BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Motion to Modify the :

Exemption Granted to the East Ohio Gas : Case No. 18-1419-GA-EXM

Company d/b/a Dominion Energy Ohio

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF TIMOTHY W. BENEDICT

OFFICE OF THE FEDERAL ENERGY ADVOCATE ON BEHALF OF THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

STAFF EX. ____

- 1 1. Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is Timothy W. Benedict. I am employed by the Public Utilities
- 3 Commission of Ohio (PUCO). My business address is 180 E. Broad St,
- 4 Columbus, Ohio 43215.

- 6 2. Q. What is your current position at the Commission?
- A. I am a Senior Utility Specialist in the Office of the Federal Energy
- 8 Advocate. My responsibilities include economic analysis of wholesale and
- 9 retail competitive markets, energy demand forecasting, and advocacy on
- regional and federal issues as they pertain to the state of Ohio.

11

- 12 3. Q. Please summarize your educational background and work experience.
- 13 A. I received a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics from the University of
- 14 Vermont and a Master of Arts degree in Economics from Cleveland State
- University. I had been employed by TrustCo Bank in Glenville, New York
- and AmTrust Bank in Cleveland, Ohio prior to joining the Staff of the
- 17 Commission in December 2009.

- 19 4. Q. Have you testified in prior proceedings before the Public Utilities
- 20 Commission of Ohio?
- 21 A. Yes.

1 5. Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?

A. My testimony presents a summary of the data being collected by PUCO Staff (Staff) that informs our position to support OCC and OPAE's motion to modify the exemption granted to Dominion Energy Ohio (DEO or Dominion) and that the findings upon which the Commission's order in Case No. 12-1842-GA-EXM (2013 Case) are no longer valid. The data supporting Staff's position is presented herein in an aggregated and deidentified format, to preclude the release of any data that might be considered a proprietary trade secret and/or confidential by any market participant.

6. Q. Please explain the source and nature of the data Staff is collecting.

A. As part of the Stipulation and Recommendation entered into by the parties in the 2013 Case and the subsequent Commission order approving the Stipulation, Staff is receiving data on the customer and market impacts of Dominion's exit of the merchant function. At the time, the Commission believed "that allowing DEO to exit the merchant function for nonresidential customers provides the Commission with an excellent opportunity to study the consequences of the exit" and "that a maximum amount of information should be provided regarding the impact of DEO's

exit"¹ The Commission directed DEO to provide such information "so that all parties can become better informed regarding the effect of DEO's exit on competition and customers" and to provide Staff with "any data Staff determines is necessary to adequately provide information to assist the Commission in determining future actions pertaining to natural gas competition."²

7.

Q. Has Staff been collecting data on the number of customers, both residential and nonresidential, that are taking service under the Monthly Variable Rate (MVR)? What are Staff's observations at this time?

A.

Yes. Staff observes that the number of customers who continue to rely on the MVR has not changed significantly since the data began being collected. Staff is concerned that this data demonstrates that the MVR has not operated as an efficient mechanism to facilitate incremental market development or to encourage customers to engage more fully with the competitive marketplace. The aggregate number of both residential and nonresidential customers taking service under the MVR is depicted in the following figure.

In the Matter of the Application to Modify, in Accordance with Section 4929.08, Revised Code, the Exemption Granted to The East Ohio Gas Company d/b/a Dominion East Ohio in Case No. 07-1224-GA-EXM, Case No. 12-1842-GA-EXM (2013 Case), Opinion and Order (January 9, 2013) (2013 Order), at 17.



Has Staff been collecting data on the rates being charged to residential

customers in the MVR program and how these rates compare to market

rates and default service rates? What are Staff's observations at this time?

Yes. Staff observes that average MVR rates have been consistently higher

than rates for default service. Staff is particularly concerned that some

MVR rates are many multiples higher than prevailing market prices and

unconscionably high, to the point of being unjust and unreasonable. The

that customers are therefore being assigned to MVR rates that are

data is presented in the following figure.

2

1

3

8.

Q.

A.

- 4
- 5
- 7

- 8
- 9
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14



9.

Q. Has Staff been collecting data on the rates being charged to nonresidential customers in the MVR program and how these rates compare to market rates? What are Staff's observations at this time?

Yes. Staff observes that, as with residential MVR rates, nonresidential

presented in the following figure. The SCO is included for illustrative

MVR rates consistently exist at a multiple to market price that constitutes a

8 rate that is so unconscionable as to be unjust and unreasonable. The data is

purposes.

A.



10. Q. Did the Commission consider customer protections in allowing Dominion to exit the merchant function for nonresidential customers?

A. Yes. In its Opinion and Order in the 2013 case, the Commission believed that "customers will be protected by the market during this transition." Staff also recommended a comprehensive customer education program in that proceeding, which the Commission granted in order to help ensure that the Stipulation would benefit ratepayers and the public interest. Further discussion around customer education and experience with Dominion's MVR program is contained within the testimonies of Staff witnesses Bossart and Magaziner-Tempesta.

11. Q. Based on the data it has been collecting and presented herein, what is

³ 2013 Order at 15.

1	Staff's recommendation to the Commission as it pertains to the motions
2	filed by the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) and The Ohio Partners for
3	Affordable Energy (OPAE)?

- A. Staff believes that the data it has been collecting demonstrates that the
 findings upon which the Commission's 2013 Order was based are no longer
 valid and that modification is in the public interest. Staff recommends that
 the Commission eliminate the MVR for both residential and nonresidential
 customers and initiate a process whereby an auction-based Standard Choice
 Offer (SCO) is restored as a default service choice for nonresidential
 customers of Dominion.
- 12 12. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?

13 A. Yes it does. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony
14 as described herein, as new information subsequently becomes available or
15 in response to positions taken by other parties.

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Testimony of Timothy Benedict was submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio via electronic mail upon the following parties of record, this 15th day of November, 2019.

/s/Werner L. Margard III

Werner L. Margard III Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

Colleen L. Mooney
Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy
P.O. Box 12451
Columbus, OH 43212-2451
cmooney@ohiopartners.org

Attorney for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

Terry L. Etter
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
65 East State S.treet, 7th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
terry.etter@occ.ohio.gov

Kimberly W. Bojko
Angela Paul Whitfield
Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP
280 North High Street, Suite 1300
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Telephone: (614) 365-4100
bojko@carpenterlipps.com
paul@carpenterlipps.com

Attorneys for the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Barth E. Royer Barth E. Royer, LLC 2740 East Main Street Bexley, Ohio 43209 BarthRoyer@aol.com

Joseph Oliker

Attorney for Dominion Energy Solutions, Inc.

Michael Nugent
Bethany Allen
Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.
6100 Emerald Parkway
Dublin, Ohio 43016
Joe.Oliker@igs.com
Michael.Nugent@igs.com
Bethany.Allen@igs.com

Frank P. Darr 6800 Linbrook Blvd. Columbus, Ohio 43235 (fdarr@gmail.com

Attorneys for Interstate Gas Supply, Inc.

Christopher T. Kennedy Whitt Sturtevant LLP The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590 88 E. Broad St. Suite 1590 Columbus, OH 43215 kennedy@whitt-sturtevant.com

Attorney for Dominion East Ohio Gas Company

Michael J. Settineri
Gretchen L. Petrucci
MacDonald W. Taylor
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 East Gay Street
Columbus, OH 43215
mjsettineri@vorys.com
glpetrucci@vorys.com
mwtaylor@vorys.com

Attorneys for the Retail Energy Supply Association

Madeline Fleisher Christine M.T. Pirik Dickinson Wright PLLC 150 East Gay Street, Suite 2400 Columbus, Ohio 43215 mfleisher@dickinsonwright.com cpirik@dickinsonwright.com

Attorneys for Direct Energy Services, LLC and Direct Energy Business Marketing, LLC

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/15/2019 3:26:36 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1419-GA-EXM

Summary: Testimony of Timothy Benedict electronically filed by Mrs. Tonnetta Y Scott on behalf of PUCO