republic Wind, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know how much of the area, that was surveyed as part of this study, is located outside the present-day footprint of the Republic Wind project area?
- A. I know in some parts of it it is outside the boundary, at least the 2-mile buffer is.
- Q. And how about the actual footprint of the project as shown by Figure 1, is part of it outside the current day boundaries of the project area?
- A. I have to take a look at another part of the map for reference. I think some of it may be and some of it isn't. Is it okay if I look at this other map?
- O. Sure.
- 25 A. I'm looking at the map that shows the

Republic and Emerson, and when you include the 2-mile buffer, most of the Republic site is covered. Some parts of Sandusky County are outside of the boundary of the project, the more updated project, the project in question now, but most of it would be covered by the 2-mile buffer at least.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: So you're saying the 2-mile buffer in Figure 1, relative to Sandusky County, is wider than the current project?

THE WITNESS: That's correct. It extends east to west more than the current project which -- and I don't think -- I think it goes up just as far at the northernmost into Sandusky.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Is there a reason why another study was not performed to reflect the more-current situation?

THE WITNESS: I can't answer that question. I don't know.

ALJ SANYAL: I think he's --

MR. VAN KLEY: Should I continue?

ALJ SANYAL: Yes, yes.

MR. VAN KLEY: May I approach the witness with another exhibit?

ALJ SANYAL: Yes, you may.

MR. VAN KLEY: And I believe we are at --

721 1 ALJ SANYAL: I believe 16. 2 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 3 4 Ο. (By Mr. Van Kley) I've handed you what 5 has been marked as LR Exhibit 16. Do you recognize 6 this as a copy of the Technical Memorandum listed at 7 the bottom of page 7 of your testimony? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. Now, the fieldwork, that is described in 10 LR Exhibit 16, did not include a nest survey, right? 11 Α. No. 12 Ο. What was included in this fieldwork, as 13 described in Exhibit 16, was the monitoring of one 14 eagle nest? Yes. 15 Α. 16 Ο. And where was the location of this eagle 17 nest relative to the Republic Wind project? 18 It was east of the project as it says in Α. the first paragraph. Outside the boundary but nearby 19 20 a half a mile as it says. 2.1 Ο. And what were the dates this fieldwork 22 was conducted? 23 The dates were June 21 through July 27. Α. 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Of what year?

THE WITNESS: 2017. Sorry.

- Q. And during -- how many days during that period was the monitoring conducted? I don't actually mean the number of days but how frequently was it conducted. If you look at the bottom of page 1.
- A. It says six weeks, and I'm looking for the --
 - Q. If you look at the bottom of page 1, you see the sentence that says "Each survey was conducted for 60 minutes twice per week."
 - A. Yes. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And how many surveys were conducted?
- A. I believe 12. 48 hours of observations at those four points.
 - Q. So what was the total number of hours of observation of this eagle nest?
 - A. I believe it was 48 hours.
 - Q. That's the total of all of the observations?
 - A. It says in the Results section, first sentence, "Four adult bald eagle observations were recorded during 48 hours of the fixed-point eagle nest monitoring."
 - Q. Okay. How close must a bald eagle nest be to a turbine site in order for that presence to be

considered relevant to the safety of the eagles?

MS. FLINT: Objection. It assumes a fact. I think we need some more information.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: I'll reword it.

ALJ SANYAL: Thank you, Mr. Van Kley.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Earlier we had a conversation about the 2-mile buffer zone that was surveyed around the project area in one of the reports. So, building on that conversation, I was wondering whether eagle nest data, beyond the 2-mile buffer zone, might also be useful information to have in determining whether a turbine project would harm the eagles.
- A. I don't really know. The empirical work on this is minimal because bald eagle fatalities have been minimal. If you know of studies where this information is, I would love to see it.
- Q. Let's put the question in a different way then. How far out, beyond a project area for a wind turbine project, would a nest, an eagle nest have to be for you to conclude that the eagles from that nest are not likely to travel into the project area?
- A. I'm going to give you the same answer I gave before because I'm an empiricist, I rely on science, and I like to see some of that information

and, again, the sample size of eagles that have been killed by wind turbines is so small that there doesn't seem to be a correlation between distance of a nest, if it was a nest, if it were a nesting bird, and fatalities of eagles.

Q. Okay. So what's the answer to my question then?

2.1

2.2

- A. I believe I gave the answer. It's not possible to answer that because of the small sample size.
- Q. Well, the question was whether you know how far beyond a wind project boundary an eagle is likely to traverse in order to enter the project area.
- A. That's a different question, I believe, and I'm not sure I understand what you're getting at or the question you're asking.
- Q. Well, what is the travel range for a bald eagle?
- MS. FLINT: Objection. That's already been asked and answered a couple hours ago.
- ALJ SANYAL: You know, I actually don't remember that particular question, so I'm going to let you answer that.
- 25 A. I remember the question and I remember

the answer. The answer, I believe, was it varies depending upon where the eagle nests are. If an eagle lives next to a salmon stream, it doesn't have to travel far; if it doesn't, it may have to travel far. So it varies dramatically.

Also you have to realize these eagle can't simply travel where they want to travel because there are other territories between them. Eagles kill each other on occasion. That's about the sixth-highest cause of eagle mortality in this country is other eagles killing eagles because they enter their territory. They're not nice neighbors.

- Q. Would you go to page 6 of your testimony.

 I'd like to refer you to the last sentence in the second paragraph on that page.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Where you stated "Eagles did make flights across the project area." In that sentence are you referring to the Republic Wind project area or the Emerson West project area?
 - A. Both.
 - Q. Let's go to page 11 of your testimony.

 ALJ SANYAL: Was it page 9?
- 24 ALJ AGRANOFF: 11.
- 25 ALJ SANYAL: 11. Thank you.

- 1 Α. Yes.
- 2 Go to the third paragraph, line 17, where Q. you state "The recommended condition as written 3 conflicts with the TAL." 4
- 5 Α. Yes.

6

7

- Ο. I'm not sure that I understand what you believe the conflict is, so could you explain that?
- 8 Α. Yes. We have to go to the TAL to do that, if I might?
- 10 Do you have it in front of you? Q.
- 11 Α. I do not.
- 12 Okay. That would be attached to Q.
- 13 Mr. Carr's testimony, I believe. If we have that
- 14 available?
- 15 MR. PARRAM: One second, Your Honor -- or
- 16 Mr. Van Kley.
- 17 MR. VAN KLEY: Mr. Carr's testimony, his
- 18 written testimony. I believe the TAL was attached to
- 19 his testimony.
- 20 MS. FLINT: What are you referring to
- 2.1 again, Mr. Van Kley?
- 2.2 MR. VAN KLEY: The TAL.
- 23 ALJ AGRANOFF: Just so the record is
- 24 clear, the "TAL" stands for the Technical Assistance
- 25 Letter?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

2.1

2.2

A. If you look at the condition, the condition actually asks for the Applicant -- requires the Applicant to comply with the TAL until an incidental take permit has been obtained.

In fact, the TAL and the terms have been agreed upon, thereby reducing potential impacts to both northern long-eared and Indiana bats to virtually zero if not zero.

The Applicant has complied with all of the terms, has agreed to all of the terms in the term sheet at the back of the TAL, so an incidental take permit is not necessary. And that's the conflict.

Maybe I didn't use the correct word but I think that's the point.

Q. Okay. I understand it now.

Do you know whether birds collide with guide wires that have been erected to steady towers?

- A. I've actually published on that topic and, yes, in fact probably 85 to 90 percent of collisions at communication towers are with the guide wires.
- Q. With regard to a weather tower, that is a meteorological tower, is there another way, besides using guide wires, to provide the necessary support

to your knowledge?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Α. Absolutely.
- Ο. What would that be?
- You have a free-standing tower and this Α. is -- this has been the practice for close to a decade in the wind industry back when we started recommending it. I did my work on towers and guide wires back in 2005, I finished that study which the Fish and Wildlife Service, in communication with the FCC and the FAA, have changed a lot of their guidance for towers because they wanted to protect more birds.
- Did you know that Republic Wind uses Ο. guide wires on the meteorological towers that have been erected in the tower area?
- I have been told the permanent meteorological towers will be freestanding, the three towers.
- And what about the towers that are out Q. there now?
 - Α. They will be taken down, I assume.
- Ο. In how many cases, whether they're administrative cases or lawsuits, have you testified in matters that involve wind turbines?
- I have participated, where I have been 25 sworn in as a witness, roughly 40 times.

include depositions.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

24

- Q. And in every one of those cases did you provide testimony on behalf of the wind developer?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. At approximately what time period did you start testifying for wind companies?
- A. I believe 1994 and then 1995 -- '94 I didn't, I wasn't called, I was in the hearing but I was never called, but '95 I was.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further questions.
- 12 ALJ SANYAL: Staff?
- MR. EUBANKS: Just a few clarifying
- 14 questions.

15 | - - -

16 CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 17 By Mr. Eubanks:
- Q. I wanted to refer to your testimony,

 Question 15 and Answer 15. I guess they also include

 Question and Answer 16 as well. Looking at Question

 and Answer 16, you request that the definition for

 "preferred nesting habitat type" to be the

 definition -- ODNR's definition; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is that a statutory definition you're

referring to?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- A. I'm not a lawyer. I don't understand what a statutory definition is.
- Q. Well, where did you see the definition, ODNR's definition?
- A. They have descriptions of these different species, these three species I was talking about, on their website.
- Q. So you're talking about the definition they would have on their website --
- 11 A. Yes.
- 12 Q. -- for "preferred nesting habitat type."
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And when did you view the website?
- A. A month, two months ago --
- 16 Q. Okay.
- A. -- when I was prepping testimony.
- Q. And if, indeed, that was the definition
 that Staff was referring to when it wrote its
 condition, you would have no other problem with the
 condition?
- A. No. My -- can I tell you what my problem
 with the definition was? Would you like that? It's
 in the --
- Q. It's in your testimony, yes.

A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

2.1

2.2

- Q. But I'm saying if, indeed, the definition that Staff was referring to was in agreement with the definition on ODNR's website, you would have no other problem with the condition?
- A. I don't think so. I'd have to look at that.
 - Q. Then I wanted to go into your testimony where you talk about Condition 40. I believe that is Question and Answer 18.
- 11 A. Yes.
 - Q. The second sentence in your answer reads:

 "These agencies have acknowledged that no other eagle
 use surveys are necessary." Do you see that in your
 testimony?
- 16 A. That's on page 13?
- 17 Q. Page 12.
- 18 A. 12. Oh, I'm sorry.
- 19 Q. Answer 18, second sentence.
- 20 A. Yes.
 - Q. Are you referring to any particular documents there?
- A. In the technical document that was -- I
 used for one of my -- a few pages back is an e-mail
 from ODNR saying that all, basically all requirements

were fulfilled. And if it weren't 5:20, I would have found it by now.

- Q. Would that be the same e-mail that you refer to later on in the paragraph, the final sentence, the January 25th, 2018 e-mail?
 - A. Yes. Yes, it would be.
- Q. Okay. And you said -- first, is that e-mail part of the Application?
- A. I don't know. I think earlier it was said that it wasn't part of the Application.
 - Q. Has it been made an exhibit?
- A. I believe so because these were copied and handed out. Oh, it's Exhibit 23. I'm sorry.
 - Q. So it's Exhibit 23 you're referring to?
- 15 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. Where exactly in the e-mail are you referring to?
- A. In the top e-mail on the front page, it says Jennie Geiger and it says from Erin Hazelton, and the text of the very short -- "Hi Jennie, These surveys meet ODNR's pre-construction monitoring protocols for the new project boundary."
- Q. And you interpreted that to mean that
 ODNR is acknowledging that no further eagle use
 surveys are necessary?

- A. I'm just going from what it says, no other pre-construction monitoring protocols.
- That's -- it says it straight out and that's -- if it's coming from ODNR, I quess I just believed it.
 - Q. I was just -- I was looking for the exact words that are in your testimony. You're saying there's no document that uses the exact words that you state in sentence 2, correct?
 - A. No, not that I know of. Not the exact words. That was for 40? I mean 18. Yeah, "These agencies have acknowledged...." Okay.
 - Q. Similarly, you say "these agencies." So is there a document where U.S. Fish and Wildlife is telling you that no further eagle use surveys are necessary?
- A. I don't recall seeing that exact

 sentence. No, I just don't know. I went by the ODNR

 e-mail.
 - Q. So it's fair to say, instead of saying "these agencies," we could just say "ODNR"?
 - A. Yes. May I check one thing?
 - Q. Sure.

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

19

20

2.1

22

A. There was a meeting between U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service on August 27, 2016, and I just have the details of this -- oh, I'm sorry, it's the same

technical memo with Erin Hazelton, Exhibit 23.

- O. Still Exhibit 23?
- A. Yeah. August 27, 2016, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Apex meet to discuss surveys conducted to date and confirm survey completion for OPSB/WEG, Wind Energy Generation, compliance.
 - Q. I'm sorry, what page are you on?
- A. Sorry. Page 2 of the attached document to Erin Hazelton. It's the technical memo.
 - Q. Is it under what is labeled "Table 1"?
- 11 A. Table 1, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

20

2.1

22

- Q. Okay. And you're referring to the second paragraph?
- A. I'm referring to, on page 2, there's a

 Table 1, it's the third from the bottom row,

 August 27.
- 17 Q. Okay.
 - A. "Confirm survey completion for OPSB."
- 19 Q. Who wrote that description?
 - A. That was written by two people -- one person from WEST named Goniela Iskali. Western EcoSystems Technology, that was one of the consultants for the Republic project.
- 24 Q. Okay. And -- okay. And that was in 25 2016.

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

- Q. Okay. So it's fair to state that -- and the correspondence with ODNR you're relying on, that's 2018?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So even if I interpret those statements the same as you interpret those statements, just for sake of argument, neither ODNR or U.S. Fish and Wildlife would have any -- would be basing those statements on information that would have been gathered during this hearing.
- A. I'm sorry, I didn't -- the information gathered during this hearing?
 - MS. FLINT: Objection. It sounds to me like maybe Counsel is asking a legal or procedural question.
- MR. EUBANKS: I'm not.
- MS. FLINT: I'm not sure the witness
- 19 | would know.
- MR. EUBANKS: There's no legal or
- 21 procedural --
- 22 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. I actually need the question read back because I don't -- so may I have
- 24 | the question read back?
- 25 (Record read.)

ALJ SANYAL: Overruled. You may answer to the extent you know.

A. I don't know.

2.1

- Q. Did the Applicant ever voluntarily request assistance in the development of an Eagle Conservation Plan from U.S. Fish and Wildlife?
- A. No. The meetings, the original meetings, the early-on meetings were not specifically a focus on going after one. A lot of it was to determine whether one was needed or not. But the Fish and Wildlife Service does offer help if one is needed. They do the calculations after you do the fieldwork which is the fieldwork that was done. They also tell you whether or not, once the fieldwork is done, whether or not it's likely that you're going to need such a permit.

I've actually done a couple of these,

done the fieldwork, and sometimes the fieldwork shows

that you don't need a permit and they'll tell you

that. In some parts of the country in some locations

like in Maryland or along the ridges, the Appalachian

ridges where you have a lot of eagle migration,

they're not even requiring or asking for permits

anymore because migrating eagles don't collide with

turbines. There's a thousand turbines there and they

don't have fatalities.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

17

18

20

2.1

22

But in other cases they'll definitely say you have a lot of eagle use or more eagle use than we'd like, so you should go after an ECP, I mean an Eagle Conservation Plan.

- Q. I believe your answer initially was no, they didn't request --
 - A. No.
 - Q. -- voluntary assistance.
- A. No. I shouldn't say no because I don't know. I wasn't in those meetings.
- Q. Okay. Fair enough. And so that leads to
 my next question. The Applicant did have some
 face-to-face meetings with U.S. Fish and Wildlife,
 correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
 - Q. And they would have also had telephone conversations with U.S. Fish and Wildlife, correct?
- 19 A. Yes.
 - Q. When you state in your testimony that you reviewed correspondence, you're talking about written correspondence, correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You would not have reviewed any telephone calls. They wouldn't have been recorded so you could

review them, right?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

22

- A. No.
- Q. And, further, you wouldn't have reviewed any meetings, they weren't recorded so that you could review the conversations that took place during the meetings, correct?
- A. No. There's a list of the meetings in that Table 2 that I was showing you before, if want to get an idea of how many and when.
- Q. You're right. In the meetings that you showed, they had summaries of the discussions, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. But beyond those summaries, you don't know the conversations that took place during the meetings, right?
- A. Right.
- Q. So, sitting here today, you don't know
 if, during those meetings or on the telephone,
 whether or not U.S. Fish and Wildlife suggested that
 an Eagle Conservation Plan was necessary for this
 project?
 - A. I probably would have heard about that, but I can't say I know for sure.
- Q. Okay. At the end of your -- the last paragraph, you say "In summary, proposed Condition

No. 40 is not supported by the facts...." I believe when you say "facts" you're speaking about the general statement that you now made several times that, you know, when it comes to bald eagles, they just don't run into turbines.

- A. I never said they don't.
- Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. Because there are small numbers that have over the years so, I'm sorry to correct you, but --
- Q. Okay. So could you state in your own words, in a qualified way, your summary of when it comes to bald eagle?
- A. The empirical information that we have, over the last 20-plus years, as more and more turbines have been put on the landscape, is that eagles, bald eagles, are not terribly susceptible to colliding with those turbines. So there are fatalities but the number is very small, and the population has grown continuously even though you have more and more turbines on the landscape.

In a state like New York, I just happened to be looking at this recently, has a thousand turbines now on the landscape and only one bald eagle fatality in that whole -- since 2000, the year 2000, it's gone up steadily, the numbers of eagles

approaching 500 pairs, the number of turbines keep going up, and one bald eagle fatality.

2.1

- Q. So is this a fair summary: You believe that the fatalities associated with bald eagles and wind turbines are so low that an Eagle Conservation Plan would never be needed.
- A. I believe in something a little bit different than that.

I think if and when a bald eagle -- if or when a bald eagle is killed, then something needs to be done almost like a compensatory-type mitigation, but going out ahead of time and doing all these studies, I don't think it tells us all that much. And it could lead to prevention in some ways but, better yet, you could do something after the fact and I think there are lots of things that can be done.

If you look at the Eagle Conservation

Plan, they recommend various types of mitigation

processes. In other words, if one eagle is killed,

you could put up some kind of a tower for example

like they're doing in Florida now, where bald eagles

are on cell towers. You can go online and look at

the photographs. They're nesting on these cell

towers very successfully because they don't have

enough big trees, the population has increased. So

by putting something up like a tower or a couple towers, you could easily compensate for that.

Q. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

- A. So, in other words, after the fact, rather than before the fact, because the numbers are small and so difficult to predict the numbers of fatalities.
- Q. Does U.S. Fish and Wildlife agree with your assessment?
- A. Partially. I've worked in some states where they agree with me, and I've worked in states where they say I'm wrong.
 - Q. Do they believe you're correct in Ohio?
 - A. I don't know.
- Q. Well, if we look at Condition 40, it says the Applicant shall coordinate with U.S. Fish and Wildlife to determine the adequacy of pre-construction eagle use surveys and assure that impacts to bald eagles are minimized. I'm sorry, do you have the Staff Report in front of you?
- A. No. That was the Staff investigation or --
- Q. Yes.

MR. EUBANKS: May I approach the witness?

ALJ SANYAL: Yes, you may. Does everyone

742 else have a copy of the Staff Report, dated July 25, 1 2 2019? 3 MS. FLINT: Yes. 4 MR. EUBANKS: Has it been marked yet? 5 MR. PARRAM: It has not. 6 MR. EUBANKS: If we can mark it as Staff 7 Exhibit 1, we'll move later to have it admitted. 8 ALJ SANYAL: Sure. 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 (By Mr. Eubanks) If you could go to Q. Condition 40. Find Condition 40. 11 12 Can you tell me what page -- oh, I found 13 find it. I believe it's on 66. You found it? 14 Ο. 15 Α. Yes. Okay. So starting from the beginning of 16 Ο. 17 that condition, it says the Applicant shall coordinate with U.S. Fish and Wildlife to determine 18 19 the adequacy of pre-construction eagle use surveys 20 and assure that the impacts to bald eagles are 2.1 minimized. Do you see that? 2.2 Α. Yes. 23 And then at the very beginning of the Q.

next sentence it says, if recommended by U.S. Fish

and Wildlife, the Applicant shall develop and

24

implement an Eagle Conservation Plan. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.

2.1

- Q. So based off of that condition, if U.S. Fish and Wildlife agreed with your assessment, the Applicant wouldn't have to do anything; isn't that correct?
- A. The Fish and Wildlife Service would want to check it out prior to -- like, they know where the nests are. Generally they have the database where the nests are and they can look at their own maps to see if there are many nests.

And if there are many nests or a lot of eagle use that they know of, for example if it's a site right up on Lake Erie at the mouth of the Sandusky River, something like that, and there are a lot of eagles that they know about, they would recommend doing the studies to look at to see what the actual eagle use was.

And the client, the Applicant in this case, did the eagle use studies and spoke with the Fish and Wildlife Service, and an Eagle Conservation Plan was not recommended.

Q. Let me restate the question and let me first preface it with my understanding of your

testimony. It is your testimony, two things, No. 1, you believe that U.S. Fish and Wildlife has already said there was no need for any additional eagle use surveys; is that correct?

- A. I believe that's the case, yes.
- Q. And you also have a general opinion that there's no reason to have an Eagle Conservation Plan before there is a fatality involving a bald eagle at a wind site; is that correct?
- 10 A. That is my personal belief. Personal belief.
 - Q. Okay. So I'm saying if, indeed, U.S. Fish and Wildlife agreed with you on both of those premises, isn't it true that the Applicant wouldn't have to do anything based off of Condition 40?
 - A. I think you're right.
 - Q. So actually if U.S. Fish and Wildlife agrees with you, there's nothing that is, I believe the word you used was "onerous" --
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. -- about Condition 40. The only way that there's anything that they would have to do is if they just disagreed with you.
- A. (Witness nods.)

 MS. FLINT: Objection.

```
745
                 MR. EUBANKS: Well, he's already answered
 1
 2
     the question. He shook his head yes.
                 MS. FLINT: Well, but you had a follow-up
 3
     question. My objection, because you're trying to say
 4
 5
     it's onerous but you're not reading -- considering
 6
     all of Dr. Kerlinger's testimony on this part on why
 7
     it might be onerous. If we look at his testimony on
 8
    page 13 at line 9 --
 9
                 MR. EUBANKS: I object. She's now
10
     testifying.
11
                 MS. FLINT: It's happened all day.
12
                 MR. EUBANKS: He's answered the question.
13
                ALJ SANYAL: Overruled. Go on.
14
                 THE WITNESS: May I add one thing?
15
                MR. EUBANKS: Sure.
16
                 THE WITNESS: The ECP is voluntary.
17
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Just so the record is
18
     clear, "ECP" stands for?
19
                 THE WITNESS: Eagle Conservation Plan --
20
                 ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.
2.1
                 THE WITNESS: -- is voluntary.
22
     quidance document it just -- an Applicant does not
23
    have to do one unless other agencies in that
24
     state, you know, recommend one. So that's another
     factor that they possibly didn't have to do anything.
25
```

```
However, they did two years of the studies that are recommended by the Fish and Wildlife Service in the Eagle Conservation Plan.
```

2.1

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) I'm not sure how that's related to the last question that I asked, but since you offered that, I just want to be clear. If, indeed, the Commission did recommend Condition 40 or if, indeed, they did place that as a condition for the Application, you're not suggesting that the Applicant wouldn't follow the condition, are you?
- A. It's up to the Applicant. I think that --

MS. FLINT: Objection. I'm sorry to interrupt you but he is not the Applicant, so how can he be a fact witness to respond to your question? That would have to go to the Applicant.

MR. EUBANKS: He's just now -- he's saying they wouldn't have to follow it. I'm just being clear that --

MS. FLINT: No, he said that they wouldn't have -- his testimony --

ALJ SANYAL: Hang on. I get you.

Objection sustained.

MR. EUBANKS: Okay.

25 ALJ SANYAL: I think he was -- you're

1 | mischaracterizing his previous response.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

25

MR. EUBANKS: Okay. Well, that's why I said you're not saying that. He could have said "You're right, I'm not saying that," but okay.

ALJ SANYAL: Let's move on.

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) I believe you had one other condition that you disagreed with. I believe it's Condition 26; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.

ALJ SANYAL: What page of his testimony are we -- oh, never mind, I found it. Page 10, correct?

MR. EUBANKS: Sorry, give me one second.
Okay, yes, page 10, Question and Answer 14.

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Did you have any communications with U.S. Fish and Wildlife concerning the interpretation of the Technical Assistance Letter as it relates to the document's statements addressing the northern long-eared bat?
 - A. No.
- Q. Do you have the Technical Assistance Letter in front of you?
 - A. I was just looking for it.
- 24 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
 - Q. I'm glad you have it in front of you.

You may not need it. I'm going to move to the next one.

Did you review any correspondence,
between the Applicant and the United States Fish and
Wildlife, concerning the interpretation of the
Technical Assistance Letter as it relates to the
document's statements addressing the northern
long-eared bat?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. On page 11, you say that the recommended condition, as written, conflicts with the TAL. Do you see that?
 - A. Page 11?
 - O. Yes.
- A. Yes.
- 16 Q. Page 11, line 17.
 - A. 17, thank you. Yes.
 - Q. And I believe you were asked a question on that, and I believe, and you can tell me if my answer is wrong, you said the way it conflicts is that the condition says "The Applicant shall comply with the operational measures detailed within the technical assistance letter until an incidental take permit has been obtained for the project." And you believe that because there's no need for an

incidental take permit that there's a confliction there.

- A. The conflict was that the Applicant has already agreed to the terms in the term sheet and, therefore, because they've agreed and they're going to be taking the mitigation prior to even they accept it prior to putting the turbines up. Once those turbines are up, their operations will correspond to what's in the term letter. Therefore, there won't be any bat fatalities and you don't need an incidental take permit.
- Q. If we turn to page 2 of the Technical Assistance Letter.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. The sentence that begins with "The USFWS." Do you see that?
- 17 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. I'm going the read it. "The USFWS reached this conclusion through coordination and ongoing discussions with Apex including Apex's commitment in writing to the USFWS, that these measures will be implemented throughout the life of the project or until incidental take coverage is obtained." Do you see that?
 - A. I lost you some place. I'm sorry about

750 1 that. You said second paragraph? 2 The first paragraph. Q. 3 Α. First. The sentence that begins with "The 4 Ο. 5 USFWS." "As reviewed"? 6 Α. 7 I'm sorry, I didn't understand what you Q. 8 just said. 9 Α. Is that on the bottom of the first page 10 where the paragraph begins "The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service has reviewed"? 11 12 ALJ SANYAL: I believe he's looking --13 MR. EUBANKS: It's at the top of the 14 page. 15 ALJ SANYAL: He's looking at the partial 16 paragraph --17 THE WITNESS: Okay. 18 ALJ SANYAL: -- and at the middle there's 19 a sentence that says "The USFWS" --20 THE WITNESS: I got it. Thank you. 2.1 ALJ SANYAL: Uh-huh. 22 (By Mr. Eubanks) So again, reading that, Q. "The USFWS reached this conclusion through 23 24 coordination and ongoing discussions with Apex 25 including Apex's commitment in writing to the USFWS,

that these measures will be implemented throughout the life of the project or until incidental take coverage is obtained." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Q. Isn't that exactly what recommendation 26 says?

MS. FLINT: Objection. I think Condition 26 speaks for itself and the language that was just quoted from the letter speaks for itself.

ALJ SANYAL: Overruled.

- A. I'd have to read Condition 26.
- Q. And to help you out, I'm referring specifically to the last sentence of Condition 26.
- A. I don't have that in front of me, but I have the excerpt for Question 14 in my testimony.
 - Q. I just handed you the Application.
- 17 A. Oh.
 - Q. Once again it's on page -- well -- ALJ SANYAL: The "Staff Report."
 - Q. The Staff Report, I'm sorry.
 - A. Got it. It's getting late. Sorry.

 ALJ SANYAL: It's okay.
- A. This actually is different from -- this
 says "operational measures detailed within the
 technical assistance letter until an incidental take

permit...." The other one said for the life of project, I think.

- Q. Doesn't it go on to say "until"?
- A. I'm not sure I understand.
- Q. The Technical Assistance Letter reads
 "these measures will be implemented throughout the
 life of the project or until incidental take coverage
 is obtained." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Aren't they consistent?

MS. FLINT: Objection. I'm back to, I'm sorry, that the language speaks for itself.

ALJ SANYAL: I'm overruling that objection because the witness has expressed an opinion about what that condition means, and I think Counsel is just trying to understand why the witness has that opinion, so overruled.

 $$\operatorname{MS.}$ FLINT: I understand too, but Counsel is also suggesting that the sentence in the TAL --

ALJ SANYAL: Sure.

MS. FLINT: -- is the same --

ALJ SANYAL: Absolutely, and I think the witness can respond to that himself.

A. The sentence isn't the same because it seems that from this letter they can choose to just

adhere to the form -- the term sheet conditions for the rest of the project without getting a permit. It says "or until," doesn't it?

- Q. It does say that.
- A. Or am I missing something?
- Q. It does say that.
- A. So I think --
- Q. So it's the "or"?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

- Q. Okay. So if Condition 26 said "The applicant shall comply with the operational measures detailed within the technical assistance letter or until," then you would have no problem, it would not conflict?
- MS. FLINT: Objection. Dalton Carr is the Project Manager who is the witness who will state why and on what terms they agree with or disagree with or are asking for a change in the condition; it's not Dr. Kerlinger.
- 20 ALJ SANYAL: But --
- 21 MR. EUBANKS: It is Dr. Kerlinger who has 22 said it conflicts.
- MS. FLINT: And Dr. Kerlinger's testimony
 gives other reasons for why it conflicts, why the
 condition conflicts.

754 MR. EUBANKS: This question has already 1 2 been asked and answered. 3 ALJ SANYAL: Overruled. Let's finish here. 4 5 MR. EUBANKS: Okay. ALJ SANYAL: I think you can get what you 6 7 need --8 MR. EUBANKS: I just need a direct answer 9 and I'm good. 10 ALJ SANYAL: All right. Mr. Eubanks, you 11 may --12 MS. FLINT: My objection is Dr. Kerlinger 13 cannot testify as to what the Applicant is willing to accept or not accept or agree with with a condition. 14 15 It's as simple as that. 16 MR. EUBANKS: Well, this question has 17 already been asked. 18 ALJ SANYAL: I've overruled the 19 objection. Mr. Eubanks, go ahead with your one 20 remaining question. 2.1 Ο. (By Mr. Eubanks) The question has been 22 asked. Can you answer it? 23 Α. I believe I answered. 24 O. You didn't answer it. 25 MS. FLINT: If we can repeat the

question?

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Let's do it this way: In your capacity that you're here today, are you able to say as to whether or not the Applicant would be willing to accept the interpretation that Mr. Eubanks expressed to you?

7 THE WITNESS: I cannot speak for the 8 Applicant.

MR. EUBANKS: That wasn't my question. Let me ask the question a different way.

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) If the last sentence of Condition 26 -- yeah. If what Staff meant by the last sentence of Condition 26 was what is written on page 2 of the TAL, the language that we went through now at least three times, if that's what Staff meant, then you would agree that Condition 26 does not conflict with the TAL.
- A. I'm getting more confused as we go along. I simply said because of the "or" statement, and I can't answer for the Applicant on that.
- Q. Okay. Are you aware that the TAL recommends that the Applicant coordinate the project with ODNR Department of Wildlife?
 - A. Am I aware of that?
- 25 Q. That the TAL --

A. The TAL, yeah.

2.1

- Q. -- after it got done listing all of the different requirements for the northern bat and for the Indiana bat, at the very end of that it says you should coordinate with ODNR Wildlife because these species are also state-endangered and threatened species. Are you aware of that?
- A. I wasn't aware that it said that over there.
- Q. Well, could you refer to the TAL again.

 Page 2, last paragraph. Could you read that out

 loud?
 - A. Okay. It says we recommend you coordinate this project with the ODNR Wildlife, Department of Wildlife, for these species. Please contact Erin Hazelton.
 - Q. Okay. So in your testimony where you say it's customary to just follow the TAL, this particular TAL also requests for the Applicant to work with ODNR Wildlife as well, correct?
 - A. It reads that, yes.
- MR. EUBANKS: I have no further questions.
- 24 ALJ SANYAL: Redirect?
- MS. FLINT: Yes.

ALJ SANYAL: Do you need some time?

MS. FLINT: Yes. Not very long at all.

ALJ SANYAL: Let's go off the record for

5 minutes, but a true 5 minutes. Not 8; 5.

(Recess taken.)

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Let's get back on the record. And you may proceed.

MS. FLINT: I'm going to try to work backwards from most recent to many hours ago.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay.

11

12 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

13 | By Ms. Flint:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

14

15

16

- Q. Dr. Kerlinger, if we could turn to your testimony which is Exhibit 22, in relation to Question 14 about Condition 26 which relates to the TAL.
- 18 A. Yes.
- Q. And your response goes on to page 11. In response to, I believe, questions from the Local Residents' counsel, you indicated that a conflict between Condition No. 26 and the TAL is that it's your understanding that TALs are voluntary. Does that sound correct?
- 25 A. I said that, yes.

Q. Okay. Is there any other reason why you believe Condition No. 26 conflicts with the TAL?

A. Yes. I neglected to include lines 13
through 16 and the last sentence of that. According
to the Indiana Bat Section 7 and Section 10 Guidance
for Wind Energy Projects, then the last sentence says
"It is inappropriate to apply the home range
characteristics from one species to another."

Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat are different species, they have different habitat requirements, home-range characteristics, and the term sheet took those into consideration. And if they were treated as the same for those different species, that was another area of conflict in that.

- Q. Is --
- A. So --

2.1

- Q. I'm sorry.
- A. If you take a look at that portion of the term sheet, you'll see that there are different range requirements. Different species have different habits, in other words.
- Q. So if could you turn to, and this is in the Staff Report, it's page 64 where Condition 26 is.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It's in the middle of Condition No. 26,

it starts with the word "Summertime." Do you see that?

A. Yes.

2.

2.1

- Q. Could you read that sentence?
- A. "Summertime feathering measures identified in the technical assistance letter for the Indiana bat, including feathering within specified distances of documented roost trees, shall also be applied to the northern long-eared bat."
- Q. And does the Technical Assistance Letter contain the same feathering measures between the Indiana bat and the northern long-eared bat?
- A. No. The term sheet has different ranges for those feathering operations.
 - Q. Can you read the last sentence of 26?
- A. "The Applicant shall comply with the operational measures detailed within the technical assistance letter until an incidental take permit has been obtained for the project."
- Q. So would it be fair to say that this condition requires the Applicant to comply with the operational measures detailed in the Technical Assistance Letter?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And that those, the operational measures,

are detailed in the Technical Assistance Letter?

A. Very detailed.

2.1

Q. And they, again, have different measures between the Indiana bat and the northern long-eared bat.

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection. That's been three leading questions in a row.

ALJ SANYAL: Rephrase.

- Q. The Technical Assistance Letter sets forth what the measures are between -- for the Indiana bat versus the northern long-eared bat.
- 12 A. They set forth different measurements for the two different species.
 - Q. There was a discussion about summaries of meetings between the project and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and/or ODNR. Do you recall that testimony?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And one of the references was, and I'm talking about Exhibit 23 right now, which starts off with an e-mail of Thursday, January 25, 2018, and attached is a January 10, 2018, Technical Memorandum.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Do you have Exhibit 23 in front of you?

 And you were directed to Table 1 which is on page 2

of Exhibit 23, specifically August 27, 2016, and then a description of the meeting. Do you see that?

A. Yes.

Q. In your experience, would a summary of a meeting with United States Fish and Wildlife Service include, if U.S. Fish and Wildlife had recommended an HCP, would a summary of that meeting include that fact --

MR. EUBANKS: Objection.

Q. -- in your experience --

MR. EUBANKS: Objection. Calls for

12 | speculation.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

ALJ SANYAL: You're going to have to wait to make the objection until she finishes the question.

So I need you to ask the question again because I couldn't hear it. I'm sorry.

Q. (By Ms. Flint) In your experience, would a summary of a meeting between a project and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service include, if a recommendation was made from U.S. Fish and Wildlife

22 Service to obtain an HCP or an ECP, an Eagle

23 | Conservation Plan?

MR. EUBANKS: Objection. Calls for

25 speculation.

ALJ SANYAL: Overruled.

- A. I'm pretty sure it would include that. That's the kind of thing that once it's said and agreed upon, people write it down. I've been at meetings where, you know, we've been told no Eagle Conservation Plan is needed and that's very easily remembered.
- Q. And if an Eagle Conservation Plan was recommended, is that very easily remembered?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- 11 Q. It's a pretty significant recommendation,
 12 is it not?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Objection.
- 14 A. Majorly.
- ALJ SANYAL: I think you're going to get

 a leading --
- 17 MR. VAN KLEY: Leading.
- 18 ALJ SANYAL: -- objection again, so maybe rephrase that question.
- 20 MS. FLINT: No need. I withdraw the 21 question.
- This is a procedural question. Can we make some copies of an exhibit?
- 24 ALJ SANYAL: Are you -- yeah, I can go
 25 make some copies for you, sure.

Proceedings - Volume III 763 1 (Off the record.) 2 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Let's get back on the 3 record. MS. FLINT: I'm waiting to get the 4 5 quidelines up on our computer. 6 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. 7 (By Ms. Flint) I want to make sure Ο. because I was confused about some of the testimony 8 earlier about what was recommended with hectares. Do 9 10 you remember that discussion? 11 Α. Yes, somewhat. 12 And I don't have the guidelines up in Ο. 13 front of me yet but, when I do, is the -- if you can 14 recall, do the guidelines call for this survey point 15 per hectares for diurnal bird/raptor -- diurnal bird 16 migration surveys? 17 Α. I don't recall. Q. 18 So we'll have to look. 19 MS. FLINT: I realize now we're missing 20 the iPad that we had earlier --2.1 ALJ SANYAL: Yes.

MS. FLINT: -- for the witness.

Okay. And I will bring this to the

witness. I'm referring back to earlier testimony.

2.2

23

24

migration survey from 2011, do you recall that three survey points --

- A. I believe that's correct, yes.
- Q. -- sampling point locations were used?

 Do you have any reason to believe that that was outside of what was recommended by ODNR, the quidelines?
 - A. I'm not sure.
- Q. Would ODNR's guidelines for passerine migration apply to a diurnal raptor survey?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Does the distance or range a bald eagle travels have any correlation to risk of fatality by a wind turbine?
- A. There is no data that says that that is the case.
- Q. Is there any data that suggests an increase in the number of eagle nests, within a particular area, correlates to risk of fatality by wind turbines?
 - A. That's never been demonstrated either.
- Q. I believe there was testimony earlier
 about, and I'm referring now to I believe it's
 Exhibit M to the Application, it's the Final Results
 for the Bald Eagle Survey Effort, Republic Wind

Seneca and Sandusky Counties, November 2012, prepared by BHE Environmental.

- A. The question is do I recall that?
- Q. Is that one of the surveys for which you mention in your testimony?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. That was not the only eagle survey done in relation to the Republic project, was it?
 - A. No.
- Q. Were there any other raptor/eagle nest surveys or studies, performed after the 2011 raptor nest survey, that relate to the Republic project?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And are those studies contained in your testimony in answer to Question 7?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you recall, off the top of your head, how many others were done in relation -- that relate to the Republic project?
- A. You're talking about eagle studies in general or eagle point use studies?
 - Q. Either. Any survey relating to eagles.
- A. There were a couple different surveys relating to eagles. There was a nest monitoring, there was a use study and a nest study, nest search

survey.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

2.2

- Q. And is it accurate to say that some of the studies were done for the Emerson West project but they were utilized or considered by you because they overlapped with Republic boundaries?
- A. Yes, they were either nearby or overlapped.
- Q. And so the results of those studies would be relevant to impacts, use information, that U.S. Fish or ODNR would want in relation to the Republic project?
 - A. They would very much want that.
- Q. If you could turn to Local Residents

 Exhibit 16. Do you have it in front of you?
 - A. I do not. This is Local Residents?
- Q. It's the November 15, 2017 Technical Memorandum.
- 18 A. I don't have it in front of me. Oh, yes,
 19 sorry, November 15, 2017, yes.
- Q. If you could turn to the last page of that document. It's Figure 2, page 5.
 - A. Yes.
- Q. What does that figure show?
- A. It shows the outline of the Republic site, the boundaries, and it shows the location of

the bald eagle nest and fixed point count locations close to the nest so the nest can be surveyed.

- Q. In Figure 2 -- it's hard for me to read -- there is a marking of, I think it says FP1 and there's a star?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. What is that line, that squiggly line as I'll call it, below the star, if you know?
 - A. That is the flight path of an eagle.
- Q. Does that reflect that that eagle did not -- was not flying into the Republic boundary?

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection. Leading.

ALJ SANYAL: What does that represent,
Mr. Kerlinger, that squiggly line?

THE WITNESS: I'd have to look at the text to see what that line -- but it does represent the bald eagle flight path and, from this, I cannot tell if it's coming or going.

"One of the eagles recorded on June 21 was observed flying in a southerly direction away from the general nest location," so this is the flight path for that and it refers to Figure 2 down farther in that same paragraph; so I'm assuming it's the only eagle they mention flight direction for and it's roughly south at first.

- Q. Does Figure 2 also contain the project boundary for Republic?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

- Q. Is the flight pattern, as shown in Figure 2, is that inside or outside the Republic boundary?
- 7 A. The nest and the flight path are outside 8 the boundary.
- 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: And that was as of what 10 date?
- 11 THE WITNESS: The date was June 21, 2017.
- 12 ALJ AGRANOFF: To your knowledge does
- 13 that reflect the current project boundary?
- 14 THE WITNESS: It appears to be the same to me. Let me just check.
- Actually, there's a slight discrepancy in
 the top middle where it says the Seneca-Sandusky
 line. The project site doesn't go up that high
 anymore. On the northeast corner it does still go
 above the Sandusky boundary. It's very close to the
 current, including where the nest is.
 - Q. Is Magee Marsh in the project area?
- 23 A. No.

2.2

Q. Would the discovery of a new eagle nest, near or within the project boundary, change your

opinion on risk to bald eagles from the project?

- A. No.
- Q. Is there any reason to question the validity of the avian studies performed for the project?
- 6 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

13

14

15

- Q. Any reason to question the validity -I'm sorry -- the credentials of the consultants who
 performed these studies?
- 10 A. No.
- Q. Were they performed in consult with U.S.

 Fish and Wildlife Service and ODNR?
 - A. They were.
 - Q. Were they performed in accordance with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and ODNR guidelines and protocols specifically for wind facilities?
- 17 A. They were.
- Q. How -- there was some discussion, a lot of discussion earlier about nocturnal migrants. Do you recall that?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. How high are nocturnal migrants generally flying at night when they migrate?
- A. They fly several hundred meters above the ground to up to 6-, 800 meters above the ground. In

other words, 7-, 800 feet to 2,500 feet above the ground. That's what they mostly cruise at, those altitudes.

- Q. There were no radar studies performed, radar avian studies performed; is that correct?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Should radar studies have been performed for this project?
 - A. No.
- Q. If ODNR and U.S. Fish and Wildlife
 Service believe radar should have been used for this
 project, would they have recommended that?

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection. Speculation.

MS. FLINT: We went through --

ALJ SANYAL: Overruled.

THE WITNESS: Would you repeat the question, please?

- Q. (By Ms. Flint) If ODNR and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service believed radar should have been used for this project, would they have recommended that?
 - A. I believe they would have definitely.
 - Q. But they didn't here.
- 23 A. No.
- Q. If we could turn to the 2011 Passerine
 Migration Study.

Proceedings - Volume III 771 ALJ AGRANOFF: If you could give us an 1 exhibit number or a reference or an application 2 exhibit number? 3 4 THE WITNESS: What was the -- sorry. 5 ALJ SANYAL: We had a question for 6 Counsel. 7 MS. FLINT: Let's get in front of 8 everybody the 2011 Passerine Migration Study. Let me 9 find it here. This is noted on page 5 of your 10 testimony, "Results of the passerine migration 11 survey, Republic Wind Farm, Seneca and Sandusky 12 Counties. December 2011." 13 Α. Yes. 14 Do you have the study in front of you? Ο. 15 Α. I do. 16 MS. FLINT: Does everybody else? 17 ALJ SANYAL: No. I don't know what 18 exhibit you're referring to. 19 ALJ AGRANOFF: Has it been marked as an 20 exhibit? 2.1 THE WITNESS: N. 2.2 MS. FLINT: It's in the Application. 23

ALJ AGRANOFF: N.

THE WITNESS: N?

24

25

MS. FLINT: N as in Nancy?

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ALJ SANYAL: We're ready if you are.

MS. FLINT: Now we're trying to find it.

I'm sorry, I'm trying to find my

reference. Feel free to take a nap.

- (By Ms. Flint) Okay. If you could turn Q. to page 2 of that study.
 - Α. Yes.
- Ο. You were asked to read, earlier, the first sentence of the third paragraph under "Introduction."
- 12 Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Can you read that again?
- "Passerines appear to be especially Α. vulnerable to collision with wind turbines, and in one synthesis, were found to represent 74 percent of all fatalities recorded in existing data sets (Strickland 2008)."
 - And if you could read, it's in the middle of that same paragraph, the sentence that starts with the word "While."
- Α. "While passerines are the most 23 susceptible group of bird species, the numbers of 24 bird casualties are low." NWCC, it's the National 25 Wind Coordinating Committee, 2010.

```
1
            Q.
                 Okay. Thank you.
 2
                 MS. FLINT: What number or letter?
 3
                 MR. PARRAM: 25.
                 MS. FLINT: I'm going to be marking
 4
 5
     Applicant Exhibit 25. It's a set of two maps.
 6
                 ALJ AGRANOFF:
                                They shall be so marked.
 7
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 8
                 MS. FLINT: I have to so mark them,
9
     literally with my pen, before I pass them out.
10
                 (By Ms. Flint) Dr. Kerlinger, if you
            Q.
11
     could take your time and look at the two maps that
12
     you were just handed that have been marked as
13
     Exhibit 25.
14
            Α.
                 Okay.
15
            Q.
                Are you ready?
16
            Α.
                 Yes.
17
                 One of the maps has the heading "Project:
            Q.
18
     Republic Wind Eagle Use Surveys." Do you see that?
19
            Α.
                 Yes.
20
            Q.
                 And if you could tell us what the blue
2.1
     circles on this map represent?
2.2
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Which document are you
23
     looking at?
24
                 MS. FLINT: This is the Exhibit 25, the
25
     map that says "Project: Republic Wind Eagle Use
```

Surveys."

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. The document you gave me does not have that heading on it.

ALJ SANYAL: There's two maps,

Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: I was only given one.

MR. PARRAM: Can we make one more copy?

ALJ SANYAL: Let's go off the record real

quick.

(Off the record.)

- Q. (By Ms. Flint) So the map marked with the title "Project: Republic Wind Eagle Use Surveys."
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Can you tell us what the blue circles represent?
 - A. They are -- the lighter of the blue circles, mostly from the bottom of the project upward, are from the 2011-2012 eagle use study and they show the distribution of the circles.

The other circles that are different colors, the red and the darker blue, are from the Emerson study, eagle use point count circles. You can see that they overlap onto the Republic site very nicely.

The second point about this -- actually

the third point, the circles that go across the top, the four circles across the top, one of the Hearing Examiners asked the question earlier about Sandusky County, if any of these went -- those four circles overlap with the Sandusky County border; so approximately half of them. You can see on the map where the Republic site juts up to the farthest north, that's in Sandusky County. So those four circles are partly in Sandusky County. So the observers were probably standing right on the border.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Which four circles were you referencing?

THE WITNESS: The very top.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

A. And the third point is if you recall from the Emerson eagle use survey and actually the nest survey from Emerson, they use the 1-plus-mile boundary, a buffer area at the top of their site and it went all the way around their site, but the Emerson site is just to the south of the southernmost area where the Republic site extends southward, and the Emerson site actually wraps around that but the 1-mile buffer goes up 1 mile from the inside of the southern extension around the -- it extends 1 mile into the Republic site.

In other words, there's a much greater overlap than we anticipated, and this bears that out in some ways, but the eagle nest surveys went up into that portion a mile from the border at least.

- Q. Really quickly, if you could keep the maps in front of you and turn to the second map, I guess I would call that an orange-colored map, and also take out Exhibit 23 which is -- it contains the January 10, 2018 Technical Memorandum, marked Exhibit 23. The first pages are the e-mails between Erin Hazelton and Jennie Geiger. Do you have that in front of you?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. If you could turn and we're on Exhibit 23 now, if you could turn to page 4, Figure 1. Are you there?
 - A. Yes. I'm sorry.
 - Q. That's okay.

Generally describe what Figure 1 is showing. We've gone through this in the earlier testimony but to refresh.

A. Briefly, it shows the Emerson on the bottom with a light, like, lavender color and you can see its boundary extends across and there's overlap into the Republic which is the northernmost

black-lined area, so those are the two projects in relation to each other. You can see there's overlap.

- Q. Okay. And then turning back to the Exhibit 25 maps, the orange map.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. You were just referencing a 1-mile buffer.
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. In relation to the second map in Exhibit 25, the orange map, can you generally describe for us --
 - A. If you -- I'm sorry.
 - Q. -- for us what that 1-mile buffer, how that relates with what would be depicted on this map, the project, the Republic Wind map?
 - A. Yeah. First, you can see that there are -- if you look at the overlap between the two sites from the WEST technical report, you can see there's an overlap. At the bottom there's several of those turbines that are actually in that overlap area. So anything -- any of the studies done for Emerson were actually covering lands within Republic.

If you then take this boundary for the Emerson project and add a mile north to that and look into the Republic site where you see the blue turbine

locations, you see there's a very large overlap between the two.

Many of the turbines are on both the Emerson study, nesting study, eagle nesting study, and within the Republic area. So there's a large overlap there, many of the turbines. You can see on the southeastern corner how there's a wraparound that covers a lot of the turbines that are on the eastern-southeastern boundary of the Republic project.

- Q. And the Republic boundary map between Figure 1 in the WEST memo and the map in front of you, they're not exactly the same, are they?
 - A. No, I don't believe so.
- Q. Are they -- do they generally depict the current Republic boundary?
- A. I believe so, yes. The turbine locations, it's a tip off.

MS. FLINT: Nothing further.

ALJ SANYAL: Just to follow up, which one of these maps most accurately describes the Republic Wind project as it stands today?

THE WITNESS: The orange map.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. Thank you.

Mr. Van Kley.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

1

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

4 By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. Since you're on Exhibit 25, I have a question or two about that exhibit.
 - A. Sorry, what was that again?
 - Q. Exhibit 25.

ALJ SANYAL: The orange map and the companion.

Q. And I'm going to refer you to the other map that is marked as Exhibit 25.

MR. VAN KLEY: And I assume Exhibit 25 is a two-page exhibit?

ALJ AGRANOFF: Why don't we just, for clarity sake, call the orange one, page 1 of 2, and the white one is 2 of 2.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) So on my copy of the map that is not the orange one, I'm having a hard time distinguishing between the blue circles for the Emerson project and the blue circles for the Republic Wind project. I was wondering if you could tell me which circles in blue are related to surveys done for the Emerson West project.

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I think the

Emerson West is green and then the Republic eagle use
surveys are blue. The color is close but --

ALJ SANYAL: They're close. I can tell a difference. I agree.

MR. VAN KLEY: Oh, wow, okay.

MR. PARRAM: Let me show you a copy of ours. The copy is not great.

MR. VAN KLEY: Oh, yeah, it's clearer on yours.

MR. PARRAM: You want to switch?

MR. VAN KLEY: Sure, just a second.

13 | Yeah, that helps.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. So there are how many circles in green related to Emerson Creek?

A. I believe it's --

MR. PARRAM: Your Honor, I believe there's four.

A. Four, yeah. Sorry.

ALJ SANYAL: I have five.

ALJ AGRANOFF: It would be very helpful if somehow you guys can take the document and actually mark it with the appropriate Emerson West versus Republic so that we don't have to guess as to

what circle is what, and then share that with counsel and with us so that we all can be clear as to which circle is intended to serve what purpose.

ALJ SANYAL: Because, by my color count,

I have five for Emerson West.

6 MR. PARRAM: Three, four, five. I have 7 five too.

ALJ SANYAL: Okay. But the one over here, the furthest to the right, appears to be both Emerson West and a Republic.

MR. PARRAM: Where they're overlapping.

ALJ SANYAL: There's two circles.

MS. BAIR: The green is the five, yeah.

THE WITNESS: You guys have better vision

15 than me.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

ALJ SANYAL: And I'll give you my copy.

MR. PARRAM: Jack, you can go ahead --

or, Mr. Van Kley.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) All right. So with respect to the green circles that represent the Emerson West surveys, all of those circles are on the boundary between the Emerson West project and the Republic Wind project, correct?

A. Yes.

Q. I believe that you stated that there's no

correlation between eagle flight distances and collisions with turbines? Am I expressing that accurately?

- A. I believe that's the case, yes.
- Q. Okay. If that's the case, why do eagle nest surveys and eagle surveys, at all, to prepare for the construction of a project of this nature?
- A. That's a question I can't answer. I'm just going by my statement that it was one of empiricism. In other words, no studies have shown that relationship to be correct.
- Q. With respect to your statement that there were a number of eagle nests surveyed in the Republic Wind project area, have you and I, during your testimony previously, discussed all of those studies?
 - A. Could you repeat that question, please?
 - Q. Yeah.

2.1

When I was asking you questions, I asked you a number of questions about the reports on bird studies that are listed in your testimony. Other than those reports, are there any other eagle nest surveys that were conducted in the Republic Wind project area?

- A. I don't know of any.
- Q. Okay. Are there any additional eagle

nest or eagle studies in the Emerson West Wind project area that you're aware of, other than those in the studies listed in your testimony?

- A. I'm not aware of any.
- Q. Now, pull out Exhibit 13, please. That is the excerpt or excerpts from your book, "How Birds Migrate," and go to page 166, the figure on that page.
 - A. 166, yes.

2.1

- Q. All right. And for purposes of record clarity, this is the page that you and I discussed that shows a figure of altitudes at which various types of birds fly, correct?
 - A. Yes. This is a --
- Q. And the altitudes at which the birds fly, in that table, are expressed in feet above the ground, correct?
 - A. Yes. Or water.
- Q. Okay. Now, I thought you said, in redirect, that birds primarily fly at a level of 700 meters above the ground? Is that what you said?
- A. For which one of these? I didn't say
 700 meters, I don't believe, on average. That would
 be in the higher range for some species. I'm sorry.
- Q. Okay. I guess it was unclear to me what

you said. I thought I heard you say that birds, in migration, fly at or above the level of 700 meters, but maybe I misunderstood your testimony. Do you recall what you said?

2.1

- A. I do to some degree. I mean, do I need to repeat it?
- Q. Well, I would like to understand what it was you said.
- A. All right. Let's start with this being a kind of rudimentary diagram to educate people who know very little about bird migration or maybe intermediate birders or even higher-level birders who don't know that much. This is supposed to represent the approximate altitudes and numbers of birds flying above the ground over water versus over land, day versus night. There's nothing exact about this. Do you want me to go over all of these?
- Q. No, not necessary. So I take it then that you are not backtracking on your testimony earlier today in which you discussed the information in this figure, correct?
- A. I did discuss some of the information in this figure.
- Q. Yeah. And you're not backtracking on that testimony, are you?

785 1 MS. FLINT: Objection. 2 Α. No. MS. FLINT: Objection. He earlier gave 3 basically the same testimony that he just gave on 4 5 what this figure represents and we're just repeating it all. 6 7 ALJ SANYAL: I mean, I think I feel like your witness answered the question, so I feel like 8 your objection is moot. 9 10 ALJ AGRANOFF: Please move on. 11 ALJ SANYAL: Yeah. 12 (By Mr. Van Kley) Go to Exhibit N of the Q. 13 Amended Application, dated December 26, 2018, which 14 is entitled "Passerine Migration Survey." What is the exhibit? I don't know if I 15 Α. 16 have that here. 17 Q. It's the one that you discussed with 18 Republic's counsel. Exhibit N, "Passerine Migration 19 Survey." 20 Α. Who did this study? 2.1 MR. PARRAM: "N." 22 THE WITNESS: "N." I'm sorry. Thank 23 you. 24 Α. Yes.

25

Q.

Okay. You were asked about a sentence in

the third paragraph on that page.

ALJ SANYAL: On which page?

MR. VAN KLEY: Page 2.

- Q. In the heading under "Introduction."
- A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. The sentence you were asked about states "While passerines are the most susceptible group of bird species, the numbers of bird casualties are low." Do you recall that testimony that you just gave?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. Keep your finger there and go back to Exhibit LR 13 which has the excerpts from your book on migration, and I would like to refer you to page 96 of those excerpts.
 - A. Okay.
- Q. Starting on the fourth line down on that page, it's stated as follows: "A single Chicago skyscraper was once responsible for the death of fifteen hundred migrants each year, birds on their way north up into the vast bird-breeding northern forests; now a city program is succeeding with a lights-out policy. In Toronto, Ring-billed Gulls would even come in to eat fallen birds off the sidewalks near the skyscrapers as the dazed birds

Proceedings - Volume III 787 tried to become airborne again. Add to this the 1 2 growing number of wind turbines in rural areas, and you do indeed have a problem." 3 Now, you wrote that in your book, 4 5 correct? 6 In 1995, I wrote that in my book, yes. Α. 7 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. I have no further 8 questions. 9 ALJ AGRANOFF: I have one. 10 MR. EUBANKS: I've got a quick --11 ALJ AGRANOFF: I'm sorry. 12 ALJ SANYAL: I'm sorry, go ahead. 13 MR. EUBANKS: I'll try to be as quick as 14 possible. 15 16 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 17 By Mr. Eubanks: 18 I believe in your redirect you stated Q. 19 that the Indiana bat and the northern long-eared bat 20 have different habitats? 2.1 To some degree, yes. In -- I'm sorry, Α. 22 yes. 23 But you would agree that they have Q.

24

25

similar habitats?

Α.

They are similar in some ways.

- Q. You would agree that they have similar -- I'm sorry, I'm looking at the wrong document. It's getting late for me as well. Similar active periods?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And similar morphological features?
- A. A bat expert would disagree, but I would kind of agree with you, looking at them.
 - Q. And that they're the same genus?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. And you would agree that was pointed out in the Technical Assistance Letter by U.S. Fish and Wildlife?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I believe also in your redirect you stated or you agreed with your counsel's characterization that the TAL outlines separate requirements for the Indiana bat and for the northern long-eared bat; is that correct?
 - A. They do.
- Q. Isn't it true that the TAL outlines guidelines for the Indiana bat and it states that the northern long-eared bat is exempted from incidental takes? So it doesn't really outline any requirements for the Indiana bat -- I mean for the northern long-eared bat.

A. But the term sheet -- may I look at that term sheet? If I can find it.

MR. PARRAM: I'll point you to the right place.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

- A. If you look at the term sheet, the two dots under "Design and Construction." It says unless otherwise approved by U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, avoid tree clearing as follows: Within 2.5 miles of the Indiana bat average roost, from April 1 through October 31; and within 150 feet of identified northern long-eared bat roosts, from June 1 to July 31. The dates are different for those and for the tree clearing. So you have different dates for different species in different areas.
- Q. Just for clarity, you said "term sheet."

 Is that the same as the Technical Assistance Letter?
 - A. The last --

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 19 ALJ SANYAL: It's the last page of that 20 sheet.
- A. The last page of the Technical Assistance
 Letter is the term sheet.
- 23 ALJ SANYAL: I had the same question 24 earlier and I flipped it over.
- THE WITNESS: I'm sorry.

ALJ SANYAL: No, no, you're correct.

- Q. (By Mr. Eubanks) Okay. Could you refer me to -- I'm now at the document. Could you refer me to what you're speaking of?
- A. A third of the way down the page where it says "Design and Construction."
 - Q. Yes.

2.1

A. Then in No. 2 it differentiates between Indiana bat, the two dots, and the northern long-eared bat with respect to avoiding tree clearing. They're different requirements. Within 2.5 miles of Indiana bat roost, between April 1 and October 31; and within 150 feet of identified northern long-eared bat roost trees, from June 1 to July 31. So it's a different distance and a different date because they're slightly different in activity patterns during the year.

MR. EUBANKS: Okay. I have no further questions.

ALJ AGRANOFF: If I could just get an understanding of why the Company was relying on Emerson East maps and trying to then make an analogy with respect to Republic's service territory and then the various monitoring and assorted activities.

Why wouldn't -- why didn't the Applicant

```
1 | simply just provide us with maps that were
```

2 Republic-specific, rather than trying to do this

3 analogy?

7

8

12

19

4 MS. FLINT: Could I have a point of

5 | clarification, Your Honor? I believe you said

6 "Emerson East."

ALJ SANYAL: It's Emerson West.

ALJ AGRANOFF: I'm sorry, I'm sorry.

9 Emerson West.

MS. FLINT: Okay.

11 THE WITNESS: For some reason I heard you

say "West." You're going to have to ask the

13 | Applicant on that --

14 | ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay.

15 THE WITNESS: -- because I have nothing

16 to do with making maps.

17 ALJ AGRANOFF: So you were not involved

18 | in any development of the testimony or exhibits that

were presented today relative to making analogies

20 | between Emerson West?

21 THE WITNESS: None of the graphics, I

22 wasn't involved in any of those. I was given the

23 material and developed the text based on what I had.

24 ALJ AGRANOFF: Do you think it would have

25 been possible to simply provide us with maps that

were specific to the Application in this case?

THE WITNESS: I believe so.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: And then with respect to questions that you were asked relative to conditions that may have come about with U.S. Fish and Wildlife pursuant to conversations versus written directive, is it your understanding that U.S. Fish and Wildlife would establish conditions through conversation which would not be reflected in written directive?

THE WITNESS: They don't like to say things unless they're going to be in print eventually. You don't say those types of things because it's tough to -- I'm sorry -- it's tough to take back things once you've gotten into a meeting and you've said certain things. So, generally, is that -- that's --

ALJ AGRANOFF: I'm asking whether or not it's your understanding that U.S. Fish and Wildlife's directives would take place through written documentation versus through any type of oral communication.

THE WITNESS: Most take, you know, a written approach but, in meetings prior to that, you know, you exchange ideas and thoughts and you generally know, before you get the letter, if you've

been going to meetings and discussing this stuff with them.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And then once you receive the letter, anything that would be specific would have been delineated within that letter?

THE WITNESS: Usually it's more specific, yeah, conditions and sample sizes that they might ask for, things like that.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Based on my limited questions, any follow-up from counsel?

MR. VAN KLEY: No, Your Honor.

MS. FLINT: No, Your Honor.

MR. EUBANKS: No, Your Honor.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Thank you.

ALJ SANYAL: Thank you, Mr. Kerlinger.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

17 ALJ SANYAL: We apologize for keeping you

18 | so late.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Now, we have a number of exhibits and a short period of time to do this, so let me ask just generically: Are there going to be objections to the admission of some of these exhibits that have been marked throughout today?

MR. VAN KLEY: I don't -- I don't think I

would object to any of the Applicant's exhibits that were marked today.

ALJ AGRANOFF: And with -- Staff, do you have any?

MR. EUBANKS: We have no objections.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. And with respect to any of the exhibits that the Local Residents have marked today, any objections to those?

MS. FLINT: Could you repeat the question, Your Honor?

11 ALJ AGRANOFF: Yes.

MS. FLINT: Do we have --

13 ALJ AGRANOFF: Are you objecting to any of Mr. Van Kley's exhibits?

MS. FLINT: We would object to

Exhibit LR 13, the excerpts from Mr. Kerlinger's

17 book.

19

20

2.1

22

5

6

7

8

9

10

18 ALJ SANYAL: Explain further.

first of all, they're excerpts from a book which
Mr. Kerlinger -- Dr. Kerlinger described that this

MS. FLINT: The basis would be that,

isn't an empirical study as opposed to the studies

23 that were at issue in this case. I just don't

24 think -- it has no relevancy and could be potentially

25 used -- could be prejudicial and it's not a complete

copy.

2.1

ALJ AGRANOFF: Mr. Van Kley.

3 MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah.

With regard to Exhibit 13, as a compromise, I would offer to ask for the admission of only the first two pages to identify the book and identify Mr. Kerlinger as the author of that book; and then page 166, which contains the figure that Mr. Kerlinger described in detail during his testimony, so that people, including the parties, can refer to it and so that the record clearly reflects what he was discussing in this figure, in particular the distribution of flight altitudes for the various categories of birds which are perhaps a more clear portrayal of the information that he compiled during his studies than just relying on his verbal testimony.

MS. FLINT: I don't really understand what Mr. Van Kley -- most of what Mr. Van Kley just said how -- if he's relating what is in 166 to be what his testimony is, and I think maybe that's my point.

I think he was very clear when he explained what the figures are in 166 and he was describing them as they're meant for. I mean, I wish

I could go back on his testimony but he clearly was not describing these figures to be meant to be used as some kind of scientific study or analysis that he, himself, performed to come up with those figures.

And to put them in record would be to suggest that this is what Dr. Kerlinger believes today to be the height of nocturnal migrants, which I believe it's clear from Mr. Van Kley's insistence that it be in, he wants to challenge that or he wants to suggest this is Dr. Kerlinger's opinion, and it is not.

MR. VAN KLEY: I think --

MS. FLINT: This book is not what Dr. Kerlinger's position is on the general height of nocturnal migrants, he said that and that this book is not an empirical-study-type of book.

MR. VAN KLEY: Well, he didn't get the figures out of thin air. He testified on the stand that this is a valid general portrayal as to the flight altitudes of migrating birds --

MS. FLINT: I do not recall --

MR. VAN KLEY: Would you stop

23 interrupting, please.

MS. FLINT: I thought you were finished,

25 sir.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: I was not.

2.1

How he characterized the information in this figure can be derived from his testimony on it. So, you know, to the extent that he either did or did not say that this information reflects his current opinion, and I think he did say that but the record will reflect what he indeed did say. But at least by placing this page in the record, everybody involved in the case will be able to refer to it, and it will make his testimony clearer.

MS. FLINT: Your Honors, I disagree that it will make his testimony clearer. He did not equate his opinion on the general height of nocturnal migrants to what he said in page 166. In fact, I think he said what he refers to on page 166 is not a scientific explanation or study. I think he very clearly said that which is the basis for my objection.

It's being put in the record for -attempting to be put in the record for a purpose that
is not helpful to the Hearing Officers on what is at
issue in the case, per the author of the document
himself.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. We're going to refrain from issuing a ruling on this at this point

in time until I have an opportunity to go back and look at the context under which this conversation took place; so once I have an opportunity to have that review, then we will make a ruling relative to this particular exhibit.

6 MS. FLINT: I understand. Thank you.

ALJ AGRANOFF: Other than that, if I understood correctly, there were no other objections to any of the other exhibits that have been identified?

MR. VAN KLEY: Correct.

12 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. So why don't we 13 just, if Anna can do a quick --

14 ALJ SANYAL: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

15 ALJ AGRANOFF: -- delineation of everything.

ALJ SANYAL: Sure. So I have LR 12, 13, 14, and 15 that are being admitted, and there's no objections to those.

And then I have Republic 22, 23, 24, and 25. I believe actually 24 was admitted earlier.

And then we've marked Staff Exhibit 1, which is the Staff Report, but we're going to admit it later, correct, or do you want to do it now?

MR. EUBANKS: I always believe that it's

Proceedings - Volume III 799 1 admitted as part of the rules but --2 ALJ SANYAL: Right, so. 3 MR. EUBANKS: -- unless anybody objects, I would move for its admission. 4 5 ALJ SANYAL: I'm going to admit it then 6 if there's --7 ALJ AGRANOFF: I'd like each of the 8 parties to make a motion to move their respective exhibits into evidence, so who wants to go first? 9 10 MR. VAN KLEY: We so move. MR. PARRAM: We move for all of our 11 12 exhibits to be admitted into the record. 13 ALJ AGRANOFF: That were identified in 14 today's proceeding? 15 MR. PARRAM: Yes, Your Honor. MR. EUBANKS: Staff moves to have Staff's 16 17 Exhibit 1 moved into evidence. 18 ALJ SANYAL: Okay. And those motions are 19 granted. 20 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) 2.1 ALJ AGRANOFF: Except for --22 ALJ SANYAL: Except for LR Exhibit 16 which we will make a ruling on after we review --23

ALJ AGRANOFF: 13, LR Exhibit 13.

ALJ SANYAL: Just kidding. Exhibit LR 13

24

800 which we will review the transcript for. 1 2 ALJ AGRANOFF: Okay. Thank you, 3 everybody. Have a good evening. (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at 4 5 7:33 p.m.) 6 7 CERTIFICATE 8 I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a 9 true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken 10 by me in this matter on Wednesday, November 6, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic 11 12 notes. 13 14 Carolyn M. Burke, Registered 15 Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the 16 State of Ohio. 17 18 My commission expires July 17, 2023. 19 20 21 22 23 24

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/25/2019 10:14:52 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-2295-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript Volume III - In the Matter of the Application of Republic Wind, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need for a Wind-Powered Electric Generating Facility in Seneca and Sandusky Counties, Ohio, hearing held on November 6th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn