## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of Raymond :

Richmond Notice of Apparent : Case No

Violation and Intent to : 19-458-TR-CVF

Assess Forfeiture.

**PROCEEDINGS** 

Before Anna Sanyal, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Tuesday, August 13, 2019, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Werner L. Margard
 3
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                  On behalf of the Staff of the
                  Public Utilities Commission
 6
                  of Ohio,
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	DIRECT CROSS	
4	STATE'S WITNESSES	
5	Alvin Holscher 6	
6	Rod Moser 16	
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	ST	AFF'S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADMT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	9	21	
5	2	Photographs	9	21	
6					
7	3	Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture	19	21	
8	4	Second Notice - Notice of	19	21	
9	-	Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture			
10	5	Notice of Preliminary	19	21	
11		Determination			
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

5 1 Tuesday Morning, 2 August 13, 2019. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Good morning, 5 everyone. We are here for Case No. 6 19-458-TR-CVF which is In the Matter of Raymond 7 Richmond Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent 8 to Assess Forfeiture. 9 My name is Anna Sanyal and I am the 10 Attorney Examiner assigned to this case. 11 10:05 A.M., and Respondent Raymond Richmond has 12 not made an appearance at this time. So, at 13 this time we will take appearances from the AG 14 for the record, and then we can discuss how to 15 proceed. 16 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. 17 On behalf of the transportation Staff of the 18 Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Dave Yost, 19 Ohio Attorney General; John Jones, Section Chief 20 Public Utilities Section; by Assistant Attorney 2.1 General Werner L. Margard, 30 East Broad Street, 2.2 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio. 23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr. 24 Margard. So, in cases like these I prefer to

wait an additional few minutes since I assume

Proceedings 6 Mr. Richmond is traveling from Cleveland today. 1 2 So, what I would propose is going off the record for another 10 minutes or so and reconvening at 3 10:15. And at that point see if Mr. Richmond 4 5 has appeared. Is that amenable? 6 MR. MARGARD: It is, your Honor. 7 Thank you. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Well, in 8 that case let's go off the record until 10:15 9 10 and we will proceed at that time. 11 (RECESS TAKEN) 12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It is now 10:15 13 A.M. and Mr. Richmond is not here. So at this 14 time I will let the Attorney General proceed with his case. 15 16 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, Your Honor. Staff would call Inspector Holscher to the stand 17 18 please. 19 (WITNESS SWORN) 20 2.1 ALVIN W. HOLSCHER 22 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 23

DIRECT EXAMINATION

24

25

By Mr. Margard:

```
7
                 Sir, will you please state your
 1
            Q.
 2
     name and your business address?
 3
                 My name is Alvin W. Holscher. 180
            Α.
     East Broad Street, Columbus.
 4
 5
            Q.
                 What is your official capacity, sir?
     What's your title?
 6
 7
            Α.
                 I am a Transportation Examiner/DOT
     Inspector, roadside inspections as well.
 8
 9
                 Thank you, sir. And what are your
            Ο.
10
     duties as a roadside inspector?
11
                 I inspect property carriers,
            Α.
12
     vehicles, as well as passenger carrier vehicles
13
     involving state or federal regulations.
14
                  How long have you been doing that
            0.
15
     job, please?
                 Well, I started with the Patrol in
16
            Α.
17
     2005 and I worked up through 2015.
               Similar duties?
18
            Q.
19
            A. Yes, sir.
20
            Q.
                 Thank you.
2.1
                 And then from PUCO 2015 to the
            Α.
22
    present time.
                 Thank you. Do you have any special
23
            Q.
24
     certifications or training?
```

A. Yes, sir. I am North American

- 1 Standard Level 1 inspector trained. I have a
  2 passenger carrier certification for inspector.
- I have a hazmat general, other bulk packages and tank certification inspector. And I keep those current by recertifying every year.
  - Q. Thank you, sir. Were you on duty on October 14th, 2018?
    - A. Yes, sir, I was.
  - Q. Do you recall what your assignment was, what your duties here were day?
- A. We were doing a passenger carrier
  blitz in Cincinnati for I believe Bengals/
  Steelers game.
- Q. What do you mean by blitz? What does that mean?
  - A. Well, we were checking passenger carries to make sure that they were properly licensed, make sure their authority was right and insurance, vehicles not unsafe.
  - Q. This is a safety inspection for the benefit of the persons attending the game?
  - A. Yes.

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Q. Okay. Thank you. In the course of your duties that day did you have an occasion to inspect a passenger vehicle operated by

Lakefront Lines?

Α. Yes, sir, I did.

And as a result of that inspection Ο. did you generate a report?

> Α. Yes, I did.

> > MR. MARGARD: May I approach, your

Honor?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you may.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, would the record please reflect I have handed the witness two different documents. I will address those in order.

The first marked for purposes of identification as Staff Exhibit No. 1 is titled Driver/Vehicle Examination Report.

16 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It shall be so 17 marked.

18 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR

19 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)

20 MR. MARGARD: Second marked for 2.1 purpose of identification as Staff Exhibit No. 2 22 is a multi-page exhibit of photographs.

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And that one 24 will be marked Staff Exhibit 2.

25 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR PURPOSES OF

10 1 IDENTIFICATION) 2 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your 3 Honor. Inspector Holscher, do you have 4 Ο. 5 before you what's been marked Staff Exhibit 1? 6 Α. Yes. 7 Q. And do you recognize this document? 8 Yes, I do. Α. And is this a report that you 9 Ο. 10 prepared as a result of your inspection on 11 October 14, 2018 on a vehicle operated by 12 Lakefront Lines? 13 Α. Yes, sir, this is it. 14 And the driver of that particular Ο. 15 vehicle was a Raymond Richmond? 16 Yes, sir. Α. 17 And you understand him to be Q. 18 the Respondent in this case? 19 Α. Yes, sir. 20 Q. Now, first of all have you had a 2.1 chance to review this report prior to taking 22 the stand today? 23 Α. Yes.

reflect your inspection on that date?

And does this report accurately

24

25

Ο.

A. It does.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

- Q. Thank you. I am going to direct your attention, if you would, please, to the violations section of this report. Do you see that?
  - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Now, generally what goes in the violation section?
- A. Well, when I do an inspection, any violation that I find, whether it be driver violation or vehicle violation, it goes in this section.
- Q. And what information are you
  responsible for putting in? You put some, does
  it automatically populate with some? What do
  you put in here?
- A. I put in all of the information in there.
- 19 O. I see.
- A. So, I enter the section, violation,
  violation code, the section, who is assessed,
  whether Unit 1, which will be the vehicle, or D
  for driver. And then I write out the general
  description for that.
- Q. And you have in fact two different

violations here. One for Unit 1 and one for D, which I presume is driver; is that correct?

> Α. Yes, sir, it is.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.2

23

- And you understand that the unit Ο. violation is not at issue in this case?
  - Α. Yes, I do understand.
  - This is just the driver violation. Q.
  - That's correct. Α.
- And what was the driver violation Ο. that you found at the time of your inspection?
- The driver violation was when I approached the driver I asked him for his driver's license and his medical card. And he produced his CDL, which said that he had a Class B restriction.
- Which is, sir, please? Q.
- Α. He had corrective lenses.
  - Thank you. Continue. Q.
- When he presented me his medical 19 Α. 20 card, that also said he had to have corrective 2.1 lenses.
  - I asked him for other documents; registration, a work ticket or job ticket saying where he is coming from, who he is picking up. And I also said, I asked him, I said are you
- 25

- wearing your glasses, do you have glasses,
  contacts in? And his reply was no, he did not
  have any.
- Q. Were the remainder of his documents in order?
- A. Yes.

7

8

9

10

- Q. Okay. Did he have glasses or corrective lenses anywhere in the vehicle?
  - A. No, he did not.
- Q. He was not able to produce any type of correctives lenses?
- A. No. He was not able to produce any corrective lenses, whether they be contacts or glasses.
- Q. Thank you, sir. Now I will direct
  your attention to what I have marked Staff
  Exhibit No. 2. Do you recognize these
  photographs?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And did you take these photographs?
- 21 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. And are these accurate copies of the photographs that you took?
- A. They are.
- 25 Q. And you took additional photographs

14 1 than these; didn't you? 2 Α. Yes, I did. 3 A variety of photographs of 0. the vehicle? 4 5 Α. Yes. For the vehicle violation? 6 Q. 7 Α. Yes. And some photographs of other 8 Q. 9 documents? 10 Α. Right. 11 And documents which you have Ο. 12 indicated were in order? 13 Α. Yes, they were. 14 So, presumably the first page of Ο. Staff Exhibit 2 is his CDL; is that correct? 15 16 That is correct. Α. And the second page of this is his 17 Q. medical examiner's certificate; correct? 18 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. And you have testified, have you 2.1 not, today, that both of these indicated that he 22 was restricted to wearing corrective lenses? 23 Α. That's correct. 24 And in deed that is, while not 0.

apparent from the first photograph, it is

apparent from the second photograph, we can see the box checked that says wearing corrective lenses; correct?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Very good. Thank you. Now, you have been relating to us the events of that day, the events of the inspection. Do you recall that, independently, do you remember that day?
  - A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. You remember your interaction with this Respondent?
- 12 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. This is not simply from reviewing the report?
- 15 A. No, sir.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, that is all the questions I have for this witness.
- 18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And I have no questions, so you may step down.
- 20 THE WITNESS: Thank you.
- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, we call
- 23 Mr. Moser to the stand, please.
- 24 (WITNESS SWORN)
- 25 | - -

ROD MOSER

2 called as a witness, being first duly sworn,

3 testified as follows:

## DIRECT EXAMINATION

5 By Mr. Margard:

1

4

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. Sir, if you would please state your name and business address.
  - A. My name is Rod Moser. My address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.
- Q. And by whom are you employed and in what capacity, please?
  - A. I am the Chief of the Compliance

    Section within the Transportation Department for
    the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.
    - Q. And what are your duties and responsibilities in that position, please?
  - A. My primary duty is to administer this civil forfeiture process for the Transportation Department.
  - Q. And in the course of your duties -first of all let me ask you about your
    experience. Tell us briefly what your
    experience is, how long you have performed in
    this particular job?
- A. I have been in my current position

- for roughly two and a half years. Prior to that I was with the State Highway Patrol for just shy of 30 years.
- Q. Thank you. Prior to taking the stand today did you have an opportunity to review the Commission's file with respect to this case?
  - A. I did, yes.
- Q. And did you have an opportunity to review what's been marked Staff Exhibit No. 1 which is on the stand there before you?
- 12 A. I did.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

18

- Q. And is that an accurate copy of the report which is maintained in the Commission's records in this case?
- 16 A. Yes, it is.
  - Q. Were you responsible for determining the assessment, the amount of the forfeiture to be assessed in this case?
- A. My office determines it, yes. The actual process is automated. So, it's not a hands on function in this case.
- Q. Could you explain for us briefly how that process occurs?
- 25 A. Sure. Violations are divided up

into various groups depending upon the nature of the violation. And once the group has been determined the computer system assigns the civil forfeiture assessment to the case depending upon the violation.

- Q. And can you walk us through how the assessment was determined in this specific case?
- A. Sure. In this particular case, this is a driver violation. This is a Group 4 violation. Group 4 violations always carry a civil forfeiture penalty. And this particular violation, that penalty is always \$250.
- Q. Now, is this dollar amount something that is consistent with the Commercial Motor Vehicle Safety Alliance recommendations?
- A. It was initially established per their guidance, yes.
- Q. And this is the procedure that is used for all such violations?
- A. For all violations that come into our system, yes.
- Q. Nothing unique or different about this case?
- 24 A. No.

MR. MARGARD: Okay. May I

```
19
1
     approach, Your Honor?
2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you may.
 3
                 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, would the
     record please reflect that I have handed the
 4
 5
     witness a series of documents that have been
 6
    marked for purposes of identification as Staff
7
     Exhibits 3, 4 and 5.
                 And, your Honor, specifically
8
     the document marked as Staff Exhibit No. 3 is
9
10
     labeled Notice of Apparent Violation dated
11
    October 16, 2018.
12
                 The document marked Staff Exhibit
13
    No. 4 is a Second Notice of Apparent Violation
     dated November 27, 2018.
14
                 And Staff Exhibit 5 is a Notice of
15
16
     Preliminary Determination dated January 25th,
17
     2019.
18
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you, Mr.
19
    Margard. These documents shall be marked as you
20
     indicated.
2.1
                 (EXHIBITS MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
2.2
     IDENTIFICATION)
23
                 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your
```

Q. Mr. Moser, do you have before you

24

25

Honor.

what's been marked Staff Exhibits 3, 4 and 5?

- A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Do you recognize these documents?
- A. I do.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. These documents are maintained in the Commission's file in this case; correct?
  - A. They are.
- Q. And do these documents indicate that the Respondent was properly served with all of the required notices in this matter?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
  - Q. Just out of curiosity do you happen to know why a second notice of intent was served in this case?
  - A. Not specifically in this case, but normally it's because the Respondent failed to respond to the first notice that was sent.
  - Q. To afford them an additional opportunity to respond?
  - A. Sometimes the second notice is sent because there is a change of address. That doesn't appear to be the case in this particular instance, but he did get a second notice.
  - Q. But based on your review this

    Respondent received all the notices that he was

21

required to be served; is that correct?

- A. Yes, he did.
- Q. And in your opinion the forfeiture amount was correctly and reasonably determined?
- A. Yes, it was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

23

24

25

MR. MARGARD: Thank you. Your Honor, I have no further questions for this witness.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have no questions for you. You may step down.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no further witnesses. I respectfully move for admission of Staff Exhibits 1 through 5.

14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And they shall be so admitted.

16 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.

In light of the Respondent's failure to appear

19 this morning, your Honor, and in light of

20 the case presented by Staff I would respectfully

21 move for a default judgment against Mr.

22 Richmond.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you for making that motion. We will take that under advisement and the Commission will consider that

when it issues its decision in this matter.

2.1

Also, as a quick note, I think previously I stated the Respondent resides in Cleveland, Ohio. Upon reviewing the documents here today he actually lives in Columbus, Ohio, on Cleveland Avenue.

Are there any other matters you wish to discuss before we go off the record?

MR. MARGARD: I have none, your
Honor. I presume that you don't require the
matter to be briefed.

12 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No. Thank you 13 for asking.

MR. MARGARD: One moment, your

Honor.

Apparently, your Honor, we need to make a redaction for the public record. Would you prefer that I merely make that redaction manually, or do you wish that be filed under seal?

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I think as long as we make the redaction and after making the redaction it is no longer visible when we scan it into our docket I think that would suffice. So however you want to accomplish that I will

let you decide. MR. MARGARD: We will take care of that. Thank you, your Honor. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. Are there any other matters we need to discuss before we go off the record? Hearing none, thank you everyone for participating. And we will go off the record and the case shall be submitted to the Commission. Thank you. MR. MARGARD: Thank you. (At 10:35 A.M. the hearing was concluded) 2.1 

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on August 13, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter. 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

8/29/2019 3:07:53 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-0458-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Raymond Richmond Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on August 13th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.