## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of

In the Matter of :
Ziwei Hang Notice of :Case No.
Apparent Violation and :19-660-TR-CVF

Intent to Assess Forfeiture.:

## PROCEEDINGS

Before Jim Lynn, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Thursday, September 12, 2019, at 10:00 A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Steven Beeler
            Assistant Attorney General
 3
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
                 Public Utilities Commission
 6
                 of Ohio.
 7
 8
            Mr. Ziwei Hang
            1441 Paso Real Avenue SP 31
            Rowland Heights, California 91748
 9
10
                 Appearing Pro se.
11
            INTERPRETER: Wendy Lee
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
2.3
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2	 DIRECT CROSS	
3	STATE'S WITNESSES	
4		
5	Richard Scott 6/44	
6	Trooper Justin Cooper 26	
7	Rod Moser 31	
8		
9	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
10	Ziwel Hang 38 42	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16 17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STATI	E'S EXHIBITS MAI	RKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	9	25	
5	2	Photograph	18	25	
7	3	Photograph	18	25	
	4	Photograph	18	25	
8	5	Photograph	18	25	
9	6	Photograph	18	25	
10	7	Photograph	18	25	
11 12	8	Notice of Preliminary Determination	33	37	
13	9	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	9	25	
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
1
                          Thursday Morning,
2
                          September 12, 2019.
 3
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public
 5
     Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for
 6
     hearing at this time and place Case No.
7
     19-660-TR-CVF in the matter of Ziwei Hang notice
8
     of apparent violation and intent to assess
9
     forfeiture.
10
                 I am Jim Lynn, I am the Attorney
11
     Examiner assigned to hear this case. And at
12
     this time we will have the appearances of the
13
     parties beginning with the Staff.
14
                 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.
15
     On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities
16
     Commission of Ohio, Attorney General Dave Yost.
     I am Steve Beeler. I am with the Ohio Attorney
17
18
     General's Office, and my address is 30 East
19
     Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
2.1
     Beeler. And I am going to have Mr. Hang
2.2
     indicate his name and address, please.
23
                 THE INTERPRETER: Ziwei Hang. 1441
24
     Paso Real Avenue, Rowland Heights, California
25
     91748 Zip Code. That is 31 in front of the Zip
```

6 1 Code. 2 Correction. So the address 1441-31, 3 Paso Real. Again correction. 1441 Paso Real 4 5 Avenue, Space 31, Rowland Heights, California 91748. 6 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. And then at this point we will have Mr. Beeler bring 8 up his witnesses first. And then Mr. Hang will 9 10 have a chance ask them any questions. And we will explain that to him. Thank you, Mr. 11 12 Beeler. Please have your first witness come up 13 or however you want to proceed. 14 MR. BEELER: Thank you, Your Honor. At this time the Staff would call Inspector 15 16 Scott to the stand. 17 (WITNESS SWORN) 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, you 19 may proceed. 20 2.1 RICHARD SCOTT 22 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows; 23 24 DIRECT EXAMINATION 25 By Mr. Beeler:

7 Good morning, Inspector Scott. 1 Q. 2 Good morning. Α. 3 Please state your full name for the Q. record. 4 5 Α. Richard Scott, Unit No. 3244. 6 Q. Where are you employed? Wilmington, the Ohio State Highway 7 Α. Patrol. 8 So you are employed by the Ohio 9 Ο. 10 State Highway Patrol; correct? 11 Α. Correct. 12 What is your position at the Highway Q. 13 Patrol? A. Motor Carrier Enforcement 14 15 Investigator. 16 Again your position is what? Ο. 17 Α. Motor Carrier Enforcement 18 Investigator. 19 And in going forward just when we Ο. 20 talk, so when I ask a question give it a little 2.1 bit of pause and wait for her to stop talking 22 and then give your answer. 23 How long have you been with 24 the Patrol? 25 Α. I started in 1997 as a license

examiner. And done that job for 14 years, and changed over to a Motor Vehicle Investigator, which I was a school bus inspector for three, and the last five years I have been Motor Carrier Investigator.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. In your current job what are your duties?
  - A. To ensure that the driver of a motor vehicle is doing his job.
    - Q. And beyond drivers what else?
  - A. Log books are correct, they are licensed appropriately, and check the vehicle for safety defects.
  - Q. So you check not only drivers, but you also check carriers and on occasion shippers for violations of federal regulations as they apply in Ohio; correct?
    - A. Correct.
    - Q. What sort of training do you have?
- A. I went through the North American Standards A and B, as well as hazmat training.
- Q. Okay. Do you take any yearly courses?
- A. We have yearly meetings to inform us
  of any changes in the federal regulations so

```
1 that everybody understands.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

24

25

- Q. Thank you. Would you agree that motor inspections are conducted to protect the safety of Ohio's traveling public?
  - A. I do.
- Q. How many inspections on average do you perform in a year?
  - A. Around 1,200.

MR. BEELER: May I approach the witness, your Honor?

11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

MR. BEELER: I have what is marked
as Staff Exhibit 1. And a little out of order,
Staff Exhibit 9. These are two reports that
where done on the day of the incident.

Staff Exhibit 1 is the second driver report, second report that was done.

And Staff Exhibit 9 is the first report that was done.

May I approach?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.

22 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF 23 IDENTIFICATION)

Q. Do you recognize what have been marked as Staff Exhibit 1 and Staff Exhibit 9?

- A. No. 9 is the first inspection, and No. 1 is the second.
  - Q. Are these documents, are they records of the Patrol?
    - A. They are my records.
- Q. So they are kept in the ordinary course of business of your job; correct?
  - A. Correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. And it's the practice of the Patrol to make these reports?
- 11 A. I wouldn't say the Patrol, but for 12 federal records. Each report that I do is --13 there is a record of it.
- Q. But in your job as a Patrolman you produce these reports; correct?
- A. Correct. I give one to the driver at the end of each inspection.
- Q. That was my next question. Was the reason you prepared both of these reports a stop of a truck TBI Express, LLC?
- 21 A. Correct.
  - Q. Do you remember these inspections?
- A. Being over a year ago, I remember
  the majority of it, but not the total
  inspection. I don't remember the brake being

- not operating. But I do remember the broken wheel, cracked rim.
- Q. Who is the driver listed on both reports?
  - A. Mr. Hang.
  - Q. Mr. Hang here today?
- 7 A. He is.

5

6

8

9

10

- Q. Are these documents, are they in the same condition as when you originally prepared them? Is the information the same?
- A. The information is exactly the same.
- Q. Okay. All right. And you already noted that the driver gets a copy of the report at the stop; correct?
- 15 A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Could you go through the violations listed on each report?
- 18 A. Okay.
- Q. Start with -- I guess start with
  Staff Exhibit 9, because that was your first
  inspection.
- A. First inspection is report No.

  OH3244011662, found that he had on axle 1 left
- 24 side, he had a cracked rim.
- We then proceeded to do a Level 1.

Also found a brake on the trailer axle 5 left side that the pad when the brake pedal was applied, it wasn't touching the drums.

 $\label{eq:And also on the trailer his right} $\operatorname{\mathsf{mud}}$ \ \text{flap was missing.}$ 

- Q. Okay. While we are still on Staff Exhibit 9, the first report, those concerned the carrier, right, TBI Express, not the driver; right?
  - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. But, the cracked rim violation put the truck out of service; correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Now we will go to Staff Exhibit 1. Go through the violations on that report.
  - A. Okay. Without getting the out of service order fixed the truck still had the same crack on axle left side, and then the driver was cited for operating an out of service vehicle placed out of service.
  - Q. Okay. And so today we are here to talk about the violation that was in Staff Exhibit 1, which is the second report, the out of service violation, or operating a vehicle that was placed out of service; correct?

A. Correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. But, staff report, or the investigation report 9 was the reason that the truck was put out of service in the first place; correct?
  - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. You said you remember the stop. So at this point take us, in your narrative form, take us through what happened on that day from beginning to end.
- A. At about 9:34 I saw the vehicle going over the Harrison scales missing his mud flap. So I had him stop, back into the parking lot behind the scales, and we proceeded with the Level 1 inspection.

And discovering all the items listed on Exhibit 9, he was placed out of service. And with a long explanation I stressed to him that they had to get that wheel fixed here. It was too dangerous to drive for the safety of him and others on the roadway.

- Q. How long did you have a conversation with Mr. Hang about this?
- A. Five to seven minutes. And showing him the wheel and pointing to the ground had to

get it fixed here.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, I

have a question for the inspector. Inspector,

this first inspection, that violation was

against the carrier; am I correct?

THE WITNESS: That's correct.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr.

Beeler, continue.

- Q. Please continue with your answer. We were talking about how long you spoke with him.
- A. After the explanation of the cracked wheel I did place an out of service stricter on his windshield, and explained to him the truck was not supposed to move until the wheel was fixed.
  - Q. Then what happened?
  - A. I finished the inspection, got involved in another inspection, and then at that point saw Mr. Hang leave the Harrison scales.
    - Q. You saw him driving away?
      - A. Driving away.
    - Q. Then what?
- A. Then happened to notice that Trooper
  Cooper was in eating lunch, and I went in there

and asked him to get that truck because he was placed out of service, try to get him back to the scales to get it fixed.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Question.

Inspector, you said you saw Mr. Hang leave the location where you placed him out of service.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And had he yet got back out onto the highway at that point when you asked the other inspector to stop him?

THE WITNESS: He was on 74

eastbound at the end of the ramp when I saw him.

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So he was about

14 to enter the interstate?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

THE WITNESS: Correct. He was on the interstate.

17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank
18 you. Mr. Beeler.

- Q. Please continue your narrative.
- A. And Trooper Cooper saw the truck, stopped the truck, and got him back to the scale house within a half hour. I put him back out of service for the wheel.
- Q. At this point you began a second inspection; right?

- A. Second inspection.
- 2 Q. That is Staff Exhibit 1, which is 3 the driver violation.
  - A. Correct. The wheel was not fixed with the crack in it. And then I placed the operating and out of service -- the vehicle was placed out of service.
  - Q. Was the sticker, when he came back to the stop, was the sticker still on the windshield?
- 11 A. Correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. So up in the violation section of
  Staff Exhibit 1 you have cited under violation
  description, you have "wheel/rim cracked or
  broken." Correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. So before the colon, that is automatically generated language; correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- 20 Q. Then you fill in what's after;
  21 right?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And what you filled in was on tractor axle 1 left side rim cracked; correct?
- A. Correct.

```
1
            Q. Okay. And then down in the
     inspector notes part of the Staff Exhibit 1,
 2
     could you just read your notes?
 3
                 "I placed the tractor out of
 4
 5
     service. The driver spoke little English.
     showed the driver and co-driver the out of
 6
 7
     service cracked rim and a minute later the
     driver left the scale house. I was tied up with
 8
 9
     another inspection. Trooper 1111 got
10
     the tractor and trailer and brought him back to
11
     the Harrison scales."
12
            Q. Okay. Two questions. Did you speak
     with the co-driver as well?
13
14
           A. Correct. I did.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have a
16
    question, Mr. Beeler.
17
                 MR. BEELER: Sure.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: For
19
     the Inspector. Did you speak with the co-driver
20
     at the time of the first inspection?
2.1
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, I did. I had
22
    both of them out and showing them the cracked
23
    wheel.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And Mr. Hang
25
     obviously needs an interpreter, did the first
```

```
18
     driver understand English any better than Mr.
 1
 2
     Hang? Did the second driver understand English
 3
     any better?
                 THE WITNESS: Yes, he did.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You believe he
     did?
 6
 7
                 THE WITNESS: I believe he did.
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Also a different
 8
 9
     question. The inspection notes that you have
10
     here on Staff Exhibit 1, which is the second
11
     inspection, those notes actually refer to the
12
     first inspection; am I right?
13
                 THE WITNESS: That is correct.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. All
     right. Thank you.
15
16
                 MR. BEELER: May I approach, your
17
     Honor?
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
19
                 MR. BEELER: I have marked Staff
20
     Exhibits 2 through 7. They are photos from the
2.1
     inspection.
2.2
                 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
23
     IDENTIFICATION)
24
                 Please just flip through those.
25
     You took these pictures; correct?
```

A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. Are they accurate depictions of the inspection you witnessed on the day of the inspection?
  - A. It is.
- Q. Okay. Please go through each of the pictures and basically explain how it relates to the violation listed on your report, which is the operating out of service vehicle while placed out of service.
- 11 A. The first two pictures are pictures
  12 of the side of the truck which has TBI Express,
  13 their DOT number.
- Q. Those are Staff Exhibit 2 and Staff
  Exhibit 3?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. If you could just say Staff
  the Exhibit 2 and it makes it easier to read
  later.
- A. In Staff Exhibit 4, 5, and 6 are all pictures of the same cracked rim.
- Q. Okay. So would you say that Staff
  Exhibits 4 and Staff Exhibit 5, that they are
  kind of two separate areas where the rim is
  cracked?

A. Correct.

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And then in Staff Exhibit 6 you are really focused more on the one crack; correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. What sort of, in your opinion, what sort of risks does that cause having a cracked rim like that?
  - A. The rim could disintegrate and he could lose the front steer tire.
    - Q. Is that dangerous?
- 11 A. Yes. Very. If you don't have a 12 front steer tire the truck is going to lose 13 control possibly and wreck.
- Q. It is dangerous enough that it is an out of service violation; correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. And in your opinion those are clear violations of the rules; correct?
- 19 A. Correct.
- Q. Staff Exhibit 7, did you already explain that?
- 22 A. No, I have not.
- 23 Q. Okay.
- A. The picture there shows the out of service sticker placed on the vehicle.

- Q. And you showed that sticker to Mr. Hang?
  - A. Yes, I did.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. I see from the picture you can read it says out of service. What other information is on that sticker?
- A. The report number that the vehicle was placed out of, and my signature and date.
- Q. At the time of inspection did you believe that the driver understood that he was being placed out of service?
- 12 A. I did.
- Q. You communicated it to him and his co-driver?
- 15 A. That's correct.
- Q. On both reports you have the reason code as OBVI. Just explain what that means.
- A. It means the reason code is obvious violation.
- Q. Okay. And the obvious violation for the first reason you pulled him over was missing mud flap.
- A. Missing mud flap.
- Q. And the obvious reason the second time was because he left the scales with the out

of service sticker; correct?

- A. Correct.
- Q. I think you already said this, but you did see the vehicle in motion leaving the scales; correct?
- A. Correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. So, at the end of the day it's your testimony here that you observed Mr. Hang operating a vehicle that was placed out of service; correct?
- A. Correct.
  - Q. Is there anything else that you would think would be important for Mr. Lynn to here today or the Commission?
  - A. On my first inspection doing a Level 1 I had enough confidence in Mr. Hang to perform the Level 1 because of the interaction between he and I to go through the inspection of pushing the brakes, releasing the brakes, releasing brakes, parking brakes, and rocking the wheel and operating the turn signals.
- Q. In other words, you believed Mr. Hang understood you enough to go through an inspection?
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have a

1 question. 2 MR. BEELER: Sure. 3 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I want to ask the Inspector here, did you feel Mr. Hang, that 4 5 you were confident he understood what an out of 6 service is, what it means and he could not move 7 the vehicle? 8 THE WITNESS: I did explain that to 9 him, and I felt that he was competent enough 10 that he was placed out of service. 11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank 12 you. And you pointed to the ground and said it 13 must stay here; correct? 14 THE WITNESS: Correct. 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. 16 MR. BEELER: At this point I have no further questions, your Honor. I would move 17 18 for admission of Staff Exhibits 1 through 7 and 19 9 subject to any cross-examination. But I would

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Thank you. And, Inspector, if you will stay up here just a moment.

reserve the witness for rebuttal if necessary.

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Okay. And, Wendy, could you check with Mr. Hang, does he have any questions of

the witness?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MR. BEELER: I would just note,
your Honor, if that statement, he can make a
statement, this is questions, but we will see if
it's a question or not.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Wendy, you can tell us what he has to say. Right now he can just ask any questions. As far as his thinking about what happened, he can tell that when he gets up on the witness stand. Does he have a particular question?

THE INTERPRETER: I do not.

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No questions.

All right. Thank you. And, Wendy, our next

step is all the documents that Mr. Beeler

entered, produced here, he wants those admitted

into evidence if Mr. Hang has no objection to

18 any of them.

THE INTERPRETER: I do not understand the law. I do not understand what do you mean by agree or not.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, in other words, does Mr. Hang believe that these are photographs of the wheel on the truck that he was driving? Does he feel they are true

```
25
     and accurate photographs of what he was
 1
 2
     driving?
 3
                 THE INTERPRETER: That is correct.
 4
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Then with
 5
     that in mind --
 6
                 THE INTERPRETER: Not entirely.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Is there
 8
     a particular photograph he disagrees with?
 9
                 THE INTERPRETER: The sticker, the
10
     area that was out of service sticker, it was not
11
     in my plain view sight, not until the patrol
12
     officer pointed out to me, then I noticed that
13
     the sticker was there.
14
                 MR. BEELER: At this point he can
15
    make that statement on the stand, but as far as
16
     the picture, the picture speaks for itself.
17
                 THE INTERPRETER: Correct.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                      Thank you.
19
     Well, with that comment being made we will admit
20
     these exhibits into evidence.
2.1
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
22
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And, Inspector
23
     Scott, you can take your seat over there.
24
                 And, Mr. Beeler, do you have any
25
     additional witnesses?
```

26 MR. BEELER: Yes. Staff would call 1 2 Trooper Cooper to the stand. 3 (WITNESS SWORN) 4 5 TROOPER JUSTIN COOPER called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 6 7 testified as follows: 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler. 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION By Mr. Beeler: 10 11 Good morning. Q. 12 Α. Good morning. Q. Please state your full name for the 13 14 record. 15 Α. Trooper Justin T. Cooper. Unit 16 1111. 17 Where are you employed? Q. 18 I am employed with the Ohio State Α. 19 Highway Patrol. I am currently at the 20 Cincinnati Post. 2.1 What is your position at the Ohio Ο. 22 Highway Patrol? 23 Α. I am a State Trooper, and alternate 24 my duties between patrol car and a motorcycle.

Q. How long have you been with

the Patrol?

2.

2.1

- A. Since 2004.
- Q. Your duties in your job?
- A. My duties are to enforce the traffic laws on all public roadways. And we also investigate crimes that occur on state owned and leased property.
- Q. So you don't do roadside truck inspections, but you are a general Trooper that enforces all the other laws?
- A. Correct. I work out of the scales, so I can write scale violations and other violations. But I am not federally certified to inspect vehicles.
  - Q. Okay. For your, just, you know, job as a Trooper, what sort of training do you have?
- A. Go through a six-month academy at the Ohio State Highway Patrol Academy where we learn the ORCs and different things along policing. And then after you graduate from there you go through a three-month field training period. And then after that you still have supervisors and different trainings, supervisor ride time and different trainings yearly.

- Q. How many stops do you do in a year?
- A. Oh, 1,500 to 2,000.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Tell me about your involvement on the day of this inspection?
- A. On this date here I just happened to be grabbing lunch and sitting in the scale house. And while I was eating my lunch motor carrier Scott advised me that a vehicle that he had just put out of service was leaving.

So I put down my food. I was on the motor cycle that day. So I had to get my helmet on. By the time this happened the truck had already exited the scale house, completely was on Interstate 74 heading eastbound.

I was in radio communication with him because there were several trucks. And called and confirmed which vehicle it was that he put out of service and identified it by the missing mud flap. And then I made the traffic stop with that vehicle.

- Q. You lit them up, you put your lights on?
- A. Yes. I initiated the traffic stop
  with the lights, got him on the side of
  the road. Told him I stopped him because of

the driving the out of service. And got his driver's license off of him.

And at that point I told him to follow me and we went around and turned around and got back to the scale house which was the closest safe haven to get him completely off the highway and get the defects fixed that put him out of service.

- Q. You essentially escorted him back to the scales; correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

15

- Q. Did you then -- was that -- were you done, or did you stick around for the second inspection?
  - A. I was inside the office. I really had nothing to do with the inspection.
- Q. Okay. Did you happen to see the sticker?
- 19 A. Yes
- 20 Q. The out of service sticker?
- A. Yes. It was in plain site on the truck.
- Q. Okay. So you obviously witnessed the vehicle in motion; correct?
- 25 A. Correct. And when I stopped him I

```
got his driver's license and I held on to his driver's license until I got back to the scale house then I gave that to motor carrier Scott.
```

- Q. Okay. So you knew the driver was operating an out of service vehicle both by what Inspector Scott told you and by seeing the sticker; correct?
  - A. Yes.
  - Q. Did you happen to see the rims?
- A. I looked at them afterwards briefly,
  and I could see the crack. I wanted to see what
  the violation was, but I didn't stick around for
  the actual second inspection.
- MR. BEELER: Okay. Nothing further, your Honor.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Wendy,
  does Mr. Hang have any questions of the Trooper?
- 18 THE INTERPRETER: I do not.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No questions.
- 20 All right. Thank you. You can take your seat.
- 21 Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Now, Mr. Beeler, do you have a
- 23 | further witness?
- MR. BEELER: I do, Your Honor.
- 25 | Staff would call Rod Moser to the stand.

31 1 (WITNESS SWORN) 2 3 ROD MOSER called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 4 testified as follows: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. Beeler: Please state your full name. 8 Q. 9 Α. My name is Rod Moser. 10 Q. Where are you employed? 11 A. I am the Chief of the Compliance 12 within the Transportation Department for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio. 13 14 Q. How long have you been in your 15 current position? 16 A. Around two and a half years. 17 Q. So you are Chief of Compliance at 18 the PUCO; correct? 19 A. For the Transportation Department, 20 yes. 2.1 Q. Transportation. What are your 2.2 duties? My basic duty in general would be to 23 24 administrator the civil forfeiture process for

the Transportation Department.

Q. What sort of expert qualifications do you have, training and continuing education?

2.1

A. I served almost 30 years with the State Highway Patrol. I am certified by North American Standards Parts A and B, general hazmat, cargo tank, motor coach.

And then also just on-the-job training with a mentor when I first started the job.

- Q. Explain just generally how you determine forfeiture amounts.
- A. Forfeitures for non-hazardous violations are all predetermined. They are grouped according to severity and likelihood to cause crashes. And each respondent that way ends up with the same assessment as the other respondents with the same violation.
- Q. Okay. Does the Commission apply this process uniformly to everyone, to drivers and carriers?
- A. It is uniformly assessed each, like I said, each respondent with that violation would get the same fine.

MR. BEELER: May I approach?

25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. Yes, you

may approach. Thank you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

MR. BEELER: I have what has been previously marked as Staff Exhibit 8. This is the Notice of Preliminary Determination that is sent to the driver and it's dated February 26, 2019.

7 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF 8 IDENTIFICATION)

- Q. Do you recognize this document?
- A. I do, yes, sir.
  - Q. What is it?
- A. It's a copy of a letter that we send, we commonly refer to as an NPD, Notice of Preliminary Determination. This letter is sent to a respondent after an unsuccessful attempt to mediate a case by means of a phone conference.
- Q. Did you review this document prior to you testifying here today?
- A. I did, yes.
  - Q. Is this a Commission record?
- 21 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And kept in the ordinary course of the Commission's business?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Explain how you came to the \$2,500

amount in this case.

1

2

3

5

6

- A. That is the amount that is assigned to all respondents who receive this violation as part of an inspection.
- Q. The violation of operating an out of service vehicle?
- A. Yes.
- Q. And is this penalty consistent with
  the recommended fine schedule, recommended civil
  penalty procedure adopted by the Commercial
  Motor Vehicle Alliance and the Commission's
  rules?
- 13 A. It is, yes.
- Q. The forfeiture amount is 2,500;
- 15 | correct?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. That is a correct amount for this
- 18 case?
- 19 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Would you recommend this amount to the Commission?
- 22 A. I do.
- Q. Okay. Just a few other questions

  here. Do you know whether the carrier from the
- 25 first inspection, do you know if TBI Express was

cited for those violations?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- A. There was a case generated actually on both inspections for the carrier for the first inspection for the cracked rim and for a missing mud flap. There might have been a third violation.
  - Q. Would you like to see that?
- A. Sure. Then on the second inspection the only carrier violation was the cracked rim.
- Yes. So the third violation on the initial inspection was for a brake issue.
- Q. So, the report we are here for today is Staff Exhibit 1; correct?
  - A. Correct.
- Q. However, Staff Exhibit 9 also has a wheel rim cracked violation; correct?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. That would be a carrier violation;
  19 correct?
- 20 A. Yes.
- Q. And that would be sent to the carrier as a violation on their record; correct?
- 23 A. That's right.
- Q. Did you look into whether the carrier disputed the cracked rim violation?

A. They did not on either inspection. We actually generated two separate cases, one for each inspection, and they did not contest on either case.

2.1

Q. And by not disputing those violations -- one more question before that.

The reason that you know they haven't disputed is that they haven't responded in the required time frame to request a hearing; correct?

- A. Right. When we send the letters out in this case they have 30 days to request a conference or whatever other action they deemed. We received no request for a conference. And these cases because of the nature of the violation, the case is automatically closed after that 30-day period.
- Q. By not disputing the violation the carrier is essentially admitting the violations; correct?
  - A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there anything else important that you would like to note for Mr. Lynn or for the Commission?
- 25 A. I thought it was interesting that

```
the driver was able to communicate enough with
1
2
     the Trooper to know to go back to the scale
     house. Wasn't any confusion about that.
 3
                 MR. BEELER: No further questions at
 4
 5
     this point. I would move for the admission of
 6
     Staff Exhibit 8 subject to cross-examination.
7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Wendy, we need
8
     to know does Mr. Hang have any questions for Mr.
    Moser?
9
10
                 THE INTERPRETER: I do not.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No questions.
12
     All right. In that case we will admit this
13
     exhibit into evidence as well.
14
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
     Thank you, Mr. Moser. You can go take your
16
17
     seat.
18
                 This is the opportunity for Mr. Hang
19
     to say anything as well. We will go off the
20
     record just for a minute.
2.1
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
22
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
23
    Wendy, can you just explain to Mr. Hang it's all
24
     right if he stays there because he needs to hear
25
     right from you what is being said.
```

(MR. HANG WAS SWORN IN)

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. If Mr. Hang could explain to us what his thoughts are about this alleged violation.

THE INTERPRETER: How I feel, or you want me to go ahead and give you my point of view, my story?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Both really.

What he believes happened here, the understanding he was not supposed to move the vehicle. Did he understand what the violation was. Did he understand he was not supposed to move the vehicle.

THE INTERPRETER: I did not fully understood that my trailer needed to be there at the service area until repair is made.

Most of the time my co-driver was communicating with the inspector. I wasn't 100 percent involved because I was busy working on the elogbook. I wasn't able to send the e-mail, I was more in the area that I tried to figure out how the system worked. And I was on the phone with my company trying to fix this.

So, when the inspector was showing all the damage, all this, it was to the

```
co-driver, not me.
```

2.1

2.2

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

Could you ask Mr. Hang, did the co-driver though explain to Mr. Hang what had been said by the inspector that this is a serious violation, don't move the vehicle. You know, did the co-driver relay that information to Mr. Hang, did Mr. Hang understand?

THE INTERPRETER: My co-driver and I have been living in this country about two and a half years, not even three years. What he related to me that day was that we did not need to stay, we can leave. But, we must get everything fixed before 11:00 P.M. that evening. That was the message from the co-driver.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Did
Mr. Hang understand that, or did the co-driver
say because this is a cracked rim if you
continue to drive on this you could lose control
of the vehicle, not be able to steer. Was that
message given to Mr. Hang, did he understand
that?

THE INTERPRETER: No.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And when Mr. Hang was stopped after the inspection, when

he reentered the highway, how did he finally understand that he did have to go back to the scale house and not drive any further until the repair was made?

2.1

2.2

This goes back to the question Mr.

Moser asked; that after the first inspection Mr.

Hang reentered the highway, but when he was

stopped on the highway after the reentering, he

did, you know, agree and not argue and turned

around and come back. How did he understand the

second time but not the first?

THE INTERPRETER: So at that time, yes, the patrol officer did have conversation with us. It was interpreted by my co-driver.

I did not see the out of service stricter from view where I was until the patrol officer pointed it out to me.

I remember his face. He looked very surprised too when he pointed out the sticker, that we looked surprised. He used not only English, but he used visual hand signals to let us know that we need to turn back to the scale.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. And I have another question for Mr. Hang. He said that during the inspection, the first

inspection, he had been I believe on the telephone with his employer. And did he explain anything to the employer about the inspection, about what was found, anything about the cracked rim, that kind of thing? Anything about out of service?

2.1

THE INTERPRETER: No. I did not know that the inspector had conversation with my employer. I tried to contact my employer. I even used my own phone. I was hoping that the inspector could also use my phone to communicate with the owner, but he refused. So I did not know that there was a policy that he did not -- he couldn't use the driver's phone to communicate.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I guess what I was trying to find out was this. Did Mr. Hang, did he speak to his employer about the inspection and what was found and so on?

THE INTERPRETER: No.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: He did not.

Okay. But am I correct that he said he spoke to the employer on the telephone? Maybe I misunderstood.

THE INTERPRETER: Yes. I was on the

```
phone with him because of my English -- the
1
2
     language barrier, and I was hoping that my
     employer can help me to interpret what the
 3
     inspector said. But the inspector refused to
 4
 5
     take my phone. So do not know the fact that
 6
     the trailer was out of service, I could not
7
     leave.
8
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER:
                                     Thank you.
9
     Beeler, up to this point I have been asking all
10
     the questions. Did you have any questions?
11
                 MR. BEELER: Just a few.
12
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
13
    By Mr. Beeler?
                 You were driving on the day?
14
            Ο.
15
            Α.
                (Via the Interpreter) Yes.
                 And you drove the vehicle, after the
16
            Ο.
     first inspection, you drove the vehicle out of
17
18
     that area or the scales; correct?
```

- A. Correct.
- Q. And at the inspection you got copies of both reports, Staff Exhibit 1 and Staff Exhibit 9; correct?
- A. Do you mean the first or the second inspection?
- 25 Q. Both.

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Correct. Yes.
- Q. You understand trucking inspections;
  3 correct?
- A. I know of inspection, but my English is very limited. I only can understand the
- 7 Q. And you understand the federal 8 regulations; correct?
- 9 A. During the written test, yes, I
  10 learned that.
- MR. BEELER: No further questions.
- 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: How about I have
- 13 | a question for Inspector Scott.
- MR. BEELER: I was going to bring
- 15 Inspector Scott back up on rebuttal if you want
- 16 | me to do that now.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is fine.
- 18 Inspector Scott, you can come back up, please.
- 19 | Thank you.

basic.

1

- You are still under oath. Mr.
- 21 Beeler, go ahead.
- 2.2
- 23 RICHARD SCOTT
- 24 recalled as a witness on rebuttal, being
- 25 | previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

2 By Mr. Beeler:

1

8

9

10

11

12

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. You heard Mr. Hang tell his side of the story?
- 5 A. Yes.
- Q. Again, you told both the driver and the co-driver about this violation; correct?
  - A. Correct.
  - Q. Do you agree with Mr. Hang's statement that it was communicated mostly with his co-driver and not to him?
  - A. I tried to do it to both of them.
- Q. Okay. And again I believe I asked
  you this before, but with Mr. Hang you spent
  five to seven minutes explaining to him that he
  needed to stay where he was at; correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
  - Q. Okay. As part of the federal regulations a driver should know that a cracked rim is an out of service offense; correct?
    - A. Correct.
- Q. And again you showed Mr. Hang the
  out of service sticker before he left the scales
  and after, correct, and when he came back for
  the second inspection?

```
45
                 Along with pointing to the cracked
 1
            Α.
 2
     rim, and expressing how dangerous it was.
 3
                 MR. BEELER: I have no further
     questions.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
                                                   Ι
 6
     have a question for the inspector. Mr. Hang had
 7
     mentioned that he had contacted his employer by
 8
     telephone and had offered the telephone to you
 9
     to speak to the employer. Do you remember
10
     anything about that?
11
                 THE WITNESS: Not particularly.
12
     But, my personal thought is I break that phone
13
     by accident I own it. So, I don't like to use
14
     other people's phones.
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I understand.
16
     Okay.
17
                 And I have a question for Mr. Hang.
18
     Is the primary language of your co-driver
19
     Mandarin also?
20
                 THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
22
     off the record just for a minute, please.
23
                 (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I had asked Mr.
25
     Hang what brought him here today, whether he
```

thought there was no violation or he didn't actually understand the violation, or was it the dollar amount of the fine.

2.1

Our interpreter, Wendy, explained that to him. And, Wendy, if you can repeat that answer back, please. That is what Mr. Hang has said to you or what you just said a minute ago.

THE INTERPRETER: I am here today because I want everybody to know that was a miscommunication, a language barrier, on that day.

I take fully responsible if I have violated any rules or regulations. I have been a good driver for about two years driving in and out of Ohio for the past half a year. I obey all the regulations. I was very, very careful.

I am a good driver. I know that there might be slightly different of between regulations in Ohio and California. If I have point on my record it might harm my job or going to a different company they might not even hire me if I have points.

I have a family, I have children, and I need this job.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you,

Wendy. An additional question for Mr. Hang. He indicated that after the inspection when he reentered the highway and then he was stopped by Trooper Cooper, that it was through some hand gestures on the part of Trooper Cooper that Mr. Hang realized he had to turn the vehicle around and go back to the scale house.

2.1

Now, Inspector Scott had said that he also did some hand gestures, to do his best to indicate that after the inspection at the scale house, the first inspection, that the vehicle had to stay there until repair was done.

Does Mr. Hang recall anything of that nature, that is any hand gestures at the time of the first inspection to say you must stay here?

THE INTERPRETER: I really do not recollect that a hand gesture was made to me.

I would have admit to the fact that if I would have seen was pointed to me, I remember that I was so busy collecting information, getting into my logbook, but I don't really recollect a hand gesture the first time.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And I have a

```
question for Inspector Scott again. Your memory
 1
 2
     of this inspection is that you communicated to
     Mr. Hang with hand gestures that the vehicle had
 3
     to stay where it was immediately after
 4
 5
     the inspection?
 6
                 INSPECTOR SCOTT: Along with the
 7
     co-driver. To the best of my recollection both
     of them were looking at the wheel, point to it,
 8
 9
     and the ground to make sure it was fixed there.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
11
     Thank you. And actually a question, is it your
12
     recollection that the co-driver had no better
13
     understanding of the language, or maybe I am
14
     remembering incorrectly?
                 INSPECTOR SCOTT: I don't remember
15
16
     the co-driver, but more than likely he
17
     understood more English than Mr. Hang.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Why do you think
19
     that was so?
20
                 INSPECTOR SCOTT: From his
2.1
     statements that he was indicating.
2.2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: His statements
23
    being?
24
                 INSPECTOR SCOTT: That the co-driver
25
     was helping interpreting what I was saying.
```

```
1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Mr. Hang,
 2
     you had said that you had taken say a written
     exam about I assume motor carrier safety rules.
 3
     So, you can read English. You can read it.
 5
     you have difficulty understanding when it is
 6
     spoken?
 7
                 THE INTERPRETER: The written test,
    mainly it's memorizing, you memorize the
 8
 9
     questions. And I am able to recognize a few
10
     words in the sentence to pick out the answers.
11
                 And also to your question, in
12
     California now they have the written test
13
     offered in Chinese language as well.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Just for my own
15
     information, or perhaps everyone here, did he
     take the written test in English?
16
17
                 THE INTERPRETER: At that time I
18
     took it in English because Chinese language was
19
     not offered. But I have tell you everything was
20
    memorization.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
22
     Beeler, any further questions?
23
                 MR. BEELER: One more if I am
24
    permitted, your Honor.
25
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
                                           Certainly.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

### RICHARD SCOTT

called as a witness, being previously duly sworn, testified as follows:

## FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION

- (By Mr. Beeler) Inspector Scott, is Ο. it your general understanding under the federal regs that drivers need to speak the English language sufficiently to converse with the general public, understand the highway traffic signs and signals, and also to respond to official inquiries like the inspection today; is that your understanding?
  - That's correct. Α.
- Q. That they need to understand English sufficiently to at least comply with those things; correct?

All right.

Yes. Α.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: 20 Thank you. I don't believe there are anymore 2.1 questions on my part. Anymore questions from 2.2 Mr. Hang of the witness? Of either witness?

23 Do you have any further questions of 24 either witness? Or any additional comments?

25 THE INTERPRETER: I don't have question for the officer, but I do have a comment that for the language part. A lot of drivers on the road are bilingual or just speak one language. I understand that I only speak enough to communicate limited English. And I can read some.

2.1

2.2

For example, in the exhibits here cracked rim. Those I recognize. I can read and I understand. But, as far as to go deeper into the conversation, like I have to stay, and go in deeper to the violation, I might not be able to grasp it enough.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

Thank you very much. I want to thank everyone for attending today. Special thanks for our interpreter who we couldn't have the proceedings go forward if you were not here.

On that note we will wrap matters up and go back to work wherever you need to go.

MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.

No briefs, your Honor?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Oh, gee. Well,
I am sorry. Hold on there, Wendy. I need to
explain to Mr. Hang, there is an opportunity
after a hearing that either side can prepare

```
52
     what's called a brief. It's a written summary
 1
 2
     of the thoughts and the arguments the party has.
                  No one has to do that. They can do
 3
     that if they wish. And if one party files
 4
 5
     what's called a brief, the other party can file
 6
     what's called a reply brief. Again, no one has
 7
     to do that.
 8
                 MR. BEELER: Your Honor, I would
    move to not brief this, just submit it on the
9
10
     record for what was said.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is fine.
12
     And, Wendy, you can ask Mr. Hang, does he want
13
     to submit anything in writing, or summarize his
14
     arguments? He does not have to do it.
15
                 THE INTERPRETER: If it's written I
16
     don't know how to write English.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We understand.
18
     We can just rely on what was said here today.
19
                THE INTERPRETER: So it's done, you
20
     don't need anything else from me?
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No, we don't.
22
     Thank you very much.
23
                 THE INTERPRETER: My final say, if I
24
    may.
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Fine.

THE INTERPRETER: Again, I thank you very much for the opportunity today. I understand that there will be a penalty upon me.

2.1

I am willing to take this opportunity to pay my fine, if there is a fine, for me to be responsible for. And for this case I would like to say again that any points going to the BMV in California will definitely hurt my job. I hope that point will not be put on my record. But finally, thank you.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.

MR. BEELER: Nothing further from me, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Wendy, if you could explain to Mr. Hang that as far as paying any fine, he asked for a hearing and the outcome of the hearing determines whether there would be a fine.

And if he is found guilty he has to pay a fine. If he is found not guilty he wouldn't have to pay a fine.

I want to make it clear that he wanted a hearing, and that is his right to do.
But as far as paying any fine, that would he really the outcome of the hearing today. Do you

```
1
     know what I am trying to explain?
 2
                 THE INTERPRETER: Yes.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay.
 4
                 THE INTERPRETER: How long before
 5
     there is a ruling?
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It will be easily
 7
     several months. We have a lot of cases in front
     of us. I will summarize what was said here at
 8
9
     the hearing, and then the Commissioners, who I
10
     work for, they would review what I have written
     in the summary and actually make the final
11
12
     decision.
13
                 But it will easily be several months
     given the number of cases that we have. And it
14
15
     takes a while to get the transcript of the
16
     hearing and go through the material.
17
                 I would like to have a definite
18
     date, but it depends on what other cases come up
19
     in the meantime.
20
                 THE INTERPRETER: Is it going to be
2.1
     negotiable? If I agree on the fine, don't agree
2.2
     on the fine, able to appeal if the fine is too
    heavy?
23
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Let's go off the
25
     record for a minute.
```

# (DISCUSSION OFF THE RECORD)

attorney examiner: We will go back on the record. Mr. Hang just had some questions about the process from here on out, and I explained it takes time to summarize everything that was said and the Commissioners have the final say in the matter.

So that being said, again thank everyone for being here, and especially the two officers who have driven from out of town, and the interpreter for making it possible.

So with that being said we are adjourned. Thank you.

(At 11:55 the hearing was concluded)

- - -

### CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on September 12, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer,
Registered Professional
Reporter.



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

10/4/2019 9:27:43 AM

in

Case No(s). 19-0660-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Ziwei Hang Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on September 12th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.