BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

CASE NO. 18-1259-EL-BTX

TESTIMONY OF JESSICA E. KELLIE

IN SUPPORT OF THE STIPULATION AND RECOMMENDATION

- MANAGEMENT POLICIES, PRACTICES, AND ORGANIZATION
 OPERATING INCOME
- □ RATE BASE
- □ ALLOCATIONS
- □ RATE OF RETURN
- **□** RATES AND TARIFFS
- OTHER

BEFORE THE

PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

TESTIMONY OF JESSICA E. KELLIE IN SUPPORT OF THE STIPULATION AND RECOMMENDATION

ON BEHALF OF THE DAYTON POWER AND LIGHT COMPANY

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION	I
II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY	2
III.	STIPULATION SUMMARY	2
IV.	THE COMMISSION'S CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING STIPULATIONS	4
Α.	The Stipulation is the Product of Serious Bargaining among Knowledgeable Parties	4
В.	The Stipulation Benefits the Public Interest	5
В. С.	The Stipulation Benefits the Public Interest The Stipulation Does Not Violate any Important Regulatory Principle	
		6
C.	The Stipulation Does Not Violate any Important Regulatory Principle ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS RELATING TO THE PUBLIC	6

1	l.	<u>IN</u>	IT	R	<u>O</u>	D	<u>U</u>	<u>C</u>	Π	0	N	

- 2 Q. Please state your name and business address.
- 3 A. My name is Jessica E. Kellie. My business address is 1065 Woodman Drive, Dayton,
- 4 Ohio 45432.
- 5 Q. By whom and in what capacity are you employed?
- 6 A. I am employed by The Dayton Power and Light Company ("DP&L" or "Dayton" or the
- 7 "Company") as a Program Manager in the Regulatory Operations department.
- 8 Q. Will you describe briefly your educational and business background?
- 9 A. I received a Bachelor of Science degree in Business Administration with a dual major in
- Accounting and Finance from the Wright State University in 2009. I have been
- employed by DP&L since 2008.
- 12 Q. What are your responsibilities in your current position and to whom do you
- report?
- 14 A. In my current position, I am responsible for assisting in the development, analysis,
- revision, and administration of the Company's tariff schedules, rate designs, and
- policies. I am responsible for evaluating regulatory and legislative initiatives, and
- 17 commission orders that impact the Company's retail and wholesale rates and overall
- regulatory operations. I report to the Senior Manager of Regulatory Operations.

1	Q.	Have you previously provided testimony before the Public Utilities Commission of
2		Ohio ("PUCO" or the "Commission")?
3	A.	Yes. I have sponsored testimony before the PUCO in the Company's Fuel Rider Case
4		Nos. 14-117-EL-FAC, 15-42-EL-FAC, and 16-224-EL-FAC, the Company's Unique
5		Arrangement Case No. 14-1217-EL-AEC, and in the Company's Tax Cut and Jobs Act
6		of 2017 Case No. 19-572-EL-UNC.
7	II.	PURPOSE OF TESTIMONY
8	Q.	What is the purpose of this testimony?
9	A.	The purpose of my testimony is to discuss and support the reasonableness of the
10		Stipulation and Recommendation ("Stipulation") filed in this proceeding. The
11		Company and the State of Ohio Power Siting Board recommend that the Commission
12		approve the unopposed Stipulation filed in this matter on September 23, 2019, and issue
13		its Opinion and Order in accordance with the recommendations made in the Stipulation
14		The Stipulation is the product of serious negotiations among capable and
15		knowledgeable parties, it benefits customers and the public interest, and it does not
16		violate any important regulatory principle or practice.
17	III.	STIPULATION SUMMARY
18	Q.	Can you briefly describe the proposed project?
19	A.	Yes. DP&L plans to construct a new 16.7-mile, 138 kilovolt (kV) transmission line

from the existing West Milton Substation to the existing Eldean Substation located

20

1		northwest of Troy, Ohio using the Preferred Route. This will allow for adequate
2		transmission system voltages to be maintained in the northwest area of the DP&L
3		transmission system under various outage conditions, as required under North American
4		Electric Reliability Corporation ("NERC") reliability standards.
5	Q.	Can you briefly describe the Stipulation and how it relates to the proposed
6		project?
7	A:	Yes. The Stipulation recommends the granting of the requested certificate of
8		environmental compatibility and public need using the preferred route, and subject to a
9		number of conditions that were recommended by OPSB Staff and incorporated into
10		Staff's Report of Investigation filed on August 30, 2019. DP&L reviewed each of those
11		recommended conditions, accepted all of them, and they are incorporated into the
12		Stipulation.
13	Q.	Are there any conditions in the Stipulation that were not recommended as part of
14		the Staff Report?
15	A:	Yes. As a result of the public hearing that was held September 17, 2019, there is one
16		new condition that is reflected in the Stipulation.
17	Q.	Please explain.
18	A:	Staff and the Company listened carefully to the testimony at the public hearing where
19		concerns were expressed about the possible effects that construction of the transmission
20		line might have on some affected landowner's wells or drainage fields. As a result of

1		that testimony, the Staff requested and the Company agreed to one new condition not
2		previously in the Staff Report that provides that: "The Applicant shall restore all
3		disturbed drainage systems, water wells, and septic systems to previous or better
4		condition unless otherwise specified by the affected parties." This is Condition #23 in
5		the Stipulation.
6 7	IV.	THE COMMISSION'S CRITERIA FOR EVALUATING STIPULATIONS
8	Q.	What criteria does the Commission use to decide whether to approve a Stipulation
9		and Recommendation?
10	A.	The Commission has in the past applied, and should use in considering this Stipulation,
11		the following three regulatory principles or criteria: First, is the Stipulation a product of
12		serious bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties? Second, taken as a package,
13		does the Stipulation benefit ratepayers and the public interest? Third, does the
14		Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?
15	Q.	Does this Stipulation meet those criteria used by the Commission to evaluate and
16		approve a Stipulation and Recommendation?
17	A.	Yes, this Stipulation does meet the criteria applied by the Commission in past
18		proceedings.
19 20		A. The Stipulation is the Product of Serious Bargaining among Knowledgeable Parties
21	Q.	For the first criterion or principle, was the Stipulation the product of serious
22		bargaining among capable, knowledgeable parties?

1	A.	Yes. All parties to the Stipulation and in the case, the Ohio Power Siting Board Staff
2		and the Company, were represented by experienced and knowledgeable counsel about
3		the subject matter at issue. All Signatory Parties have participated in numerous
4		proceedings before the Board, are knowledgeable in regulatory matters, and represent a
5		broad range of interests. All parties were invited to participate in settlement discussions
6		regarding the Stipulation. All parties were provided with the draft Stipulation by
7		DP&L and were given the opportunity to further engage in settlement throughout the
8		process. Therefore, the Stipulation represents a product of serious bargaining among
9		capable, knowledgeable parties.

B. The Stipulation Benefits the Public Interest

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- 11 Q. Turning to the second criterion or principle, can you describe the benefits of the 12 Stipulation to ratepayers and the public interest?
 - A. Yes, it does. The Stipulation, which provides for the construction of the 138 kV Line Project on the Preferred Route, benefits consumers as the Project will help ensure that increased demands for electricity are met in the future and that existing service reliability is strengthened and enhanced throughout the area. The Project will also produce tax revenues for the local community. The Stipulation also benefits the public by requiring DP&L to comply with numerous conditions to minimize environmental and other impacts to the area.

2		Regulatory Principle
3	Q.	With respect to the third criterion or principle, does the Stipulation violate any
4		important regulatory principle or practice?
5	A.	No. Based on my experience and review of the Stipulation, I believe it complies with
6		all relevant and important regulatory practices and principles.
7 8	V.	ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS RELATING TO THE PUBLIC HEARING
9	Q:	You referred earlier to the additional condition that was included in the
10		Stipulation as a result of the public hearing on September 17, 2019. Do you have
11		any other comments with respect to that public hearing?
12	A:	Yes. The Company is taking seriously the concerns that were raised by some members
13		of the public that prior questions that they had raised had not been fully responded to.
14		The Company is committed to reviewing all communications received from those who
15		testified at the September hearing to ensure that we fully respond to any earlier
16		comments or concerns they may have raised that we had not addressed completely
17		already.
18		I would also note that we listened carefully to individuals who had suggestions for
19		modifications in the route. While we understand completely the concerns raised by
20		some affected landowners, any shift in route is going to affect different landowners who
21		are likely to have similar concerns. I do not want to deny the possibility that currently

1		unknown circumstances could cause us to consider some minor route changes. But it is
2		the Company's view that nothing presented at the public hearing offered a compelling
3		reason to deviate from the Preferred Route as proposed by the Company, recommended
4		in the Staff Report, and reflected in the Stipulation.
5	VI.	SPONSORED EXHIBITS
6	Q.	Are you sponsoring any exhibits in this proceeding?
7	A.	Yes. I am sponsoring the following Exhibits:
8		o The Stipulation
9		o Application filed February 1, 2019, and supplemented May 3, 2019.
10		o Pre-Application Notification Letter filed on October 3, 2018.
11		o Proof of Publication filed October 30, 2018
12		o Notice of Compliance filed on June 28,2019
13		o DP&L Exhibit No. 5: Proof of Notification filed on July 29, 2019
14		o Proof of Publication and 2 nd Notification filed on September 11, 2019
15		
16	VII.	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION
17	Q.	What is your recommendation with respect to the Stipulation?
18	A.	I recommend that the Commission approve it in its entirety and without modification
19		because it represents an alignment and balancing of the interests of all parties and
20		stakeholders as indicated.

- 1 Q. Does this conclude your testimony in support of the Stipulation?
- 2 A. Yes, it does.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that a copy of the foregoing has been served via electronic mail upon the following counsel of record, this 23rd day of September, 2019.

Matthew.Butler@puco.ohio.gov Anna.Sanyal@puco.ohio.gov Eric.Morrison@puco.ohio.gov Mary.Fischer@ puco.ohio.gov Steven.Beeler@ohioattorneygeneral.gov Tonnetta.Scott@ ohioattorneygeneral.gov

/s/ Randall V. Griffin
Randall V Griffin (0080499)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/23/2019 11:57:50 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-1259-EL-BTX

Summary: Testimony Of Jessica E. Kellie electronically filed by Mrs. Jessica E Kellie on behalf of The Dayton Power and Light Company