BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

David N. Randall, :

Complainant,

VS.

: Case No. 18-1851-EL-CSS

The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company,

Respondent. :

## PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Daniel Fullin, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:10 a.m. on Monday, August 19, 2019.

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. David N. Randall
 3
                  On his own behalf.
            FirstEnergy Service Company
 4
            By Ms. Emily V. Danford
            76 South Main Street
 5
            Akron, Ohio 44308
 6
                  On behalf of the Respondent.
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4 5	David N. Randall Direct Examination Examination by Examiner Fullin	14 29
6	Cross-Examination by Ms. Danford Further Examination by Examiner Fullin	35 41
7	Frieda Tyson Direct Examination	43
9	Robert Sandvick Direct Examination by Ms. Danford Cross-Examination by Mr. Randall	53 57
10		<i>J</i> /
11	COMPLAINANT'S EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
12	1 Complaint 10	49
13	2 List of Christmas Gifts 2017 10	49
14	3 Bill Dated Jun 19, 2019 11	50
15	4 Bill Dated July 22, 2019 11	50
16		
17	RESPONDENT EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
18	1 First Set of Combined Discovery Requests to	
19	Complainant 36	52
20	2 Direct Testimony of Robert M. Sandvick 53	60
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

So

4 1 Monday Morning Session, 2 August 19, 2019. 3 EXAMINER FULLIN: The Commission has 4 5 called for hearing at this time and place Case No. 6 18-1851-EL-CSS. It's the complaint by David N. 7 Randall versus Cleveland Illuminating Company. 8 My name is Daniel E. Fullin. I am the 9 Attorney Examiner from the Commission assigned to 10 this case. Let me begin by having both parties 11 identify themselves and their addresses for the 12 record and make an appearance on the case beginning 13 with the Complainant. 14 MR. RANDALL: My name is Dave Randall. 15 My address, sir? 16 EXAMINER FULLIN: Yeah, just --17 MR. RANDALL: Address 1600 East 27th 18 Street Rear, Cleveland, Ohio 44114. 19 EXAMINER FULLIN: All right. Thank you. 20 And for the Company. 2.1 MS. DANFORD: Good morning, your Honor. 22 Emily Danford, FirstEnergy Service Company, 76 South Main Street, Akron, Ohio 44308 on behalf of the 23

EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank you. Okay.

Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company.

24

25

let me begin by saying how I intend to proceed today. Mr. Randall, since you are the Complainant, you have the burden of proof in this case which means that in order to prevail in the case you will have to substantiate or prove the allegations of your complaint.

You will get to go first in each -- each process of the hearing today. So you will begin by giving your testimony and/or presenting your case in whichever way you choose. I am sort of assuming you are going to provide your own testimony in the case.

MR. RANDALL: Yes.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Do you have any witnesses that are going to be testifying?

MR. RANDALL: Yes.

when you go first, you can do that by presenting your -- your side of the case, and you can present your testimony or the witness's testimony. Your witnesses will be sworn in, or they can affirm their testimony rather than being sworn in if that's their preference. And then each of you -- anybody that provides testimony as a witness in the case will be subject to cross-examination which would mean that either I or the Company could ask questions that

relate directly to what it is that the witness has already testified about.

2.1

And then you will have the chance to answer those cross -- answer questions or present redirect testimony which is designed to further address issues that came out during the cross-examination.

And this is also important for you to understand that the Commission's record in this case, the Commission is going to make its decision in this case based on the record that's established here at the hearing today. So whether you have already filed something in the case or the other side has filed something in the case, we are not really going to use that as the basis for deciding the case. We are going to base it on your testimony, your side's testimony, and any witness -- any documentation or exhibits that you present today.

So if you wanted to bring in documentation, that's fine but you should mark it as an exhibit, let the other side have a copy of it, and see it ahead of time so they can have it in front of them as the document is being addressed. And you should leave a copy of it for the Bench here today so that the exhibit, if there is such an exhibit, will

then be -- at the close of your testimony you will want to ask that your exhibit be admitted into evidence. And if it's admitted into evidence, then the Commission will use it as part of the evidence on which it bases the decision in the case.

2.1

So it's a procedural step you should know about ahead of time, and we will try to help you through it if it becomes something of an issue. But I wanted you to know that ahead of time. If you have documents that you are planning on presenting and leaving with the Commission for them to consider, it might be good now to get those exhibits ready rather than interrupt things once we get started. So if you have some that you are expecting to present, let's take the time to make the necessary copies maybe and take the time to mark them as exhibits, and then we won't have to go through that step each time you think of a new document that you might want to present.

We will go off the record and if that's the case, then I will give you a chance to make some copies. If you need a copier, I will even let you use the copier upstairs on my -- downstairs on my floor -- upstairs on my floor, if you would like, because, again, I didn't talk to you ahead of time

but that's what we are expecting here. If you are going to have some exhibits and even if you bring in and we mark it, it will be up to you later on if you decide, well, I do want -- I do want to present this as a formal exhibit, want it to be considered, they will have their chance to object to it, and I will make a ruling whether it comes in or not. But, for now, I am not about deciding whether it comes in or out. I am just getting you ready when you present, it's already marked. We already know what it is. They already have their copy. They have had a chance to look at it. So that's why we are off the record doing that now if you would like to do that.

2.1

(Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: We were out allowing Mr. Randall to make some copies of things he is expecting to present as evidence today, so I have my copy that -- copies he made and he presented one to the Respondent and kept one for himself. I think what might be good is to take the time now to have you identify what it is that you just handed to the other side just in brief terms so we know what it is.

MR. RANDALL: The first page 1 through 5 is copies of first formal complaint dated October of last year. The second set is --

```
1
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Stapled into that
2
     original complaint --
 3
                 MR. RANDALL: Those are just loose.
     Those -- the last ones I made.
 4
 5
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Yeah, but I am talking
 6
     about --
7
                 MR. RANDALL:
                               Yes.
8
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: -- stapled onto it was
9
     also two more pages.
10
                 MR. RANDALL: Stapled behind the formal
11
     complaint last year is a listing of all the items
12
     that were damaged during the power surge --
13
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.
14
                 MR. RANDALL: -- with prices and
15
     identification of what they were. And then the third
16
     is just two copies of my most recent electric bills
17
     showing the power that I've been using since last
18
     year since the repairs were done because there was
19
     issue of the wiring possibly being old in the house,
20
     and it's been rewired. 23 years no problem until
2.1
     last year. And that's it.
2.2
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. So maybe just to
23
     save time later on, I thought I might use this chance
24
     to mark the exhibits. They are not going to be
25
     admitted until later, and they are not going to be
```

admitted at all unless you ask to have them admitted, but I thought we would include the five-page previously filed complaint, the last page saying page 5 of 5 in handwriting at the bottom of the page.

MR. RANDALL: Yes.

EXAMINER FULLIN: And the list of it says at the top of the first page "Gifts from Christmas 2017," but you've already said -- identifies the items that were damaged. They are stapled together so let's -- let's -- maybe we should unstaple them and have them as two different exhibits.

MR. RANDALL: Okay.

unstaple mine and restaple it later, so I am going to suggest that the first one, the complaint filed in October, should be marked as Complainant's Exhibit No. 1. And then later on when you are testifying or otherwise presenting your case, if you want to move to have that admitted into evidence, it will already be marked and identified as Complainant's Exhibit No. 1.

The two pages that we just unstapled from it that's the list of items that were damaged, I'm proposing that be marked as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2.

25 | 2

2.1

And the last two pages are two pages of bills. One is from June 19, 2019, and it's just page 1 of 2 of the bill; and the other one is July 22, 2019.

MR. RANDALL: Yes.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: I am going to propose those each be a separate exhibit. The first one, the June 19 bill be Complainant's Exhibit's No. 3 and the July 22, 2019, be Complainant's Exhibit No. 4 so that we have them identified so if and when they come up later on, we won't go through what I just did now in terms of identifying what you're talking about. We will all know -- we will have them in front of them, and we will all know what we are talking about.

So with that I would just go on with my own description of the proceedings today. You have the burden of proof. You can put on your testimony. You can put on your exhibits, your witnesses, and yourself to the extent your witness will be subject to cross-examination. You can -- you will need to answer the cross-examination, but you can provide further testimony that relates to what it is that you are cross-examined about and there could even be further cross-examination on that. But when you finally reach the point where there is no other

questions of you or your witnesses and you have nothing else to present, and then you would be in the position to rest. In other words, by resting you will be stating I have presented everything that I have that I want the Commission to consider in my case in my favor.

2.1

And when that happens, then the next step will be that the Company will get to go forward with their presentation of the case, and it will be a similar process. They could put on a witness, and the witness could testify. They could put on exhibits, and I will make a ruling on whether the exhibits come in. And when the Company is done presenting their side of the case and you've already rested, so you presented your side of the case, then we will bring the hearing to a close and the Commission's decision will be based on the record that was established here today in terms of witness testimony and documents. All right?

MR. RANDALL: All right.

EXAMINER FULLIN: I am giving you a clear understanding that is the way I am expecting to proceed today just so you know ahead of time. If procedural issues come up along the way, you can ask questions, or if I identify something I think you may

not see what's going on, I will try to make sure that there is no procedural things that get in the way of you getting your chance today to present your case and the Company getting a fair chance to present their case and establish the record today that the Commission will make its decision on based on what's on the record.

2.1

That's why we are back on the record now. Everything is being transcribed by a court reporter, and based on that transcript and whatever exhibits get admitted into the record, that's what the Commission will base its decision on.

So with that I think I am done with preliminary matters. So does anyone have any questions before we get started?

MR. RANDALL: No.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. That being the case, then I am going go to ahead and let you get started. And, again, you are the Complainant. You get to go first. You can either testify yourself or call your first witness if you are going to have someone testify besides yourself, whatever you would like to do. It's your turn.

MR. RANDALL: Okay. Hi. My name is Dave Randall.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Now, if you are going to begin by providing things that you want me to -- the Commission to consider, let's have you sworn in as a witness.

MR. RANDALL: Okay.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So if you will just stay at your place but I will swear you in.

(Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Good. From now on what you say is part of your testimony and you are under oath as you give it.

2.1

## DAVID N. RANDALL

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

#### DIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Okay. Once again, my name is Dave Randall. I am here to represent myself in a complaint against a power surge I had last year in my home on September 26. I filed a formal complaint in October after contacting CEI after I had the damages, and they was -- they rebuked it, so I contacted Public Utilities Commission, filed a formal complaint. We were down here earlier this year. The first time was not done and rescheduled for today.

Basically I didn't have -- I don't have any picture 1 2 evidence. I asked them did I need to bring anything. No, just bring a listing of everything that's 3 damaged. I still had one T.V. that had --4 5 MS. DANFORD: I am going to go ahead and 6 object on that hearsay. I am not sure who she is. 7 THE WITNESS: Oh, I believe I spoked to 8 you, didn't I? 9 EXAMINER FULLIN: Let me say this, again, 10 I am not trying to get you nervous about what you say 11 or how you say it, but you got to present it as if --12 you know, I wasn't here for the earlier discussion. 13 What was discussed at the earlier discussion is not 14 important. It is not even going to be admissible. 15 If you bring it up -- but if you bring it up today, 16 describe what it is you said then in terms of how you 17 want to say it today so that the Commission can 18 consider it, that's what we are really looking for. 19 And so it's not important that you bring 20 up what got discussed in the past. That's not but it 2.1 is important if what got discussed there is what you 22 want to bring up today, bring it up again. Bring it 23 up and be your own witness about what it is that you

are trying to say about it, okay? Maybe that will

24

25

clarify things.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Well, like I said, I was -- I asked the Company did they need any physical evidence like pictures.

2.1

MS. DANFORD: I am going to object again. If you are testifying about things that were discussed during the settlement conference, that's not admissible in this proceeding. I would also object and say that the Company issued discovery requests to Mr. Randall and those speak for themselves.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. So, again, you went back into describing this conversation you had with the Company. And but I've heard you earlier saying the reason why you are talking about that is because you didn't bring a picture with you.

THE WITNESS: Right.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So what we are going to look at is what you brought today. If you didn't bring it up today, we don't need the reason why you didn't bring it up. We just need to know what it is you have to present today. So it won't be because what the Company said to you because you didn't bring it with you so.

So, again, I am not going to allow you to continue on this discussion about what the Company

told you in the past. But I am giving you all the opportunity you want to bring out whatever you want. If you have a photo, if you don't have a photo, if you have your own reason why you didn't bring it, that's fine, but I don't want to hear about a discussion that happened during another phase of this case that I wasn't supposed to be a party to.

THE WITNESS: Sure.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay?

THE WITNESS: Okay. Basically what I got today I've got Exhibit 1 which everybody got a copy of. I have got Exhibit 2 which is a listing of the gifts I received Christmas 2017. Due to me being incarcerated, my house got broken into. I had everything was replaced. Exhibit 3 is the prices for the damaged items. Exhibit 4 is a copy of my most recent electric bills showing power I've been using since the repair has been made, since there is an issue of the housing being — the house was built in 1890 which is true. And the wiring had been updated from screw—in fuses to circuit breaker box. That's that.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. But, again, I am looking for you to present something that describes how this whole situation came about. For you to just

hand me the earlier complaint isn't the same as you providing new testimony today that describes what it is that brought us here.

2.1

THE WITNESS: Last year I woke up. I smelled the burning through the house, the odor. As I went through, the -- my three T.V.s and microwave were smoldering. I threw them out the front door instantly. I went back in.

I had no power, so I went down in the basement with a flashlight and checked to see if the main box was on. It was off. I tripped it back on and that's when I noticed the lightbulbs like glowing real dimly off and on.

I said, oh, something's wrong. I shut it back off. I went outside, you know, make sure it wasn't nothing -- the pole that was mentioned in my complaint about being antiquated, and it was right behind my house. I went and made sure nothing was on fire or sabotaged. I didn't see nothing. I went back in. I waited until after 8 o'clock and that's when I contacted the Company to let them know I had a problem.

From there we -- I waited -- waited for the repair guys to come. They came probably about an hour or two later, and I had to leave. I left -- I

had to leave. When I came back, they left a note on the door that everything was taken care of. I went back in. I turned the power back on. It was off while I was gone. Turned the power back on and I had the glowing of the lightbulbs was still going. They started popping.

Of course, where the surge went through my T.V.s and the stove and refrigerator, those sockets were melted. I had to get those repaired, oh, God, first of this year because I moved out after -- after that happened. I didn't stay there. I had no power until after October they came and repaired it fully.

Since then I have had one problem with outage again, and they traced it to a wire coming from the -- my house up to the front pole.

17 | There's --

2.1

2.2

MS. DANFORD: I am going to object. I don't know who they is.

THE WITNESS: They are electric company.

They had to come out again this year.

MS. DANFORD: When you say --

THE WITNESS: Windstorm. There is a wire that runs from my house up to the front and it rubs against this building and it come out there, cut the

tree, put like a sock over it.

2.1

MS. DANFORD: I am going to object again on relevance grounds. Your complaint dealt with circumstances in 2018, and if you are testifying about things that happened this year, that's beyond the scope of your complaint.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, I will allow what's already been stated for the record into the record but.

THE WITNESS: Okay.

basically here to find out, to have you testify about what it is that you stated in your complaint because she doesn't want to question your complaint. She wants to question you about your testimony today. That's why I am sort of having you -- even though you might be almost reading from or looking at the complaint stated in your testimony, that's appropriate because I don't -- I don't really want it to be about the complaint. I want it to be about your testimony today.

So feel free to repeat what may be already stated in there but today it's coming from your mouth under oath at the hearing. That's why I

am anxious to hear it from that perspective rather than from the complaint. So feel free to do that. You can reiterate what's already been stated in the complaint.

2.1

The reason why we are having you go through that process so that you state it here under oath and now you are subject to cross-examination. She can ask you about anything that you say today, not necessarily about anything you said in a written complaint that you filed months ago. So that's -- just so you understand the process, that's what we are doing right now at this stage of the case so feel free to bring up whatever you want.

THE WITNESS: All right.

EXAMINER FULLIN: If you have your own belief that what you are bringing up happened since the complaint that relates to the things that happened because of things you are bringing up in the complaint, feel free to bring those up too. You are not just bound by whatever you said back then; but, yes, it has to be about the reason why you brought this complaint today. So if you did bring it a while back, so it probably should relate in some way to the initial reason why you brought it, but you are free to bring up the issues that you are having.

In fact, really in general I am going to allow some testimony that just has to do with the issues that you now have with the Company that maybe started at the time the complaint was filed. But because you are here, because you can testify in your own behalf, because you are subject to cross-examination, I am going to allow you to bring up what you want to bring up in terms of the issues that you are having as -- as things presently stand. Okay?

2.1

THE WITNESS: All right. Well, basically the issue why I am here now I want to be -- want my items replaced, I want to be recompensated for the loss I had. That's the main issue. That's the only issue. I wasn't taken care of. If I was allowed to have insurance they offered through the Company, I would have had it, that would have taken care of it, but I wasn't allowed to have it because I am on low income payment plan. And I applied for it a couple times, and they turned me down. I didn't have homeowner's insurance. I didn't have no problem with it until it happened. Basically I was seeking compensation for my damaged items. That's basically it.

MS. DANFORD: I do -- I would like to

make another objection for the record. The scope of the complaint didn't include a denial of an insurance application. I would just like to note that for the record.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, put on your side of the case but he's allowed to bring up what he has as his issues and if that's --

MR. RANDALL: That's page 5 of Exhibit 1. It's a copy of the little surge protection that they sent in the bill. I filled it out years ago and it came back I had no income, no credit, and I wasn't allowed to do it through the low income payment plan that I have through the HEAP. I am on HEAP. They wouldn't allow me to have that insurance.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So you are showing -- you pointed me towards --

THE WITNESS: Page 5, page 5 of 5.

EXAMINER FULLIN: I am looking at that and what I see there is a, you know, copy of an offer I would -- I would call it to enroll in the surge assist program and then someone handwrote there not eligible, no income, no credit. So I would like for you to explain, you know, what this tells me about what efforts you made to be subscribed and what it is that the Company did in response and so forth.

THE WITNESS: I don't have -- I don't have the original, but they sent me back -- like I said, this is over 10 years ago, maybe longer, but when it originally come out with this program, I signed up for it.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: And I was denied the program due to me being on the low income, the HEAP program.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So you are testifying that -- I am allowing you to testify about that. She is allowed to ask you about that, but I am asking -- what I am hearing is you don't really have any written documentation to back up what you are saying.

THE WITNESS: I don't have the original where they denied me.

EXAMINER FULLIN: But that doesn't mean you can't say that's what happened to you.

THE WITNESS: If I knew it would have been a problem on down the road, that's the only thing I don't have. But that's why I took a copy and made a copy and wrote -- handwrote in there they told me I am not eligible due to no income and no credit.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: But that was -- that would

have been insurance for replacement of my items that were damaged, if I was allowed to participate in that program.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Now, what else do you want to say about anything at all?

THE WITNESS: Then there is Exhibit 4 and 5 I was -- I am showing power that I've been using since last year.

MS. DANFORD: I'm sorry. I would like -I would like to jump in for a minute. I have
Complainant's Exhibits 1, 2, 3, and 4. I don't have
an Exhibit 5.

THE WITNESS: You don't have a 5 of 5?

EXAMINER FULLIN: Here is what it is. I

think we are all on the same page, but you are not
saying it the way I understand it either. There is

four exhibits. The first one is your complaint, the

last page of which was the one that we just talked

about that has the offer of the surge assistance

program.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER FULLIN: The second exhibit is two pages. It's the list of items that you handwrote you are complaining are the damaged items. The third exhibit is the July 19 bill. The fourth exhibit --

1 THE WITNESS: I list that as 4th. 2 EXAMINER FULLIN: But my numbering is the 3 one that counts. It's Complainant's Exhibit No. 3, and it's June 19 bill; and Complainant's No. 4 is the 4 5 July 22, 2019, bill, and I don't know of any others. 6 If any others come up, we will deal with them as they 7 come up but those are the four that I recognized as marked exhibits. And that's how they are marked. 8 9 THE WITNESS: She was saying you didn't 10 have a copy of this. 11 EXAMINER FULLIN: I think she has a copy 12 of each of those things. 13 MS. DANFORD: I do. 14 EXAMINER FULLIN: We were a little 15 confused on the numbering you were giving them. 16 That's understandable. That's okay. 17 THE WITNESS: All right. 18 EXAMINER FULLIN: Now I think we are back 19 on the same page.

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I am saying page -- Exhibit 1 and page 5 of 5. That's what I was talking about.

23 EXAMINER FULLIN: Yeah.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

20

2.1

2.2

25 EXAMINER FULLIN: We were talking about

another earlier. I think we are clear on how things were marked. Okay. So, again, I just want you to feel free to -- you don't have to refer to these exhibits yet. You can if you want to. I just -- I really want you to be wide open about presenting your side of the case from your point of view about what brings you here today, what it is that you have to present in terms of the situation.

THE WITNESS: Well, what I got to present is Exhibit 2 and 3 are my damaged items.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. But, again, it's just Exhibit 2. It's a two-page exhibit.

THE WITNESS: Exhibit 2.

EXAMINER FULLIN: And it's two pages in your own handwriting of various items that you've identified.

THE WITNESS: Yes.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. That's fine. We all understand that's what you are talking about. Go ahead. Did you want to do more about describing that or?

THE WITNESS: No. Basically

23 self-describable.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Okay. Back to Exhibit 4

and Exhibit 5.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: There is no Exhibit 4 -- there is an Exhibit 4. There is no Exhibit 5. We are talking about the Exhibit 3 June bill and Exhibit 4 is the July bill. I am sorry for any confusion.

THE WITNESS: Got it numbered mine's 4 and 5.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, change it so you don't keep referring to the wrong thing. It doesn't help if you refer to an exhibit that doesn't exist.

THE WITNESS: June bill of this year and the July bill of this year.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

THE WITNESS: Shows the power usage at my residence from last year after repairs up to date. It was a question in their discovery of the home possibly having old wiring which is not the case. I have had no problems since then. I heat my house by electricity, and I cool my house by electricity. I have two air conditioners going 24/7 as of the weather being hot. During winter I have three electric heaters going, kerosene. So as far as saying the discovery about house having old wiring, you know, that's not the case. They rewired and

updated. That's it.

2.1

# EXAMINATION

4 By Examiner Fullin:

- Q. Okay. Let me ask you a few questions.
- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. I think the Commission wants to know from your point of view what -- what you feel is the reason why CEI is responsible for the power surge.
- responsible for the power surge because of the damage to my items and told by one of their employees, I don't have a name or badge number, that the pole and the wiring to the house was antiquated. It should have been changed out over 70 years ago, and then they came back and found the missing ground, whatever it is, I don't know, missing. That was the reason why the power was allowed -- was allowed to come in without being checked by the meter. I guess that would stop the surge.
- Q. So one of the things you brought up -- one of maybe the main things you brought up there was someone that told you that there was a problem.
  - A. He was a CEI employee.
  - Q. He was a CEI employee.

A. Repairman, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

- Q. So you are saying someone that told you that CEI was responsible for the power surge; is that what you are saying?
  - A. From antiquated wiring, yes, sir.
- Q. The antiquated wiring in the pole. Now, is that your wiring or is that their wiring?
- A. Their wiring coming to the house, coming from the pole to the house.
  - Q. Okay.
  - A. To the meter, I should say.
- Q. Do you know the name of this person?
  - A. I never got his name or badge number, no.
  - Q. So you don't really have anything to offer about what he has to say why the Commission should believe that he told you that.
    - A. Copy of who came out that day.
    - Q. Okay.
  - A. You know, I called and asked for a copy of the repair record, and they said it wasn't available to the customer. It's company -- company policy private only, whatever. The customers are not allowed to have a copy of the repair and who did it.
  - Q. Okay. Anything else you wanted to explain about -- anything else you wanted to explain

about why you believe it's the Company that's responsible for the power surge?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Mainly I've heard so far the Company employee that you don't know, can't identify, weren't allowed to find out the name of told you that. When did he tell you that and how did that come about?
- A. It come out in October, I believe October 3, that's when I was there, and stayed there until 9:30 that night, and then finally repaired it.
  - Q. He repaired the wiring?
  - A. The wiring, yeah.
  - Q. The old wiring?
- A. Yeah. He punched the ground wire and replaced all that, yes.
- Q. And as he was repairing it, he was having conversation with you.
  - A. No, no. That conversation about the wiring being antiquated was before October. That's when they came out the day when they put the temporary ground there before they came back, that's when I was told about the wiring being antiquated and need -- should have been swapped out years ago.
  - Q. You know, I don't really want to pull it all out of you, but I want you to know I need to

understand, you know, give me as much detail as you can about when things happened, who said what, when, and why, so forth.

- A. Sir, I don't have the names of the employees who told me that. That's what I am trying to say.
- Q. I want you to understand whatever you can provide would probably be the most helpful for you so if you don't tell me --
- A. I don't have nothing to provide of names or badge numbers for the repairman.
- Q. Any other description how the conversation ensued? Do you have any of that?
- A. No, just a verbal conversation between us two.
- Q. Okay. That's all you want to say about that?
  - A. That's it.

2.1

Q. Okay. Now, another thing I am curious about on behalf of the Commission is I know you presented a two-page list of items that were damaged, you know. Why is the Commission supposed to recognize that all of these things listed here were damaged by the power surge? I mean, I think that's why you presented it so maybe make some kind of a

blanket statement that these were the items that were damaged by the power surge, how is the Commission supposed to come to agree with you that that's -- where is the facts to support that?

- A. As far as items that were damaged?
- Q. Your testimony, whatever.

2.1

2.2

- A. Yes. I still have two of them, the T.V.s are all thrown. All I still have the damaged stove and I have under the one damaged T.V. that had VCR and DVD player, I still have those.
- Q. I believe you may have had all these items. I am not asking about whether you had the items or whether they were damaged. What I am asking about, how is the Commission to understand the reason they were damaged was because of the company was at fault for the power outage? How can -- how can I know that? Maybe some of these items you have from a long time ago. Maybe --
  - A. No. They are brand new, sir.
- Q. I am not accusing you of anything. I am telling you help the Commission to understand.
  - A. I got a list. They were brand new.
- Q. Every one of these items was damaged by
  the power outage. Help me to understand your point
  of view on that.

- A. They were given to me as gifts for Christmas 2017 once I got released from being incarcerated.
  - Q. Everything on the list?
  - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Again, I am not really trying to cross-examine you too severely. I am just trying to help you to understand what the Commission wants to know about what it is that you presented here, so I would like for you to spell out the best you can.
- A. They weren't damaged until I had the power surge. They were working fine. Everything until I had the power surge I had no problems.
- Q. Because you received them all around Christmastime of 2017?
- A. Yeah, yeah. I got out December 27 of 2017.
- Q. Okay. Well, again, that's more than I knew before I asked the question. Appreciate that. Anything else you wanted to bring out about what they are, how they came to be, and, again, most importantly for the Commission to understand why they should believe you since you are making the assertion that they all got damaged by the power surge that was caused by the company. Anything you wanted --

anything more you wanted to add about that?

Α. No, I don't.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. I don't have any further questions at this point. You can cross-examine the witness.

6 MS. DANFORD: Great. Thank you, 7

Mr. Randall. Thank you, your Honor.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 By Ms. Danford:

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

20

2.1

- Mr. Randall, I just have a few short 11 Q. 12 questions for you.
- 13 Α. Sure.
- 14 First, have you provided receipts to the 0. 15 Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company for any of 16 the items you have claimed were damaged on September 17 26, 2018?
- 18 I have no receipts. They were all gifts. Α. 19 They were given to me.
  - Q. Okay. Thank you.
  - A. I have no receipts.
- 22 Mr. Randall, have you provided Q. 23 photographs to The Cleveland Electric Illuminating 24 Company of any of the items you claimed were damaged 25 on September 26, 2018?

A. No. I asked one of the representatives did I need to provide what I got left that day. He said no. Just bring a listing of the prices, items that were damaged.

MS. DANFORD: I would move to strike everything after no.

EXAMINER FULLIN: No. I am going to allow him to answer the question the way he wanted to answer.

MS. DANFORD: Your Honor, may I approach the witness?

12 EXAMINER FULLIN: Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MS. DANFORD: Your Honor, I would ask
that this be marked as Company Exhibit 1 for
identification.

16 EXAMINER FULLIN: This is Respondent's or Complainant?

MS. DANFORD: I'm sorry, Respondent Exhibit A would be fine, if you prefer.

EXAMINER FULLIN: I go with numbers.

Respondent's No. 1, Exhibit 1, is marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

Q. (By Ms. Danford) Mr. Randall, I have handed you what has been marked as Respondent's Exhibit 1 for identification. These are your

responses that you provided to The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company in response to the Illuminating Company's discovery response to you, correct?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Great. Can you please turn to page 14. Mr. Randall, do you see request No. 3 on page 4?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Request No. 3 says -- asks you to produce all documents that you intend to rely, refer to, or use as exhibits at any deposition or at any hearing in this matter. Could you please read your response into the record.
- A. It says "I don't have anything left of said items. I disposed of them without taking any photos. They were all gifts to me Christmas 2017 when I came home from county jail after 90 days."
- Q. Do you agree with me, Mr. Randall, you disposed of the items you claimed were damaged without taking any photographs of them?
  - A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Just a few more questions. Mr. Randall, are you an engineer?
  - A. No. I am an auto technician.
- Q. Have you ever worked in the electric industry?

A. No.

2.1

- Q. Have you ever worked in the energy industry?
  - A. No.
- Q. After the power surge that you claim happened on September 26, 2018, did you ever have an electrician come out and inspect your home?
  - A. Yes. I did have someone come out.
- Q. Okay. Mr. Randall, I would ask that you turn to page 9 of Respondent's Exhibit 1.
  - A. Which page did you say, ma'am?
- 12 Q. Page 9.
  - A. Oh, okay. Okay.
  - Q. Interrogatory No. 13 says "If anyone other than CEI," which for our purposes means The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, "anyone other than CEI personnel has inspected, examined, tested, measured, or otherwise made any assessment of the electric service and/or electrical equipment at the Residence from March 2018 to present, state the following for each person: A, his or her full name; B, the company he or she works for; C, his or her address; D, his or her phone number; E, the date of each inspection, examination, test, measurement, or other assessment; and, F, the results of the

inspection, examination, test, measurement, or other assessment." Do you see where it says that?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Could you please read your answer into the record.
  - A. "No one."
- Q. So, Mr. Randall, this interrogatory asked if anyone came out to inspect your residence, and in response to the interrogatory you said "No one."
- A. It wasn't a formal inspection or testing done. They came out after it happened, and he went through with me just to look and see what happened. It wasn't where I paid him, you know, to come out and inspect or test or make a listing. It was just a friend of mine. He does this type of work. He came out and told me. That's maybe where he did go from my box back outside to the repairs that you guys did. But I wasn't charged for it. It wasn't no written down formal inspection. That's why I put down no one.
- Q. So, Mr. Randall, are you testifying that your friend inspected CEI's equipment?
- A. No. He inspected from the box -- from my box out to the meter to see what kind of repairs had been made. And he showed me the new ground they ran

from the pole down to the house. You can see it up there, but we didn't get up on the pole. He just showed me where they ran the new ground wire from the transformer down to the meter, the one that was supposed to have been missing.

Q. Okay. Thank you, Mr. Randall. During your testimony -- I'm sorry. Strike that.

Mr. Randall, would you agree with me that the Cleveland Electric illuminating came out to your residence on September 26, 2018?

A. Yes.

- Q. So, Mr. Randall, you testified that you applied for insurance, possibly surge assist, about 10 years ago; is that correct?
- A. When they very first come out with. It's been a little while ago. I wish I had the original. Original form they sent back to me was a letter saying I didn't qualify for it because I was on the PIPP program, the PIPP program.
- Q. Have you applied for surge assist since that time?
- 22 A. No.
- Q. Did you apply for surge assist any time
  in 2016?
- 25 A. No.

41 2017? 1 Q. 2 Α. No. 3 Q. 2018? Α. 4 No. 5 MS. DANFORD: Your Honor, I have no 6 further questions at this point. 7 8 FURTHER EXAMINATION 9 By Examiner Fullin: 10 Okay. And let me ask just related to the 11 last question, would you say that the thing that you 12 are referring to that you did look into, asked about 13 was specifically the -- you could name it the same 14 way as named here on page 5 of your Exhibit 1. 15 Α. Yes. 16 That's the program you are talking about. Ο. 17 It's not some other program or something separate. 18 Α. No. 19 That's what you are talking about. Ο. 20 Α. Yes. 2.1 Q. You asked for that. 22 I applied for it. Α. When it was new? 23 Q. 24 Yeah. Α.

And were denied for the reason you said.

25

Q.

That's what -- that that's what you are saying.

A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. It's not some other program.
- A. No. CEI, yeah.

5 EXAMINER FULLIN: That's all. I just 6 wanted that clarified for the record.

All right. Did you want to add anything that relates to the questions that either you or she asked?

MR. RANDALL: No, sir.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Did you have anything further that you were personally going to testify about?

MR. RANDALL: No, sir.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. And did you have another witness that you wanted to present?

MR. RANDALL: Yes.

EXAMINER FULLIN: I think we will go off the record.

20 | (Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: So we will go back on the record, and you can call your next witness. And before they start testifying, I will have them stand and be sworn or affirmed in.

25 (Witness sworn.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank you. You can testify from where you are sitting -- seated, if you would like.

2.1

## 5 FRIEDA TYSON

being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

I am here to basically say I was there when the incident happened and what he is really trying to say the reason he feels CEI is responsible because of the damage that was done due to the power surge. And everything that's on his list is black and smoldered and useless. And, of course, he threw it away real quick. You know, being mad he threw it out the door. We weren't thinking about taking no pictures at the time. That basically covers it.

EXAMINER FULLIN: All right. Anything else you would like to add?

THE WITNESS: No.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Let me make sure we have your name for the record. I know I didn't really catch it.

THE WITNESS: Frieda, F-R-I-E-D-A, Tyson.

44 1 EXAMINER FULLIN: T-Y-S-O-N? 2 THE WITNESS: Yes. 3 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Thank you. Did you have any questions of your own witness that you 4 5 wanted to have her answer to help bring out your 6 case? 7 MR. RANDALL: No, sir. EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. I don't think I 8 9 have any right now. 10 If you would like to cross-examine the 11 witness. 12 MS. DANFORD: I have no questions for 13 this witness, your Honor. 14 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Thank you for your testimony today. 15 16 THE WITNESS: You're welcome. EXAMINER FULLIN: Now -- now, do you have 17 18 any other witnesses that you wanted to present? 19 MR. RANDALL: No, sir. 20 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Do you want to move to have each of the four exhibits that you 2.1 22 brought admitted into the evidence? 23 MR. RANDALL: Yes, sir. 24 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Any objections 25 you wanted to make or otherwise discuss?

MS. DANFORD: Yes, your Honor. Just a couple objections for the record. First, with respect to Complainant's Exhibit 1, it's not clear to me sitting here today whether this is an unmodified or modified version of the complaint filed in this matter. It is a handwritten document, and I have not had an opportunity to compare it against that document. So I -- against the original filed complaint so I would note that for the record.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Was your reason for bringing it to basically have -- make sure that -- I think the reason why you are bringing it as an exhibit today is because I told you the Commission wasn't going to consider as evidence your complaint that was filed, but they would if you wanted to present as evidence in the case, then, again, it might be admitted as evidence in the case. Was your purpose here today basically to bring a copy of what was filed earlier in the case?

MR. RANDALL: Yes, yes.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So noting any discrepancy that comes to light, we will keep that in mind, but I'll admit it -- well, I will let you continue, but I am expecting I will be able to admit it as a copy submitted as an exhibit of the complaint

filed in this case.

2.1

2.2

MS. DANFORD: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay.

MS. DANFORD: Regarding Complainant's Exhibit 2, I would object on hearsay grounds. I -- I can't tell from looking at this list, you know, where these prices came from. There's been no authentication of the source of this information so I would note that hearsay objection for the record.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Did you want to respond in any way?

MR. RANDALL: Yes. In their discovery page 10, I wrote down on interrogatory No. 13 -- no, it's not 13. The page in between 13 and 15 I wrote down that the prices were -- I went to Wal-mart and the Home Depot for my pricing, about the cheapest places that I know of and that's where I -- the majority of the items came from.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So are you trying to let me know that the items that you listed here in terms of what the prices --

MR. RANDALL: Yeah.

EXAMINER FULLIN: -- listed in your exhibit match the same kind of answers that you gave in -- to their interrogatory No. 14 in their

```
47
     discovery question?
 1
 2
                 MR. RANDALL: Yes, sir.
 3
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Is that what you are
     trying --
 4
 5
                 MR. RANDALL: Yes. I wrote down the
 6
     serial numbers, model numbers, everything. I
 7
     acquired them from Wal-mart and the Home Depot.
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Let me understand that
 8
 9
    better. I am not sure I caught that.
10
                 MR. RANDALL: I say I got serial numbers,
11
    model numbers of each item that was damaged. I
12
     acquired them from Wal-mart discount store and the
13
    Home Depot for the electrical items, the boxes, the
14
     wiring strips, all that came from Home Depot. The
15
     T.V.s and the -- were from Wal-mart.
16
     refrigerator and the stove was from Home Depot.
17
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: So let me try to
18
    understand. You had already -- well, maybe T.V.s are
19
     different. Some of this stuff you already threw out,
20
    but you went elsewhere to find similar items at a
2.1
     store or catalog?
2.2
                 MR. RANDALL: Right. Write them down.
23
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Tried to catalog it.
24
                 MR. RANDALL: Because I didn't have the
25
     original receipts.
```

EXAMINER FULLIN: You made that effort. 1 2 You did that both in trying to respond to their 3 interrogatory No. 14 and also when you put together the list that's now marked as Complainant's Exhibit 4 5 It was all the same process? 6 MR. RANDALL: Yes, sir. 7 EXAMINER FULLIN: And when did you go 8 about doing this? 9 MR. RANDALL: March, April this year 10 after I came down the first time. 11 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. 12 MR. RANDALL: Yes, when I got this in the 13 mail. 14 EXAMINER FULLIN: Yeah. Maybe at this 15 time you were trying to respond to the interrogatory? 16 MR. RANDALL: Yes, it would be, yes. 17 EXAMINER FULLIN: So you not only 18 responded in the way that's shown on the -- so far 19 submitted Respondent's Exhibit No. 1 but also at the 20 same time in the same process created your own list 2.1 that now is before me as Complainant's Exhibit No. 2? 22 MR. RANDALL: Yes, sir. 23 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Are there 24 differences between the answers that you gave to 25 interrogatory No. 14 and the other list? Are there

some things that appear only one place and not in the other?

MR. RANDALL: No. It's the same stuff.

EXAMINER FULLIN: It's the same thing.

2.1

All right. Go ahead. Did you have other things you were going to bring up about Complainant's Exhibit No. 2?

MS. DANFORD: No, your Honor. Stay with my same objection.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, I am going to -I am going to admit both Exhibit No. 1 and did -- as
we've described it, and I am also inclined to allow
Complainant's Exhibit No. 2 into evidence but note
that it does have some concerns as being hearsay, but
the Commission can decide for itself whether it's -whether it's -- whether it's credible or not that
what level of -- what level of credibility to give to
it, what weight to give it. But I note that it's
hearsay, but I will allow it into evidence at this
time.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: Now, you have Exhibits
No. 3 and 4. Are you asking to have them brought in?

MR. RANDALL: Yes, sir.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Did you want to

speak to either of those exhibits that have anything that hasn't been ruled on not including your own?

MS. DANFORD: Sure, your Honor. The only points I would make with both Complainant's Exhibit 3 and 4 is that both of those have a page 1 of 2 indicator in the top right corner but include only one page each, so I would just state for the record that they are incomplete records.

EXAMINER FULLIN: All right. I will note that for the record but allow them into evidence at this time.

## (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: So now we've heard the testimony from you, from your witness, admitted all your exhibits into evidence. Do you have anything further to present to the Commission for them to consider as they are making their decision in your case before we allow -- before you rest and allow the Company to put on their case?

MR. RANDALL: No, sir.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Thank you.

Thank you.

2.1

That being the case, does anyone need a break, or can we go ahead and proceed?

MS. DANFORD: Yes, your Honor. I would

like to request a brief 5-minute recess.

2.1

EXAMINER FULLIN: Yes. Let's take a short break and when we come back, the Company will put on their side of the case so feel free to move about. We will start again in 5 to 10 minutes.

Nothing set in stone.

(Recess taken.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. We are ready to go back on record.

And, like I said, when we come back, it will be time for the Respondent's to put on their case. Are Respondent's intending to submit their Respondent's Exhibit 1 as an exhibit? Because I would like it to go in the record because we've already made reference to it in terms of describing some of the accuracy of the other exhibits. So if you are going to be doing that later, I won't be concerned. Otherwise, I would rather find out what's going to happen to it now because I want it to be part of the record because it's already been referred to.

MS. DANFORD: Certainly, your Honor.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So if you were going to be asking for it later, we will do it then.

MS. DANFORD: Certainly, your Honor. I

```
would make -- or I can move it. It's your Honor's
preference.
```

EXAMINER FULLIN: I assume it might be different from the other exhibits you have so let's go ahead. Why don't you go ahead and move it now.

6 MS. DANFORD: Sure, yes, your Honor.

Thank you. At this time the Cleveland Electric
Illuminating Company would move for Respondent's
Exhibit 1 to be admitted into the evidence.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Any objection to me admitting it into evidence?

MR. RANDALL: No, sir.

3

4

5

7

8

9

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. It will be admitted at this time.

15 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MS. DANFORD: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Now you can proceed with your case in chief.

MS. DANFORD: Thank you, your Honor. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company calls Robert Sandvick to the stand.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Again, if you want to come to the stand, you can, or if you want to stay where you are at.

25 (Witness sworn.)

53 1 EXAMINER FULLIN: Thank you. You will be 2 able to hear him just as well if he came up here, right? 3 4 MR. RANDALL: Sure. 5 EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. We will just 6 leave it this way. 7 MS. DANFORD: Your Honor, may I approach the witness? 8 9 EXAMINER FULLIN: Yes. Thank you. 10 MS. DANFORD: Your Honor, I would ask that this exhibit be marked Respondent's Exhibit 2 11 12 for identification purposes. EXAMINER FULLIN: Yes. I have marked my 13 14 copy that way so what she has handed you will be 15 identified as Respondent's Exhibit 2. 16 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 17 MS. DANFORD: Thank you, your Honor. 18 19 ROBERT SANDVICK 20 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 2.1 examined and testified as follows: 22 DIRECT EXAMINATION 23 By Ms. Danford: 24 Mr. Sandvick, I have just handed you what Ο. 25 has been marked as Respondent's Exhibit 2 for

- identification purposes. Can you please tell me what 1 2. this document is.
  - This is my responses to the questions Α. pertaining to the case.
  - Ο. Thank you. Mr. Sandvick, is this your direct testimony on behalf of the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company?
    - Yes, it is. Α.
  - 0. And that was direct testimony prepared by you or under your direction?
- 11 Α. Yes, it was.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

- 12 Do you have any changes to make to your Ο. 13 direct testimony today?
  - Α. Not at this time.
  - Ο. If I were to ask you the same questions in your direct testimony today, would your answers remain the same?
- 18 Α. Yes.

saw it before.

- 19 MS. DANFORD: Thank you, Mr. Sandvick.
- 20 Your Honor, the witness is available for 2.1 cross-examination.
- 22 EXAMINER FULLIN: This was prefiled 23 testimony? I didn't -- again, I don't know that I 24
- 25 MS. DANFORD: Yes. I apologize, your

Honor. This was actually filed back in July.

2 EXAMINER FULLIN: Maybe I did see it back

3 then.

2.1

MS. DANFORD: This was filed back prior to -- the hearing has been continued a couple of times so.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Now I am remembering that. Okay. I did see it back then. Okay. Thank you.

So I don't have any questions at this moment. Do you want to ask him any questions about his testimony, cross-examine him about anything in his testimony?

MR. RANDALL: No. Yeah, I had July 17 they sent me a copy. I already got a copy.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Do you have questions of him? Because I'm expecting the next thing that will happen --

MR. RANDALL: No, no. I don't have no questions.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Then she will ask to have his testimony adopted into evidence, and I will make a ruling on that, but before we do that, if you wanted to ask some questions about what he's testified to, this is your chance. But you said that

you don't.

2.1

2.2

2 MR. RANDALL: No.

EXAMINER FULLIN: So I will take your answer. I don't have any questions at this time either.

MS. DANFORD: Your Honor, may I have an opportunity for a brief rebuttal?

EXAMINER FULLIN: Yes. I didn't mean to cut off your chance to ask him questions about his direct testimony which I may have said that I was doing but that's not what I had in mind. Go ahead.

MS. DANFORD: Thank you, your Honor.

Q. (By Ms. Danford) So, Mr. Sandvick, we've heard a lot of testimony today. Are you aware of whether -- I'm sorry. Strike that.

Did the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company to your knowledge violate any statute or regulation in providing service to Mr. Randall?

A. No.

MS. DANFORD: I have no further questions.

EXAMINER FULLIN: All right. All right. I don't have any other questions either. This is your last chance. Do you have further questions for the witness?

```
57
                 MR. RANDALL: Yeah, I got well one -- a
 1
 2
     couple.
 3
 4
                       CROSS-EXAMINATION
 5
     By Mr. Randall:
               On page 5, question 3, 3 -- is 3 -- no,
 6
            Q.
 7
     3, page 5, question 3.
 8
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Why don't -- I think
     you identified this by the line --
9
10
            Q.
                Exhibit 2.
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Yes, but, see, when you
11
     are -- I am on 5 with you. See how they have numbers
12
13
     1 through 22 numbering the lines so where is the
14
     question?
15
                 MR. RANDALL: 3Q.
16
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, the Q is the
17
     question.
18
                 MR. RANDALL: 3.
            Q. At line 3, okay. All right. 8, page 6,
19
20
     5, and 9.
2.1
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: So what is your
22
     question? I thought you were just referencing where
23
    to look --
24
                 MR. RANDALL: Yeah.
25
                 EXAMINER FULLIN: -- so we could hear
```

your question. So what is the question?

2.1

MR. RANDALL: That they -- they admitted there was a problem with the ground wire being removed -- missing and that it would cause voltage fluctuations and outages.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Well, again, the format here, and we will give you a little chance to adjust yourself and get used to it, but he has presented this as his testimony.

MR. RANDALL: Okay.

EXAMINER FULLIN: You've pointed to certain parts of his testimony, and then you began talking about your view of things.

MR. RANDALL: Right.

EXAMINER FULLIN: What has to happen here to point to something in his testimony and ask him a question that may or may not, you know, help the Commission to understand it better than he has already stated it. So you got to ask him a question about his testimony and if it's something you don't agree with, maybe it would be a question to him about a better understanding.

MR. RANDALL: Well, I agree with it.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Again, I am just trying to help you. The idea is I need you to

formulate questions for him to answer so.

2.1

MR. RANDALL: Yeah.

Q. (By Mr. Randall) I just wanted to ask about the -- about the missing ground possible.

That's possible cause of the surge, sir?

MS. DANFORD: I am going to go ahead and object. There's been no admission that there was a surge in this case and so I would object to the form of the question.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. Well, I want to give him some room to bring his question. But, yes, as I read his testimony, the Company hasn't admitted that the surge even happened. And so you have got to ask the question in terms of what he's described. You can't -- you can't ask him to admit something he has never admitted to.

 $$\operatorname{MR.}$$  RANDALL: I have no further questions.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. All right. I don't have further questions at this point either.

MS. DANFORD: Thank you, your Honor. At this time I would move that Respondent's Exhibit 2 be moved into evidence.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Any objection to me admitting his exhibit --

1 MR. RANDALL: No.

2 EXAMINER FULLIN: -- into evidence? His

3 | exhibit will also be admitted into evidence.

(EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

5 EXAMINER FULLIN: Anything further from

6 | the Respondent?

4

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. DANFORD: Not from the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, your Honor.

EXAMINER FULLIN: Okay. If I haven't already done so, I think I have, Respondent's Exhibit 1 and 2 are admitted into evidence, and all four of the Complainant's exhibits are admitted into evidence. And the -- both -- as I understand it, both parties have rested in terms of presenting evidence in this case.

Let's go off the record for a moment.

(Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER FULLIN: I have asked whether the parties were interested in filing briefs in this case. I found out that CEI is interested in filing at least an initial brief. So my -- what I expect to do is to rule there will be a deadline for either party on its own to decide to file an initial brief, and we could have talked about it off the record but let's talk on the record about when the deadline for

that will be. I think -- I think it's usually about three weeks out that we expect the transcript to come in and a month from there when we expect the initial brief to come in as general terms.

2.1

So does anybody have a calendar in front of them? I don't. If there is no objection, I am going to make a ruling that initial briefs will be due on October 7 and that any party that wants to respond to an initial brief by filing the reply brief may do so by say -- let's say the reply brief would only be allowed from someone that is replying to an additional brief, and the reply brief, if anybody, will be due on October 31. So that's my ruling as far as the briefing schedule.

If along the time frame either party finds some special reason why they are having difficulty meeting that, contact me and we will work out an arrangement that works, but barring any unforeseen circumstances, this is what I would expect, initial briefs, if any, and they are optional, will be due on October 7, October 7, and reply briefs which are only allowed in responding to an initial brief will be due October 31. That's my ruling on the timing of briefs.

Other than that I consider the record

```
62
     submitted of record, and the Commission will make its
 1
     decision based on the record that was established
 2
 3
     today. And we will consider briefs as they -- as
     they come in. Thank you.
 4
 5
                 We are adjourned.
                 (Thereupon, at 11:48 a.m., the hearing
 6
 7
     was adjourned.)
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Monday, August 19, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

11 (KSG-6796)

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter.



This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

9/3/2019 3:30:33 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1851-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript David N. Randall vs. The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, hearing held on August 19, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.