BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of Juan : Case No.

Martinez Notice of Apparent : 19-676-TR-CVF

Violation and Intent to : Assess Forfeiture. :

PROCEEDINGS

Before Anna Sanyal, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180

East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Wednesday, October 30, 2019, at 10:00

A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Werner L. Margard
 3
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                  On behalf of the Staff of the
                  Public Utilities Commission
 6
                  of Ohio.
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

1		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2	 DIRECT	
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	
4	Trooper David Smith 7	
5		
6	Rod Moser 36	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STA	TE'S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	11	44	
5 6	2	Copies of Photographs	11	44	
7	3	Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture	39	44	
8	4	Notice of Unpaid Civil Forfeiture	39	44	
10	5	Violation and Intent to	39	44	
11 12	6	Assess Forfeiture Notice of Preliminary	39	44	
13		Determination			
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
1
                          Wednesday Morning,
                          October 30, 2019.
 2
 3
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good morning,
 5
     everyone. The Public Utilities Commission of
 6
     Ohio has assigned for hearing Case No.
 7
     19-676-TR-CVF which is captioned In the Matter
     of Juan Martinez Notice of Apparent Violation
 8
     and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.
 9
10
                 My name is Anna Sanyal, and I am
11
     the Attorney Examiner assigned to this case.
12
                 Just before we take appearances I
13
     would like to note for the record that
14
     Respondent, Mr. Martinez, is not here at the
15
    moment. So what we are most likely going to do
16
     is take appearances and then go off the record
17
     for about 10, 15 minutes to see if Mr. Martinez
18
     appears.
19
                 So, let's do appearances now.
20
     Staff.
2.1
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.
22
     On behalf of the transportation Staff of the
     Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Werner L.
23
24
    Margard, Assistant Attorney General, 30 East
25
     Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio.
```

1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. 2 Margard. And is it okay with you if we take about 10, 15 minutes to go off the record and 3 wait to see if Mr. Martinez joins us? 4 5 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, we have 6 had no contact with Mr. Martinez. I have no 7 reason to know that he will not be appearing today. I understand he is traveling from 8 9 Illinois. I certainly think allowing him a 10 little additional time would not be 11 inappropriate. 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 13 let us go off the record and we will be back 14 around 10:15 A.M. 15 (RECESS TAKEN) 16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It is now 10:15 17 A.M. and Respondent is not here yet. So at this 18 time, Mr. Margard, the floor is yours. 19 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 I will respectfully move for a default judgment 2.1 to be taken against the Respondent for failure to prosecute their request for a hearing. 2.2 23 As I am certain that Your Honor will 24 take that under advisement, I would nonetheless 25 like to proceed with at least presenting a case

7 1 to justify a decision. 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. 3 MR. MARGARD: And so, Your Honor, I would like to call Trooper Dave Smith to the 4 5 stand, please. 6 (WITNESS SWORN) 7 TROOPER DAVID SMITH 8 9 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 10 testified as follows: 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please be 12 seated. Whenever you are ready, Mr. Margard. 13 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 14 DIRECT EXAMINATION 15 By Mr. Margard: 16 Q. Sir, will you please state your 17 name? 18 My full name is David Simpson Smith. Α. 19 And by whom are you employed and in Ο. 20 what capacity, please? 2.1 I am employed by Ohio State Highway 22 Patrol as a Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector? 23 Q. And what is your business address, 24 route, which Post do you operate? 25 Α. I operate out of Post 48 which is

- the Toledo Post. The street number should be 10391, might be 390, I thinks it's 391, Swanton, Ohio 43558.
- Q. Close enough. Thank you. Could you briefly describe your duties in your position?
- A. It's my job to enforce motor carrier regulations, and by doing so I pull over trucks along the road and perform inspections.
- Q. How long have you been doing that, please?
- 11 A. It's January will be three years.

 12 So about two and three-quarter years at this

 13 point.
 - Q. Thank you. Do you have any particular training or certification to perform your job?
- A. There was classes that I had to go
 through to be federally certified, which is Part
 A, Part B. There is a I believe two hazmat
 classes, and also a class for motor coach.
 - Q. And you successfully completed those classes and those certifications?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

2.1

22

Q. Sir, were you on duty on June 5th of 25 2018?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

19

- Q. And do you recall what your job assignment and what your duties were on that date?
- A. That day I was working Wood County.

 I believe I was at the scales when I was called

 to a crash up in Sylvania Township.
 - Q. How did you receive that dispatch?
 - A. Over the radio.
 - Q. And you responded to the scene?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And before I ask you specifically about the accident or the scene, do you have independent recollection today of that inspection?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. You remember it?
- 18 A. Yes.
 - Q. Okay. Good. Thank you. Can you tell me what you discovered upon arriving?
- A. When I arrived the scene was well established. Because it took me a good half hour to get up there. Might have been 45 minutes.
- The truck was traveling from

westbound to southbound. There was a curve, two-lane curve, and the truck had rolled on its side and slide into the guardrail. And it was lying on its side.

2.1

2.2

And they were already in the process of the tow company unloading the load of bottled water from the trailer so that they could upright the unit.

- Q. When you say that the scene was established, I take it you were not the first to respond to the scene?
- A. No. I was probably the last or very -- they may have brought another unit for traffic control, but I was if not last almost last.
- Q. So you were not the Trooper who responded to the crash per se, you were there to support and to carry out whatever motor carrier issues might have been involved?
- A. Right. They called me in to do an inspection on the vehicle.
- Q. And did in fact you perform an inspection?
- 24 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And as a result of that inspection

Proceedings 11 1 did you generate a report? 2 Yes, sir, I did. Α. 3 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, may I approach? 4 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. And you may do so freely during your examination of this 6 7 witness. MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 8 9 Your Honor, may the record reflect I have 10 placed two multi-page documents before 11 the witness marked for purposes of 12 identification as Staff Exhibits 1 and 2. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: They shall be so 14 marked. 15 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR 16 IDENTIFICATION). MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 18 Trooper Smith, do you have before Q. 19 you what's been marked as Staff Exhibits 1 and 20 2? 2.1 Α. I am sorry.

- 22 Do you have before you what's been Q. marked as Staff Exhibits 1 and 2? 23
- 24 Yes, sir. Α.
- 25 Q. Can you, let's start with the first

- one, let's start with Staff Exhibit No. 1.
- 2 | Could you identify that for me, please?
- A. Yes. This is a report I generated
 when I was called to the accident for J&R

 Martinez Trucking. I do want to note one thing.
- 6 Q. Yes, sir.

7

8

9

10

- A. There is a computer glitch, and it shows R. K. Kernik under my badge number, and that somebody else had my badge number before I started doing this.
- Q. So the badge number on the report is correct?
- 13 A. The badge number is correct, sir,
 14 but my name is not correct.
 - Q. This is in fact your report?
- 16 A. It is my report other than that.
- Q. And it was prepared by you at the time of your inspection; is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you had an opportunity to review this report prior to taking the stand today?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. And does it accurately reflect your findings at the time?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you see any errors, corrections or anything that needs to be modified in this report?
 - A. No, sir.
- Q. Thank you.

7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have a quick

8 | question. Where is the badge number?

THE WITNESS: The very bottom,

10 | ma'am.

1

2

3

4

5

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Got it. Thank

12 you.

- Q. Now I just want to walk through the report briefly. First all at the top it says inspection level, full inspection. What does that entail, sir?
- A. That entails an inspection of the driver's paperwork, and it also entails an inspection of lights, tires, condition, overall condition of what we call walk-around.

And then the Level 1 part gets into actually measuring the brakes and checking components to see if there is anything broke or missing or moving around.

So, it's in our world there is a 3,

- a 2 and 1. And technically a 1 is all of those combined.
 - Q. And this was necessary because there was an accident and it was important to ascertain possible causes of the collision.
- 6 | Is that the reason?

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

- A. Yes. The main reason is the fact that the truck crashed and try to determine if there was any mechanical defects.
- Q. Did you discover any mechanical defects?
- A. None other than what was generated by the crash itself.
 - Q. Thank you. Now, that inspection also involved inspection of the driver and the driver's paperwork and qualifications and so forth; does it not?
 - A. It does. Yes.
- Q. And was the driver, was Mr. Martinez present at the time of your inspection?
- 21 A. No, he was not.
- Q. Do you know what became of Mr.
- 23 | Martinez?
- A. I was told he was transported to the hospital.

- Q. But he was not present to respond to any questions or queries that you had?
 - A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

- Q. Was there sufficient paperwork available for you to be able to conduct that portion of your inspection?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. I want to direct your attention now to the vehicle identification portion of the first page of Staff Exhibit No. 1. There are two units identified there; is that correct?
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And what are these units, please?

 TT and ST. What are those?
 - A. TT is tracker truck. A truck tracker. And then also ST means a semi-trailer.
- Q. Very good. Thank you. The make is the manufacturer?
- A. Yes. That would be for the TT,
 which is the power unit, it's a Freightliner.
 And then for the ST it's a Hyundai.
- Q. Very good. And the year is the year of manufacture for each of these?
- A. Yes. The Freightliner is 2005 and the Hyundai is 2015.

- Q. And you have the various license numbers and the unit VINS that identify each of those units as well; correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.

- Q. Okay. Thank you. I am going to direct your attention now if you would please to the violations section about mid-way down on that first page. There is as I count them six different violations here; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And in the different columns there are codes and sections and units. And in the units column we have Ds, 1s and 2s. And I presume that the 1 and the 2 relate back to the vehicle, units, that we just described; is that correct?
- A. Right. Unit 1 would be the power unit, which would be the Freightliner. Unit 2 would be the trailer, which would be the Hyundai.
- Q. Thank you. And then D refers to the driver; is that correct?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, with respect to at lease a couple of these there are citations indicated as

- well. Does this mean that the driver was issued a citation for these violations?
- A. Yes, sir. The two that are marked E-Citation, the driver was given a E-Citation, which was issued by the Trooper that was the primary on the accident.
- Q. And you understand that these two violations are not at issue in the case that's before the Commission today?
 - A. Yes, sir.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

- Q. So we are going to focus simply on the 2nd and the 4th of those violations. Is that your understanding?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Okay. So the first that we note is a violation code, and there is a section number, the unit OOS, out of service I assume, is that correct?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And then there is also a
 description. Can you tell me what of that
 information you input into these fields?
- A. Okay. We are talking about the second violation?
- Q. Let's take a look at the second

violation, yes.

2.1

A. Okay. The second, in the violation description on my report is operating a property carrying vehicle without possessing a medical, valid medical certificate.

Then my note what I added was driver had no medical certificate, no valid medical certificate, expired on 5-5-2018.

- Q. Very good. And that is information that you yourself put in. Was the rest of it automatically generated?
- A. The very first, the description is automatically generated when you type in the violation number. The second part, the driver has no valid medical certificate with the expiration date is what I entered into the report as a note.
- Q. Very good. Thank you. And how did you determining that he did not have a valid medical certificate?
- A. Well, when I got to the scene I was given the driver's paperwork. He had a binder with truck information, along with his logbook and his other paperwork. And as I was going through it we looked for the driver's log,

record of duty status, valid medical. We also looked for registration for each unit. And we also looked for a valid periodic inspection for each unit.

And when I was going through that the paper copy he had with him, which is what I was considering being presented to me as his valid medical, it was expired.

- Q. Now, you took photographs during your investigation; did you not?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And you have before you what's been marked Staff Exhibit No. 2; correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And are these copies of the photographs that you took at the time of your inspection?
 - A. They are.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you. Your

Honor, I would like to just note for the record
that both with respect to Staff Exhibit 1 and
Staff Exhibit 2 that there are certain
redactions that have been made to protect
the privacy of the Respondent in the copy that
has been provided to the court reporter.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you for making that clarification.

- Q. Sir, let me ask you, if you would, please, and I take it you have reviewed these photographs prior to taking the stand today; correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And these accurately depict
 the scene at the time of your inspection and
 accurately depict the documents that you
 reviewed; correct?
 - A. Yes, sir, they do.
- Q. Let me ask you if you would, please,
 to turn to what has been marked page 14 of Staff
 Exhibit 2.
- 16 A. Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. And can you tell me if these are the medical examination certificates that you examined?
 - A. Yes, sir, they are. These were right in his logbook. I am sorry, not his logbook, his we call them a truck book or binder, three-ring binder, that he had with him in the truck.
- Q. And are we looking at the front

and the back of a certificate, or are we looking at two separate documents? Do you recall?

A. If I recall correctly it's two separate pages. Some states have multiple pages. I don't recall what this one had.

There could have been other copies, but I made pictures of what I felt was pertinent to my investigation and my inspection.

- Q. Thank you, sir. But the information on these two photographs is consistent, correct, between the two?
- A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. And how did you ascertain that this certificate had expired?
 - A. I am sorry?
- Q. How did you ascertain that this certificate had expired?
- A. I also in our computer program that I had with me in my patrol vehicle, we have what we call CDLIS, which a CDLIS. It's a federal program. And you can enter the driver's license number in that and it will give you information pertaining to his license status and medical information.
- A lot of times a driver doesn't have

this paper copy with him, so we are relying on the computer to ascertain what his medical status is.

It also showed that the medical had expired, the dates matched the information in the computer as well as on the paper.

- Q. And the specific dates that are in issue here, there appears to be a 5-5-2016 that appears to be the date on which this certificate was issued?
- 11 A. The date it was issued, yes. And
 12 normally most of them are two-year, if there is
 13 a medical issue, one of which would be he has
 14 high blood pressure. There could be others.
 15 I am not familiar with -- and then would only be
 16 issued one year.
 - Q. And so the date that appears above 5-5-2018 would reflect that two-year expiration date; correct?
 - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And that is a month prior to this incident; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, you also mentioned there CDLIS.
- 25 Is that what's depicted on page 1 of Staff

Exhibit 2?

2.1

2.2

A. Actually what's depicted on page 1 is what we call a prescreen. We bring up Aspin, a federal program that we have which is an aid to doing an inspection. The prescreen you can type in the DOT number and it will come up with a carrier and information.

And then also then the second box for that is driver. And that is what this is depicted on page 1 is -- the top is page -- the top of page 1 is the driver information. And then the bottom of page 1 is the carrier information.

- Q. I was wanting to make sure we identified all those screens. The other one appears on page 16 of Staff Exhibit 2. If you take a look at that real quickly.
- A. Okay. Page 16 is a picture I took of the CDLIS website, and it says up in the corner CDLIS DOT.gov. And that is what they were showing at the time of the crash.
- Q. And so between the paper certificates that he had and the CDLIS system you were able to ascertain that his medical certificate had in fact expired; is that

correct?

2.1

- A. Yes. As I am looking at this the paper copy he had with him showed it had expired. I am looking at his license details. This has an issued date of 6-2 of '15
 - Q. That would be his CDL issue date?
- A. Oh, yes, I am sorry, yes. Okay.

 Yes. I am looking at the -- yes, I am looking at that -- normally on the CDLIS page when there is medical data displayed it will give you the dates that the medical exam was performed and the date that the medical exam expires.

The dates that are listed on the CDLIS webpage is the dates of his license. If you go below that it has his commercial status as not eligible, his non-commercial status is licensed. And normally below that is where you will have the medical information. And there is none, there was none the day that I ran his license.

- Q. So you had nothing that would indicate that he had a current medical certificate?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. Very good. Thank you. I want to

turn your attention back to Staff Exhibit No. 1 again, the examination report. And take a look at that 4th violation. The ELD, no record of duty status.

What portion of this violation did you enter on this report?

- A. Okay. You said the 4th violation?
- Q. The 4th violation, yes, sir.
- A. Okay. The beginning ELD, no record of duty status. ELD required. I entered the CMV, which is abbreviation for commercial motor vehicle. Has no ELD, and driver is using paper RODS, which is abbreviation for record of duty status.
- Q. And thank you for explaining the abbreviations. I think the one we haven't explained so far is ELD. Will you explain that?
 - A. ELD is electronic logging device.
- Q. Now, drivers are required to keep a record of their duty status; correct?
 - A. Yes, they are.
- Q. And there are a number of different means by which they can do that; correct?
- 24 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

25 One of these means is this

electronic logging device. What is that, how does that work?

2.

2.1

2.2

A. The electronic logging device -there is actually two used at this point in
time. The first one is called an automatic
onboard recording device. The ELD, electronic
logging device, is an extension of that.

The older version, which is the automatic onboard recording device, does not record truck mileage, nor does it record engine hours. The feds updated the requirements on what information the device should record, and the ELD is considered the updated version. And depending on -- I can't get into the exact dates of when the automatic and the ELD are required or not required.

The older version is still in use, and coming this December 2019 they will be doing away with the older version. The main thing is as far as the date we are dealing with when I did this, when I did this inspection, is the truck is a 2005. It's newer than the 2000 model year. And there was a cutoff for having -- the requirement to use a electronic device, which could have been either an

- automatic onboard recording device, or an ELD at that time, neither of which he had in use. He was using the paper record of duty status.
- Q. Thank you. Very thorough explanation, and I appreciate that. The automatic onboard recording device, is that a portable device, is that something that the driver carries with him?
 - A. The automatic onboard recording device is normally mounted to the dash, it's normally attached to the truck with a cord. It can be wireless, but most of them are wired to the truck with a cord.
 - Q. And the ELD is something which is -- how is it attached?
 - A. The ELD is supposed to be mounted to the dash with the ability to remove it so it can be reviewed outside the vehicle. It also records more information than the older units.
 - Q. Is it your understanding that the regulations require ELDs on vehicles manufactured since 2000; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

Q. And this vehicle, this
tractor-trailer, this tractor truck, was I think

you testified a 2005; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. All right. And I think you also testified, I just want to be clear for the record, there was no automatic onboard recording device in this vehicle, was there?
 - A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And there was no ELD in this vehicle, was there?
- 10 A. No. There was no electronic devise 11 at all.
- 12 Q. But you did find evidence that he 13 had in fact recorded his duty status; correct?
 - A. Yes. He had a paper record of duty status with him.
 - Q. And I am going to refer you back again to the packet of photographs marked as Staff Exhibit No. 2. And beginning on page 2 and continuing to page 6, I see a series of nine different days of paper duty status records; is that correct?
- A. Just a second. Yes. Nine total.

 There is eight pictures, but he had two days of

 off duty on one page, which is allowed. It was

 probably a weekend for him.

- Q. Okay. So, you determined that he violated this particular regulation by virtue of the year in which the tractor was manufactured and the absence of any kind of electronic recording device?
 - A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. Thank you. Did you have the opportunity to speak with Mr. Martinez at any point?
 - A. No, I did not.
 - Q. Have you ever?
 - A. To my knowledge, no.
- Q. Is there anything else that you think is important for the Commission to know or to understand about this vehicle, this driver, or your inspection in rendering its decision?
- A. No. It pretty well speaks for itself. One of the parts of training is if you are going to make a violation, generate a violation, make sure you are able to document the reason for doing it. And that is the reason for the pictures. With the pictures of his medical, pictures of what I was looking at on the computer, and also pictures of his logbook.

- thank you for that. Your Honor, I have no
 further questions for this witness.
- 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I
- 4 have some questions.

8

9

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

5 EXAMINATION

6 By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. So, if you will turn to Staff
 Exhibit 1. So, is it, you know, in your
 expertise is it common for the truck tractor and
 the semi-trailer to be made in different years,
 to have that 2005 versus that 2015 range?
- A. Oh, yes.
- 13 Q. Okay.
 - A. A lot of the times they will -- it's their power unit and they will go to a facility and pick up whoevers trailer. So they call it drop and hook.
 - Q. Okay. And then in the violations section of Staff Exhibit 1, do you know why some of these -- why five of these violations are bolded and why one is not? Is there a specific reason why?
- A. As far as the printing is in bold, as far as darker, is that your question?
- 25 Q. Yes.

A. Usually what that means is that the bold lettering is an out of service. And if you look under column — there is a column across the top where you have violation codes, and then a section, drop down, and then a unit and out of service. You will see if it's out of service exist, which is a yes, all the lettering is in bold and darker. It's to kind of bring it to the driver's attention when you give them a report and/or the company, I am assuming too, that it's more serious. That is why they have it highlighted.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Thank you. And then will you flip to Staff Exhibit 2, to page 16. So you have a photo on the bottom of this screen, this CDLIS screen, but this is not the picture of the full screen, right, because there is a scroll bar. So there is presumably some information in the bottom; correct?
- A. There could be. On this particular one there was not, or I would have taken a separate picture. Normally before license restrictions you start seeing his medical information. That gives the medical, name of the person that did the exam. And then the

dates.

2.1

I see what you are saying, and I can see the fact that it could look like it's an incomplete document. I do try to make sure that I had all the information captured when I took the picture. As I recall the rest of that page was blank.

- Q. Okay. Then one other question for Staff Exhibit 2. So going back to page 2 you have several pages of these paper records of duty status. So, just so that I understand, so for truck tractors that are produced after 2000, even if the driver keeps paper records, they are still required to have an ELD; correct?
 - A. Yes. In normal circumstances.
- Q. So the paper records they can keep in conjunction with having the ELD, but it doesn't abslove them of the duty to have an ELD?
- A. Yes. Most of them will not -- a lot of the companies have told drivers that I have talked to not to do both because if you are in an inspection situation and the ELD and the paper doesn't match you could end up with a potential false log situation, which is one of the reasons that they wanted to do away with

the paper because if you have individual sheets you can substitute page 3 and make a new sheet.

And that was one of the things you used to sometimes would find that the driver had multiple copies of the same day, or two or three of the same day, and they actually had different information on them.

- Q. So some having these paper records are still required, even though he had these paper records, he was still required to have the ELD?
- A. Yes. With the circumstances he was running or operating the vehicle under, yes.

14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Those 15 are all the questions I have.

MR. MARGARD: Could I just ask a follow-up question?

18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you may.

19 FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION

20 By Mr. Margard:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

16

17

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. It's about the paper records. And ask you to turn to page 5, if you would please, of the package of photographs. And I believe you testified that there were nine images but eight days, and that there was a day for which

there were two log sheets.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

16

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think there is eight pages and nine days.

- A. That is my mistake. There is nine images and it's also nine days.
- Q. And I note on page 5 that the top image is 6-4 of '18 and the bottom image is 6-4 of '18, and one shows off duty and one shows driving. Presumably these are not the same days; is that correct?
- 11 A. Correct.
- Q. Is it reasonable to believe that at least one of these is likely to be 6-3-18?
- 14 A. Yes.
 - Q. And most likely the upper image, but it really doesn't matter which one; does it?
- A. No. I took these in the order that they were in the book.
- Q. So it is most likely the top image, that would be 6-3?
- A. Yes. And you do see that from
 time-to-time. It's just like if you look at
 page 4, the lower image is -- is that that 6-2
 or 6-3? You know, the top one is clearly 6-1.

25 And you kind of have to take all

- factors into consideration. He has got a book that is in order. It wasn't like the whole book was catttywampus, here, there and everywhere else in the truck.
- Q. But at least in your opinion based on your recollection you photographed sheets documenting nine separate days of duty status?
 - A. Yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Were there more pages than this in the book?
- 11 A. Yes, there was.
- Q. Is there a reason you only photographed these nine?
 - A. Well, when we do an inspection he could have two or three months in there. We are only dealing with eight days, the day of the inspection, and the previous seven.
 - So if I was to see a violation on day nine or ten I am really not allowed to do anything with it.
- MR. MARGARD: Okay. Very good.
- Thank you. That is all I have. Thank you, Your
 Honor.
- 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. You 25 may step down. Thank you for coming here.

```
36
 1
                 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, Staff
     would call Mr. Rod Moser to the stand, please.
 2
 3
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please be
 5
     seated.
 6
 7
                        ROD MOSER
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
 8
     testified as follows:
9
10
                    DIRECT EXAMINATION
11
     By Mr. Margard:
12
                  Sir, will you please state your
            Q.
13
     name?
14
            Α.
                My name is Rod Moser.
15
            Q.
                 And by whom are you employed and in
     what capacity, please?
16
17
            Α.
                 I am employed by the Public
     Utilities Commission of Ohio. I am the Chief of
18
19
     Compliance for the Transportation Department.
20
            Q.
                 Your business address, please, sir?
2.1
            Α.
                 180 East Broad Street, Columbus,
22
     Ohio 43215.
23
            Q.
                And how long have you been in this
24
    position?
25
            A. A little over two and a half years.
```

- Q. And can you briefly describe your duties and responsibilities?
- A. Just in general my job is to oversee the civil forfeiture process for the Transportation Department.
- Q. Does that include performing calculations of civil forfeitures?
 - A. It does, yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Does it involve reviewing and confirming that calculations performed by others have been correctly determined?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Can you briefly describe how a civil forfeiture is calculated for violations of motor carrier safety regulations?
- A. Sure. Violations noted on inspection reports are divided into six categories, groups. One category by itself would be hazardous materials violations.

Then there are five non-hazardous material violations categories numbered 0, 1, 2, 3 and 4.

All violations noted on the report are noted on a document of some sort sent to the carrier and/or the driver. Violations that are

out of service for Groups 1, 2 and 3, we have a fine system or assessment system for those, and also all violations for Group 4 are assessed.

- Q. And there is a system for determining the appropriate forfeiture for all violations?
- A. Our process, except for the hazardous material violations, our process is automated, so all respondents get the same calculation in all cases.
 - Q. Everyone is treated the same?
- 12 A. That's correct.
 - Q. In the course of your preparation to testify today did you have an opportunity to review the Commission's file with respect to this case?
- 17 A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Did you have an opportunity to review what was marked Staff Exhibit No. 1, the inspection report?
 - A. I have, yes.
- Q. Did you have an opportunity to
 determine which of those violations were at
 issue in this case?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
1
            Q.
                 And as a result of that report were
 2
     notices generated to the Respondent?
 3
            Α.
                Yes, they were.
                 And those notices identified both
 4
            Ο.
 5
     the violations and the proposed forfeitures;
 6
     correct?
 7
                 That's correct.
            Α.
 8
                 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, may I
 9
     approach?
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you may.
11
                 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, would the
12
     record please reflect that I have handed the
13
     Bench, the court reporter, and the witness a
14
     packet of four separate documents marked for
15
    purposes of identification as Staff Exhibits 3,
16
     4, 5 and 6.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: These shall be
18
     so marked.
19
                 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
20
     IDENTIFICATION)
2.1
                 Mr. Moser, have you had an
            Ο.
22
     opportunity to take a look at Staff Exhibits 3,
     4, 5 and 6?
23
24
            A. Yes, sir.
25
            Q.
                 Were each of these documents
```

- contained in the Commission's record in this case that you are testifying today?
 - A. Yes, they are.

2

3

17

- Q. Walk through these real quickly.

 Would you please identify Staff Exhibit No. 3

 for me?
- A. No. 3 would be the initial letter

 8 sent to the Respondent, in this case Mr.

 9 Martinez. It's formally called a Notice of

 10 Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess

 11 Forfeiture. It identifies violations for which
- an assessment has been assigned and the amount of the assessment.
- Q. Sir, earlier you identified that
 there are a number of different groups.

 Presumably the record of duty status, ELD, is

identified as a Group 1 violation; correct?

- 18 A. It is, yes.
- Q. And the lack of a valid medical certificate is identified as a Group 4 violation; correct?
- 22 A. It is.
- Q. And, sir, the document appears to indicate that there was a \$100 forfeiture assessed for each of these violations; is that

correct?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And is that the proper amount based on the system that is used by the Commission?
- A. That is the proper amount, that is the amount that these violations all are assessed.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Will you take a look at Staff Exhibit No. 4 and tell me what that is?
- 11 A. Sure. It's actually out of order 12 from the chronology how we do business.
 - Q. I am sorry, my mistake. Let's follow the chronology just to keep things clean.

 And take a look at Staff Exhibit No. 5.
 - A. No. 5 would be next. No. 5 is the second notice, it's also Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

 That notice goes out, at that time it was 40 days after the initial notice if we had not heard anything from the Respondent.
 - Q. Otherwise identical?
 - A. Yes, otherwise exactly the same.
- Q. All right. My apologies, sir, for placing these out of sequence. If we can return

to Staff Exhibit No. 4.

2.1

- A. Then No. 4 is essentially a third notice. This notice is typically called show cause, but the caption on it is Unpaid Civil Forfeiture. This notice is sent to any respondent who is deemed to be in default, meaning they haven't contacted the PUCO in any way to address the case that is against them.
 - Q. Thank you. And Staff Exhibit No. 6?
- A. Then No. 6 would be a Notice of Preliminary Determination. Again there is actually a couple letters in between here from the prior letter that were after the show cause notice the Respondent requested a conference.

 We did set up a conference for him in January.

That conference was them reset to February, and he would of had notices for both of those alerting him to the date and time.

And then after the conference, if
the conference is unsuccessful at resolving the
issue we send the Respondent what's called a
Notice of Preliminary Determination, and
basically that says your options are you can
either pay the assessment, or you can request an
administrative hearing.

- Q. And in fact he did request a hearing in this case; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. But as far as these notices are concerned, the forfeiture amounts are the same; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. I do want to direct your attention with respect to the Staff Exhibit No. 6. There is an indication there that the Group 4 assessment is \$50 as opposed to \$100. Can you explain the difference, please?
- A. Yes. I think that was the -- what they had attempted to arrive at as a compromise during the telephone conference. But then not hearing from the Respondent after that the notice was issued.
- Q. So no resolution of the case was made and in your opinion the appropriate forfeiture in this case is \$100 for each of the violations for a total of \$200; is that correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. And that figure is both accurate, reasonable in your opinion; correct?
- 25 A. Yes.

```
44
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
 1
 2
     I have nothing further for this witness.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have no
     questions for Mr. Moser. Thank you.
 4
 5
                 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I
 6
     respectfully move admission of Staff Exhibits 1
 7
     through 6.
 8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: They each shall
     be so admitted.
9
10
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO
11
     EVIDENCE)
12
                 MR. MARGARD: I have nothing
13
     further, Your Honor. I would renew my motion
     for a default judgment against the Respondent.
14
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
16
     the Commission will take that under advisement.
17
                 I have no other matters to discuss.
18
     So, thank you everyone. The case shall be
     submitted to the record and the Commission will
19
20
     issue an opinion in due course. So off the
2.1
     record. Thank you.
2.2
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor.
23
                 (at 11:00 A.M. the hearing was
24
     concluded)
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on October 30, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer,
Registered Professional
Reporter.

- - -



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

11/12/2019 2:37:03 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-0676-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Juan Martinez Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on October 30th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.