BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of
:

Angelina Solar I, LLC : Case No. 18-1579-EL-BGN for a Certificate of :

for a Certificate of
Environmental Compatibility:
and Public Need.:

_ _ _

PROCEEDINGS

before Patricia A. Schabo, Administrative Law Judge, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:09 a.m. on Wednesday, July 31, 2019.

- - -

VOLUME I

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP
            By Mr. Michael J. Settineri
 3
            and Mr. MacDonald W. Taylor
            52 East Gay Street
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of Angelina Solar I, LLC.
 6
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            John H. Jones, Section Chief
 7
            By Ms. Jodi J. Bair
            Senior Assistant Attorney General,
            Public Utilities Section
 8
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Staff of the Ohio Power
10
                 Siting Board.
11
            Van Kley & Walker, LLC
12
            By Mr. Jack A. Van Kley
            132 Northwoods Boulevard, Suite C-1
            Columbus, Ohio 43235
13
14
                 On behalf of the Concerned Citizens of
                 Preble County, LLC.
15
            Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
16
            By Ms. Amy M. Milam
            280 North High Street, 6th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
17
18
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
                 Federation.
19
            Bricker & Eckler, LLP
20
            By Mr. Dylan F. Borchers
            100 South Third Street
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
21
                 On behalf of the Preble County
22
                 Commissioners.
23
2.4
25
```

```
3
 1
     APPEARANCES: (Continued)
            Preble County Prosecutor's Office
 2
            By Mr. Martin Patrick Votel
 3
            Prosecuting Attorney,
            and Ms. Kathyrn M. West
 4
            Assistant Prosecuting Attorney
            101 East Main Street
 5
            Eaton, Ohio 45320
 6
                 On behalf of the Preble County Engineer,
                 Preble County Planning Commission, Preble
 7
                 County Soil and Water Conservation
                 District, the Board of Trustees of Israel
 8
                 Township, and the Board of Trustees of
                 Dixon Township, and the Preble County
9
                 Commissioners.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
2.0
21
22
23
24
25
```

					4		
1			INDEX				
2							
3	WIT	ΓNΕ	ESSES		PAGE		
4	Douglas Herling Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri Voir Dire Examination by Mr. Van Kley						
5							
6	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley						
О	Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley						
7	No	- h	Matarbayes				
8	Noah Waterhouse Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri						
9	Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri						
	Recross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley				152 154 156		
10	Further Redirect Examination by Mr. Settineri						
11	Mark Bonifas Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor						
12	Direct Examination by Mr. Taylor 159 Cross-Examination by Mr. Van Kley 160						
13							
14	COMPANY EXHIBITS IDENTIFIED ADM						
15	1	-	Application	10	136		
16	1C	-	Confidential pages from the Application (Confidential)	10	136		
17			Application (confluencial)				
18	2C	-	Angelina Solar I, LLC's response to Staff's	11	136		
19			March 8, 2019 data request (Confidential)				
20	3	-	Proof of Service	11	136		
21	4	-	Proof of Notice of Public Informational Meeting	11	136		
22			-				
23	5	-	Proof of Notice of Public Informational Meeting	11	136		
24	6	-	Direct Testimony of	12	136		
25			Douglas Herling				
	Ì						

				5				
1		INDEX (Continued	l)					
2								
3	COMPA	NY EXHIBITS	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED				
4		Supplemental Direct Testimony of Douglas Herling	7 12	136				
5		Direct Testimony of Noah Waterhouse	136	157				
7		Supplemental Direct Testimony of Noah Waterhouse	137	157				
8		Direct Testimony of Mark Bonifas	159	169				
10		Supplemental Direct Testimony of Mark Bonifas	159	169				
11								
12	JOINT	'EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED				
13	1 –	Joint Stipulation and	12	136				
14		Recommendation	12	100				
15								
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								

1 Wednesday Morning Session, 2 July 31, 2019. 3 4 ALJ SCHABO: The Ohio Power Siting Board 5 has assigned for hearing, at this time and place, 6 Case No. 18-1579-EL-BGN, being In the Matter of the 7 Application of Angelina Solar I, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public 8 Need. 9 10 My name is Patricia Schabo and I'm the 11 Administrative Law Judge assigned by the Board to 12 hear this case. 13 We'll begin by taking appearances, 14 starting with Angelina. MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 16 On behalf of Angelina Solar I, LLC, Mike Settineri 17 and MacDonald Taylor with the law firm of Vorys, 18 Sater, Seymour and Pease, 52 East Gay Street, 19 Columbus, Ohio 43215. 20 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you. 2.1 Ms. Bair. 22 MS. BAIR: Thank you, Your Honor. 23 behalf of the Staff of the Power Siting Committee --24 Power Siting Board, excuse me, Dave Yost, Attorney 25 General, Jodi Bair, Assistant Attorney General,

```
30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio
1
2
     43215.
 3
                 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.
 4
                 And we'll go to Mr. Van Kley and then
5
     we'll make our way around.
 6
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
7
     Jack Van Kley, Van Kley and Walker, 32 Northwoods
     Boulevard, Suite C-1, Columbus, Ohio, on behalf of
8
9
     the Concerned Citizens of Preble County and their
10
    members.
11
                 MS. MILAM: Good morning, Your Honor.
12
     Amy Milam on behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
13
     Federation. 280 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio
14
     43215.
15
                 MS. WEST: Kathyrn West and Prosecutor
16
    Martin P. Votel. I'm Assistant Prosecutor Kathryn M.
    West. I'm here on behalf of Dixon Township, Israel
17
18
     Township, the Preble County Engineer, Preble Soil and
19
     Water District, the Preble County Planning
20
     Commission, as well as co-counsel for Preble County
2.1
     Commissioners. Our address is 101 East Main Street,
```

MR. BORCHERS: Good morning, Your Honor.

On behalf of the Preble County Commissioners, Dylan

Borchers with the law firm of Bricker & Eckler, 100

22

23

24

25

Eaton, Ohio 45320.

South Third Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

2.1

2.2

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.

Before we went on the record, we discussed some preliminary issues. To the degree that there are two filed motions to strike, we will deal with those while the witness is on the stand, before taking testimony. So I believe we are up to Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. Just two things for the record we'd just like to note.

First, Joint Exhibit 1 that we will be marking and identifying, which is the Stipulation in this matter, a map was inadvertently left off of that document. That map was put on the docket with a letter as recently as last Friday potentially, subject to check, but we have gone ahead and attached that map to the Joint Exhibit and distributed that exhibit to all the parties today.

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.

MR. SETTINERI: As well, there will be a correction to Mr. Herling's testimony when he references Exhibit 2 when we call him to the stand. That exhibit will be changed to Exhibit 2C because it contains confidential information. That is the same information that was -- some of the same information

that was in the Application and, therefore, we're going to ask for that to be treated as a confidential document and not made available to the public.

ALJ SCHABO: Okay.

MR. SETTINERI: And that's it.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

ALJ SCHABO: All right. Thank you.

MR. SETTINERI: At this time, Your Honor, we'd like to call our first witness, Mr. Doug Herling to the stand.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri, do you have an extra copy of the Joint Stipulation with the map?

MR. SETTINERI: I do.

ALJ SCHABO: Sorry. Thank you, sir.

Oh, I already had one. Thank you, sir.

MR. SETTINERI: You're welcome.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Herling, if you'd just raise your right hand for me.

(Witness sworn.)

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you very much. Go ahead and have a seat. State your name and your business address for the record, please.

THE WITNESS: My name is Douglas Herling.

1105 Navasota Street, Austin, Texas 78702.

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you, sir.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time,

```
10
     I would like to mark a number of exhibits.
 1
 2
                 ALJ SCHABO: Okay.
                 MR. SETTINERI: I will slowly go through
 3
     them, starting first with Company Exhibit 1 which is
 4
 5
     the Application in this proceeding.
 6
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
 7
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 8
                 MR. SETTINERI: Next, I would like to
9
     mark, as Company Exhibit 1C, a confidential exhibit
10
     which represents the confidential pages of the
     Application and that's Company Exhibit 1C.
11
12
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
13
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
14
                 MR. SETTINERI: Next, as Confidential
15
     Exhibit 2C, I would like to mark a response to a
16
     Staff data request that is also confidential.
17
                 ALJ SCHABO: What's that dated?
18
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes. It's dated March
19
     18, 2019. I'll represent to the Bench it provides
20
     economic modeling information.
2.1
                 ALJ SCHABO: We will mark that March 18,
2.2
     2019 --
23
                 MR. SETTINERI: That was Company
24
     Exhibit 2C.
25
                 ALJ SCHABO: -- Company Exhibit 2C.
```

```
11
1
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
2
                 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.
 3
                 MR. SETTINERI: Next is Company
     Exhibit 3, proof of service of the Application.
 4
 5
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
 6
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
7
                 MR. SETTINERI: Next is Company Exhibit 4
     which is a series of proof of notices but this
8
9
     relates to proof of mailings. And for the record
10
     I'll explain to the Bench that Company Exhibit 4 and
11
     5 do contain similar documents. In Mr. Herling's
12
     testimony they are separated, one describing the
13
    mailings that were done and the second describing the
14
    publications in the newspapers that were done; so,
15
     just to avoid confusion, you will see duplicate
     documents there but, again, Company Exhibit 4, proof
16
17
     of mailings.
18
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
19
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
20
                 MR. SETTINERI: Company Exhibit 5 will be
2.1
     proofs of publication.
2.2
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
23
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: I'd like next to mark, as
25
     Company Exhibit 6, the Direct Testimony of
```

```
12
 1
    Mr. Herling.
 2
                 ALJ SCHABO: Let me catch up.
 3
                 So marked.
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
 4
 5
                 MR. SETTINERI: Then, as Company
 6
     Exhibit 7, we would mark the Supplemental Direct
 7
     Testimony of Douglas Herling.
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
 8
 9
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
10
                 MR. SETTINERI: Last, but not least, we
11
     would mark, as Joint Exhibit 1, the Joint Stipulation
12
     and Recommendation in this matter, but also it
13
     includes the map that was inadvertently left off as
14
     the last page.
15
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
16
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
17
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.
18
19
                        DOUGLAS HERLING
20
     being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was
2.1
     examined and testified as follows:
2.2
                       DIRECT EXAMINATION
23
    By Mr. Settineri:
24
                 Mr. Herling, good morning.
            Ο.
25
            A. Good morning.
```

- Q. Do you have before you the exhibits that have just been marked?
 - A. Yes, I do.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

- Q. Okay. I'd like to walk through and identify those for the record. Can you identify Company Exhibit 1, please, for the record?
- A. Company Exhibit 1 is the Application to the Ohio Power Siting Board for the Angelina Solar Project.
- Q. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 12 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And can you identify Company Exhibit 1C for the record, please?
- A. Exhibit 1C are confidential pages of the Application.
- Q. Okay. And can you please identify
 Company Exhibit 2C for the Application -- for the
 record, please?
- A. Company Exhibit 2C is confidential information that was part of a data request.
- Q. Okay. And was that response prepared by you or at your direction?
- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Okay. Can you identify Company

1 | Exhibit 3, please, for the record?

4

5

8

- A. Exhibit 3 is the proof of service of the Application.
 - Q. Okay. And can you please identify Company Exhibit 4 for the record, please?
- A. Exhibit 4 is the proof of notice of mailing.
 - Q. And can you please identify, for the record, Company Exhibit 5, please?
- 10 A. Exhibit 5 is the proof of notice of 11 publication.
- Q. And could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 6 for the record, please?
- A. Exhibit 6 is my Direct Testimony in this case.
- Q. Okay. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 18 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. And could you identify Company Exhibit 7, please, for the record?
- A. Exhibit 7 is my Supplemental Direct
 Testimony in this case.
- Q. And was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- A. Yes, it was.

- Q. And then if you could also, please, identify Joint Exhibit 1 for the record, please.
- A. Joint Exhibit 1 is the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation.
- Q. And does that exhibit also include an attachment labeled "Document A"?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. And is that the Document A that was referenced in the Stipulation itself?
 - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. Thank you.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

18

- Turning to Company Exhibits 6 and 7,

 which is your testimony in this proceeding, do you

 have any corrections or revisions to that testimony

 at this time?
- A. Yes, I have some minor corrections and revisions.
 - Q. If you could please slowly walk us through those, it would be appreciated.
- A. On page 5 -- we'll start with Exhibit 6.

 On page 5, line 9, we're changing "Company Exhibit 2"

 to "Company Exhibit 2C".
- 23 On page 12, Answer 20, beginning at
 24 line 19 with the words "I note" we will be striking
 25 from "I note" through the end of that paragraph on

16 page 13, line 2, ending with "permit of the Project." 1 2 Also an page 13, Answer 22, line 9, after "height" and before the comma we are inserting 3 "topped with barbed wire." 4 5 ALJ SCHABO: I'm sorry, repeat that one 6 for me. 7 THE WITNESS: Surely. Line 9 on page 13 reads: "The Project will be protected by a perimeter 8 fence at least six feet in height,...." After the 9 10 word "height" we are inserting "topped with barbed 11 wire." 12 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you. 13 Q. Mr. Herling, just for the record, after 14 that insert "topped with barbed wire," the remainder 15 of the sentence remains as is; is that correct? 16 Α. Yes, that's correct. 17 On page 14, line 14, we're replacing the word "New" with "Net". So it should read "increases 18 19 the Net Decommissioning Cost, " 20 On page 16, Answer 28, line 12, we are 2.1 replacing "'Toxic Leaching Characteristic Procedure'" 22 with "'Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure.'" Those are all the corrections I have for Exhibit 6. 23 24 Moving on to Exhibit 7. At the bottom of

page 1, line 15, after the words "Joint Stipulation"

```
17
     we are going to insert ", including the attachment
 1
 2
     identified as Document A, filed with the Board
     July 26, 2019,...." The rest of that sentence
 3
     remains the same
 4
 5
                 ALJ SCHABO: Would you repeat that again?
     "Including the"?
 6
 7
                 THE WITNESS: It should read "The Joint
 8
     Stipulation, including the attachment identified as
 9
     Document A, filed with the Board July 26, 2019," and
10
     then it would continue "has been marked as Joint
11
     Exhibit 1."
12
                 ALJ SCHABO: Wonderful. Thank you.
                 THE WITNESS: That concludes my
13
     corrections.
14
15
                 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.
16
            Ο.
                (By Mr. Settineri) All right.
17
     Mr. Herling, if I was to ask you the questions in
18
     Company Exhibit 6 as well as Exhibit 7 today, would
19
     your answers be the same, subject to the revisions
20
     that you made today?
2.1
            Α.
                 Yes, they would.
2.2
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.
23
                 Your Honor, at this time, the witness is
24
     available for cross-examination.
25
                 ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Let's begin with
```

Mr. Van Kley's motion to strike portions of that testimony.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, would you like me to respond to that motion at this time?

ALJ SCHABO: Yes, but I'll let

Mr. Van Kley tee it up as he sees fit and then we'll

7 definitely give you a chance to respond.

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. Your Honor,
I'll just work through first the testimony of
Mr. Herling, the Direct Testimony, Exhibit 6, from
beginning to the end in that sequence. I'm going to
be reading from the testimony itself, rather than
from my motion, so if I miss anything I'm sure you'll
notice and you'll let me know.

ALJ SCHABO: I'll do my best.

MR. VAN KLEY: The first thing that I have, I believe, is in Answer 14, where we have an answer concerning the economic benefit of the Project. We've noted in our motion that Mr. Herling did not prepare the study that is the basis for the information in this answer. That study has been marked as Appendix C in the Application which is Company Exhibit 1.

We've also moved to strike that exhibit on the grounds that there is no witness testifying in

this proceeding that has the expertise or the background necessary to testify about the information in that exhibit.

2.1

That exhibit was prepared by UC -- the UC Economic Commission, I think they were called or something along those lines. Those folks are not testifying. Those folks did all the calculations that resulted in the data that is included in Answer 14 and that is included in Appendix C and, therefore, we believe that both Answer 14 and Appendix C should be struck.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Yeah, Your Honor. If you'll bear with me, I will first start with the law.

Mr. Van Kley will remember the Buckeye Wind case, Case No. 08-666. Board Opinion and Order, March 22nd, 2010. The same arguments were made in that case regarding the Applicant sponsor. Arguments were made to try to attempt to strike exhibits in that proceeding to which the sponsor was testifying to, as well as questioning the witness's qualifications.

Both the Administrative Law Judge in that proceeding, as well as the Board, found no basis for those arguments and, as the Board noted in that

decision on page 12, "The Board notes that it is a long-standing practice in Board proceedings for an applicant to sponsor exhibits to an application through the testimony of a witness that is an officer or experienced employee of the applicant."

2.1

So we already have case law on point that it is a longstanding Board practice as well as the practice of the PUCO for applications to have sponsors to sponsor that application, as well as supplement parts of the application with testimony of witnesses, which we have done here.

Regarding Mr. Herling's experience, if you look at his testimony, I'm going to just slowly walk through some things here.

Answer 2 of his testimony, page 1: "I am responsible for the development of a number of solar energy projects being developed by Open Road." He also testifies his responsibilities "include, but are not limited to, identifying prospective projects with suitable solar resources and electric transmission access; acquiring land rights; establishing and developing relationships with elected officials, regulators, and community opinion leaders to support project development; developing and managing project budgets; managing environmental studies and

permitting processes; managing third party consultants; and supporting financial analysis and modeling of project economics."

2.1

As well, he has a Bachelor's degree in Geology from the university -- from Colgate
University. But as to this specific part of the motion, he also has an MBA from the University of Texas. He's worked in the financial and energy industry. He's worked on a number of wind and solar projects so he certainly has experience in the industry.

And last but not least, Answer 9, the question was, at Question 9, were you involved in the preparation -- I'm paraphrasing -- of the Application and Exhibits.

He says in his answer: "Yes, I was directly involved. The Application" -- I'm paraphrasing -- "as well as all of the responses to the Staff data requests...are true and accurate and were prepared under my direction."

So certainly he has experience, he is the sponsor of the Application, we have case law on point, and when you look at the specific part of this motion that they're seeking to strike in the testimony it is simply stating what's in the

Application, but regardless of whether it's in the Application or not, he certainly has the experience so, as to this part of the motion, it certainly should be denied if not withdrawn.

2.1

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley, do you have anything further?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, just a couple things, Your Honor.

First of all, Mr. Herling is not an economist, his testimony doesn't say he's an economist, and it takes an economics background and training in order to render the opinions that are contained in Answer 14.

Secondly, Mr. Herling did not do the calculations for the job numbers and the dollar numbers that are put into Answer 14 and so he has no basis upon which to testify that those numbers are at all accurate.

With respect to simply sponsoring the Exhibit C and answering Question 14 in order to say what's simply in the Application, if that's all he's doing then there's little meaning for his answer because simply saying it's in the Application doesn't make it true and it doesn't mean that the Board should consider the information that's included

therein.

2.1

ALJ SCHABO: I'm going to deny the motion to strike the testimony. I'm also going to deny the motion to strike the appendix.

The next section of your motion.

MR. VAN KLEY: The next part would be on page 8, lines 6 to 8, where Mr Hessler -- Mr. Herling issues an expert opinion that there will be minimal sound from the operation of the Project and that it will essentially be inaudible for all nonparticipating residences due to the near-silent operating nature of solar arrays and by locating inverters sufficiently far from neighboring residences.

This is obviously an expert opinion in acoustics. Mr. Herling is not an acoustics engineer. The Applicant has an expert in acoustics who is going to testify later as to what that expert believes the noise impacts from the facility are going to be, so it's unnecessary for Mr. Herling to express an expert opinion in that regard, and because his testimony in this regard is -- is not founded in any expertise that he has, that expert opinion on page 8 should be struck.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor.

2.1

If we're going to make a claim that he has no expertise, there should have been voir dire done to establish that. There's no questioning regarding his expertise as to whether he's qualified to testify on this.

If you look at his testimony though, he has been in the industry, he works in the solar industry, he develops projects, he actually has testified to the Board on sound from inverters in projects in the Alamo proceeding, so the Board has accepted testimony from Mr. Herling, he has testified before the Board.

And again this is -- if you look at the actual sentence that's sought to be struck, it's a statement that the sound "will be essentially inaudible...and by locating inverters sufficiently far from neighboring residences." So it applies to the design, I believe, and it also applies to his personal experience in the industry and being around solar projects, so certainly he is qualified to give an opinion.

This is not what I would say is an opinion of an expert acoustics engineer, but it certainly is an opinion that a person, who is

experienced in the industry, who has developed projects, worked with projects, worked with manufacturers, certainly is capable of making this statement.

2.1

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

If the Applicant is going to claim that Mr. Herling has any expertise in acoustics, then that foundation should have been established in the testimony as written and it does not do that.

There's nothing in the testimony at all that provides any information or any background for Mr. Herling with respect to defending his expertise to issue an expert opinion regarding the sound that will come from a residence.

There's nothing in the testimony that indicates that he has any training in that regard, there's nothing in his opinion or in his testimony that indicates that he has ever done any measurements of sound to measure the sound from solar facilities and, therefore, he has absolutely no expertise necessary to render this expert opinion.

ALJ SCHABO: I'm going to deny the motion. I believe his years in the industry and involvement in these projects gives him sufficient

basis to make the statement. You can delve into the weight and credibility, that you want the Board to give his testimony, on cross-examination.

Next section.

2.1

2.2

MR. VAN KLEY: I believe the next motion to strike would regard Answer 27. This deals with the Project's impact on telephone, radio, and other signals or electronic devices, and I'd like to ask a few questions, Your Honor, on voir dire of the witness, in order to establish whether he has the expertise to answer this question.

ALJ SCHABO: All right.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if I may, instead of spending time on voir dire, I would simply suggest he can simply cross the witness and, again, the record will be what the record is and the Board can evaluate the witness's experience.

ALJ SCHABO: Well, quite honestly, if we're going to get into voir diring Ms. Vonderhaar, we're going to let Mr. Van Kley voir dire Mr. Herling, so.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay.

MR. SETTINERI: I would ask then that any voir dire be done, rather than piecemeal it as we go through this motion, voir dire should have been done

```
27
     -- I'll make a note for the record -- it should have
 1
 2
     been done prior to the motion to strike and -- but I
     would ask that any voir dire to support this motion
 3
     be done completely now, and also that voir dire
 4
 5
     doesn't retread any parts of the motion that have
     been denied.
 6
 7
                 ALJ SCHABO: Okay.
 8
                 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.
 9
                 ALJ SCHABO: Do you need a moment to
10
     get --
11
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No, I'm ready to go.
12
                 ALJ SCHABO: Okay.
13
14
                     VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION
15
     By Mr. Van Kley:
16
                 All right. Mr. Herling, I'm going to ask
            Ο.
17
     you a few questions about your background related to
18
     the information you've included in your answer to
19
     Question 27 of your Direct Testimony. Have you
20
     personally conducted any measurements of
2.1
     electromagnetic fields from solar facilities?
2.2
            Α.
                From solar fields?
23
            Q.
                Yes.
24
            Α.
                 No.
25
            Q.
                 Have you ever conducted any measurements
```

- of EMFs from electrical appliances or wiring found in homes or buildings?
- A. Only in regard to my geology background and the frequent testing we would do on minerals, et cetera, as part of our studies, but more using appliances than necessarily measuring them.
- Q. Okay. I don't think I understood your answer. What exactly were you measuring?
- A. We used electromagnetic fields to measure the properties of different kinds of materials.
- Q. Oh. So you were using devices that had their own EMFs in order to measure something related to your geology experience.
 - A. That's correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

19

20

2.1

22

23

- MR. SETTINERI: I'm just going to object.

 Mischaracterizes his testimony.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Well, he just said it was correct.
 - Q. Were you involved at all in the study of solar arrays in the Massachusetts study, that reported electrical fields along solar facilities, that is mentioned in your testimony?
 - A. Could you repeat the beginning of your question?
- Q. Yeah. I'm looking at the last sentence

- of your Answer 27 where you refer to a Massachusetts study. Were you involved in that study?
- A. I was not a participant in that study, no.
 - Q. On how many occasions did you use appliances in order -- EMF-producing appliances in order to measure things in your geology studies?
 - A. Weekly; several times a month.
 - Q. What was the purpose of those measurements?
- 11 A. You control a field to understand 12 different properties of a kind of mineral or 13 composite.
- Q. So you were studying the characteristics of the minerals?
- 16 A. Yes.

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

20

2.1

- Q. Okay. You weren't studying the EMFs from the appliances themselves that were used to study the minerals.
 - A. It's the interaction of the field and the mineral, yes.
- Q. When you say that the Solar Project will generate only weak EMFs, what information are you basing that opinion on?
- MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I object. At

this time, I think we've moved beyond voir dire of his qualifications and into cross-examination of the testimony.

ALJ SCHABO: I agree with that. I'll sustain that.

MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. All right.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Let's move on to your Answer 28. Mr. Herling, are you an environmental engineer?
 - A. I am not.

2.1

- Q. Have you ever taken any samples of any metals or other materials for analysis using the TCLP procedure?
- A. I've certainly taken a lot of samples and soil testing, but I've never as part of the TCLP.
- Q. TCLP is the acronym for "Toxicity Characteristic Leaching Procedure," right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Have you ever performed any laboratory analyses using the TCLP procedure?
 - A. No, I have not.

MR. VAN KLEY: Your Honor, I think that concludes my voir dire. We would renew our request that Answer 27 be struck. It's obvious that this witness does not have any experience with regard to

the EMFs from solar facilities. He's never made any measurements of solar facilities in order to establish what those EMFs are from solar facilities and, therefore, he doesn't have any personal experience that would provide him with the expertise necessary to render that opinion.

2.1

2.2

With respect to Answer 28, it's obvious that he doesn't have any experience or expertise with regard to his expert opinion that solar panels pass the TCLP procedure.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Yes. Thank you, Your Honor.

As Concerned Citizens and their counsel note, Mr. Herling has provided detailed testimony to the Board previously on EMF, as well as detailed testimony on the makeup and issues and concerns related to breakage of solar panels. They are aware of that but, yet, the motion persists.

Regarding his experience. In the voir dire that just occurred it's clear that Mr. Herling understands EMF. He has dealt with EMF in his studies as a geologist as well as we note in his testimony his significant experience in the industry. So certainly, those two coupled, give him the ability

to provide the answer he's giving in Answer 27, as well as it gives him the ability to review the study he referenced and understand it. So he certainly has a level of experience more than sufficient to provide this testimony. And again, I note this same testimony was submitted to the Board previously without objection by the Concerned Citizens.

2.1

Likewise as to the damage -- the statement that they're trying to strike at lines 15 to 17 about the statement that says "In addition, even if damaged by breakage or fire, solar panels are manufactured and constructed to be exceedingly unlikely to release any material to the environment necessitating soil or water remediation."

We also heard, as a geologist, he's worked with soil and materials but, more importantly, this statement is clearly related to someone testifying about their knowledge about solar panels as someone heavily involved in the industry, I would very much assume, and it shows in his experience that he's familiar with what panels are made of and the concerns that come out of those, so I -- the motion should be denied not withdrawn.

ALJ SCHABO: Last word, Mr. Van Kley.
MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. With regard to

Mr. Settineri's representations about what has happened in other proceedings concerning

Mr. Herling's testimony, this is a separate case, each case is heard on its own merits rather than determined on some hearsay about what happened in another hearing and, therefore, the Board should determine, on its own merits, whether this testimony should stand or not.

2.1

2.2

It's obvious that Mr. Herling doesn't have the expertise necessary to render expert opinions about EMFs or about the disposition or a lack of tendency of a solar panel to release toxic metals. He doesn't have any experience with the TCLP procedure, he doesn't know anything about the TCLP characteristics of solar panels and, therefore, his testimony in both Answer 27 and Answer 28 should be struck.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I would just note that the motion to strike on this has nothing to do with TCLP. The motion is line 15, if I have that right, page 15, line 15.

ALJ SCHABO: You've lost me,
Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: If you give me a moment,

Your Honor, I'm just trying to understand this motion

a little more.

2.1

Your Honor, I guess I'd like to read -- I think there was a typo in the motion, but my read is that -- I'm just trying to understand what part of the testimony he's trying to strike in Answer 28. My understanding it was lines 15 to 17.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley, are you moving to strike the entirety of Answer 28 or was there a section specific?

MR. VAN KLEY: No, Your Honor, I would -I would move that all of Answer 28 be struck, and if
the -- if the motion inadvertently didn't include all
the lines of that answer, then I would amend that
motion here.

MR. SETTINERI: Then, Your Honor, my response again, this whole answer goes to knowledge that he gained in the industry as well as his experience. I'd also note the answer contains his personal awareness of solar panels and records he looked at. So not only is the motion to strike on this point overreaching, but certainly his experience that he has in the industry provides him with more than a sufficient basis to give the answer that he's giving here.

ALJ SCHABO: I'm going to deny the motion

to strike. Mr. Herling is the sponsor of the Application and was responsible for the development of the Project, and the expert testimony he's giving is specific to the Project. Given his experience in the industry and his experience managing this Project, I think he has the sufficient expertise to provide the specific answers in this specific proceeding.

2.1

Again, to the degree you would like to delve into weight and credibility that you would like, given this testimony, that is grounds for cross.

I'd like to pull you back before we go to his Supplemental Direct Testimony. In your original motion you had moved to strike the last sentence of Answer 26. You skipped over that in your oral presentation today. I don't know if that was intentional or an oversight.

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah, I think it's just an oversight, Your Honor. Here again, Mr. Herling is issuing his own opinion about the impacts of traffic and noise from construction, and he has no expertise to issue those opinions.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri, do you care to respond?

1 MR. SETTINERI: No, Your Honor, I don't, 2 other than this: I think that should be quickly 3 denied. ALJ SCHABO: I'll deny that on the same 4 5 basis that I denied the motion to strike the 6 testimony about noise impacts. 7 Proceeding to Supplemental Direct or were there more portions of his Direct? 8 9 MR. VAN KLEY: I don't think there was 10 anything in the Supplemental that we wanted to 11 strike, Your Honor, unless you see something in my 12 motion that I'm just glossing over here. 13 MR. SETTINERI: Mr. Van Kley, at the 14 bottom of page 2, you had sought to strike some 15 testimony in the Supplemental Direct Testimony. Ι'm 16 not helping you, but to the extent you wish to 17 withdraw, feel free. 18 ALJ SCHABO: Well, I like a nice clean record, so you had moved to strike several sentences. 19 20 MR. VAN KLEY: I think we'll withdraw 2.1 that part of the motion to strike, Your Honor. 22 ALJ SCHABO: All right. Thank you. That 23 part of your motion will be withdrawn. 24 I believe that takes care of our motions 25 to strike?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

ALJ SCHABO: Well, then the witness is yours on cross.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right.

_ _ -

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

Q. Mr. Herling, why don't you take out your Direct Testimony which has been marked as Exhibit 6, and I'm going to ask you a series of questions about that testimony. We'll just start from the beginning and work our way through the testimony.

So why don't we start with your answer to Question No. 2, where you talk about your duties as Director of Business Development, and in your answer you discuss your interactions with elected officials, regulators, and community opinion leaders with respect to the Angelina Project, so let me ask you a few questions about your interactions with those people.

First of all, with regard to your interactions with elected officials about the Angelina Project, please tell me what elected officials you had those communications with and at what times and what you discussed.

A. Sure.

2.1

2.2

Beginning in early 2017, we had a meeting with the Director of Economic Development or the Economic Development Office, I can't remember if it was Brenda Latanza and some of her staff there. I don't know the exact month, but I believe that was March of 2017.

Later in 2017, I believe October of 2017, we had a -- I had interactions with the -- with Brad Kramer who handles some of the land -- some of the planning aspects of the county with regard to zoning and subdivision regulations.

Following that interaction, I spoke with the Commissioners, at an open meeting in early October, regarding a solar ordinance that was proposed that they were planning -- that had been drafted and planning to pass that we had opinions and input on. I believe that covers most of it for that year.

In 2018, occasional interactions, either in the hallway or at Commissioner meetings, with the Commissioners.

I had a one-off lunch with Rodney Creech, who had questions and concerns about the Project and wanted to express some concerns that people had

voiced to him. There may have been some e-mails as well. I can't put the specific dates on that.

2.1

2.2

Also throughout 2018, again outreach to the townships, the various townships involved in the Project, which would be Israel and Dixon, where we sent information to those townships in the form of presentations that showed — that explained about solar, about the Project, economic benefits, and how they can express any concerns to us and become involved in the process.

Additionally, I believe later -- later in 2018, we had our first -- we had some public meetings with more interactions with elected officials, primarily the Commissioners.

Later in 2018, we also began working with the Auditor's Office a bit more, where we had some meetings there to discuss the PILOT and to confirm some of our questions about the County's parcel data where we had concerns about where certain lot lines were. And that -- that might not be all the conversations, but that's pretty representative of the ones we had.

Q. Did you have some discussions with elected officials about any proposed ordinances or regulations of solar facilities in that county?

- A. Yes. At that -- at that meeting I described in third quarter of 2017, we expressed our opinions about the proposed solar ordinance that would affect projects under 50 megawatts in size.
- Q. What was the first outreach to the public in this case that was performed by your Company which was required by the Board?
 - A. Let me confirm some of the dates in here.
 - Q. Yeah, sure.

2.1

- A. I believe our first outreach to the public was through First Class Mail, October 23, 2018. And then published, I believe, August -- October 27, 2018, in the Eaton Register-Herald.
 - Q. And to whom was that mailing sent?
- A. The mailing was sent to all adjacent landowners to the Project Area for the Angelina Solar Project.
- Q. Prior to that time had your Company had any communications with the adjacent landowners around the Project Area?
- A. We had had communications with some. We had sent outreach letters to gauge interest in participating in the Solar Project at various points in 2016, 2017, and 2018. That certainly wouldn't have included the full list of people adjacent to the

Project, but we definitely spoke with some of them.

- Q. So those letters did not include all of the adjacent landowners?
 - A. No, they did not.
- Q. Are you familiar with the list of citizens who are intervenors in this case?
 - A. I am, yes.
- Q. Were any of those letters sent to any of those intervenors?
- A. To the intervenors that would have been adjacent to the Project, yes, to the extent that addresses were available and up-to-date.
 - Q. But not to all of them?
 - A. Not to all the intervenors?
- 15 Q. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- A. If people weren't directly adjacent to the Project, they would not have received a letter.
- Q. So did you send a letter to all landowners who owned property directly adjacent to the Project Area?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Other than those letters that you've sent, did you do any other or did Angelina do any other outreach to any of the adjacent landowners in order to inform them about the design of the Project

that was being anticipated?

2.1

2.2

- A. Through the Power Siting Board process and subsequent to that we had a number of informational meetings, as required by the statute, and then several -- several additional meetings at the County -- at the Preble County Courthouse during Commissioner sessions to address concerns as well and to provide information about the Project.
- Q. Well, before October 23, 2018, when you first sent that direct mailing to adjacent landowners, did you have -- did Angelina have any communications with adjacent landowners to inform them about the design of the Project, other than sending that one set of letters to adjacent landowners to gauge their interest in participating in the Project?
- A. We did not, unless people were previously talking with us when the Project was in its earliest stages. There were certainly some folks, who may even be part of the Concerned Citizens, that we did speak with about the Project and the area it would be in but, otherwise, no.
- Q. Your Answer to Question 2 also says that you had communications with regulators concerning the Project. With which regulators did you have those

communications?

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: I'll just object. It mischaracterizes the testimony. The answer in 2, unless I'm missing it, does state "My responsibilities include," and I'll skip a few words, "establishing and developing relationships...." So I just want to make sure the record is clear that we're characterizing the testimony correctly.

MR. VAN KLEY: I don't know how you establish a relationship without communication, but let's just rephrase the question to make sure there's no question about that.

- Q. Your answer says that you -- all right.
- It said that your responsibilities include establishing and developing relationships with regulators. Did you do that in this case?
- A. In this case we did have an early meeting with Staff, the Power Siting Board Staff, just to make them aware of the Project early on in its development.
 - Q. And when did you have that meeting?
- A. I can't be sure. I think it was in early 23 2018.
 - Q. Did you have any other communications with regulators concerning this Project?

- A. Not -- before submittal, not that I'm aware of.
- Q. It also says that your responsibilities include establishing and developing relationships with community opinion leaders to support project development. Did you do that in this case?
- A. I certainly sent a good amount of outreach, but in this case specifically, apart from folks we ended up working with on the Project, no, there's no additional relationship development there.
- Q. When you say these are the folks that you're working with on this Project, are you referring to the landowners who are leasing ground to the Project?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Let's move on to your answer to

 Question 3. Here you talk about your experience with

 some solar projects. With how many solar projects

 have you had any personal experience in operating the

 projects once they're constructed?
- A. I do not have direct experience in operating a project once they're constructed. I think, institutionally, some members of our company have been more involved in that capacity, but I have not personally.

Q. Let's go to your answer to Question 8 on page 4. I'd like to refer you to the portion of your answer that starts on line 17 and goes through line 22 and there you state that solar panels can have "one of several alternative chemistries (such as cadmium telluride or copper indium gallium selenide)." Do you see that?

A. Yes, I do.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Now, let me ask you a little bit more detail about the contents of the solar panels that may be installed in this Project if you obtain approval for the Project. Do these panels include cadmium as one of the constituents in manufacturing them?
 - A. Sorry, which panels in particular?
- Q. Any of the panels you might consider for this Project.
 - A. There are panels manufactured here in Ohio that we would consider for this Project that do use cadmium telluride which is much different from cadmium in their construction. It's applied as a conductive material as a fine substrate in the panel itself.
 - Q. Well, cadmium telluride contains cadmium; isn't that correct?

- A. It contains cadmium, but cadmium telluride is a much different -- is a much different compound than cadmium on its own. It has about three times the melting point and is non-water soluble or available.
- Q. Do the solar panels, that might be considered for this Project, contain antimony?
 - A. Not -- not that I'm aware.
 - Q. How about selenium?
 - A. Again, not that I'm aware.
 - Q. How about lead?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Some panels can contain lead. Solder is typically lead-free now, but sometimes some solder can contain lead. In crystalline panels, lead can be mixed with silver and etched into the interior of the panel into the glass as part of the conductive -- as part of the conductive material.
 - Q. Lead is a toxic material, correct?
- A. In certain circumstances. Surely not when encapsulated in layers of plastic and glass in the solar panel.
 - Q. Do the panels contain silicon?
- A. Yes. That's by -- by weight. The panels are mostly glass.
- Q. Have you ever had any personal experience

in which you have inspected a solar facility that has been struck by a tornado?

2.1

- A. Can you please repeat the question?
- Q. Have you had any -- have you ever personally inspected any solar facility that's been struck by a tornado?
- A. I have not personally been at a site that's been struck by a tornado. I believe that's happened only once.
- Q. You're aware that Preble County was the location of a number of tornadoes this spring?
- A. We're aware. When we develop a project, we frequently or in this case did look at the historic NOAA data, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration data on severe weather events to see what has happened in places before, and the occurrence of tornadoes in this area is vanishingly rare in the county in general. Some years there's certainly an aberration, but yeah, we're very aware of it.
- Q. When you did that research, did you find out how many tornadoes touched down in Preble County this spring?
- A. I don't have the exact number. I wouldn't venture a guess.

Q. Would you give me an estimate of how many approximately you found in your research?

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: I just object. Asked and answered. He said he wouldn't venture to guess.

MR. VAN KLEY: I'm just asking if he can make an estimate.

- A. Over the last 50 years, I believe it was under a dozen in the general area. That can mean it depends how you define if the track touched the County or began in the County, but yeah, it was very few and none in the Project Area.
- Q. Have you made any commitment that the Angelina Project will use solar panels manufactured in Ohio?
- A. We have not made any commitment to which panels we'll use, no.
- Q. Have you made any commitment that you will not purchase panels whose components are manufactured in foreign countries?
 - A. Could you repeat that question?
- Q. Have you made any commitment that the panels purchased for the Angelina Project will be manufactured in the United States instead of foreign countries?
- A. We have not. Historically, the United

States produced a large number of solar panels but, due to certain market pressures at this point, does not -- more companies are moving production here, but the majority of Tier 1 solar panels are produced abroad.

2.1

- Q. Let's go to your answer to Question 14. This answer discusses the number of jobs that are going to be created by this Project. You did not do the calculations that came up with the number of jobs that are expected to be generated by this Project, correct?
- A. I was involved in the calculations. In order to provide the inputs for the JEDI model, you certainly need to have a degree of familiarity with the model itself, so I did run the same calculations to confirm it.

It's a publicly-available model. In this case we did work closely with UC as we made sure that all of the inputs were accurate to the Project.

There's a lot of default inputs in the model. You need to work closely together to make sure you're getting site-specific results.

Q. Most of the jobs that will be generated by this Project are temporary jobs that will be existent during construction, correct?

- A. That's correct, but if you work in construction you know that you make a living and a career out of temporary jobs. So I think, while jobs are temporary at the site, you are supporting jobs at --
- Q. Well, how long is construction anticipated to last for this Project?
 - A. Up to 12 months.
- Q. And the jobs that are being created for construction of this Project include various types of occupations, right?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So not everybody, who has a construction job, will be -- who has a construction job, related to this Project, will be employed for the entirety of the 12-month construction period, right?
- A. It's likely they could be moved to another similar project, whether it's solar or doing similar construction activities somewhere else, that's correct.
- Q. Okay. So, for example, do you have any trades that will be working on the construction of the Project?
- A. By "trades" are you referring to Unions

or just --

2.1

- Q. No. I'm talking about trade occupations such as plumbers or electricians or mechanics.
- A. Sure. There will certainly be a number of electricians, both low-voltage, medium-, and high-voltage electricians on the Project. We could have heavy-equipment operators for the limited heavy equipment required on the Solar Project, and similar trades.
- Q. So let's take, as an example, the electricians that would work on the Project. What would their jobs be on this Project?
- A. There are tens of thousands or even hundreds of thousands of connections that need to be made, checked, made and checked throughout the Project, linking panels to their wiring. That wiring leads to junction boxes where there are more connections and then from the conjunction boxes to the inverters. This is all on the DC side.

The inverters would change it to AC and take that power through buried cabling back to the substation where there are, of course, connections to be made with the utility substation.

So you have utility linemen that will be working there and a number of -- number of jobs for

different folks in the electrician trade.

2.1

- Q. So, during this period of approximately
 12 months where construction is going to be
 occurring, during what percentage of that time would
 the electricians actually be working?
- A. I'd say the electricians will probably be there the longest out of any trade. The substation is one of the first things we start work on, so I'd say probably nine months. They're also involved in the decommissioning of the Project, as well, at the end.
- Q. What type of occupation, that would be involved in construction, would have the shortest period of tenure during the Project?
- A. Probably heavy-equipment operators involved, say a crane operator that's solely there to deliver inverters or someone involved purely in grading. We don't expect much grading on this site, apart from perhaps the substation location, so that would likely be the lowest as far as job.
- Q. Okay. And approximately how much time would those people spend on the job during the construction of the Project?
- A. That's one where I don't have a good timeline for you.

```
1
            Q.
                 Okay. But each one of those employment
2
     positions is counted as one of the direct jobs
     included in your total of jobs for the construction;
 3
     is that right?
 4
 5
                 I'd like to refer to the Application and
 6
     the socioeconomic report.
7
            Q.
                 Sure, go ahead.
8
                 THE WITNESS: This will just take a few
9
    minutes.
10
                 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go off the record for
11
     just a moment.
12
                 (Off the record.)
13
                 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record.
14
                 Do you want to -- do you know what the
15
     question was posed?
16
                 THE WITNESS: If we could have the
17
     question repeated, that would be appreciated.
18
                 MR. VAN KLEY: Sure.
19
                 Can we have it reread?
20
                 ALJ SCHABO: Yes. Please.
2.1
                 (Record read.)
22
                 That is correct. If you want to follow
            Α.
23
     along, otherwise I can just read it out, but in
24
     Exhibit C -- just to kind of give this context --
25
     Exhibit C of the socioeconomic and fiscal impact of
```

the Angelina Solar Facility on page 12, at the very bottom of the page it's best described in a footnote where it says "The RIMS II multipliers used in this analysis do not distinguish between full- and part-time jobs."

2.1

We're presenting an analysis of the jobs over the course of one year so that it includes part-and full-time positions. Even a part-time position on a solar project is still almost a full-time job.

- Q. I'm sorry, what was the last part --
- A. I said even a part-time position on a construction project is still someone's full-time job.
- Q. In other words, what you're saying is that a person who works only part-time on construction of the Angelina Project has a full-time job that includes working elsewhere.
 - A. Typically, yes.
- Q. So with regard to the jobs that will be sponsored by the Solar Project during operation, you state in your answer that the Project is predicted to create up to 19 to 22 jobs during the operations period, right?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. Okay. And is that just for Angelina or

does that include the jobs for working on the Alamo Project?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

2.2

- A. These are jobs as a result of the operation of the Angelina Solar Project, both direct and indirect.
- Q. And how many of those jobs involve employees who are working directly for the Angelina Project?
- A. What do you mean directly for the Project?
- Q. How many of the 19 to 22 jobs, during the operations period, are working on tasks related to the operations of the Angelina Project?
- A. Just let me check for one moment.

 Directly related to the operation of the Project, the NREL -- the NREL JEDI model estimates 11 jobs.
- Q. Are those full-time job or part-time jobs or both full-time and part-time?
 - A. Both full-time and part-time.
- Q. How many of those approximately 11 jobs are part-time jobs?
 - A. I can't -- I can't say for sure.
- Q. More than half?
- A. That would be typical.
- Q. With regard to another topic in Answer 14

of your testimony, you express an opinion that the community will benefit from a Payment in Lieu of Taxes, also known as PILOT, amounting to the dollar amounts that you have in your answer.

Now, the County has not yet -- the County, at this point, has not adopted or approved a PILOT that would apply to the Angelina Project; is that correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. At this point do you know whether the County intends to approve PILOT for this Project?
 - A. We do not.

2.1

- Q. Have you had communications with any
 County office about approving a PILOT project?
- A. We've had communications about the PILOT, yes.
 - Q. With whom have you had those communications?
 - A. With all three of the Preble County

 Commissioners. We've had communications about the

 PILOT with the Auditor's Office. We've had

 communications regarding the PILOT with the

 Engineer's Office; the Office of Homeland Security,

 also, that manages emergency response. We've also

 had conversations with the townships involved.

- Q. You're aware that if the PILOT is approved for Preble County, it would be the Commissioners that would have to vote for that?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Have any of the Commissioners indicated whether they favor the approval of PILOT for the County?
- A. They have not. They have expressed they just need to learn about the PILOT and learn about the Project and actually that's kind of as far as it's gotten at this point.
- Q. Now, you're aware that the landowners who are participating in the Project currently pay property taxes on that land?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And you're aware that if the PILOT is approved then those landowners will no longer pay property tax?
- A. We're aware that the -- that the PILOT will exempt the underlying real estate from being taxed. In lieu of those taxes and taxes that the Project would be paying, the Project will instead be paying \$7,000 per megawatt which equates to roughly 11 times what is currently being collected on that same acreage.

- Q. Now, you're aware that the PILOT -- that the property taxes paid on that land currently fund the local schools and the townships?
 - A. Yes, I am aware.

2.1

- Q. And you're aware that there is some concern, among local elected officials, about whether the schools and the townships will receive some or all of the -- whether they will receive a portion of the PILOT taxes that might be paid if PILOT is adopted there?
- A. There's a point when I believe folks were still learning about the PILOT, and the QEP process in general, where that might have been a belief that folks had. I don't know at this point.

But a letter from Ohio Department of

Taxation to another county, in addition to recent

legislation -- some recent changes to the statute,

that were in the recently-passed budget bill in Ohio,

do address those concerns about any -- how the

disbursement might work.

As I've learned from our counsel and from other counsel in Ohio and in speaking with the ODSA, the disbursement is based on the -- on the property tax rates, on the millage, as a percent of the total millage for where the Project is located.

Q. So it's your understanding that the recently-passed State budget bill will require some of the PILOT payments to be made to the townships and the schools?

2.1

A. That's -- that's not part of the budget bill. The budget bill addresses some other concerns about when the Project needs to be in service and in place.

There really isn't -- there really isn't any strong concern that the disbursement wouldn't work along the lines that I've described. That's accepted by the State of Ohio and by -- and by the ODSA who monitors the projects and how it's currently disbursed on wind projects and other QEP projects throughout the State.

- Q. Okay. I guess I'm confused now by your testimony because I thought you said there had been some legislative law passed by the State of Ohio that would clarify that PILOT payments are to be made to the townships and schools; is that the case or is that not the case?
- A. No, there really isn't any concern that the PILOT payments won't be made to the townships, the schools, to the tech center, to the library; instead, what the statute has fixed was any

qualification that the payments be made in the first place which I believe was one of the concerns.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Ο. Okay. What I asked was whether -whether you believe a law has been passed recently to clarify that those payments do go to schools and townships.
- Α. There doesn't need to be a law passed to do that; that's the way it works.
- Ο. Okay. So your answer to my question is no?
- 11 MR. SETTINERI: I object. To what 12 question?
 - MR. VAN KLEY: I asked him whether he's aware of any law that clarified -- that passed recently that clarifies that PILOT payments are to be made to the schools and the townships, and that's the question I need an answer to.
 - No law has been passed because that's not Α. anything that requires clarification.
- You're aware that the Preble County Ο. Auditor has expressed concerns that the PILOT 22 project -- the PILOT payments will not be made to the 23 schools and the townships?
- 24 MR. SETTINERI: I'd just object. Lack of 25 foundation.

ALJ SCHABO: He can answer whether he's aware.

2.1

- A. I'm aware that, perhaps earlier this year, I don't remember the exact timing, when folks were in the process of learning more about the PILOT, and I would be -- I'm not sure if that's still the case. I imagine, at this point, that's no longer the belief.
- Q. You're aware that the County Auditor was expressing concerns that the payments would not be made to townships and the schools; isn't that correct?
- A. As part of their diligence, I do believe that they went through confirming -- confirming that would not be the case.
- Q. Okay. Again, you need to answer my question which is: Did the Auditor express concerns that the payments would not be made to the schools and the townships?
- A. Yes, they did -- to my knowledge they expressed some concerns.
- Q. All right. And has the Auditor, since
 that time, since the time of expressing those
 concerns, announced that she no longer had those
 concerns?

A. To my knowledge, no.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

Q. Okay. Let's go to your answer to

Question 15 on page 7 of your Direct Testimony. I'd

like to direct your attention to lines 8 and 9 of

Answer 15 on page 7, where it's stated "Temporary

construction activities are expected to have typical

and relatively limited impacts given their

intermittent nature, time of day restrictions, and

use of best management practices."

During construction there will be posts installed that will be used to support the solar panels, correct?

- A. That's correct.
- Q. And those posts will be installed by one of two methods, either by driving the post into the ground or by screwing it into the ground essentially, correct?
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. Okay. Now, with regard to the driving of the post into the ground, that post is made out of metal?
 - A. Yes. Steel, typically.
- Q. And what's it driven into the ground with?
- 25 A. If it's being driven, as opposed to

screwed into the ground, that will be with a pile driver.

- Q. Is the pile driver also composed of metal?
 - A. I believe so.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

- Q. Okay. So the process of driving the post into the ground will entail pounding metal on metal, correct?
- 9 A. Again, I can't be certain, but I believe 10 that's the case.
 - Q. Okay. That process is going to be -- is going to produce some loud noises, right?
 - A. Yes, that will produce some noise.
 - Q. Now, what amount of time will it take, out of the 12 months of construction time, to drive those posts into the ground or to screw them into the ground if the alternative method is used?
 - A. I recently did some calculations on this, but that would take probably three or four months for the entire Project Area.
- Q. Would you go to the Application and find Figure 7, Sheet 1.
- 23 MR. SETTINERI: What was the figure 24 reference again, Jack?
- MR. VAN KLEY: Figure 7, Sheet 1.

A. I'm there.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

19

- Q. All right. So if you look at this figure, you'll see that the parcels of land that are colored in yellow are part of the Project Area for the Angelina Solar Project, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And the yellow signifies where the solar panels may be installed?
- A. Yes, the yellow signifies the maximum extent subject to setbacks.
- Q. All right. So looking at -- I'd like to have you look at the triangular parcel that is near the upper right-hand corner of that Sheet 1 of Figure 7. Do you know approximately how many acres is in that parcel that is shown in yellow?
 - A. I believe the parcel itself is 120 acres approximately. I would have to confirm though.
 - Q. All right. And the square dots show where nonparticipating neighbors live in their homes, correct?
- 21 A. Correct.
- Q. In other words, the square dots shows the location of their home?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And there's a nonparticipating landowner

whose property is shown by a gray rectangle that is surrounded on three sides by that triangular solar parcel?

A. I see it there, yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Now, for what period of time will it take Angelina to install the posts for the solar panels in that triangular parcel of land?
- A. It's dependent on the number of crews and the final engineering of the Project.

At this point, as a proposed Project, we have an idea for the number of posts that will be installed as a maximum, but that's not -- that's not finalized at this point so I can't -- I can't say exactly how long that would take in that one spot.

But the Project is typically constructed in phases so you're never -- you're not in one spot doing all the work the entire time, you're moving through the project, but yeah, I wouldn't really wish to hazard a guess.

Q. All right. So am I understanding you correctly to say -- am I correctly understanding you to say that once -- once Angelina starts installing posts on a parcel of land, it won't necessarily continue without interruption to finish the post installation until that parcel is done?

A. Sorry. The work will likely continue in a given area until that work is done, that's correct.

2.1

Q. Okay. Now, when you did your estimate of three or four months to install posts, did you calculate the number -- the number of posts that would be installed per hour or per day or some other unit of time?

MR. SETTINERI: I'll just object to mischaracterizing the testimony. To the extent you're saying he formed an estimate, I believe he gave you testimony on that; but to the extent you're characterizing it as a study that was done, that's what I object to.

Q. When you calculated three or four months of time to install the posts for the solar panels, did you make an estimate of the amount of time it would take per acre to install those posts?

MR. SETTINERI: I apologize that I have to object again, but I do want a clean record. I object to the statement he "calculated." Again, he gave an estimate, I believe, on the stand. I don't believe he performed a calculation on the stand.

MR. VAN KLEY: I don't know how you can estimate something without calculating it.

Q. Let me just cut through this and ask you

to explain how you came up with your three- or four-month estimate of time to install the posts.

A. Sure.

2.1

There's a number of posts in the Project, as defined in the Application and exhibits.

Depending on the technology, you can get a certain number of posts in per day per crew. So you take that number, along with the number of crews, and you get a pretty good sense for how quickly you could move through a Project Area and install those posts.

- Q. All right. So, during this process, did you estimate the number of posts that could be installed in a day by a crew?
- A. There are different estimates from the installers and from the manufacturers of posts out there. It could be wide-ranging.
- Q. What figure did you use to come up with your estimate?
 - A. I looked at between 100 and 200 posts per crew per day.
 - Q. And in a field of the size of that triangular parcel on Sheet 1 of Figure 7, approximately how many posts will be installed in that field?
- 25 A. Let me quickly consult the Application

for the number of posts and I can probably give you a --

Q. Okay.

2.1

A. -- high-end estimate.

I'd expect, in that area, that you would have approximately 8,000 posts maximum, but that's a very, very conservative number in terms of the high end.

- Q. So it would take one crew approximately 40 to 80 days to install the posts in that parcel?
- A. Off the top of my head, based on the numbers I gave you, that sounds right. That's one crew. There's typically many crews on a project. You don't have one pile driver doing the work.
- Q. Well, at this point in time, has Angelina decided how many crews it will use for that purpose in the Angelina construction?
- A. We have not, but it will be many more than one crew, I can assure you that.
- Q. When you employ more than one crew, do all of the crews work in the same field at the same time or do they spread out throughout the Project Area?
- A. That could vary by construction decisions.

Q. Well, in the other projects that you have worked on, have there ever been any instances in which only one crew has installed all the posts in a particular field?

2.1

- A. In the other solar projects I've worked on, I can't speak specifically to the number of crews, except that when we were doing the soliciting of the engineering and procurement teams that would run these processes, they always accounted for, I can't speak to the exact number, I just know it was more than one crew, several crews, but I don't have that for you.
- Q. So on these other projects in which you've been involved in the construction, you know that more than one crew was hired to drive posts, but you don't know whether those crews all worked in the same fields at the same time or whether they were spread out through the project area?
- A. I'm not certain on that. In the cases that I have seen it's usually multiple crews working in the same field. That doesn't mean there aren't other crews doing the same thing on the other side of the Project.
- Q. I'm sorry, your last sentence tailed off there and I couldn't hear. Could you say that again?

A. Sure. There are typically multiple crews working in the same spot, but that doesn't preclude other crews from being in a different part of the Project.

2.1

- Q. And it doesn't preclude a situation in which one crew does all of the post installation in a field, correct?
- A. I think the economics of constructing a solar farm would preclude that. You're trying to get things installed as efficiently as you can. If you only have one crew going that means you only have one crew installing racking and one crew installing modules behind them.

We're trying to get things done in good seasons to do this work in a timely manner so having one crew doing that would slow things down for the rest of the process of installing the entire Project, so I don't think it's a realistic scenario.

Q. Let's go to page 8 of your testimony which is part of Answer 16. I'd like to direct your attention to lines 6, 7, and 8 of your testimony on page 8.

Now, here you say "The minimal sound from the operation of the Project will be essentially inaudible for all non-participating residences due to the near-silent operating nature of solar arrays and by locating inverters sufficiently far from neighboring residences."

2.1

What's the basis of your statement that the minimal sound from the operation of the Project will be essentially inaudible for all nonparticipating residences?

A. Sure. That comes from my knowledge having visited operational solar farms around the country. Any time I see one, I pull over and check it out. I go right to the fence, unless I'm trespassing, and listen, because I get this question from every landowner that I talk to. So that's certainly -- that's certainly one part of it.

The racking, if we use a tracking module, moves so imperceptibly slow. The motors are so small there really is no perceptible noise from that.

And with inverters being the one part of the Project that, during day and not the night would make any sound when placed sufficiently far away, as described in the Application, they would be -- you wouldn't be able to hear them at neighboring residences above just typical background noise.

Q. So when you say in your testimony -- when you refer to the "near-silent operating nature of

solar arrays," by "solar arrays" you're referring to the solar panels?

A. Correct, yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. So you're saying the solar panels have a near-silent operation.
- A. The panels and their associated infrastructure, yes.
 - Q. The associated infrastructure meaning what?
 - A. Meaning the racking, the tracking motors.
 - Q. Okay. Well, let's talk a little bit about the inverters then. Have you ever conducted any sound measurements to measure the noise from a solar inverter?
 - A. I have not. We typically work with experts in the field to measure those kind of -- to have those measurements.
- Q. And in this case you're relying on Mr. Hessler's testimony?
- A. Correct.
- Q. Now, when you say the minimal sound from the operation of the Project will be essentially inaudible for all non-participating residences by locating inverters sufficiently far from neighboring residences, did you have a distance in mind when you

```
1
     wrote this testimony?
2
                 MR. SETTINERI: I just object.
 3
    believe he stated the whole part of the testimony.
     With that, the witness can answer.
 4
                 MR. VAN KLEY: I'm sorry, would you
 5
 6
     repeat that, Mike?
7
                 MR. SETTINERI: I don't think you read
8
     the entire sentence in your question.
9
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No, I didn't --
10
                 MR. SETTINERI: I just want to make sure
11
     it's clear.
12
                 MR. VAN KLEY: -- because we've already
13
    talked about the solar arrays.
14
                 MR. SETTINERI: I just want to make clear
15
     for the record, you're stating that's what he
16
     testified to and there's a complete sentence there.
17
     That's all. With that, the witness can answer the
18
     question.
19
                 (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Hessler (sic), when
            Ο.
```

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Hessler (sic), when you talked about locating inverters sufficiently far from neighboring residences in this testimony, did you have a distance in mind that you believe would prevent neighboring residences from hearing the inverters?

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. SETTINERI: I just object for the

record. I believe you called him "Mr. Hessler."
With that said, maybe the court reporter can reread
the question with the understanding that it's
"Mr. Herling" and then he can answer the question.

2.1

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Herling, your name also starts with an "H." It's really confusing me.

Do you have a distance in mind with regard to how far away an inverter would have to be so that a neighboring resident would not be able to hear it?

- A. I would still defer to Mr. Hessler on that question but, based on his work and research, it's expected that inverters that a central inverter, just being specific, would be essentially inaudible around 150 feet.
- Q. And what do you mean by your reference to a "central inverter"?
- A. Inverters typically fall into two categories: Central and string.

String inverters might just handle one string of panels. Typically 28 panels are in a string. Whereas, a central inverter could handle several, a couple megawatts or a few hundred kilowatts to a few megawatts of power in a single central inverter.

Q. How many central inverters are planned for the Angelina Project?

2.1

2.2

- A. That depends on the number of -- that depends strongly on the capacity of the inverter, so that would have to be arranged. I would need to check the Application to give you that number.
 - Q. Okay. Why don't you do that.
- A. Okay. I found it on page 1-4 of the Ecological Assessment Report. About two-thirds of the way down the page, we refer to up to 40 inverter pads.
- Q. And these 40 inverter pads would hold 40 central inverters?
 - A. Yes. Some central inverters are essentially aggregated string inverters, but yes, 40 central inverters.
 - Q. And then how many string inverters are planned for the Project?
 - A. I don't have an estimate for that. Those can be mounted up beneath an array and don't have a ground footprint, so it's not an impact we are considering.
 - Q. What's your belief as to how far the sound from a string inverter can be heard?
 - A. A string inverter would not be terribly

different from a micro inverter or an inverter on a house for solar or a small inverter at a school where you might have solar on a rooftop. I don't have a distance in mind, but I've been very close. You can't really -- it's inaudible.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Is there anything in the Application or in the Stipulation that has been agreed to by some of the parties in this case that would establish where the inverters are going to be installed?
- A. We make reference throughout the Application about the characteristics for how we would site an inverter; typically central to the Project.
 - Q. Central to what part of the Project?
- A. Interior to the Project. From an electrical standpoint, it helps to have the central inverters central to the portion of the array that they're collecting to avoid electrical line losses and for the efficiency of the layout, so that's why we anticipate them to be and plan to have them on the interior of the Project.
- Q. So when you say the inverters are planned to be installed in the interior of the Project, what you mean is that Angelina plans to install the inverters in the -- in the interior of the solar

fields?

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

- A. That's correct.
- Q. Is there anything in the Application that specifically states that Angelina will install the inverters in the interior of the solar fields?
 - A. Let me confirm that for you.
 - Q. Okay.
- A. It might take me a few minutes. There's a couple reports I want to look at.
- Q. And while you're looking for that
 information, my next question will be whether there's
 anything in the Application or the Stipulation in
 which Angelina commits to installing the inverters at
 least 150 feet away from the property lines of
 adjacent landowners, so you can look for both of
 those facts at the same time.

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, can we take a five-minute break?

ALJ SCHABO: Absolutely. We'll give

Mr. Herling five minutes off the record. Everybody
else can take a break.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.

(Recess taken.)

ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record.

How far back do you want the question

1 reread, the first question?

2 MR. VAN KLEY: I'll just re-ask the

3 question.

2.1

ALJ SCHABO: You'll just re-ask? Okay, thank you.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Herling, have you had a chance to go through the Application to obtain information to answer my two questions?
 - A. I believe so.
 - Q. Okay.
 - A. If you could repeat them, please?
- Q. The first question is whether there is anything in the Application that commits Angelina Solar to installing the inverters in the interior of a solar field.
 - A. On page 58 of the narrative of the Application, about halfway down, it reads: "The Project will be designed to site the inverters within the solar fields to ensure they do not cause material, adverse impacts to any sensitive, off-site receptors." This sentence is in regard to operational sound generated by Project activities and in particular this is in regard to the inverters.
 - Q. All right. So it says "The Project will be designed to site the inverters within the solar

fields...." So that simply means that the inverters will be installed somewhere in the solar fields, right?

2.1

- A. Yes, that means they'll not be on the outside of the solar field. They'll be within the solar field.
- Q. Is there anything within the Application or in the Stipulation that would require the inverters to be installed at least 150 feet away from any property lines of nonparticipating landowners?
- A. We say specifically right here that they'll be sited to avoid adverse impacts to any sensitive off-site receptors.

If it's determined that a given technology would have an impact at a residence at a closer distance then that would be taken into account, but the Application, as its written here, intends to install the inverters on the interior of the Project.

In the event there is a concern with sound during operation, as noted in the Stipulation, there's a complaint resolution process and we have the ability to mitigate any sound created by an inverter in that event.

Q. So there's nothing in the Application

that specifically states that the inverters will be installed at least 150 feet away from the property lines, is there?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- A. We state -- we state that the inverter typically will fade to background at 150 feet, based on Hessler's report, which would inform kind of a baseline distance to avoid adverse impacts.
- Q. All right. So is it your answer then that you believe the Application does require the inverters to be installed at least 150 feet away from the property lines?
- 12 A. I think it's, again, dependent on the inverter.
- Q. So is the answer, then, to my question, no, it does not require?
- MR. SETTINERI: I object. Asked and answered.
- ALJ SCHABO: He hasn't answered it directly yet.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Pardon me, Your Honor?

 ALJ SCHABO: He hasn't answered it

 directly yet.
- A. I don't believe the Application

 specifically commits us to setback 150 feet. It

 commits us to setback a distance that does not cause

material adverse impacts to any -- to any nonparticipating landowner.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Going back to your Direct Testimony on page 8. On line 10, you refer to the preservation of natural vegetative buffers. Is there anything in the Application that specifically identifies what vegetative -- what vegetated areas will be preserved and which ones will not be preserved?
- A. Yes. I believe in the figures of the Application in the ecological report there are maps that specifically identify areas that could be removed and could be kept. In this case, we are proposing to remove up to .07 acres of trees.
- Q. Could you identify the portion of the ecological study that identifies the areas that will be kept and will not be kept?
 - A. Just give me a moment.

18 If you look at Figure 1, titled "The 19 Buildable Area."

MR. SETTINERI: What figure was that?

THE WITNESS: Figure 1.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you.

Your Honor, that is a full-size map
that's in a sleeve.

25 A. It's somewhat difficult to see the exact

area clearing because it's hard to perceive less than a tenth of an acre on these maps sometimes, but you can see here all the woodlands that are being preserved.

- Q. And how do you tell on that map which woodlands are being preserved?
 - A. Based on the legend.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Is there a particular color that?
- A. It's a dark green and slightly less dark green. The wooded habitat is this dark green color you see throughout the map. So we're essentially preserving all of the woodlots on the Project. The .07 acres is potential clearing for a collection line in the southern wooded area along the edge of the gap about two-thirds of the way west in the most southern woodlot.
- Q. On page 8 of your testimony, line 11, you state that there will be the addition of added vegetative screening, including landscaping with pollinator habitat, in selected locations. What do you mean by "selected locations"?
- A. Is that in regard to the vegetative screening or pollinator habitat or both?
- Q. Well, however you meant it in your testimony.

A. Here, "in selected locations" means whether it's going to have the highest -- based on the type of vegetative screening or inclusion of pollinator habitat where it's going to have its highest and best use to mitigate any potential visual impacts of the Project.

So where there's an adjoining landowner with a direct view of the Project or on certain road frontage as well, pollinator habitat can certainly have benefits to neighboring property owners so, in that case, it could be sited along the edge of the Project to benefit some of the neighbors as well.

- Q. Go to page 10 of your testimony,

 Answer 18. I'd like you to take a look at lines 20
 and 21 where it's stated that "The Applicant will use
 commercially reasonable efforts during construction
 to promptly repair any such drain tile that is
 damaged." Do you see that?
 - A. I do.

2.1

- Q. How would you define the term "commercially reasonable efforts" as you use that term in this testimony?
- A. Let me quickly reread the Question and Answer here just to get the context.
- Sure. So here, "commercially reasonable"

is dependent on the type and purpose of any tile that is damaged.

In the event of lateral tile, that may not affect a neighboring landowner or is not integral to the long-term stability and success of the Project there and of the land, we might do an alternate method instead of repairing that tile. We may cap it at the main or employ other methods as opposed to repairing or replacing

- Q. Is this term meant to express any dollar cap -- is this term meant to express that there may be a dollar cap on the repairs that would be considered to be commercially reasonable?
 - A. Can you say that one more time?
 - Q. Yeah.

2.1

When you use the term "commercially reasonable efforts" that would guide your repair of drainage tiles, do you intend it to express that the repairs would not be done if they exceed a certain dollar amount?

A. Again, it's highly dependent on the tile, so I think in every situation that amount could be different. If it's a tile that's needed to drain land that is adjacent to the Project that's not participating, then it's something that we are

certainly obligated to fix.

2.1

For our own landowner's tile, that might be more used to enhance yields as opposed to our use of draining the Project, then you'll certainly have a different metric there.

And there may be other methods, as opposed to just repairing tile, whether surface drainage could be employed in a certain situation, for example, or simply just dewatering an area.

- Q. So if the tile that needs replacement or repair extends onto some nonparticipating landowner's property, Angelina Solar would do that replacement or repair regardless of how much it would cost?
- A. Well, the word "promptly" is important there and it's whether -- whether it's something that needs to be done as soon as possible or not.
 - Q. Well, let me rephrase the question then.

If a tile needs replacement or repair and it is a tile that extends into a nonparticipating landowner's property, would Angelina Solar repair or replace that tile regardless of the cost?

A. At a certain point another method of equal efficacy could be employed, so I don't -- I don't think that repair would be, in that case, the way we would go. It would be replaced with another

method.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Replaced with another what?
- A. Another equal method of drainage.
- O. Such as what?
- A. I mentioned surface drainage like a swale for example. We have a drainage expert who will testify as well. Off the top of my head, that's one option.
- Q. So if you replace a broken tile with a swale, then would that swale discharge its water onto the adjoining landowner's property?
- A. I believe we're talking about an upstream landowner hypothetically.
- Q. So it would only be in the case where the tile comes from an adjoining landowner and then goes onto the Applicant's land where Angelina may consider using a swale instead of a tile to address the drainage issue?
- A. I don't know if that's 100-percent true. You can see there's many different scenarios that could happen, so what is commercially reasonable in each scenario could vary.
- Q. So if Angelina is qualifying its

 commitment to repair or replace tiles only insofar as

 it is commercially reasonable to do so, how can a

neighboring landowner be assured that the certificate in this case is going to protect that landowner from flooded land?

2.1

MR. SETTINERI: I'll just object. Lack of foundation as to "flooded land." If it's a hypothetical, we can set a hypothetical up.

A. I would need to confirm -
MR. SETTINERI: There's an objection
pending.

THE WITNESS: Sorry, sorry.

11 ALJ SCHABO: Could you rephrase? Can you rephrase your question, please?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) If Angelina Solar is committing only to replace or repair tile if it is commercially reasonable to do so, how can any neighboring landowner be assured that broken or repaired tiles on Angelina's Project Area are not going to result in the flooding of the neighbor's land?
- A. Again, I think in this section, this line we've been talking about reads: "The Applicant will use commercially reasonable efforts during construction to promptly repair...." It's not talking about repairing never or at all. It's

talking about repairing promptly. So I think that's different than the question you're asking unless we're talking purely in hypotheticals. We don't say the words "commercially reasonable efforts to repair." It's "promptly repair."

2.1

- Q. Okay. So moving on in the same answer to line 1 on page 11. Do you use the term "commercially reasonable efforts to promptly repair any such damage" in the same way there?
- A. I would say here that "commercially reasonable" is similar in that, during the operation of the Project, again it's dependent on how -- especially on how promptly we'll fix it depending on the purpose, the function, and the location of the tile in this situation.
- Q. I'm not sure I understood that answer. Let me make an effort to clarify it.

When you use the term "commercially reasonable efforts to promptly repair any such damage" on line 1 on page 11 of your testimony, does the reference to "commercially reasonable efforts" apply only to how fast the repair is going to be made?

A. I believe it's commercially reasonable damage to promptly repair, correct.

- Q. So the answer to my question is yes then?
- A. If you could please repeat the question then.

2.1

- Q. My question is whether the term -whether the reference to "commercially reasonable
 efforts" only refer to how quickly the damage will be
 repaired.
 - A. I believe that's the case.
- Q. Okay. Now, from working on this Project and the Alamo Project, you are aware that, during certain times of the year, when a crop has either just been planted or the crop is still very small in its growth, that any flooding of that crop will damage or kill that crop within a short period of time?
 - A. I don't have personal knowledge of that.
- Q. Well, you've heard testimony to that effect, have you not?
- A. I've not heard testimony to that effect in this case, no.
 - Q. You've heard testimony to that fact in the Alamo case, did you not?
- A. It's not testimony that I can rely on.

 It's one -- it's one data point. I have not heard

 that confirmed otherwise. It could be reasonable,

but . . .

2.1

- Q. You have no reason to believe that, for example, a young crop, a young corn crop will survive for more than 48 hours if the field is flooded, do you?
- A. Again, I don't have -- I don't have that information.
- Q. Let's go to page 13 of your testimony.

 Answer 22 on page 13 of your testimony talks about the security of the Project and your testimony states that "access gates through the fence will be locked except when in use." Is there anything in the Application that identifies the means by which the fences will be locked except when they're in use?
- A. I'd have to confirm that in the Application, the exact wording, but just that they will be locked and we give ourselves the flexibility to ensure that it's adequate.
- Q. How are the fences in solar projects typically locked?
- A. It can vary widely but -- yeah, it can vary. There's just different ways to lock something, whether you have a chain and a lock on it, whether it's keyed entry or keypad or a combination.
 - Q. In cases where the gates may be locked

through a padlock or a chain, burglars can defeat that lock by using bolt cutters?

- A. I've certainly had those locks -- I've had some padlocks cut through with bolt cotters, so I'd have to say yes.
- Q. And the fences that will be used for the Angelina Project are expected to be chain-link fences?
 - A. In most cases.

2.1

- Q. Is there anything in the Application that says whether it's going to be a chain-link fence or whether it will be made out of some other material?
- A. We define a number of materials that can be used. We anticipate the majority will be chain-link but, in some situations, it could be more of an agricultural fence to make it more visually appealing.
- Q. Can criminals cut through fences of that nature?
- A. I'm not aware. I've seen chain-link fences cut before, so I assume so.
- Q. Your Answer 22 also refers to operational personnel conducting periodic security checks of the Project. Is there anything in the Application that specifies how often those security checks will be

made?

2.1

- A. It's -- the Application does not specify, but everyone working on a project operationally, whether it's operations or maintenance, is trained to report anything they see that's unusual; so whether it's their distinct task to be doing security for the Project, or they're driving by and something is amiss, then they -- then that's reported, so yeah.
- Q. Will any periodic security checks be made during dark hours of the day?
- A. If determined, during the design and engineering of the Project, then that could be an option but not in all phases.
- Q. So, at this point in time, you don't know whether there will be security checks at night at the Angelina Project.
- A. There could be -- in regards to security checks there could be other ways to ensure security at night as well.
- Q. Is there anything in the Application that specifies what such methods will be used?
- A. Much of the methods, with regard to the security of a solar project, can also be placed on us by folks providing the insurance for the Solar Project or the financing for the Project. If they

don't believe that the Project will be secure when they run their assessment of how it's designed and proposed to be operated, then we don't get that financing, we don't get that insurance policy that's necessary to run the Project. So if, in their determination, they believe it's required, then that's something that will be there.

- Q. So there's nothing in the Application, itself, that even says security checks are going to be made, is there?
- A. I'd have to confirm in the Application that there would be a mention of that.
 - Q. Okay. Why don't you check.

ALJ SCHABO: Let's go off the record for just a moment.

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record.

THE WITNESS: Can you please repeat the

question?

2.1

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Is there anything in the Application that specifies that periodic security checks of the Project will be made?
- A. There is. On page 75 of the narrative, in the second paragraph, I can read it if you like,

but No. 4 in that paragraph is "periodic security checks."

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. And is there anything in this language that says how often those security checks would be made?
- A. There's nothing in that language about the frequency of it.
 - Q. And there's nothing in this language that says they will be made at night.
 - A. No, not specifically about night.
 - Q. And at the end of the paragraph you're looking at, it states as follows: "On most days, at any particular location at the Project, no operating personnel will be present," correct?
 - A. Correct, yeah, at any given inverter or panel on a given day, there might not be anyone at that specific location.
- Q. Now, the solar panels contain copper; is that correct?
- A. In some cases, yes. The balance of system contains copper.
 - Q. What's the balance of system?
- A. The balance of system, or BOS as we call it, would be more the wiring.
- Q. Okay. So they contain copper wiring?

- A. There's some copper, yes.
- Q. Okay. And copper is a metal that thieves can steal in order to sell it?
- A. Generally, yes. In regard to a solar project, I've never heard of it happening.
- Q. Well, you've never sited a solar project near the Village of Fairview, Ohio, right?
 - A. No, not until this one.
- Q. Go to page 15 of your testimony,
 Answer 27.
- 11 A. I'm there.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. This is your answer about EMFs. Let's move on because I think we already covered that in voir dire. We'll move on to another page of your testimony.
- MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, if we can go off the record briefly?
- 18 ALJ SCHABO: Sure, we can go off the 19 record.
- 20 (Discussion off the record.)
- 21 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record.
- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Mr. Herling, directing
 your attention to Answer 27 of your direct testimony
 which starts on page 15. I want to ask you some
 questions about the basis for your opinion concerning

EMFs. What's the basis for your opinion that the Project will generate only very weak electromagnetic fields?

2.1

- A. That's based on my knowledge and our Company's institutional knowledge of EMF. It's a question we frequently get from landowners, adjacent landowners, and something we've certainly had to study and learn a lot about from experts.
- Q. Is it based on any measurements of EMFs from solar projects?
- A. Yes, we refer to some studies. In this answer, I refer to a study done in Massachusetts.
- Q. Other than that Massachusetts study, can you identify any other studies in which EMFs from solar projects were measured?
- A. I can refer to another study that references those studies but, specifically, no.
- Q. With regard to your statement on page 16, lines 2 and 3, that "PV arrays generate EMF in the same extremely low frequency range as electrical appliances and wiring found in most homes and buildings," can you identify any studies that provide you with that information and that are based on actual measurements of EMFs from solar projects?
 - A. Sorry, can you say that one more time?

didn't quite get that question.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

ALJ SCHABO: Why don't you break your question up. It was compound.

MR. VAN KLEY: Sure.

- Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) In lines 2 and 3 on page 16 of your testimony, you state that "PV arrays generate EMF in the same extremely low frequency range as electrical appliances and wiring found in most homes and buildings." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Can you identify any studies supporting that view that are based on actual measurements of EMF from solar facilities?
- A. I believe that's referenced in the Massachusetts study. There's a collection of studies referenced by a North Carolina State Energy Center report that details some information about EMF especially with regard to appliances.

MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I think this is good time for a break then.

ALJ SCHABO: All right. We'll go off the record until 2:30.

23 (At 12:59 p.m. a lunch recess was taken until 2:30 p.m.)

25 | - - -

98 1 Wednesday Afternoon Session, 2 July 31, 2019. 3 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record. 4 5 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor. 6 7 DOUGLAS HERLING 8 being previously duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and further testified as follows: 9 10 CROSS-EXAMINATION (Continued) 11 By Mr. Van Kley: 12 Mr. Herling, let's go back to Answer 28 Ο. 13 of your Direct Testimony --14 Α. I'm there. 15 Ο. -- which talks about whether there's a 16 potential risk of having substances being released to 17 the environment as a result of the construction and 18 operation of the Project. 19 I'd like to refer you to lines 13 and 14 20 on page 16 of your testimony where it says that "This 2.1 includes the Ohio-made solar panels based on cadmium 22 telluride chemistry." That refers to your prior 23 sentence which says that solar panels have -- the 24 suppliers of solar panels have demonstrated that 25 their products pass U.S. EPA's TCLP procedure, right?

- A. Sorry, what was the question?
- Q. My question is, I'm just referring you to lines 13 and 14 -- line 13, I'm sorry, where you refer to the Ohio-made solar panels. That sentence refers back to the prior sentence which states that suppliers of solar panels have demonstrated that their products pass the TCLP test.
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Right?
- A. That's correct.
- Q. Now, my question is: Do you know whether solar panels, made in other States of the United States, also pass the TCLP test?
- A. The Tier 1 solar panels that we have looked at typically advertise they've passed -- or that they've gone -- they've performed that test on their product, yes.
- Q. What about other -- what about other types of solar panels? Do you know whether all of those other types of solar panels pass the TCLP test?
- A. Some might refer to stricter European standards on content disposal, but I'm not aware that all solar panels go through that testing.
- Q. So there may be other makes of solar panels that don't pass the TCLP test?

A. There may be other makes of solar panels that don't perform it.

2.1

- Q. And, thus, don't know whether they pass, right?
- A. If they didn't perform it, they wouldn't know if they would pass.
- Q. What about panels made outside of the United States, other than the European panels you just referred to, do you know whether those panels meet the TCLP test?
- A. Panels that are sold in the United States would be -- would be doing this test as kind of a benchmark. It's like a lot of other certifications or tests that products you use every day would use where you want to at least hit that standard. So if it's not sold in the U.S. it probably wouldn't pass that -- they probably wouldn't try to pass that test.
- Q. Well, do you know for a fact that all foreign-made panels that are sold in the United States have had tests done on them for TCLP?
- A. I don't know that, but in this sentence we say that suppliers of solar panels, that will be used for the Project, have demonstrated their products pass the TCLP.
 - Q. But your Application doesn't identify

which solar panels will be used for the Project, does it?

A. No, that's correct, we've left flexibility in the Application on the final panel choice.

2.

2.1

Q. Moving down to line 22 and line 23 of
Answer 28 on page 16 of your testimony, you have a
sentence in your testimony that says "Finally, I note
that, in recent years, solar panels have become a
common sight around Ohio in general, and in southeast
Ohio in particular."

My question to you is: How many other solar projects, that have been constructed in Ohio, are of a size of 800 acres of solar panels or larger?

- A. At this point, in Ohio, there have not been any solar projects constructed that encompass 800 acres.
- Q. And your Project here for Angelina is anticipated to cover how many acres with solar panels?
- A. We define -- we define the potential solar array as 827 acres, but that's not covering 827 acres with solar panels.
- Q. Were you the first representative of Angelina Solar to do public outreach in Preble

County?

2.1

- A. Could you be more specific about "public outreach"?
- Q. Communicating with officials in the county or with landowners or community leaders in the county about the Project.
- A. I was the first to reach out with regard to elected officials in the county. Other members of my company reached out earlier to potential landowners.
- Q. Okay. Potential landowners who may be interested in participating in the Project?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Let's talk a little bit about some of the statements made in the Application. If you could pull that exhibit out, please. My first question is going to be on page 13 of the narrative portion of the Application.

I'd like to refer you to the third paragraph on page 13 of the Application, specifically to the second-to-the-last and the last lines of that paragraph where you state that a number of roads may temporarily be up to 25-feet wide to accommodate construction activities. Do you see that?

A. Yes, I see that.

- Q. Okay. And why do the -- why are the roads going to be designed to have a width of up to 25 feet?
- A. That is to -- that's to allow two-way traffic and delivery of any oversized Project components.
- Q. If the vehicle carrying the oversized components is on a road that is 25-feet wide, will that road also accommodate another vehicle side-by-side?
 - A. In the Project Area?
- 12 Q. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- A. It may. If not, then we'd have to direct traffic within the Project Area to accommodate the traffic.
- Q. Well, how wide is the equipment that's being transported and that is oversized?
 - A. We anticipate very few what would be classed by ODOT as an oversized load. I believe that's over 8-1/2 feet wide, but that would primarily be substation components if anything.
 - Q. So am I understanding your testimony correctly to be that any equipment that is 8-foot or wider would be regarded as an oversized load?
- 25 A. I think our transportation expert could

probably speak to that better than I can. I don't know the ODOT regulations as well.

2.1

- Q. Well, how wide is the widest equipment that will be transported into the Project Area for construction?
- A. That will be determined in final design and based on further consultation with the interconnecting utility on their requirements.
- Q. So, at this point, you don't know the answer to that question?
- A. The Project is currently in the facility study phase so that's something happening now. I don't believe we're required to have the information at the time of submitting the Application.
- Q. Go to page 16 of the Application. In the second paragraph on that page it is stated as follows: "Prior to the start of construction, Applicant will submit to the Board for its review final designs for the Project. These final designs will address all of the following..." And then, after those two lines, you see nine items of components and locations that will be addressed in the final design, correct?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. None of the information, that is in

Items 1 through 9 on that page, have been included in the Application?

A. In the Application we define the maximum extent of the area where many of these components will be located and we have left flexibility for the kinds of racking and panel technology and exact location of access roads.

We have -- we have located where the substation will be which is also the termination point of collection lines throughout the Project.

- Q. All right. So with regard to Item No. 1, the Application specifically identifies the location of the substation; is that right?
 - A. That's correct.

2.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And because the collection lines terminate in the substation, that means that the Application identifies the termination point?
- A. Not the exact termination point but that they terminate at the substation, that is true.
- Q. For Item No. 2, does the Application specify the exact location of the collection lines?
- A. No, it does not. We quantify the impacts from crossing streams and other features, though.
- Q. With regard to Item No. 3, does the Application identify the exact locations of the solar

fields?

- A. We identify the maximum extent of the locations, but that will be further refined when we submit the final designs.
- Q. With regard to Item 4, does the Application show the orientation, north-south or east-west, of the arrays within each solar field?
 - A. We do not.
- Q. With regard to Item 5, does the Application show the location of the arrays, collection lines, inverters, and roads within each solar field?
 - A. It does not show the exact location.
- Q. With regard to No. 6 of the Application, does it identify the type of racking that will be selected?
- A. We identify the two technologies that could be used, but we don't specify which at this time.
- Q. And with regard to Item No. 7, the
 Application doesn't select what solar panel
 technology will be used?
- A. No, we have not. We just say it will be Tier 1.
- Q. With regard to Item 8, the Application

does not show the location of the access roads within the Project Area?

A. We do not.

2.1

- Q. With regard to Item 9, the Application does not show the specific component manufacturers and equipment vendors that will be used?
 - A. No, we don't.
- Q. Go to page 34 of the Application. I'd like to refer you to the third paragraph on that page. That paragraph discusses a complaint resolution procedure, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And the last sentence of that paragraph states that "The operator also will be required to make commercially reasonable efforts to expeditiously resolve any complaints or concerns." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. What's meant by the term "commercially reasonable efforts" in that sentence?
- A. I would like to refer to the Stipulation quickly just to -- I know we stipulated to a number of items on that.
- Here, in this sentence that you are referring to, we're referring to commercially

reasonable efforts to expeditiously resolve complaints.

2.1

If a certain complaint, if the result of that is that we need to make a material modification to something that we're doing, and that could take a little bit more time, "commercially reasonable" would refer to we wouldn't be able to do that in as quick a time frame as "expeditiously" would require.

- Q. So the term "commercially reasonable" refers to the amount of time that will be necessary to resolve the complaint. It doesn't refer to -- it doesn't mean if it costs a lot to resolve the complaint that the complaint won't be resolved.
- A. Yeah, in No. 15, in Condition 15 of the Stipulation, I believe it's the last sentence in reference to the report that we would be submitting to the Staff quarterly, we say "The report should include a list of all complaints received through the Applicant's complaint resolution process, a description of the actions taken toward a resolution of each complaint, and a status update if the complaint has yet to be resolved." So I'd say here that "commercially reasonable efforts" refer to expeditiously.
 - Q. Okay. Let's go to page 45 of the

Application. The first paragraph on that page refers to a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan for erosion control and storm water management, correct?

A. That's correct.

2.1

- Q. Has that plan been prepared at this point in time?
- A. That plan has not been prepared. That would -- that would occur prior to construction once we're closer to the final design phase.
- Q. Go to page 49 of the Application. Is there anything in the Application that quantifies the amount of solid waste that will be produced by the construction or operation of this Project?
- A. Not -- not to my knowledge. Solar projects generally have minimal solid waste, apart from some packing materials involved with the delivery of components to the site.
- Q. Go to page 78 of the Application, please. I'd like you to look at the second paragraph on that page. The second sentence and the third sentence of that paragraph state "The Project Area includes a limited number of non-residential, farm-related structures or partial structures that are old and in relatively poor condition. In consultation with the participating land owners, one or more of these

additional structures may be removed to facilitate
the Project and put the land to more productive use."
Do you see those sentences?

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. So it's possible then that there may be some buildings that are torn down as part of the construction of this Project?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And there's no estimate of the quantities of the waste that would be produced by that demolition if it occurs in this Application?
- A. There are not, but it will be relatively minor. It's a few barns and a small house.
 - O. A few barns and what?
 - A. A small -- potentially a small house, a residential structure.
 - Q. You think the amount of waste coming from tearing those structures down is going to be minor?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. Go to page 83 of the Application. I'd like to refer you to the first partial paragraph on the top of the page.
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. The sentence says -- that part of the paragraph states "The analysis also illustrates why

majority of areas even within two miles of the

Project Area. Rather, due to the extremely low

profile of the solar panels and the significant

amount of vegetation in the area, the number of

locations from which the equipment may be visible is

relatively few; the vast majority of these locations

are very close to the equipment."

Now, within a half mile of the Project

Area, how much of the -- what percentage of those

areas -- let me start over with the question.

The area one half mile outside of the Project Area, from what percentage of that area will the occupants be able to see the solar equipment?

- A. Could you repeat the question?
- Q. Yeah.

2.1

From what percentage of the area, within one half mile of the Project, will the occupants be able to see the equipment in the Project Area?

A. Yeah, let me -- let me refer to the visual study. I think Matthew Robinson might be able to better address this tomorrow, but I certainly can take a look.

THE WITNESS: I'm in Exhibit I of the $\label{eq:policy} \mbox{Application.}$

ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.

- Q. If it helps you, you can take a look at page 23 of Exhibit I of the Application.
 - A. Yes, I'm there.

2.1

So here referring to the DSM viewshed visibility within a half a mile, up to 82 percent of that area, I'm approximating, would have potential visibility of the Solar Project.

I'd note that the majority of that area is open fields, in some cases woodlots. That's not referring to occupants unless someone were to be occupying that area.

- Q. What percentage of the occupants, in the area within a half mile of the Project, will be able to see the solar panels?
- A. I would have to refer to maps. Again, this solar panel viewshed analysis does not take into account the mitigation measures that we will be employing under the Stipulation and in the Application.
- Q. Keep your finger on the page in Exhibit I of the Application and then go down to the paragraph on page 83 of the Application that is below the heading of 4906-4-08(D)(1). Do you see that paragraph?

A. I see that.

2.1

Q. The first sentence says "Applicant has provided the required information, although the Project will not be visible or audible beyond a 2-mile perimeter."

Now, with regard to the statement in this sentence that the Project will not be visible beyond a 2-mile perimeter; based on the information in Exhibit I, that's not a true statement, is it?

A. I'd like to quickly refer to Figure 18 that's also referenced in that paragraph that you just referred to.

Can you please repeat the question just so I am sure?

Q. Yeah.

The statement in the first sentence in the paragraph on page 83 that we've been discussing, insofar as it states that the Project will not be visible beyond a 2-mile perimeter, is inaccurate; is that right?

A. When viewing those two points in the Application and in this table, that would appear to be -- that statement and this number would appear to disagree, but I would really have to refer you to our visual expert to explain why. Beyond 2 miles, the

visibility of the solar panels will certainly -- why, past 2 miles, seeing the solar panels would be very unlikely.

- Q. Well, according to the information on page 23 of Exhibit I to the Application, a person would be able to see the Project equipment from 7.52 percent of the area between 2 and 5 miles away; isn't that correct?
- A. That's what the number there says for sure but, again, I'm not a visual expert and cannot speak exactly to the methodology here and what that number means.
- Q. Go to page 88 of the Application. I'd like to ask you some questions about the second paragraph on that page.
 - A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. I'd like you to go down to a sentence that starts on the fifth line of that paragraph, and that sentence reads as follows: "The overall visual effect from the Project could be considered adverse only when largely unscreened and viewed in the immediate foreground (i.e., where the Project occurs in an open field directly adjacent to a public road)." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. Yes, I do.

- Q. Now, when this sentence refers to a portion of the Project that's largely unscreened, what does that mean?
- A. To me that means when the Project is not otherwise obscured by mitigation methods like planting of vegetation or naturally by existing structures or existing vegetation being left in place by the Project.
- Q. And the sentence also says that the "visual effect from the Project could be considered adverse only when largely unscreened and viewed in the immediate foreground (i.e., where the Project occurs in an open field directly adjacent to a public road)." Go back to Figure 7, Sheet 1 of the Application.
 - A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. All right. Now, with reference to the statement about the Project occurring in an open field directly adjacent to a public road, how much of the Project Area will be adjacent -- will be in an open field adjacent to a public road based on Figure 7, Sheet 1, and Figure 7, Sheet 2?
- A. I don't know that we have those measurements in the Application. I would need to check if that's a number you're looking for right

now.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Well, Figure 7 does show fields of solar panels that are in open fields adjacent to public roads; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. In fact, that's rather prevalent throughout the Project Area; isn't that right?
- A. The Solar Project certainly has some areas touching public roads. I'd estimate maybe half of the frontage of the Project or less.
- Q. Please go to Joint Exhibit 1 which is the Joint Stipulation and Recommendations.
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. All right. There are a number of plans and other submittals that the recommended conditions, starting on page 6 of this exhibit, require the Applicant to provide to the Power Siting Board Staff, and I'd like to go over those with you in the next few minutes.
- So let's start with Condition No. 3 on page 6 of the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation. This refers to the detailed engineering drawings of the final Project design. Those have not been prepared at this point in time, correct?
- A. No, they have not.

Q. Go to page 7 of the Stipulation,
Condition 9, the Phase I cultural resources survey
program. That has not yet been prepared; is that
correct?

- A. At this point we have prepared the work plan for that survey. That's a seasonal survey. That typically requires later stage design, so we've not proceeded with the survey at this point.
- Q. Has any of that been submitted to the Staff?
- A. No. That was -- the work plan was just completed and I don't believe it's required to be submitted to the Staff.
- Q. And then looking at Condition 9, the sixth line of Condition 9 refers to a modification or a mitigation plan. That has not yet been prepared, correct?
- A. No. That would require the survey to have been done.
- Q. Condition 11 on page 7 of the Stipulation refers to a landscape and lighting plan. Has that been prepared?
- A. The landscape plan is underway. It's not complete.
- Q. Has it been submitted to the Staff?

A. No, because it's currently -- it's underway now.

2.1

- Q. What about the lighting plan, has that been prepared?
- A. The lighting plan has not been prepared. That requires later stage design and engineering.
 - Q. And why is that the case?
- A. It requires final location of certain Project components.
- Q. According to the Application, the lights will be installed only on certain equipment in the Project, right?
- A. I have to check the Application, but I believe that's the case.
- Q. And you don't yet know where that equipment is going to be located, so you can't put -- you can't design a lighting plan to accommodate that.
- A. We've indicated that the Project -- that we haven't reached final design on the Project yet, so we don't have that plan.
- Q. Okay. Condition 12, on page 7 of the Stipulation, refers to a public information program. Has that been prepared?
- A. It has not.
- Q. On page 8 of the Stipulation,

Condition 13 refers to the complaint resolution process. Has that been prepared?

2.1

- A. No, that complaint resolution process has not yet been prepared.
- Q. Condition 15 refers to a complaint summary report that would be due during construction and operation of the facility, correct?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Does Angelina Solar intend to provide the public with access to the complaint summary reports by placing it on its website or any other means?
 - A. Angelina does not intend to do that.
- Q. On page 9 of the Stipulation,
 Condition 18 refers to a vegetation management plan.
 Has that been prepared?
- A. No, that has not been prepared. That would require finalizing the landscaping plan and final design of the Project.
- Q. Condition 19, on page 9 of the
 Stipulation, refers to coordination with the Ohio
 Department of Natural Resources and the U.S. Fish and
 Wildlife Service with regard to the timing of
 removing trees, three inches or greater in diameter,
 to avoid impacts to Indiana bats and northern
 long-eared bat. Do you see that provision?

A. Yes, I do.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Has any of that consultation with ODNR or U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service occurred at this point in time?
- A. We have consulted with ODNR and U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, not specifically on construction like removing trees, but we have consulted with them and that's where we got this information.
- Q. Okay. So, at this point in time, you have not had discussions with these agencies about the timing, the seasonal timing, in which the trees may be removed?
- A. The seasonal timing, I believe, was a recommendation in the consultation letter.
- Q. Go to page 10 of the Stipulation, page 22. This condition refers to a construction access plan. Has that plan been prepared?
- A. That plan has not been prepared. We've laid the groundwork for it with our wetland delineation and habitat assessment of the area.
- Q. Condition 23, on the same page of the Stipulation, refers to a frac-out contingency plan. Has that plan been prepared?
 - A. Let me check the Application quickly.

Q. Yup.

2.1

- 2 A. Yes, it has.
 - Q. Okay. And that was included in the Application?
 - A. Yes, it was.
 - Q. In Condition 24, on page 10 of the Stipulation, it states "The Applicant shall minimize, to the extent practicable, the clearing of wooded areas..." Do you have an understanding as to the meaning of the words "to the extent practicable" as used in that sentence?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. What's your understanding?
 - A. That would typically -- as is practical for the construction and connection of the Project specifically with regard to collection corridors. If we're unable to connect another way, then we may need to clear trees to make a connection. In this case we've asked for the ability to clear .07 acres of trees for that purpose because it couldn't be avoided.
 - Q. And Condition 25, on page 10 of the Stipulation, there's a reference to a final traffic plan. Has that plan been prepared?
- 25 A. That plan has not yet been prepared.

Aspects of it are underway though.

- Q. In Condition 26, there's a reference to a transportation management plan. Is that the same as the final traffic plan referenced in Condition 25?
- A. I believe those plans are related but separate.
- Q. Condition 26 also refers to a road use agreement. Has that agreement been prepared?
 - A. That agreement has been prepared.
 - Q. It has been?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- Q. Has it been submitted as part of the Application?
 - A. No. Work on that has been more recent.
- Q. Go to page 11 of the Stipulation.
- 16 There's a reference in Condition 28 on that page that
- 17 states that "At least one in-service emergency
- 18 training shall be conducted prior to commencement of
- 19 construction." Then, two sentences later in the
- 20 Condition 28, it states that "In addition, safety
- 21 meetings shall be held with emergency service
- 22 personnel on an ongoing basis." Do you see those
- 23 provisions?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. Now, is there a difference between

the content of the emergency training that will be provided prior to the commencement of construction and the content of the information that will be provided during the safety meetings after that time?

2.1

- A. I believe those -- the -- they would be different, I believe. One would be more training and one would be kind of a periodic refresher of that training; more of a safety meeting.
- Q. Have you had any discussions with local fire or emergency service officials in Preble County about the amount of turnover that they experience among their personnel?
- A. I have met with members of emergency services and the Director of Emergency Services and there was no specific mention from them for any concerns about turnover.
- Q. Well, in the event that new personnel are hired by the fire department or EMS providers after the emergency training has been concluded, is there anything that you see in this condition that would require you to provide that same training to new employees?
- A. Whether it's volunteer or otherwise,
 there's always turnover. I don't -- I believe, from
 my experience, that safety meetings would be adequate

as the way -- in the way I've described them as kind of a refresher.

Any department is going to constantly be training their members and the Director will certainly keep -- the Director of Emergency Response will certainly keep a record and add to how they respond, in their general response plans, how to respond to any incident at the Solar Project; so yeah, I think we're covered here.

- Q. Has Angelina Solar prepared an emergency management plan for its facility?
 - A. We have not at this point.
 - Q. Does Angelina have plans to do so?
- A. I'd like to quickly refer to the Application. I believe the answer is yes.
- Q. I'm sorry, I couldn't hear what you said.
 - A. I'd like to quickly refer to the Application.
 - O. Yeah, sure.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, could I have
that question reread, please, while we're waiting,
with your permission?

23 ALJ SCHABO: Would you read that back, 24 please?

25 (Record read.)

MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, can we go off the record?

ALJ SCHABO: We may.

2.1

(Discussion off the record.)

ALJ SCHABO: We'll go back on.

- A. The answer to your question can be found on page 55. In the second paragraph it says "Prior to construction, Applicant will develop an emergency response plan for fire with potentially affected local officials and emergency personnel. These will include personnel specialized in engineering, law enforcement, fire, medical and ambulance. The emergency response plan will include information on the type and location of equipment, potential hazards (including potential hazards to emergency responders), locations of access gates, and emergency contact information."
- Q. All right. Go back to the Joint Stipulation, page 11, Condition 29. This Condition refers to a comprehensive decommissioning plan. Has that plan been submitted -- has that plan been prepared?
- A. That plan has not been prepared, but it is -- but it is described in detail in the Application.

1 MR. VAN KLEY: All right. I have no 2 further questions at this time.

ALJ SCHABO: Redirect?

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor, I do.

3

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

18

6

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Settineri:

- Q. Mr. Herling, there was some questions, a series of questions and answers regarding emergency medical services and Condition 28 of the Stipulation.

 Do you recall those?
- 13 A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Do you have any experience, yourself, in emergency medical services?
 - A. Yes, I do. I used to be an EMT and EMTI and ran operations for a -- for the local volunteer EMS.
- Q. And regarding -- there was, I believe, a question and answer regarding making the complaint summaries available to the public, and I'm paraphrasing. Do you recall a couple questions and answers about that?
- 24 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Do you have any concerns if complaint

summaries are made public?

2.1

- A. We do have a concern that if a complaint summary was made public, that could discourage some people from making a complaint in the first place.
- Q. If you could turn to page 83 of the Application. There was some questions there about a statement on page 83 and that's the sentence that states "Applicant has provided the required information, although the Project will not be visible or audible beyond a 2-mile perimeter." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Am I correct the second sentence there states "As discussed above, locations outside of this two-mile area will not have visibility of the equipment"?
 - A. Can you say that again?
- Q. The second sentence states "As discussed above, locations outside of this two-mile area will not have visibility of the equipment," correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Okay. Would you expect someone to be able to discern the equipment from over 2 miles away by the naked eye?
- A. No. Based on the color, texture, and

- atmospheric effect, I would expect you would not be able to.
 - Q. And turning to page 16 of the Application. You were asked some questions about the list of items that the final design will address. Do you recall those questions?
 - A. Yes, I do.
 - Q. And that's at page 16 of the Application.

 Are you there?
 - A. I'm there.
- Q. Okay. Figure 2 then is referenced at that same page?
 - A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Can you tell me what Figure 2 represents and also as described in the Application?
- A. I'll just read directly from the Application, instead of trying to paraphrase, from the bottom of page 16, the second-to-last paragraph -- the last full paragraph.

"To illustrate the maximum possible impact of the Project from any vantage point in the general area, Figure 2 depicts potential solar array areas (i.e., the maximum extent of north-south running arrays using tracking technology with thin-film panels), the Substation, and representative

locations of collection lines, roads, pyranometers, and construction laydown yards (staging areas)."

- Q. And the exact locations of facility structures will be determined through final engineering?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. The fence line for the Project, though, is determined as set forth in the Application; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, generally.
- Q. You were asked some questions about the PILOT program, correct? Do you recall those?
 - A. Yes, yes.
 - Q. Just for the record, can you explain the PILOT payments and what those are?
- 16 A. Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

The PILOT payments take two forms.

There's a -- as part of the PILOT program there's the \$7,000 per megawatt figure that we reference in the Application and that's disbursed based on the actual location of the Project and that disbursement is based on the millage rates for those areas.

There's a potential additional \$2,000 amount that goes directly to the County General Fund,

but the other disbursement of the \$7,000 goes to the school district, the township, the General Fund, and one or two other jurisdictions I'm leaving out.

- Q. And do you have an estimate, of the \$7,000 payment, what the local school district would receive?
- A. We estimate it would be, depending on the final location of all megawatts in the Project, about 70 percent would go to the school district of the \$7,000 per megawatt.
- Q. So if the Project is constructed and the PILOT is approved, would you expect the school district to receive more in tax revenue than it does today?
 - A. Yes; about 11 times more.
- Q. You were asked many questions about driving posts. Do you have an estimate for how long it takes to actually drive a post into the ground?
- A. Driving the post itself would typically take under a minute. Most of the time it's just relocating between posts, relocating the machinery.
- Q. Do you recall a question about commercially reasonable efforts to repair drainage?

 Do you recall those questions?
- A. Yes, I do.

2.1

- Q. Is there a condition in the Stipulation that addresses drainage?
 - A. Yes. Condition 16 addresses drainage.
- Q. Were you involved in the negotiation of the Stipulation?
 - A. Yes, I was.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- Q. Regarding Condition 16, does that require damaged field tile systems to be repaired?
- A. Yes. If you go about three-quarters of the way down, Condition 16, on page 8 of the Stipulation, reads: "Damaged field tile systems shall be promptly repaired no later than 30 days after such damage is discovered, and be returned to at least original conditions or the modern equivalent at the Applicant's expense."
- Q. And that sentence does not include a commercially reasonable standard, does it?
 - A. No, it doesn't.
- MR. SETTINERI: No further questions,
 20 Your Honor. Thank you.
- 21 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you.
- First of all, I apologize that I didn't offer anybody else a chance to cross.
- 24 Anybody?
- 25 Okay.

Second, Mr. Van Kley, did you have any recross?

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Proceed.

_

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

2.1

- Q. With regard to your belief that some people might be discouraged from complaining if their complaints were made public, isn't it true that it's a common practice for people, who are complaining about projects regulated by a government agency, to make those complaints anonymously?
- A. I don't know -- I don't know that that's the case. I know that if you want to make a comment on these projects, you cannot do so anonymously; so I imagine it's similar for a complaint.
- Q. Well, what makes you think that a person cannot complain anonymously about an issue concerning the Solar Project here?
- A. Because that would make it difficult to follow up and address that complaint.
- Q. Well, is there anything that would prevent somebody from making a telephone call to Angelina Solar to make a complaint? You can't force

them to identify themselves, could you?

2.1

2.2

- A. I guess we can't force someone to identify themselves, but that certainly makes it harder if we have follow-up questions about what that complaint was in the first place.
- Q. With regard to your statement concerning page 83 of the Application. Exhibit I states that the Project will be visible within more than 7 percent of the Project Area, between 2 and 5 miles away, correct?
- A. Yes, Exhibit I, the DSM model, those results do state that.
- Q. Go to page 16 of the Application. You were asked about Figure 2. Figure 2 depicts potential solar array areas and other equipment as opposed to the actual locations, correct?
- A. Yes, that's correct, but the acreage impacts are what we report and are asked for under this Application.
- Q. So Figure 2 does not commit Angelina Solar to placing specific equipment in specific identified locations within the Project Area.
- A. It portrays the maximum extent, but it's not committing us to place a road where it shows a road, but the layout is used to quantify the maximum

impact of the Project.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- In fact, Figure 2 doesn't even commit Q. Angelina Solar to using a north-south array for the panels, correct?
- No, no, it does not, but a north-south array, versus an east-west array, will take up a similar amount of space and will still fall within the maximum permitted footprint.
- Go back to Condition 16 of the Joint Ο. Stipulation. You were asked about the sentence that states that damaged field tile systems shall be promptly repaired no later than 30 days after such damage is discovered. Is it your understanding that the period of "no later than 30 days" defines what a prompt repair would be?
- 16 No. I see "promptly" and "30 days" being Α. different there.
- 18 MR. VAN KLEY: Okay. No further 19 questions.
- 20 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri?
- 2.1 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 22 No further questions.
- 23 ALJ SCHABO: All right. You may step
- 24 down.
- 25 THE WITNESS: Thank you.

1 ALJ SCHABO: Are we ready for our next 2 witness? 3 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, Your Honor. At this time we would like to move, though, into the record 4 5 and evidence, Company Exhibit 1, Company Exhibit 1C, 6 Company Exhibit 2C, Company Exhibit 3, Company 7 Exhibit 4, Company Exhibit 5, Company Exhibit 6, Mr. Herling's Direct Testimony, Company Exhibit 7, 8 9 his Supplemental Testimony, as well as Joint 10 Exhibit 1, the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation 11 that's been marked. 12 ALJ SCHABO: Are there any objections to 13 the admission of any of those exhibits? 14 MR. VAN KLEY: We object to the extent we 15 objected in our motion to strike but, otherwise, we 16 don't object. 17 ALJ SCHABO: Anybody else? 18 All right. Subject to the motions to 19 strike, none of which were granted, the exhibits will 20 be admitted. 2.1 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, I don't know 22 if there was an objection to those coming into the 23 record, but we would just simply note that the motion 24 to strike was fully vetted and discussed, as well as 25 the Concerned Citizens, Mr. Van Kley's clients, have

```
136
 1
     had the full opportunity to cross Mr. Herling today,
 2.
     and he responded to almost every question asked.
                 ALJ SCHABO: Yes.
 3
                 They'll be admitted.
 4
 5
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 6
                 ALJ SCHABO: We have Mr. Waterhouse or
     Mr. Bonifas next?
 7
                 MR. SETTINERI: Mr. Waterhouse. We'd
 8
 9
     like to call Noah Waterhouse to the stand, please.
10
                 ALJ SCHABO: Good afternoon.
11
                 (Witness sworn.)
12
                 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you. Have a seat.
13
                 State your name and your business address
14
     for the record, please.
15
                 THE WITNESS: Noah Waterhouse.
                                                  10025
    Valley View Road, Suite 140, Eden Prairie, Minnesota
16
17
     55344.
18
                 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time,
19
     I'd like to mark two exhibits. Company Exhibit 8,
20
     we'd like to mark the Direct Testimony of Noah
2.1
     Waterhouse.
2.2
                 ALJ SCHABO: So marked.
23
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: Company Exhibit 9, the
     Supplemental Direct Testimony of Noah Waterhouse.
25
```

1 Α. I am. 2 And do you have any changes or revisions Q. 3 to your testimony at this time? Α. 4 No. 5 Q. And if I was to ask you the questions in 6 Company Exhibit 8, would your answers be the same 7 today? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. And if I was to ask you the questions in 10 Company Exhibit 9 today, would your answers be the 11 same? 12 Α. Yes. 13 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you. 14 Your Honor, at this time, the witness is 15 available for cross-examination. 16 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley. 17 MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Van Kley:

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

Q. Mr. Waterhouse, I'd like to go over a few things in your Direct Testimony, starting on page 2, Answer 5. In Answer 5, you refer to a Drain Tile Assessment that your firm will complete on behalf of the Applicant. Has that assessment been prepared?

- A. The beginning of that assessment has been prepared.
 - O. It's not finished?

2.1

2.2

A. So the full assessment requires fieldwork, but the beginning stages of a Drain Tile Assessment includes desktop analysis, coordination with landowners, and making a site visit.

All of those aspects of the Drain Tile
Assessment have been completed and the next step
would be to take that information and determine what
additional levels of exploration are required in the
field. That field exploration has not yet been
completed.

- Q. Has any part of your Drain Tile

 Assessment, to the extent that it is complete, been submitted to the Staff of the Board?
- A. I'm not aware of what has been submitted. I've provided the information, that I've prepared, to the Applicant.
- Q. With respect to the consultation that you have done with landowners, have you done any such consultation with landowners who are not participating in the Solar Project?
- A. We have not yet done that. We have done investigation with landowners within the Project Area

at this point. Moving forward, the additional investigation will be dependent upon the further steps that we intend to take based on what the information is that we've gathered so far.

2.1

- Q. In cases where you find that there may be tiles that originate in the Project Area but also flow across lands owned by nonparticipating landowners, do you have plans to consult with those landowners?
- A. It depends on if you mean upstream or downstream. Our primary concern is to make sure that any drain tile that originates on an adjacent property and flows onto the Project property remain functional so the upstream tiles would be not affected. In those cases we would want to know as much information as possible about the location of those tiles and we would intend to consult with those landowners to find out what information they can give us.
- Q. All right. With respect to the nonparticipating landowners who are downgradient from the Project Area, you don't have any plans to consult with them in your study?
- A. I can't say that we absolutely wouldn't.

 It would depend on what the site-specific conditions

are and what we find from the rest of our Drain Tile
Assessment, but typically that is a lower priority
than the upstream adjacent landowners.

Q. Are you aware that in the farming community in Preble County that it is a common practice for landowners, whose land is drained by a common tile, to consult with each other with respect to any tile repairs or replacements that may be necessary?

MR. SETTINERI: I just object. Lack of foundation as to the practice. The question is premised as that practice does exist. Maybe it can be rephrased.

MR. VAN KLEY: No, I asked him whether he was aware that it does exist.

MR. SETTINERI: My objection stands.

17 ALJ SCHABO: I understand your objection.

18 | We'll give some leeway here.

19 You can answer the question.

THE WITNESS: Could you restate the

21 question?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

MR. VAN KLEY: Yeah. I'll slightly revise it.

Q. (By Mr. Van Kley) Do you know whether
there is a common practice, in the area of Preble

County near the Project Area, for farmers, whose land is traversed by common tiles, to consult with each other prior to repairing or replacing any portions of those tiles?

- A. I don't have any information specifically about landowner interactions with each other.
- Q. Let's go to Answer 9, starting on page 3 of your testimony. The sentence that starts at the bottom of that page and goes to the next page reads as follows: "The construction period for the Project should be long enough for an ample number of rain events to reveal any locations in which tile was damaged but not immediately discovered and repaired." Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Do you know whether the construction period for this Project will include the summer season?
- A. I don't know the exact schedule, but based on similar projects, similar size, I would expect that the duration would be significantly long enough to include at least one spring and summer season.
- Q. Okay. And if the summer is a dry one, it may take longer for rain events to reveal locations

where tiles were damaged; is that correct?

2.1

- A. What do you mean specifically by "dry"? Where there's no rain at all?
- Q. Well, where there's no rain at all or minimal rain.
 - A. I'm sorry, what was the question exactly?
- Q. If the construction period includes a time period where there is little or no rain, you would not expect tile damage to be readily apparent during those periods; isn't that correct?
- A. The evidence that we look for, for tile damage, does rely on some amount of rainfall, but I wouldn't expect there to be such an extended period of no rainfall, during a project of this size, that we wouldn't be able to see some evidence of that damaged tile.
- Q. And what kind of evidence would you look for?
- A. Typically we're looking for either water flowing out of the ground where there isn't supposed to be, or water ponding where we would expect it to be going into the ground based on our understanding of the drain tile network.
- Q. Are you aware of instances in which drain tiles are damaged but the damage is not extensive

enough to prevent the tile from moving water underground?

2.1

2.2

- A. I'm not aware of any specific instances of that in my experience, but I do imagine that that is possible.
- Q. In fact, it's possible that a drain tile can have a hole placed into it that allows silt to wash into the tile without the tile immediately backing up; isn't that true?
- A. I think that does occur with drain tile regularly.
- Q. So, in instances where a tile may be damaged and may allow silt to get into the tile, depending on how much silt gets into the tile right away, it may be some time period before that tile fills up with silt and stops allowing the water to flow through the tile; isn't that correct?
- A. Hypothetically speaking, I guess that is possible.
- Q. In fact, isn't it true that sometimes tile damage is not detected for up to a year after it occurs?
 - A. I've not had that experience.
- Q. Okay. Did you, by chance, review the filed Direct Testimony of the Preble County Engineer

in this case?

2.1

- A. I did not.
- Q. Let's go to Answer 8 in your Supplemental Direct Testimony.

Before we go to that answer, let me ask you another question about tile drainage. You've -- have you obtained any tile maps from any local government officials in Preble County?

- A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. Now, have those maps identified all of the tiles that are included in the Project Area?
- A. It's -- we've gotten two sources -- three sources of drain tile maps that we've received so far from landowners, from the County Engineer, and from the Preble Soil District.

Those are the extent of -- to the extent that we would guarantee that's every tile that exists in the Project Area, that's not realistic for us to say that's every tile. That's why we -- that's why we continue on with our Drain Tile Assessment beyond the mapping that we've received to date, utilizing all of the other factors included in my testimony that are methods we use to look for existing drain tile.

- Q. Do you have any background in farming?
- A. I have not been employed as a farmer if that's what you mean. I have been around farming.

 To what extent you mean "background," I have
- 5 knowledge of farming. I've not been a farmer myself.
 - Q. Did you grow up on a farm?
 - A. I did not.

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

2.1

22

23

- Q. Have you ever been to a farm?
 - A. I have been to many farms.
 - Q. Have you lived on a farm?
- A. I have not lived on a farm.
- 12 Q. You've never cultivated a field?
- A. On small scales, yes, I have cultivated fields.
- Q. Okay. Is that just gardening?
- 16 A. Yes.
- Q. Have you -- now, do you -- do you personally repair tile?
- A. I do not personally repair tile. I have witnessed it done in the field.
 - Q. So based on your answers to my questions about your farming experience, you would not know whether a farm crop would be damaged or killed if it was standing in water for more than 24 to 48 hours?
- A. I wouldn't be able to tell you the exact

number. Living in an agricultural community, I've observed crop damage from standing water most seasons, but I wouldn't be able to tell you the exact number of hours or days.

- Q. All right. Now, let's go back to your Supplemental Testimony, Answer 8. I'm sorry, I'm going to ask you about Answer 7 which starts on page 2 of your Supplemental Testimony and goes into page 3. The last paragraph, that starts on page 2 and flows over into page 3, talks about a Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan, correct?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And that plan is also known by the acronym "SWPPP"?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In fact, you use that acronym here in your testimony, correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, the SWPPP, as you indicate, is a requirement of the Ohio EPA construction stormwater general permit that the Project will require, right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Angelina Solar's application for coverage under this permit has not yet been submitted; is that right?

A. That's correct.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And at the time that the application is submitted to Ohio EPA, it's your understanding that the SWPPP has to be prepared by that time, correct?
- A. Yes, that's correct. At the time that you apply for coverage under that permit, a SWPPP has to be prepared.
- Q. And that SWPPP is a document that has to be posted at the construction site for Ohio EPA inspectors to review?
- A. That is correct.
- Q. Now, what is the contents of a typical SWPPP?
- A. It's a combination of narrative, design plans, and exhibits, with the general purpose of describing and detailing how the contractor is going to avoid releasing sedimentation, sediment, and erosion control from the Project site.
- Q. And the SWPPP would include drawings depicting any structures that will be used to reduce the erosion of dirt from the construction site?
- A. Yes. It would have details on all best management practices used to prevent that sedimentation.
- Q. And those best management practices could

include silt fences, for example?

A. It could.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. It also could include retention basins?
- A. It could.
- Q. And the purpose of those best management practices would be to trap dirt that otherwise would run into surface waters?
- A. Yes. It's to maintain soil stability and keep any sediment from leaving the construction site.
- Q. And the SWPPP would include data concerning the Project that would be used to design these BMPs?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In order to prepare a SWPPP, it would be necessary to figure out how much surface water flow would be expected to leave the construction site?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- Q. Because, otherwise, you don't know how to size your BMPs, right?
- A. That's correct. As part of the calculations for designing and sizing BMPs, we would run some kind of hydrology and hydraulics model.
- Q. Has anything been done at this point in time to figure out whether the Angelina Solar Project will increase the flow of surface water into streams

in the area?

2.1

A. Yeah. Our visual inspection of the Project Area to confirm that most of the Project Area is currently used for cultivated farming, with the understanding that the ultimate project conditions will convert that bare farmland to vegetation, tells us that that change in land use will result in a reduction of stormwater runoff.

So even without doing calculations, we know that a typical project of this nature will ultimately see a reduction of runoff, not an increase, based on that change in land use.

- Q. But, during construction, the vegetation will not yet have been planted in the Project Area, correct?
- A. At some point in time, during construction, vegetation will be planted.
- Q. At what point in the construction will that occur?
- A. Well, it's phased throughout construction. Typically, when an area is done being constructed, then vegetation is planted. So there isn't really one specific point in time when vegetation is planted. It's a site-specific, project-specific process.

Q. So the solar panels would have to be installed prior to planting the field in which the solar panels are installed, correct?

2.1

- A. Not necessarily. It depends on -- again, it depends on the phasing, but there could be a scenario where the ground is done being disturbed by either work or pile driving and trenching and is ready to be stabilized and the modules might not have even been installed yet, and you could potentially be able to phase that in a way where you would put your seed down and any other sterilization and then put the modules in.
- Q. For the Angelina Solar Project, when would you expect grass to be planted or any other vegetation to be planted in the Project Area?
- A. That's a final design decision and so I don't know the answer to that for Angelina specifically.
- Q. The construction stormwater general permit is a water pollution discharge permit, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further questions.
- 24 ALJ SCHABO: Any other Intervenors have 25 cross?

MS. WEST: No, Your Honor.

MS. MILAM: No, Your Honor.

MS. BAIR: No.

ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Just a few questions.

- -

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Settineri:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. Mr. Waterhouse, you were asked a lot of questions about tile and answers about tile. When we talk about tile, what types of tile do we have here?
- A. We classify tiles into a main, which would be a tile that is more of a trunk that serves other drain tiles; then we refer to laterals or pattern tile and those would be tiles that would generally connect only to other mains or directly off-site to surface conditions.
 - Q. And are mains readily identifiable?
- A. They are easier to identify than laterals, yes. Typically they're readily identifiable.
- Q. In your experience, do landowners know where the mains are located on their properties?
 - A. That is typically the case.
- Q. You were also asked some questions

about -- a series of questions about different things that relied on your experience, such as questions about planting, your background, questions about farming. Let me ask you this: Specific to Ohio, do you have experience with solar projects in Ohio, besides Angelina?

2.1

- A. I do. I have worked on two projects.

 One was the Bowling Green Solar Project, a

 20-megawatt project near Bowling Green, where I was
 the engineer of record, and that had an extensive
 network of drain tile on it. Another project was a

 100-plus megawatt project, that I can't disclose the
 name of, but is in Ohio.
- Q. And I think in one of your answers you used the phrase that you worked on similar projects of similar size. Have you worked on projects, outside of Ohio, that are similar to this Project in size?
- A. Yup. I've worked on more than 15 projects that are greater than 50 megawatts; some at upwards of 250 megawatts outside of Ohio. I would say half of those have had drain tile networks.
- Q. And in what States, to the extent you can, what States were some of those projects located in?

A. Sure. I've worked on similar projects in Minnesota, South Dakota, Illinois, Georgia, Texas, and Nevada.

MR. SETTINERI: No further questions.

5 Thank you, Your Honor.

ALJ SCHABO: Any redirect -- I'm sorry,

recross?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

MR. VAN KLEY: Yes, Your Honor.

_

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

11 By Mr. Van Kley:

- Q. Sometimes lateral tiles will start in one person's land and go into another person's land; isn't that correct?
 - A. That is a possibility.
- Q. For these tile projects related to other solar facilities that you've worked on, how many of those projects have you worked on after the Project was constructed?
 - A. Can you define "worked on"?
- Q. Yeah. After -- my question is on how many projects, on how many solar projects have you worked on drainage tile issues where those solar projects were already constructed?
- A. I guess I'm not sure that I understood

the question, but I've had -- so every project that I
work on, if there was an issue after the Project, I
would still be required to provide my expertise.
I've had one project with a drain tile network where,
after construction was completed, we engaged in some
additional design effort specifically related to that
drain tile network.

Q. And what was the nature of the work that you did on that project?

2.1

- A. We provided consultation to the contractor and the owner to determine what was the likely source of some unexpected drainage conditions on that Project Area.
- Q. Other than that project, have you worked on drain tile tasks on any other operating solar facility?
- A. No, not at this point, we haven't had any projects with drain tile networks that have had any issues post-construction that required my services.
- Q. At least to the extent you were told, right? You don't -- you don't typically inspect those solar projects, after they're built, to determine whether drain tile issues have been detected.
- A. No, I do not provide O&M services on

156 1 projects. MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further 2 3 questions. ALJ SCHABO: One? 4 5 MR. SETTINERI: Just one follow-up. 6 7 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION 8 By Mr. Settineri: 9 Ο. You mentioned there was one project that 10 your services were enlisted post-construction, I 11 believe. Did you determine the source, whether the 12 source of the drainage issue was project related or 13 off site? 14 We determined that the source of the Α. 15 problem was off site. 16 And would it be your expectation that if Ο. 17 a project that you had worked on, meaning your 18 company had worked on, had a drainage issue, that 19 your company -- that the project owner would be 20 reaching out to your company to discuss that? 2.1 Α. Yes, that is --2.2 MR. VAN KLEY: Objection. 23

A. -- absolutely an expectation.

MR. VAN KLEY: Objection. It's

24

25

speculative. It calls for a speculative conclusion.

157 ALJ SCHABO: Can you read the question 1 2 again? 3 (Record read.) ALJ SCHABO: You may have answered but I 4 5 didn't hear you, and the objection is overruled, and 6 you can answer that. 7 Α. Yes, I would expect that they would reach 8 out to me. 9 Ο. And that's because they paid your company 10 for services? 11 That's correct. Α. 12 MR. SETTINERI: No further questions. 13 MR. VAN KLEY: Nothing further. 14 ALJ SCHABO: Okay. Mr. Settineri. 15 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, at this time, 16 we would move into the record, Company Exhibit 8, the 17 Direct Testimony of Noah Waterhouse, as well as 18 Company Exhibit 9, the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Noah Waterhouse. 19 20 ALJ SCHABO: Are there any objections? 2.1 MR. VAN KLEY: No, Your Honor. 2.2 MS. BAIR: No, Your Honor. 23 ALJ SCHABO: All right. Hearing none, 24 they will be admitted. 25 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

158 1 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Waterhouse, you may step 2 down. 3 Mr. Van Kley, what is your cross estimate on the third Company Witness, Mr. Bonifas? 4 5 MR. VAN KLEY: I'd say about a half hour. ALJ SCHABO: Okay. If we were to take a 6 7 15-minute break, does that give everybody time to make their flights, et cetera? 8 9 MR. SETTINERI: Mr. Bonifas, the good 10 news is, only has to drive up 315. 11 ALJ SCHABO: I'd might rather take a 12 flight at this point. 13 (Laughter all around.) 14 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go ahead and take a 15 15-minute break. Let's go off the record. 16 (Recess taken.) 17 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record. 18 Mr. Taylor. 19 MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 Applicant would like to call Mr. Mark Bonifas. 2.1 ALJ SCHABO: Good afternoon. Would you 22 raise your right hand. 23 (Witness sworn.) 24 ALJ SCHABO: Thank you. You may have a

seat. If you'd state your name and business address

159 for the record, please. 1 2 THE WITNESS: Mark Bonifas. 6397 Emerald 3 Parkway, Dublin, Ohio. MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, I'd like to 4 5 begin by marking a pair of exhibits. 6 ALJ SCHABO: Okay. 7 MR. TAYLOR: Company Exhibit 10 is the Direct Testimony of Mark Bonifas. 8 9 ALJ SCHABO: So marked. 10 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 11 MR. TAYLOR: And Company Exhibit 11 is 12 the Supplemental Direct Testimony of Mark Bonifas. 13 ALJ SCHABO: So marked. 14 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 15 16 MARK BONIFAS 17 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 18 19 DIRECT EXAMINATION 20 By Mr. Taylor: 2.1 Mr. Bonifas, could you please identify Ο. 22 what's been marked as Company Exhibit 10. 23 Α. It's my Direct Testimony. 24 And was that prepared by you or at your Ο. 25 direction?

- A. Yes.
- Q. And could you please identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 11, please.
 - A. It's my Supplemental Direct Testimony.
- Q. And was that also prepared by you or at your direction?
- A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And do you have any revisions or corrections to either Company Exhibit 10 or Company Exhibit 11?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. And if I asked you the questions in
 Company Exhibit 10 and Company Exhibit 11 today,
 would your answers be the same?
- 15 A. Yes.
- MR. TAYLOR: Your Honor, Mr. Bonifas is available for cross-examination.
- 18 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Van Kley.
- MR. VAN KLEY: Thank you, Your Honor.
- 20
- 21 CROSS-EXAMINATION
- 22 By Mr. Van Kley:
- Q. Mr. Bonifas, I want to ask you some questions related to Answer 7 of your Direct
- 25 Testimony which is Company Exhibit 10 and, in

conjunction with those questions, I'm going to refer you to information in Exhibit D of the Application which should be in front of you.

A. Okay.

2.1

2.2

Q. All right. Answer 7 on page 3 of your Direct Testimony states, in the first sentence, as follows: "It concluded that the roads and bridges generally were in good condition, that they are of a type and width sufficient to accommodate the deliveries for the construction of the Project, and that no major repairs or upgrades are needed for construction."

So I wanted to ask you some questions about the sizes of the roads in the area as well as the sizes of the loads in the area. So let's start on page 3 of Exhibit D of the Application. You tell me when you've found that page.

- A. I'm there.
- Q. All right. Now, Table 1 on page 3 of Exhibit D in the Application is labeled "Roadway Characteristics," right?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And these are characteristics that you found in the roads near the Project Area, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

- Q. There's a column that is labeled "Pavement Width" and that's in feet, correct?
 - A. Correct.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

- Q. And that column identifies how wide the roads in the Project Area are, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And the width of those roads ranges between 13-feet and 17-feet wide, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Now, are you aware that in the course of constructing this Project that some of the equipment for the construction will be oversize?
 - A. I am.
- Q. And your report, in fact, makes some references to oversize deliveries, right?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, how did you define, for purposes of your report, what would be considered to be oversize?
- A. An oversize vehicle is a vehicle that does not meet the legal dimensions established by ODOT. Legal dimensions being a width of 8-1/2 feet, a height of 13-1/2 feet, and a length of 85 feet.
- Q. What are the dimensions of the oversize equipment that will be used in this Project?
- A. I don't have that information. That

would be determined in final design or after final design and when the transportation plan is put together.

2.1

- Q. Have you had any experience with other solar projects in which you have determined the width of the equipment used for construction of those projects?
- A. We have constructed other solar projects or I've been involved in projects that have been constructed, but each project is different.
- Q. Okay. What were the approximate widths of the equipment brought in for the other projects for which you were involved?
- A. I don't recall the exact dimensions. They would have been oversize, meaning they would have been something larger than 8-1/2 feet, but typically, you know, something you would normally see on an oversize load going down the highway on any kind of escorted vehicle.
- Q. Based on the width of the roads that are listed in Table 1 on page 3 of the Application,

 Exhibit D, do you expect that there will be oversize equipment using these roads that will take up more than half of the width of these roads?
 - A. Well, as an example, by definition if

- it's an oversize load, it would be larger than

 8-1/2 feet. So if you look at State Line Road, a

 portion of that road is 15-feet wide, so the answer

 would be yes.
- Q. And the portion of Campbell Road, listed in Table 1, is 13-feet wide, right?
 - A. Correct.
 - Q. So the same would be true of that road?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if the road is 17-feet wide, as shown for four of these roads, any equipment that has an oversize width would be wider than the midway point of the road, right?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Go to page 7 of Exhibit D of the Application.
- 17 A. Okay.

7

8

9

14

2.2

- Q. In the first paragraph of Section 3.1 on that page it is stated in the last line that there may be an estimated 1,700 to 1,800 vehicles for the Project. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Now, not all of those are going to be oversize, right?
- 25 A. That's correct.

Q. Okay.

2.1

- A. The oversize loads for a solar project would typically be a very small percentage of the total vehicles.
- Q. Have you calculated the percentage of total vehicles that will be -- that will constitute oversize loads?
- A. No. That would be dependent upon final design.
- Q. Have you determined the time of the day that these vehicles will be using the roads in the Project Area?
- A. That wasn't part of the study. That would be determined, you know, prior to construction.
- Q. So you don't know whether the presence of construction vehicles or vehicles that deliver equipment for the construction will be using the roads during the busiest times, the busiest traffic times of the day?
 - A. What are the busiest traffic times?
- Q. Well, assuming for purposes of this question, rush hour during the morning and rush hour during the evening.
- A. It's possible. A typical -- again, the construction schedule hasn't been defined for this

Project, but I would imagine a typical schedule for construction, a workday would be 7:00 a.m. to 4:00 or 5:00 in the afternoon.

- Q. Do you know what time equipment deliveries typically occur for a solar project?
- A. That would be dependent upon final design and the transportation plan.
 - Q. Now, you're aware this is a farming community --
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. -- located near the Project Area?
- 12 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

- Q. All right. And you're aware that in a farming community it is common for the farmers to use the public roads to move their equipment from field to field?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. And you're aware that during planting and harvesting seasons there's more farm equipment moved than occurs during the rest of the year?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And are you aware that the farming equipment itself sometimes is oversize as you've defined that term?
- 25 A. In some cases, yes.

Q. So, in some cases, there's not going to be enough room on these roads for an oversize load of construction materials to pass by farm equipment at the same location going opposite directions, right?

2.1

A. When an oversize load -- first of all, an oversize load would need to get a permit, through ODOT, to transport that load and that permit would require there to be a route evaluated for that, a specified route. The oversize loads, depending on the size, would need to have escort vehicles and potentially other means of traffic control like flagging.

So if an oversize load, for the Project, were to encounter a piece of farm equipment at the same time, that should be avoided by the traffic plan, the escort vehicle, and the flagging.

- Q. How could it be avoided by the traffic plan?
- A. First of all, when they're moving an oversize load down the road, they're going to have a flagger go ahead and make sure the road is clear and they'll go to the next intersection and they'll hold traffic up until that vehicle gets to that point and then they'll leapfrog to the next intersection.
 - Q. So if a farmer needs to move planting

equipment, for example, on a road and the flagger has already shut down that road to traffic to allow an oversize load of construction materials to use that road, then the farmer would have to wait for the construction equipment to come through that segment of the road.

- A. That's correct, but it would typically be a very short duration. It's just the time to move the truck down the road.
- Q. The traffic plan for the Angelina Project has not yet been prepared; is that correct?
 - A. That's correct.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. VAN KLEY: I have no further questions.

15 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Taylor, any redirect?

MR. SETTINERI: If we can have a moment?

ALJ SCHABO: Of course.

(Pause in proceedings.)

MR. TAYLOR: No redirect, Your Honor.

ALJ SCHABO: Okay.

Your exhibits.

MR. TAYLOR: Thank you, Your Honor. We would move that Company Exhibit 10 and Company Exhibit 11 be admitted into the record.

ALJ SCHABO: Any objections?

```
169
 1
                 MR. VAN KLEY: No, Your Honor.
 2
                 MS. BAIR: No, Your Honor.
 3
                 MS. WEST: No, Your Honor.
 4
                 ALJ SCHABO: Hearing none, they will be
 5
     admitted.
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 6
 7
                 ALJ SCHABO: Mr. Bonifas, thank you. You
 8
     may step down.
 9
                 Let's go off the record for a moment.
                 (Discussion off the record.)
10
11
                 ALJ SCHABO: Let's go back on the record
12
     real quick.
13
                 That is it for today. We will see
14
     everybody at 9:00 a.m.
15
                  (Thereupon, the proceedings concluded at
16
     4:57 p.m.)
17
18
19
20
2.1
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Wednesday, July 31, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter, and Notary Public in and for the State of Ohio.

My commission expires July 17, 2023.



Armstrong & Okey, Inc., Columbus, Ohio (614) 224-9481

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/14/2019 11:04:12 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-1579-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript Volume I - In the Matter of the Application of Angelina Solar I, LLC for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need, hearing held on July 31st, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Burke, Carolyn