

May 21, 2019

FILE

Ms. Barcy F. McNeal
Docketing Division
The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215-3793

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Ms. McNeal:

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-2-04(A)(3) and OAC Chapter 4906-6, American Transmission Systems, Incorporated ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, transmits one (1) original and eleven (11) copies of the enclosed Letter of Notification application for the above captioned Project.

In this Project, ATSI proposes the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet, or approximately 62%. At the completion of the Project, the new total area of the substation will be approximately 139,000 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

Pursuant to OAC Rule 4906-2-04(A)(3), please be advised of the following:

a) Name and address of the applicant:

American Transmission Systems, Incorporated
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308

b) Name and location of proposed facilities:

Darrow Substation Expansion Project.

The Project is located in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

PUCO**2019 MAY 22 AM 10:59****RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV**

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
Technician DMm Date Processed 05/22/19

c) Applicant's representative:

Nataliya Bryksenkova, Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company
76 South Main Street
Akron, OH 44308-1890

d) Due to revisions in the outage schedule, construction on this Project is expected to begin as early as August 26, 2019, not July 15, 2019, as it was stated in the pre-application letter.

e) A notarized statement that the information contained in the application is complete and accurate is provided as Attachment 1.

We have provided a copy of the Letter of Notification application by certified mail, with return receipt requested, to each official of the political subdivisions immediately affected by the proposed Project listed in Exhibit 1. Copies of the transmittal letters addressed to the local government representatives of the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio are enclosed for your file. Copy of the transmittal letters to the local library is also enclosed. A list of the property owners affected by the proposed Project and who will receive a letter describing this Project is attached as Exhibit 2. These materials are being provided as proof of compliance with OAC Rule 4906-6-07.

After docketing this filing, please return one time-stamped copy of the Letter of Notification application for our records in the enclosed envelope. Should Staff of the Ohio Power Siting Board desire further information or discussion of this submittal, please contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachments

**Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case Number 19-0585-EL-BLN**

Date: May 21, 2019

**Attachment 1
Affidavit of Nataliya Bryksenkova**

BEFORE THE
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In The Matter Of:)
The Application of American Transmission)
Systems, Incorporated for a Certificate of)
Environmental Compatibility and Public)
Need for the Construction of the Darrow)
Substation Expansion Project)
)

Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

AFFIDAVIT OF NATALIYA BRYKSENKOVA

I, Nataliya Bryksenkova, state the following:

Pursuant to Ohio Administrative Code Rule 4906-2-04(A)(3)(e), I am the authorized representative of the Applicant in this case and I affirm that the Letter of Notification application filed in this matter is true and accurate to the best of my information and belief.



Nataliya Bryksenkova
FirstEnergy Service Company

State of Ohio)
County of Summit) ss:

Sworn and subscribed before me this 21st day of May 2019.



Ashlee Waite
Resident Summit County
Notary Public, State of Ohio
My Commission Expires:
June 19, 2019



Notary Public

EXHIBIT 1
Officials Served Copy of Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Summit County

The Honorable Ilene Shapiro
Summit County Executive
175 South Main St. 8th Floor
Akron, OH 44308

Mr. Alan Brubaker, P.E., P.S.
Summit County Engineer
538 E. South Street
Akron, OH 44311

Mr. Jeff Wilhite
President of Council,
Summit County
175 South Main Street, Ste.700
Akron, OH 44308

Ms. Kristen Scalise, CPA, CFE
Fiscal Officer, Summit County
175 S. Main Street 4th Floor
Akron, OH 44308

Ms. Elizabeth Walters
Vice-President of Council,
Summit County
175 South Main Street, Ste.700
Akron, OH 44308

City of Hudson

The Honorable David A. Basil
Mayor, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Mr. Brad Kosco, P.E., P.S.
City Engineer, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Mr. William Wooldredge
Council President,
City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Ms. Jane Howington
City Manager, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Library

Ms. E. Leslie Polott,
Executive Director
Hudson Library
& Historical Society
96 Library Street
Hudson, OH 44236

EXHIBIT 2
Property Owners Served Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Property Owner

Parcel: 3009920
ATSI
5325 Darrow Road
Hudson, OH 44236

May 21, 2019

The Honorable Ilene Shapiro
Summit County Executive
175 South Main St. 8th Floor
Akron, OH 44308

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

The Honorable Ilene Shapiro,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

Mr. Jeff Wilhite
President of Council,
Summit County
175 South Main Street, Ste.700
Akron, OH 44308

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Mr. Wilhite,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

Ms. Elizabeth Walters
Vice-President of Council,
Summit County
175 South Main Street, Ste.700
Akron, OH 44308

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Ms. Walters,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

Mr. Alan Brubaker, P.E., P.S.
Summit County Engineer
538 E. South Street
Akron, OH 44311

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Mr. Brubaker,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

Ms. Kristen Scalise, CPA, CFE
Fiscal Officer, Summit County
175 S. Main Street 4th Floor
Akron, OH 44308

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Ms. Scalise,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

The Honorable David A. Basil
Mayor, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

The Honorable David A. Basil,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

Mr. William Wooldredge, Council President,
City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Mr. Wooldredge,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

Mr. Brad Kosco, P.E., P.S.
City Engineer, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Mr. Kosco,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

May 21, 2019

Ms. Jane Howington
City Manager, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Letter of Notification
Darrow Substation Expansion Project
Case No. 19-0585-EL-BLN

Dear Ms. Howington,

In the above captioned Project, American Transmission Systems, Inc. ("ATSI"), a FirstEnergy company, has proposed the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment in a Letter of Notification application filed with the Ohio Power Siting Board. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project, if approved, will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet. The Project will be located near the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio.

In accordance with Ohio Administrative Code ("OAC") Rule 4906-1-01, this Project falls within the Ohio Power Siting Board's requirements for a Letter of Notification application. Therefore, in compliance with OAC 4906-6, we have prepared and filed the attached Letter of Notification application with the OPSB for their review and approval. The Letter of Notification application contains a description of the Project and is provided for your information.

I will be happy to answer your questions concerning this matter. You can contact me at (330) 761-4473.

Sincerely,



Nataliya Bryksenkova
Engineer
Energy Delivery
Transmission and Substation Design
FirstEnergy Service Company

Attachment

**AMERICAN TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS, INCORPORATED
A FIRSTENERGY COMPANY**

LETTER OF NOTIFICATION

DARROW SUBSTATION EXPANSION PROJECT

OPSB CASE NO.: 19-0585-EL-BLN

May 21, 2019

**American Transmission Systems, Incorporated
76 South Main Street
Akron, Ohio 44308**

PUCO

2019 MAY 22 AM 10:55

RECEIVED-BOOKING DIV

**LETTER OF NOTIFICATION
DARROW SUBSTATION EXPANSION PROJECT**

The following information is being provided in accordance with the requirements in the Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) Chapter 4906-6 for the review of Accelerated Certificate Applications. Based upon the requirements found in Appendix A to OAC Rule 4906-1-01, this Project qualifies for Submittal to the Ohio Power Siting Board (“OPSB”) as a Letter of Notification application.

4906-6-05: ACCELERATED APPLICATION REQUIREMENTS

4906-6-05: Name and Reference Number

Name of Project: Darrow Substation Expansion Project (“Project”)

4906-6-05 (B)(1): Brief Description of Project

American Transmission Systems, Incorporated (“ATSI”), a FirstEnergy company, proposes the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation to facilitate the installation of new equipment. The proposed Project will convert the Darrow 138 kV Substation into a six (6) breaker ring bus and to provide for a future 138 kV transmission line exit. The Project will result in the expansion of the substation by approximately 53,100 square feet, which is an approximately 62% expansion of the substation. At the completion of the Project, the new total area of the substation will be approximately 139,000 square feet. The Darrow Substation is located at 5325 Darrow Road, Hudson, Ohio in Summit County.

The general location of the proposed Project is shown in Exhibits 1 and 2. Exhibit 1 is a partial copy of the United States Geologic Survey, Summit County, Ohio Quad Map. Exhibit 2 provides a partial copy of ESRI aerial imagery. The Project is located approximately 0.4 miles northeast of the intersection of Darrow Road and Georgetown Road in the City of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio. The general layout is shown in Exhibit 3.

4906-6-05 (B)(1): Letter of Notification Requirements

The Project falls within Item (4) (b) of the Application Requirement Matrix for Electric Power Transmission Lines, in Appendix A of 4906-1-01. This section states that an applicant may use the Letter of Notification application process if the Project is for:

- (4) *Constructing additions to existing electric power transmission stations or converting distribution stations to transmission stations where:*

(b) There is a greater than twenty percent expansion of the fenced area.

This Project meets this requirement because the expansion of the existing Darrow 138 kV Substation will exceed twenty percent.

4906-6-05 (B)(2): Need for the Project

The existing Darrow 138 kV Substation went into service in 1948 and was designed and built as a straight bus. The straight bus configuration is no longer generally used and is not consistent with ATSI design requirements due to its less reliable design features when compared to ATSI current standard ring bus or breaker and a half substation design criteria. A straight bus design has several points of failure including when a breaker fails to trip which results in the loss of power to all transformers and lines connected to it. Because the Darrow Substation was built in this straight bus configuration, it is susceptible to these failures and is significantly less reliable than current standard designs.

The proposed Project will also increase the system operational flexibility and reliability of the transmission system in the Project area in general, and specifically to the approximately 11,797 customers served in the immediate area of the substation. The proposed Project also provides for a future transmission line exit from the substation, which will, when installed, strengthen the system voltage profile, provide for significant overall performance and reliability improvements for the transmission system and, as an

ancillary benefit provide capacity for load growth, if such occurs.

From 2014 to present, there were two momentary and three sustained outages with an average outage duration of 6.4 hours that were the direct result of the configuration of the existing Darrow Substation. The Project will mitigate the potential for outages of this nature.

The need for the Project and the proposed solution was presented by FirstEnergy at the August 31, 2018 Subregional Regional Transmission Expansion Plan (SRRTEP) Committee Western meeting and has been assigned PJM supplemental RTEP number s1708. The PJM SSRTEP-Western presentation slide is included as Exhibit 4 and includes additional details of the Project drivers. This Project is included in the FirstEnergy Corp. 2019 Long Term Forecast Report ("LTFR"), OPSB Case No. 19-0806-EL-FOR.

4906-6-05 (B)(3): Location of the Project Relative to Existing or Proposed Lines

The location of the Project relative to existing or proposed lines is shown in the ATSI Transmission Network Map, included as part of the confidential portion of the FirstEnergy Corp 2019 LTFR. This map was submitted to the PUCO in Case No. 19-0806-EL-FOR under OAC Rule 4901:5-5:04 (C). This map is incorporated by reference only. This map shows ATSI's 345 kV and 138 kV transmission lines and transmission substations including the Darrow Substation. The Project area is located approximately 9 ¾ inches (11" x 17" printed version) from the left edge of the map and 3 ⅛ inches (11" x 17" printed version) from the top of the map. The general location of the Project is shown in Exhibits 1 and 2. The Project layout is shown in Exhibit 3.

4906-6-05 (B)(4): Alternatives Considered

Alternatives to the proposed Project included the following:

- No Action – Continued operation of the system as currently configured places approximately 11,797 customers (approximately 65MW of load) at continued risk of the loss or disruption of service.

- **Alternative Placement of Ring Bus Substation** - The proposed Project location is best suited for the proposed facility because the Project will occur entirely on ATSI-owned property. All other alternatives that could meet Project objectives include the construction of a new 138 kV substation at a different location in the Darrow Substation area, which would require additional land acquisition and increased land-use impacts. Construction of a new 138 kV substation would also require construction of additional transmission line extensions, which will further increase impacts. The Project area is in industrial/business park zoned land surrounded by commercial and light industrial development. The proposed expansion area is within existing maintained 138-kV and 69-kV ROW. No tree clearing is necessary to complete the Project.

- **Alternatives to the proposed Five (future Six)-Breaker Ring Bus** – A breaker and a half substation design would also provide the proposed reliability improvements. However, this design would require a larger expansion area, and equipment costs are higher. As such, the proposed ring bus installation is the more approach to meeting Project objectives that has the lowest impacts and costs.

4906-6-05 (B)(5): Public Information Program

ATSI will issue a public notice in a newspaper of general circulation in the Project area within 7 days of filing this Letter of Notification application. The notice will comply with OAC Rules 4906-6-08(A) (1) through (6). In addition to the public notice, ATSI will mail letters explaining the Project to affected landowners and tenants within and contiguous to the planned expansion area. ATSI has also established a project website: https://www.firstenergycorp.com/about/transmission_projects/ohio.html.

Finally, during all phases of this Project, ATSI will maintain the transmission projects hotline at 1-800-589-2873 or via email at: transmissionprojects@firstenergycorp.com where the public may ask questions or leave comments on the Project for ATSI.

4906-6-05 (B)(6): Construction Schedule

Construction for the substation expansion is anticipated to begin on August 26, 2019. The proposed in-service date for the Project is May 23, 2020.

4906-6-05 (B)(7): Area Map

Exhibits 1 and 2 depict the general location of the Project. Exhibit 1 provides a partial copy of the United States Geologic Survey, Summit County, Ohio Quad Map. Exhibit 2 provides a partial copy of ESRI aerial imagery.

4906-6-05 (B)(8): Property Owner List

ATSI owns the Darrow Substation and the land surrounding the substation, including the land required for the expansion. No additional property easements, options, or land use agreements will be necessary to construct the Project or operate the expanded substation.

4906-6-05 (B) (9): TECHNICAL FEATURES OF THE PROJECT

4906-6-05 (B)(9)(a): Operating Characteristics

The equipment and facilities described below will be located within the expanded fenced area of the proposed Project once construction is complete.

Materials:

138kV Circuit Breakers – (5)

138kV Capacitive Voltage Transformer (“CCVT”) – (15)

138kV Wave Traps – (2)

138kV Switches – (16)

4906-6-05 (B)(9)(b): Calculated Electric and Magnetic Field

As this is a substation expansion Project and no part of the expanded substation is within 100 feet of an occupied residence or institution, Electric and Magnetic Field (“EMF”) calculations have not been made.

4906-6-05 (B)(9)(c): Estimated Cost

The estimated capital cost for Project is approximately \$9,918,600, fully paid by ATSI.

4906-6-05 (B)(10): SOCIAL AND ECOLOGICAL IMPACTS

4906-6-05 (B)(10)(a): Land Uses

The Project is located entirely within the City of Hudson in Summit County, Ohio. Based on the US Bureau of Census estimates the 2010 population of the City of Hudson was 22,245. The 2017 population estimates of Summit County was 541,228. The Project area is in industrial/business park zoned land. No significant changes or impacts to the current land use is anticipated.

4906-6-05 (B)(10)(b): Agricultural Land

Agricultural district land does not exist within the Project footprint.

4906-6-05 (B)(10)(c): Archaeological or Cultural Resources

A search of Ohio Historic Preservation Office's ("OHPO") National Register of Historic Places ("NRHP") online database was conducted to identify the existence of any significant archaeological or cultural resource sites within 0.5 miles of the Project area. A map of the results of the search is shown in Exhibit 7. The OHPO database includes all Ohio listings on the NRHP, including districts, sites, buildings, structures, and objects that are significant in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture. The results of the search indicate that there are no Listed NRHP properties and no OHPO eligible properties identified within 0.5 miles of the Project's potential disturbance area.

The OHPO database also includes listing of the Ohio Archaeological Inventory ("OAI"), the Ohio Historic Inventory ("OHI"), previous cultural resource surveys, and the Ohio Genealogical Society ("OGS") cemetery inventory. One (1) OAI listed archeological resource has been previously inventoried within 0.5 miles of the Project area and is

shown in Table 1. Thirteen (13) OHI listed structural resources are located within 0.5 miles of the Project area and are shown in Table 2. One (1) previous archaeological resource survey was conducted within 0.5 miles of the Project area. The previous cultural resources surveys are identified in Table 3.

Table 1. List of OAI Listed Archeological Resources

OAI Number	Affiliation	Description	County	Quad Name
SU0316	Non-Aboriginal	Unknown	Summit	Hudson

Table 2. List of OHI Listed Structural Resources

OHI Number	Present Name	Historic Use	County	Municipality
SUM0088205	Charles Fischer Book Store	Retail Store/Shop/Post Office	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0088305	Charles Fischer House	Single Dwelling	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0088405	Faith Barlow Antique Shop	Unknown Use	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0088505	Harold Barlow House	Single Dwelling	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0088605	Faith Barlow Rental Property	Single Dwelling	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0088805	Shed	Storage	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0088905	Alice Caniglia Rental Property	Single Dwelling	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0089005	Thomas Ebner House	One Room Schoolhouse	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0089105	Ford Bush House	Unknown Use	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0089205	Jane Caniglia House	Single Dwelling	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0089305	Ford Bush Commercial Units	Animal Facilities	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0089505	Charles Szeles House	Single Dwelling	Summit	Hudson Township
SUM0088105	Ted Smithers Commercial Unit	Single Dwelling	Summit	Hudson Township

Table 3. Previous Cultural Resource Surveys

Year	Name	County	Municipality
1999	Phase I Cultural Resource Management Investigation Conducted for the Proposed 21 ha (52 a.) Hudson Industrial Park in Hudson Township, Summit County, Ohio	Summit, Ohio	Hudson Township

There are no OAI sites located within 0.5 miles of the Project's potential disturbance area. No OSG cemeteries are located within 0.5 miles of the Project area.

No changes or impacts to archaeological and cultural resources are anticipated.

4906-6-05 (B)(10)(d): Local, State and Federal Government Requirements

Table 4 shows the list of government agency requirements and the application status at the time of filing.

Table 4. List of Government Agency Requirements to be Secured Prior to Construction

Agency	Permit Requirement	Status
US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE)	Section 404 Permit	Will be Filed
Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (OEPA)	General NPDES Construction Storm Water Permit	Will Be Filed
Summit County, Ohio, Soil and Water Conservation District, and City of Hudson	Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan (SWP3) – Review Application	Will Be Filed

4906-6-05 (B)(10)(e): Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Species Investigation

As part of the investigation, GPD Group, on behalf of ATSI, submitted a request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources ("ODNR") Office of Real Estate to conduct an Environmental Review on September 19, 2017. As part of the Environmental Review, the ODNR Office of Real Estate conducted a search of the ODNR Division of Wildlife's Natural Heritage Database to research the presence of any endangered, threatened, or rare species within one (1) mile of the Project area. The ODNR's Office of Real Estate's response on January 4, 2018 indicated that the Project area is within range of one (1) state and federally endangered species, one (1) state and federally threatened species, six (6) state endangered species, two (2) state threatened species, and one (1) potentially state

threatened species. A copy of ODNR's Office of Real Estate's response is included as Exhibit 5.

As part of the investigation, GPD Group, on behalf of ATSI, also submitted a request to the US Fish and Wildlife Service ("USFWS") for an Ecological Review on September 19, 2017, to research the presence of any endangered, threatened, or rare species within one (1) mile of the Project area. A copy of USFWS's Ecological Review response is included as Exhibit 6. The USFWS's response on October 13, 2017 indicated that the State of Ohio lies within the range of the federally endangered Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*) and federally threatened northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*). A list of all endangered, threatened, and rare species, as identified by ODNR and USFWS, is provided in Table 5.

Table 5. List of Endangered, Threatened, and Rare Species

Common Name	Scientific Name	Federal Listed Status	State Listed Status	Affected Habitat
Indiana bat	<i>Myotis sodalis</i>	Endangered	Endangered	Trees & Forest
Northern long-eared bat	<i>Myotis septentrionalis</i>	Threatened	Threatened	Trees & Forest
Iowa darter	<i>Etheostoma exile</i>	NA	Endangered	Perennial Streams
Pugnose Minnow	<i>Opsopoeodus emiliae</i>	NA	Endangered	Perennial Streams
Western banded killifish	<i>Fundulus diaphanous menona</i>	NA	Endangered	Perennial Streams
Lake chubsucker	<i>Erimyzon sucetta</i>	NA	Threatened	Perennial Streams
Spotted turtle	<i>Clemmys guttata</i>	NA	Threatened	Wetlands & Ditches
Smooth greensnake	<i>Opheodrys vernalis</i>	NA	Endangered	Wetlands & Ditches
American bittern	<i>Botaurus lentiginosus</i>	NA	Endangered	Bogs & Wet Meadows
Black bear	<i>Ursus americanus</i>	NA	Endangered	Varies
Long beech fern	<i>Phegopteris connectilis</i>	NA	Potentially Threatened	Seeps on Cliff Faces

Both requests were submitted for the Darrow-West Akron 69 kV Transmission Line Pole Replacement Project. Since the Project area is adjacent to that project and the ODNR and USFWS comments are within the last two years, the same results and conclusions from the previous project are presented here.

The response from ODNR and USFWS indicated the Project is within range of the federal and state endangered Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalist*) and the federal and state threatened Northern long-eared bat (*Myotis septentrionalis*). Several trees are located within the Project disturbance area and may be removed; however, no trees exhibiting suitable roost characteristics for the Indiana Bat will be removed as part of this project. Furthermore, there are no caves or mine opening within the Project area and, therefore, no adverse effects to these species is anticipated.

The response from ODNR indicated the Iowa darter (*Etheostoma exile*), the Pugnose Minnow (*Opsopoeodus emiliae*), the Western banded killifish (*Fundulus diaphanous menona*), and the Lake chubsucker (*Erimyzon sucetta*) are within range of the Project area. No impacts to these species are expected due to the Project's location and because no work is proposed in streams.

The response from ODNR indicated that the Spotted turtle (*Clemmys guttata*) and the Smooth greensnake (*Opheodrys vernalis*) are within range of the Project area. No impacts to these species are expected due to the Project's location, the type of habitat at the Project site and within the vicinity of the Project area, and the type of work proposed.

The response from ODNR indicated that the American bittern (*Botaurus lentiginous*) and the Long beech fern (*Phegopteris connectili*) are within range of the Project area. No impacts to this species is expected due to the Project location and the type of habitat within the Project area.

The response from ODNR indicated that the Black bear (*Ursus americanus*) is within range of the Project area. No impacts to this species is expected due to the Project location and the mobility of the species.

Adverse impacts to state listed wildlife and plant species are not anticipated to result from the Project based on the current land use, surrounding setting, and absence of potential habitat for these species within the Project Area.

4906-6-05 (B)(10)(f): Areas of Ecological Concern

GPD Group, on behalf of ATSI, submitted a request to the Ohio Department of Natural Resources (“ODNR”) Office of Real Estate to conduct an Environmental Review on September 19, 2017. The ODNR Office of Real Estate researched the presence of any unique ecological sites, geological features, animal assemblages, scenic rivers, state wildlife areas, nature preserves, parks or forest, national wildlife refuges, or other protected natural areas within one (1) mile of the project area. The ODNR’s Office of Real Estate’s response on September 19, 2017 indicated that they have three (3) records of such areas within one (1) mile of the identified Project area.

This request was submitted for the Darrow-West Akron 69 kV Transmission Line Pole Replacement Project, a non-OPSB jurisdictional project recently completed in the Project area. Since the Project area is included in the that area studied for the earlier project and the ODNR and USFWS comments are received within the last two years, the results of those studies remain valid for this Project.

Adell Durbin Park is located approximately 3.50 miles south of the Project. Wood Hollow Metropark is located approximately 0.75 miles east of the Project. The Bike & Hike Trail is located 0.60 miles south of the Project. Due to the distance from the Project area there are no anticipated impacts to the Adell Durbin Park, Wood Hollow Metropark, and the Bike & Hike Trail.

ATSI contracted GPD Group to conduct a wetland and stream delineation of the Project Area. The GPD Group assessment focused on an approximately 2.50-acre study area around the proposed footprint of the expansion area. During the study, GPD Group identified five (5) wetland areas totaling 0.43-acres and one (1) perennial stream totaling

287 linear feet. No ponds were located within the survey area. A copy of the wetland stream assessment report is provided in Exhibit 8. As part of this Project, approximately 0.34 acre of palustrine emergent (“PEM”) wetlands will be impacted. Since the acreage of wetland impacts is greater than 0.1 acre and less than 0.5 acre, and no other thresholds are exceeded pursuant to Nationwide Permit 12 under Section 404 of the Clean Water Act, a pre-construction notification to the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers will be required. ATSI will obtain necessary permits prior to the start of the construction. Wetland mitigation will be sought off-site within the Cuyahoga River watershed (HUC 8: 0411002) at a 1.5:1 ratio (i.e., 0.6-acre) for unavoidable wetland impacts. Best management practices will be utilized to protect the unimpacted identified wetlands with the use of construction wetland matting and the implementation of erosion and sediment controls.

Additionally, a review of the online FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Mapping was performed. The Project work limits are not located within a regulated floodplain.

4906-6-05(B)(10)(g): Other Information

Construction and operation of the proposed Project will be in accordance with the requirements specified in the latest revision of the National Electrical Safety Code as adopted by the PUCO and will meet all applicable safety standards established by the Occupational Safety and Health Administration.

No other or unusual conditions are expected that will result in significant environmental, social, health or safety impacts.

4906-6-07: Documentation of Letter of Notification Application Transmittal and Availability for Public Review

This Letter of Notification application is being provided concurrently to the following officials of the City of Hudson and Summit County, Ohio.

Summit County

The Honorable Ilene Shapiro
Summit County Executive
175 South Main St. 8th Floor
Akron, OH 44308

Mr. Alan Brubaker, P.E., P.S.
Summit County Engineer
538 E. South Street
Akron, OH 44311

Mr. Jeff Wilhite
President of Council,
Summit County
175 South Main Street, Ste.700
Akron, OH 44308

Ms. Kristen Scalise, CPA, CFE
Fiscal Officer, Summit County
175 S. Main Street 4th Floor
Akron, OH 44308

Ms. Elizabeth Walters
Vice-President of Council,
Summit County
175 South Main Street, Ste.700
Akron, OH 44308

City of Hudson

Mr. David A. Basil
Mayor, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Mr. Brad Kosco, P.E., P.S.
City Engineer, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Mr. William Wooldredge
Council President,
City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Ms. Jane Howington
City Manager, City of Hudson
115 Executive Parkway, Ste. 400
Hudson, OH 44236

Library

Ms. E. Leslie Polott, Executive Director
Hudson Library & Historical Society
96 Library Street
Hudson, OH 44236

Copies of the transmittal letters to these officials have been included with the transmittal letter submitting this Letter of Notification application to the Ohio Power Sitting Board and are being provided to meet the requirement of OAC Rule 4906-6-07 (B) to submit proof of

compliance with the notice requirement to local officials found in OAC Rule 4906-6-07 (A)(1).

Information concerning this Letter of Notification application is also posted at the link below and how to request an electronic or paper copy of the application. The link to the website is being provided to meet the requirement of OAC 4906-6-07 Rule (B) and to provide the OPSB with proof of compliance with the notice requirements in OAC 4906-6-07 (A)(3): https://www.firstenergycorp.com/about/transmission_projects/ohio.html




		EXHIBIT 1		138 kV Darrow Substation Expansion Project	
				<p> Reference: USGS: 0007 TMS Coordinate System: NAD 1983 StatePlane Ohio North FIPS 3401 Feet Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic; Units: Foot US </p>	




CITY OF HUDSON
SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

LEGEND:

- ★ Project Location
- Road
- County Boundary

Reference:
ESRI; GDOT TMS

Coordinate System:
NAD 1983 StatePlane Ohio North FIPS 3401 Feet
Projection: Lambert Conformal Conic; Units: Foot US




Summit ★ Portage

Key Map not to Scale

ATSI
American Transmission Systems, Inc.
a subsidiary of FirstEnergy Corp.

EXHIBIT 2

**138 kV Darrow Substation
Expansion Project**



LEGEND	<div> <div>▲</div> <div>—+—</div> <div>—+—+—</div> </div> <div> <div>EXISTING SUBSTATION</div> <div>EXISTING SUBSTATION FENCE LINE</div> <div>PROPOSED SUBSTATION EXPANSION FENCE LINE</div> </div> <div> <div>—</div> <div>—</div> </div> <div> <div>EXISTING CONDUCTOR</div> <div>EXISTING ROADS</div> </div>
ATSI® <small>American Transmission Systems, Inc. a subsidiary of FirstEnergy Corp.</small>	<div> <div>DARROW 138 kV SUBSTATION EXPANSION PROJECT</div> <div>GENERAL LAYOUT</div> <div>EXHIBIT 3</div> </div>

CITY OF HUDSON
SUMMIT COUNTY
OHIO



Previously Presented: 8/31/2018 SRRTEP

Problem Statement (Scope and Need/Drivers):

Operational Flexibility and Efficiency

- Improve operational flexibility during maintenance and restoration efforts
- Reduce the amount of local load loss (Approximately 65 MWs) under contingency conditions
- Eliminate the loss of three or more network elements under contingency conditions
 - Darrow transformer #1, transformer #2 and 138 kV Bus for a P1 / P2 contingency (P6)
- Mitigate non-planning criteria thermal concerns on the < 100 kV system under contingency conditions
 - Loss of Hanna-Shalersville 138 kV and Darrow 138kV bus, breaker or transformer failure
 - Results in potential thermal overload (Approximately 102% of its 92 MVA SE rating) on the Kent-Ravenna 69 kV Line

Selected Solution:

Darrow 138 kV Ring Bus Project (S1708)


- Expand the existing 138 kV substation at Darrow to a 5-breaker (future 6 breaker) ring bus.
- Reconfigure Darrow substation to include terminals for:
 - Darrow-Hudson Muni 138 kV line, Darrow-Brady 138 kV line & Darrow-Terex 138 kV line
 - Two (2) 138/69 kV transformers

Estimated Project Cost: \$8.1 M

Projected IS Date: 5/23/2020

Status: Engineering

ATSI Transmission Zone: Supplemental Darrow 138 kV Ring Bus Project





Ohio Department of Natural Resources

JOHN R. KASICH, GOVERNOR

JAMES ZEHRINGER, DIRECTOR

Office of Real Estate

Paul R. Baldrige, Chief
 2045 Morse Road – Bldg. E-2
 Columbus, OH 43229
 Phone: (614) 265-6649
 Fax: (614) 267-4764

January 4, 2018

Cassandra Austin
 GPD Group
 520 South Main St. Suite 2531
 Akron, Ohio 44311

Re: 17-729; FirstEnergy's Darrow-West Akron (Stow Sub) - Request for Environmental Review

Project: The project proposes a 3.4-mile rebuild of the existing Darrow-West Akron 69kV from the Darrow Substation to the Stow Substation.

Location: The proposed project is located in Stow Township, Summit County, Ohio.

The Ohio Department of Natural Resources (ODNR) has completed a review of the above referenced project. These comments were generated by an inter-disciplinary review within the Department. These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the National Environmental Policy Act, the Coastal Zone Management Act, Ohio Revised Code and other applicable laws and regulations. These comments are also based on ODNR's experience as the state natural resource management agency and do not supersede or replace the regulatory authority of any local, state or federal agency nor relieve the applicant of the obligation to comply with any local, state or federal laws or regulations.

Natural Heritage Database: The Natural Heritage Database has the following records at or within a one-mile radius of the project area:

Long beech fern (*Phegopteris connectilis*), State potentially threatened
 Wood Hollow Metro Park – Metroparks Serving Summit Co.
 Bike & Hike Trail – Metroparks Serving Summit Co.
 Adell Durbin Park – City of Stow

The review was performed on the project area you specified in your request as well as an additional one-mile radius. Records searched date from 1980. This information is provided to inform you of features present within your project area and vicinity. Additional comments on some of the features may be found in pertinent sections below.

Please note that Ohio has not been completely surveyed and we rely on receiving information from many sources. Therefore, a lack of records for any particular area is not a statement that rare species or unique features are absent from that area. Although all types of plant communities have been surveyed, we only maintain records on the highest quality areas.

Fish and Wildlife: The Division of Wildlife (DOW) has the following comments.

The DOW recommends that impacts to wetlands and other water resources be avoided and minimized to the fullest extent possible, and that best management practices be utilized to minimize erosion and sedimentation.

The project is within the range of the Indiana bat (*Myotis sodalis*), a state endangered and federally endangered species. The following species of trees have relatively high value as potential Indiana bat roost trees: shagbark hickory (*Carya ovata*), shellbark hickory (*Carya laciniosa*), bitternut hickory (*Carya cordiformis*), black ash (*Fraxinus nigra*), green ash (*Fraxinus pennsylvanica*), white ash (*Fraxinus americana*), shingle oak (*Quercus imbricaria*), northern red oak (*Quercus rubra*), slippery elm (*Ulmus rubra*), American elm (*Ulmus americana*), eastern cottonwood (*Populus deltoides*), silver maple (*Acer saccharinum*), sassafras (*Sassafras albidum*), post oak (*Quercus stellata*), and white oak (*Quercus alba*). Indiana bat roost trees consists of trees that include dead and dying trees with exfoliating bark, crevices, or cavities in upland areas or riparian corridors and living trees with exfoliating bark, cavities, or hollow areas formed from broken branches or tops. However, Indiana bats are also dependent on the forest structure surrounding roost trees. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area, the DOW recommends trees be conserved. If suitable habitat occurs within the project area and trees must be cut, the DOW recommends cutting occur between October 1 and March 31. If suitable trees must be cut during the summer months, the DOW recommends a net survey be conducted between June 1 and August 15, prior to any cutting. Net surveys should incorporate either nine net nights per square 0.5 kilometer of project area, or four net nights per kilometer for linear projects. If no tree removal is proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the Iowa darter (*Etheostoma exile*), a state endangered fish, the pugnose minnow (*Opsopoeodus emiliae*), a state endangered fish, the western banded killifish (*Fundulus diaphanus menona*), a state endangered fish, and the lake chubsucker (*Erimyzon sucetta*), a state threatened fish. The DOW recommends no in-water work in perennial streams from April 15 to June 30 to reduce impacts to indigenous aquatic species and their habitat. If no in-water work is proposed in a perennial stream, this project is not likely to impact these or other aquatic species.

The project is within the range of the spotted turtle (*Clemmys guttata*), a state threatened species. This species prefers fens, bogs and marshes, but also is known to inhabit wet prairies, meadows, pond edges, wet woods, and the shallow sluggish waters of small streams and ditches. Due to the location, the habitat at the project site and within the vicinity of the project area, and the type of work proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the smooth greensnake (*Opheodrys vernalis*), a state endangered species. This species is primarily a prairie inhabitant, but also found in marshy meadows and roadside ditches. Due to the location, the type of habitat at the project site and within the vicinity of the project area, and the type of work proposed, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the American bittern (*Botaurus lentiginosus*), a state endangered bird. Nesting bitterns prefer large undisturbed wetlands that have scattered small pools amongst dense vegetation. They occasionally occupy bogs, large wet meadows, and

dense shrubby swamps. If this type of habitat will be impacted, construction should be avoided in this habitat during the species' nesting period of May 1 to July 31. If this type of habitat will not be impacted, this project is not likely to impact this species.

The project is within the range of the black bear (*Ursus americanus*), a state endangered species. Due to the mobility of this species, the project is not likely to impact this species.

Due to the potential of impacts to federally listed species, as well as to state listed species, we recommend that this project be coordinated with the U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service.

Water Resources: The Division of Water Resources has the following comment.

The local floodplain administrator should be contacted concerning the possible need for any floodplain permits or approvals for this project. Your local floodplain administrator contact information can be found at the website below.

http://water.ohiodnr.gov/portals/soilwater/pdf/floodplain/Floodplain%20Manager%20Community%20Contact%20List_8_16.pdf

ODNR appreciates the opportunity to provide these comments. Please contact John Kessler at (614) 265-6621 if you have questions about these comments or need additional information.

John Kessler
ODNR Office of Real Estate
2045 Morse Road, Building E-2
Columbus, Ohio 43229-6693
John.Kessler@dnr.state.oh.us

From: susan_zimmermann@fws.gov on behalf of Ohio, FW3
<ohio@fws.gov>
Sent: Friday, October 13, 2017 10:25 AM
To: Austin, Cassandra
Cc: nathan.reardon@dnr.state.oh.us; kate.parsons@dnr.state.oh.us
Subject: FirstEnergy's Darrow-West Akron (Stow Sub) Stations Rebuild, Summit Co.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR
 U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service
 Ecological Services Office
 4625 Morse Road, Suite 104
 Columbus, Ohio 43230
 (614) 416-8993 / Fax (614) 416-8994



TAILS# 03E15000-2017-TA-2006

Dear Ms. Austin,

We have received your recent correspondence requesting information about the subject proposal. There are no federal wilderness areas, wildlife refuges or designated critical habitat within the vicinity of the project area. The following comments and recommendations will assist you in fulfilling the requirements for consultation under section 7 of the Endangered Species Act of 1973, as amended (ESA).

The U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (Service) recommends that proposed developments avoid and minimize water quality impacts and impacts to high quality fish and wildlife habitat (e.g., forests, streams, wetlands). Additionally, natural buffers around streams and wetlands should be preserved to enhance beneficial functions. If streams or wetlands will be impacted, the Corps of Engineers should be contacted to determine whether a Clean Water Act section 404 permit is required. Best management practices should be used to minimize erosion, especially on slopes. All disturbed areas should be mulched and revegetated with native plant species. Prevention of non-native, invasive plant establishment is critical in maintaining high quality habitats.

FEDERALLY LISTED SPECIES COMMENTS: All projects in the State of Ohio lie within the range of the federally endangered **Indiana bat** (*Myotis sodalis*) and the federally threatened **northern long-eared bat** (*Myotis septentrionalis*). In Ohio, presence of the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat is assumed wherever suitable habitat occurs unless a presence/absence survey has been performed to document absence. Suitable summer habitat for Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats consists of a wide variety of forested/wooded habitats where they roost, forage, and travel and may also include some adjacent and interspersed non-forested habitats such as emergent wetlands and adjacent edges of agricultural fields, old fields and pastures. This includes forests and woodlots containing potential roosts (i.e., live trees and/or snags ≥ 3 inches diameter at breast height (dbh) that have any exfoliating bark, cracks, crevices, hollows and/or cavities), as well as linear features such as fencerows, riparian forests, and other wooded corridors. These wooded areas may be dense or loose aggregates of trees with variable amounts of canopy closure. Individual trees may be considered suitable habitat when they exhibit the characteristics of a potential roost tree and are located within 1,000 feet (305 meters) of other forested/wooded habitat. Northern long-eared bats have also been observed roosting in human-made structures, such as buildings, barns, bridges, and bat houses; therefore, these structures should also be considered potential summer habitat. In the winter, Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats hibernate in caves and abandoned mines.

Should the proposed site contain trees ≥ 3 inches dbh, we recommend that trees be saved wherever possible. If any caves or abandoned mines may be disturbed, further coordination with this office is requested to determine if fall or spring portal surveys are warranted. If no caves or abandoned mines are present and trees ≥ 3 inches dbh cannot be avoided, we recommend that removal of any trees ≥ 3 inches dbh only occur between October 1 and March 31. Seasonal clearing is being recommended to avoid adverse effects to Indiana bats and northern long-eared bats. While incidental take of northern long-eared bats from most tree clearing is exempted by a 4(d) rule (see <http://www.fws.gov/midwest/endangered/mammals/nleeb/index.html>), incidental take of Indiana bats is still prohibited without a project-specific exemption. Thus, seasonal clearing is recommended where Indiana bats are assumed present.

If implementation of this seasonal tree cutting recommendation is not possible, summer surveys may be conducted to document the presence or probable absence of Indiana bats within the project area during the summer. If a summer survey documents probable absence of Indiana bats, the 4(d) rule for the northern long-eared bat could be applied. Surveys must be conducted by an approved surveyor and be designed and conducted in coordination with the Endangered Species Coordinator for this office. Surveyors must have a valid federal permit. Please note that summer surveys may only be conducted between June 1 and August 15.

If there is a federal nexus for the project (e.g., federal funding provided, federal permits required to construct), no tree clearing should occur on any portion of the project area until consultation under section 7 of the ESA, between the Service and the federal action agency, is completed. We recommend that the federal action agency submit a determination of effects to this office, relative to the Indiana bat and northern long-eared bat, for our review and concurrence.

Due to the project type, size, and location, we do not anticipate adverse effects to any other federally endangered, threatened, proposed, or candidate species. Should the project design change, or during the term of this action, additional information on listed or proposed species or their critical habitat become available, or if new information reveals effects of the action that were not previously considered, consultation with the Service should be initiated to assess any potential impacts.

These comments have been prepared under the authority of the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act (48 Stat. 401, as amended; 16 U.S.C. 661 et seq.), the ESA, and are consistent with the intent of the National Environmental Policy Act of 1969 and the Service's Mitigation Policy. This letter provides technical assistance only and does not serve as a completed section 7 consultation document. We recommend that the project be coordinated with the Ohio Department of Natural Resources due to the potential for the project to affect state listed species and/or state lands. Contact John Kessler, Environmental Services Administrator, at (614) 265-6621 or at john.kessler@dnr.state.oh.us.

If you have questions, or if we can be of further assistance in this matter, please contact our office at (614) 416-8993 or ohio@fws.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Dan Everson". The signature is fluid and cursive, with the first name "Dan" being more prominent than the last name "Everson".

Dan Everson

Field Supervisor

cc: Nathan Reardon, ODNR-DOW

Kate Parsons, ODNR-DOW


Legend

- NR Listings**
- Listed
 - National Historic Landmark
 - Delisted
- NR Determinations of Eligibi**
- Archaeological Sites
 - Historic Structures
 - Historic Bridges
 - Historic Tax Credit Projects
 - OGS Cemeteries
- Confident**
- Not Confident
- Dams**
- UTM Zone Split
 - NR Boundaries
 - OAI Site Boundaries
 - Phase1
 - Phase2
- Scale**
- 0 0.19 0.38 Miles
- 1: 15,000

Copyright/Disclaimer

This map is a user generated static output from an internet mapping site and is for general reference only. Data layers that appear on this map may or may not be accurate, current, or otherwise reliable. THIS MAP IS NOT TO BE USED FOR NAVIGATION.

Datum: [Datum]
Projection: WGS_1984_Web_Mercator_Auxiliary_Sphere





WETLAND DELINEATION
AND SURFACE WATER STUDY

FirstEnergy's Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion

SUMMIT COUNTY, OHIO

PREPARED FOR:
FirstEnergy West Akron Campus
341 White Pond Drive
Akron, Ohio 44320

May 2019

Table of Contents

1.0	EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	1
2.0	INTRODUCTION	2
3.0	WETLAND DEFINITION.....	3
4.0	METHODS	5
4.1	Wetlands	5
4.1.1	Vegetation.....	5
4.1.2	Soils	5
4.1.3	Hydrology.....	6
4.1.4	Wetland Evaluation	6
4.2	Streams	7
4.3	Ponds.....	8
5.0	FINDINGS	9
5.1	Wetlands	9
5.1.1	Literature Review	9
5.1.2	Field Reconnaissance	9
5.2	Streams	10
5.2.1	Literature Review	10
5.2.2	Field Reconnaissance	10
5.3	Ponds.....	11
5.3.1	Literature Review	11
5.3.2	Field Reconnaissance	11
6.0	CONCLUSIONS.....	12
7.0	LITERATURE CITED/REFERENCES.....	13

Tables

Table 1	Soil Summary
Table 2	Wetland Feature Summary
Table 3	Stream Features

Figures

Figure 1	USGS Topographic Map
Figure 2	Aquatic Resources Map
Figure 3	Summit County Soil Map
Figure 4	National Wetlands Inventory Map

Appendices

Appendix A	Field Data Forms
Appendix B	Representative Photographs
Appendix C	List of Preparers

FirstEnergy's Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion

2019110.01

May 9, 2019



Lincoln Scott
Director of Environmental



Grant Stuller
Project Manager



Ann Schweitzer
Lead Technical

1.0 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

GPD Group completed a routine survey for wetlands and other "Waters of the United States" in April 2019 on FirstEnergy's Darrow Substation located on Darrow Road within the city of Hudson, Summit County, Ohio. The survey was completed in support of the proposed expansion of the existing electric substation.

The study area investigated and documented in this report consists an approximately 2.5-acre study area located east of the existing substation. The study area is located within a parcel (PID: 3009920) that is currently owned by Ohio Edison. Additionally, the study area is located within the Cuyahoga River Basin and is contained within the Mud Brook watershed (Ohio Sub-Watershed Number 04110002-0401). The study area that was investigated is within the jurisdictional boundary of the USACE Buffalo District Office. **Figure 1** depicts the project location on the Hudson, Ohio United States Geologic Survey (USGS) 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map.

The information in this report has been compiled as documentation of existing aquatic features and represents the professional opinion of GPD Group regarding the boundaries, general characteristics, and classifications of waters within the study area. This document is intended to establish the on-site extent of jurisdictional freshwater features and can be used to facilitate a Jurisdictional Determination. It is GPD Group's recommendation that no earthwork be conducted until such time as all appropriate regulatory agency acknowledgements, reviews, and verifications have been completed.

Based on the field investigations, five (5) freshwater wetland features and one (1) stream feature have been identified within the study area boundary. No pond features have been identified within the study area boundary. The identified aquatic features are depicted on the Aquatic Resources Map (**Figure 2**). The areal extent of the features was calculated using a Geographic Information System (GIS) and is presented in **Table 2** and **Table 3**. Representative photographs were taken of the features within the study area boundary and are provided in **Appendix B**.

2.0 INTRODUCTION

In April 2019, GPD Group conducted field studies within a 2.5-acre project study area. These field studies focused on wetlands and other "Waters of the United States" delineations and habitat assessments within the potential substation expansion location located east of the existing Darrow Substation. The proposed project involves the expansion of the existing Darrow Substation. The land use within the 2.5-acre project study area consists of utility right-of-way and old field. The surrounding land use consists of commercial development.

A Routine Level On-Site Determination, as outlined in the 1987 U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual, was performed. Additionally, the methods outlined in the April 2012 Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0) were utilized to further ascertain the presence/absence of the three parameters that define a wetland. The Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands (ORAM) Version 5.0 was used to provisionally rate each delineated wetland in accordance with current Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) standards, and to determine the appropriate regulatory category in which to place the wetland.

The wetland location was flagged in the field, and the identified feature location was recorded using a Trimble Geo-XH hand-held Global Positioning System (GPS) unit with sub-meter horizontal accuracy.

Streams located within the study area boundary were also delineated during this investigation. Streams were evaluated using either the Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's Primary Headwater Habitat Streams or the Methods for Assessing Habitat in Flowing Water: Using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI), published by the Ohio EPA. When appropriate, the Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index (HHEI) data sheets, Headwater Macroinvertebrate Field Evaluation Index (HMFEI) data sheets, and QHEI data sheets were completed in the field.

In addition to wetlands and streams, an investigation for ponds located within the study area boundary was also conducted. No ponds were identified.

3.0 WETLAND DEFINITION

Jurisdictional freshwater wetlands are included as a subset of "Waters of the United States" as defined by 33 CFR Part 328.3. The following definition of a wetland is the regulatory definition used by the USACE for administering Section 404 of the Clean Water Act which limits activities within "Waters of the United States" including wetlands. Wetlands are:

"Those areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated conditions. Wetlands generally include swamps, marshes, bogs, and similar areas". (EPA, 40 CFR 230.3)

Wetland determinations are based on a three-parameter approach. An area must exhibit these three characteristics to be classified as a wetland:

1. hydrophytic vegetation
2. hydric soils
3. wetland hydrology

Hydrophytic vegetation is defined as macrophytic plant life growing in water, soil, or on a substrate that is at least periodically deficient in oxygen as a result of the presence of water. In the course of developing the wetland determination methodology, the USACE, in cooperation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS), Environmental Protection Agency (EPA), and the Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS), compiled a comprehensive list of wetland vegetation. A method to quantify what type of vegetation is typical "wetland vegetation" was also developed and certain species of plants were assigned a plant indicator classification/status. The indicator classification/status of a plant species is expressed in terms of the estimated probability of that species occurring in wetland conditions within a given region. The indicator classification/status within this list includes:

1. Obligate Wetland (OBL) – occur almost always in wetlands (estimated probability 99%), under natural conditions.
2. Facultative Wetland (FACW) – usually occur in wetlands (estimated probability 67% to 99%), but occasionally found in non-wetlands.
3. Facultative (FAC) – equally likely to occur in wetlands and non-wetlands (estimated probability 34% to 66%).
4. Facultative Upland (FACU) – usually occurs in non-wetlands, but occasionally found in wetlands (estimated probability 1% to 33%).
5. Upland (UPL) - occur almost always in uplands (estimated probability 1%), under natural conditions.

Plants that are OBL, FACW, and FAC are considered wetland species.

Hydric soils are those soils that are saturated, flooded or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions within the major portion of the root zone. The National Technical Committee for Hydric Soils has developed criteria for hydric soil determinations in addition to hydric soil types. The USACE criteria for hydric soils specifies that the chroma must be /1 if the

soil has no mottles (marked with spots of contrasting color), and /2 or /3 if the soil is mottled. Any soil colors described within this report were determined in the field using the Munsell Soil Color Charts Year 2009 Edition.

Wetland hydrology is the permanent or periodic inundation or saturation of soil (within the root zone) for a significant period during the growing season. Many factors influence the hydrology of an area including precipitation, topography, soil permeability, and plant cover. The frequency and duration of inundation or soil saturation are important factors in the determination of the existence of wetland hydrology. Primary indicators of wetland hydrology are inundation, soil saturation (within the root zone), water marks, sediment deposits, and drainage patterns. Secondary indicators such as oxidized root channels in the upper 12" of soil, water stained leaves, local soil survey data, and FAC-neutral vegetation test are sometimes also used to determine the presence of wetland hydrology. One primary indicator, or two secondary indicators, is required to establish the presence of wetland hydrology.

Summary

In general, an area must meet all three of the aforementioned criteria to be classified as a wetland. In certain problem areas such as seasonal wetlands that are only wet during certain times of the year or in recently disturbed (atypical) situations, areas may be considered a wetland if only two criteria are met. Additionally, in special situations, an area that meets the definition of a wetland may not be within USACE jurisdiction due to a lack of adjacency to another "Water of the United States". These isolated features fall under the jurisdiction of the Ohio EPA.

4.0 METHODS

4.1 Wetlands

Prior to performing any field studies, the Summit County Soil Survey map, the USGS 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map, and the National Wetlands Inventory (NWI) map were analyzed in detail to determine the presence of any previously-identified freshwater wetlands within the study area boundary.

Following the literature review, further investigation included inspection on foot during the field reconnaissance portion of the project to confirm the information gathered from the literature review, and to identify any wetlands not annotated on the reviewed sources.

For any suspected wetland areas, the wetland determination is performed based upon the Routine Level On-Site method as outlined in the 1987 USACE Manual. This method consists of collecting a data point within an area that exhibits wetland characteristics. Within this area vegetation is identified, hydrology is assessed, and soils to a depth of at least 18 inches are identified and described. This method is accepted by the USACE and takes into consideration the three wetland parameters (1. Vegetation, 2. Soils, 3. Hydrology) covering both normal and atypical situations. Subsequently, an upland data point within an area adjacent to the delineated wetland, which did not exhibit wetland characteristics, is collected in the same manner, to provide contrasting evidence.

4.1.1 Vegetation

All habitat types within the study area boundary are identified and the distribution of individual plant species is noted. The existing vegetation is analyzed with respect to percentage of cover for each species. This involves estimation of existing plant species composition by direct observation. Wetlands, as stated previously, are usually characterized by the predominance of hydrophytic plant species. Conversely, upland areas would be dominated by more xerophytic species, or plants better adapted to drier soil conditions. A mesic zone, or the transition between wetland and upland habitat, is often comprised of a mixture of FACW, FAC, and FACU species.

With respect to the vegetation, the USACE Manual places great emphasis on the presence of hydrophytic plant species as an indicator of wetland conditions. It is determined which species are dominant within each plant community. The determination of whether or not an herbaceous species is dominant is based on percentage of cover. Vegetative dominance is calculated as described in the 1989 Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands (50/20 method).

The species indicator classification/status is determined and recorded for each dominant plant species found at the site. This information is used in conjunction with their percentage of cover to determine whether a prevalence of wetland species exists in any of the vegetation communities occurring within the study area boundary. Species indicator classification/status information is obtained from the USACE's The National Wetland Plant List: 2013 wetland ratings for the State of Ohio (Lichvar, 2013).

4.1.2 Soils

During the field investigation of the study area, a spade shovel is used to dig soil test pits to accurately document the extent of hydric soil conditions. The test pits are excavated to a depth of approximately 18 inches and the soil is examined for color, texture, and moisture content.

Soil color is determined in the field using the 2009 Edition of the Munsell Soil Color Charts. Hydric soils are identified by color/chroma. The Munsell designation indicates the soil color as removed from the test pit. Hydric soil determinations are made in strict accordance with USACE criteria.

Weather conditions during the soil identification procedures for this investigation were mild and partly cloudy throughout field activities.

4.1.3 Hydrology

Hydrology indicators [including inundation, soil saturation (within the root zone), water marks, sediment deposits, etc.] are used in conjunction with vegetation and soil characteristics to establish the presence/absence of freshwater wetlands. The study area is also evaluated for signs of past human disturbances to determine whether any identified features had been created by man (man-induced wetland) or if the hydrologic regime of the feature had been recently altered. While hydrology is the driving force in wetland creation, it is often the least exact and most difficult to identify in the field. Field indicators are often used to assess the hydrology of an area, especially during times when surface water is not present, or during times of low groundwater, as it might otherwise be difficult to identify.

4.1.4 Wetland Evaluation

ORAM Version 5.0 is used to rate any wetland observed within the study area boundary in accordance with current Ohio EPA standards, and to determine the appropriate regulatory category in which to place the wetland. This assessment is also used to assess the overall ecological quality and the level of function of a particular wetland. The numeric score obtained from the ORAM field form is not, and should not be considered, an absolute number with intrinsic meaning. The numeric score does, however, allow for relative comparisons between wetlands to be made.

Interim Scoring Break Points for Wetland Regulatory Categories for ORAM

Category	ORAM v5.0 score		
1	0	-	29.9
1 or 2 gray zone	30	-	34.9
Modified 2	35	-	44.9
2	45	-	59.9
2 or 3	60	-	64.9
3	65	-	100

In general, Category 1 wetlands are those wetlands that support minimal wildlife habitat, and minimal hydrological and recreational functions. Category 1 wetlands do not provide critical habitat for threatened or endangered species or contain rare or otherwise sensitive species. Category 2 wetlands support moderate wildlife habitat or hydrological functions. Category 2 wetlands may include the presence of native plant species, but generally do not support threatened or endangered wildlife. Category 3 wetlands support superior wildlife habitat and hydrologic functions. Category 3 wetlands also can have high levels of diversity with a high proportion of native species producing high functional value.

Any wetland observed within the study area boundary is also identified to their respective Cowardin et al. (1979) classification. In brief, this method requires that the delineator classify systems based on the areal extent of vegetative cover. If vegetation covers 30% or more of the substrate, classes are distinguished on the basis of the life form of the plants that constitute the uppermost layer of vegetation and that possess an areal coverage 30% or greater.

The boundary of any wetland identified within the study area boundary is flagged and recorded in the field with a Trimble Geo-XH hand-held GPS with sub-meter horizontal accuracy. The boundary data that is collected is spatially accurate to <1.0 meter and conforms to the most recent USACE criteria for wetland delineation boundary surveys.

4.2 Streams

Prior to performing any field studies, the Summit County Soil Survey map, the USGS 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map, and the NWI map were analyzed in detail to determine the presence of any previously-identified streams within the study area boundary.

Following the literature review, further investigation included inspection on foot during the field reconnaissance portion of the project to confirm the information gathered from the literature review, and to identify any streams not annotated on the reviewed sources.

If any streams are identified within the study area boundary, their drainage area is calculated using the USGS StreamStats for Ohio website (USGS StreamStats Ohio, 2010) to first determine if the stream is considered a Primary Headwater Habitat (PHWH) Stream (<1.0mi²), or a non PHWH Stream (>1.0mi²). If the stream is determined to be a PHWH Stream, the Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's Primary Headwater Habitat Streams is used to assign a Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index (HHEI) score for the stream. The HHEI evaluation requires the examination of three habitat variables (channel substrate composition, bankfull width, and maximum pool depth) to sufficiently separate PHWH streams into Class I, Modified Class I, Class II, Modified Class II, and Class III PHWH streams. Once an HHEI score is established for a stream, the decision making flowchart from the Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH streams is reviewed to determine the appropriate designation of stream class. Following the flowchart, where it was warranted, further evaluation for potential Rheocrene Biotic Communities may be required. This evaluation includes conducting a Headwater Macroinvertebrate Field Evaluation Index (HMFEI) and an investigation of the aquatic vertebrates (fish and amphibians) utilizing the stream. The flow regime of the stream is determined in the field based on stream morphology and site conditions at the time of the investigation.

If a stream is identified as a Non-PHWH Stream (drainage area >1.0mi²), the stream is characterized by completing a Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI) assessment (Rankin, 1989). The QHEI field method requires the examination of six stream habitat characteristics. The evaluation and rating of these six habitat characteristics can yield a qualitative score from 7-100. A low score is indicative of a stream with relatively low ecological/habitat value for fish or macroinvertebrates, etc. A score near the middle of the range is indicative of moderate habitat, and a score near the high end of the range could indicate an exceptional stream community. The six stream habitat characteristics that are evaluated included substrate quality, in-stream cover, channel morphology, riparian zone quality, pool/glide and riffle/run quality, and stream gradient.

Similar to the wetlands, the centerline of streams within the study area is recorded in the field with a Trimble Geo-XH hand-held GPS with sub-meter horizontal accuracy.

4.3 Ponds

Prior to performing any field studies, the Summit County Soil Survey map, the USGS 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map, and the NWI map were analyzed in detail to determine the presence of any previously-identified ponds within the study area boundary.

Following the literature review, further investigation included inspection on foot during the field reconnaissance portion of the project to confirm the information gathered from the literature review, and to identify any ponds not annotated on the reviewed sources.

Ponds were identified as those areas with permanent inundation and lacking hydrophytic vegetation indicators.

5.0 FINDINGS

5.1 Wetlands

5.1.1 Literature Review

Prior to performing field studies, the USGS 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map (**Figure 1**), County Soil Survey map (**Figure 3**), and NWI map (**Figure 4**) were analyzed in detail to determine the possible distribution of any previously-identified freshwater wetlands within the study area. No evidence of freshwater wetland features was depicted within the study area on the topographic map or the NWI map.

The Summit County, Ohio (USDA-NRCS, 2019) Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) database indicates that there are two (2) soil type mapped within the study area boundary. Both of these soils appear on the Soil Data Access (SDA) Hydric Soils List for Summit County maintained by the U.S. Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service (USDA-NRCS, 2019). The soil map is enclosed as **Figure 3**. Additional information pertaining to the soil type identified within the study area is presented in the table below.

TABLE 1. SOIL SUMMARY

Symbol	Taxonomy	Map Unit Description	Drainage Class	Hydric¹
MgA	Fine, illitic, mesic Aeric Epiaqualfs	Mahoning silt loam, 0-2% slopes	Somewhat poorly drained	Yes
Mn	Fine, illitic, mesic Aeric Epiaqualfs	Mahoning-Urban Land Complex, 0-2% slopes	Somewhat poorly drained	Yes

¹State Soil Data Access (SDA) Hydric Soils List

5.1.2 Field Reconnaissance

Following the literature review, further investigation included inspection on foot during the field reconnaissance portion of the project to confirm the information gathered from the literature review, and to identify any wetlands not annotated on the reviewed sources.

Five (5) freshwater wetland features were identified within the study area. The on-site wetlands totaled 0.430-acre in size. Wetlands are depicted on the Aquatic Resources Map (**Figure 2**). All wetlands were field delineated and the wetland/upland boundaries were flagged.

All wetlands were determined to be contiguous to the Powers Brook (Mud Brook RM 9.09) (OAC 3745-1-26, Table 26-1) via Stream 0426-04, and therefore "adjacent". The USACE will make the final determination of "jurisdiction" in accordance with the Clean Water Act concerning all on-site aquatic features.

Wetland data forms and ORAM field forms can be found in **Appendix A**. Representative photographs can be found in **Appendix B**. A detailed summary of the wetlands is presented in the table below.

TABLE 2. WETLAND FEATURE SUMMARY

ID	ORAM Score/ Category	Cowardin Class	Surrounding Land Use	Hydrologic Connectivity	Receiving Body (Distance To)	On-Site Acreage
0423-01	11/Category 1	PEM	Commercial	Adjacent	Stream 0423-04 (200 ft)	0.002
0423-03	15/Category 1	PEM	Commercial	Adjacent	Stream 0423-04 (45 ft)	0.053
0423-05	12/Category 1	PEM	Commercial	Adjacent	Stream 0423-04 (200 ft)	0.050
0423-07	12/Category 1	PEM	Commercial	Adjacent	Stream 0423-04 (135 ft)	0.041
0423-09	16/Category 1	PEM	Commercial	Adjacent	Stream 0423-04 (50 ft)	0.284

Total On-Site Wetland Acreage 0.430

Adjacent/Isolated refers to Traditional Navigable Waters and/or "Waters of the United States"

^A The USACE will make the final determination regarding "adjacent" or "isolated" and subsequent jurisdiction.

5.2 Streams

5.2.1 Literature Review

Prior to performing field studies, the USGS 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map (**Figure 1**), County Soil Survey map (**Figure 3**), and NWI map (**Figure 4**) were analyzed in detail to determine the possible distribution of any previously-identified streams within the study area boundary.

No evidence of stream features was identified within the study area on the reviewed sources.

5.2.2 Field Reconnaissance

Following the literature review, further investigation included inspection on foot during the field reconnaissance portion of the project to confirm the information gathered from the literature review, and to identify any streams not annotated on the reviewed sources.

One (1) stream was identified within the study area boundary. This stream is depicted on the Aquatic Resources Map (**Figure 2**).

Information relative to the identified stream's drainage area, flow regime, stream length, HHEI score, Ohio water quality standards use-designation, and adjacent land use are listed in **Table 2** below. **Appendix A** contains the HHEI field form completed during the field investigation and **Appendix B** contains representative photographs of the streams. No fish/salamanders forms, QHEI Forms, or HMFEI forms were warranted for the stream features identified within the study area.

TABLE 3. STREAM FEATURE SUMMARY

ID	Drainage Area (mi²)	Flow Regime	PHWH Class	Surrounding Land Use	HHEI Score	Stream Length (ft)^A
0423-04	0.15	Perennial	Modified Class II	Commercial	48	287

^A Length within Study Area

5.3 Ponds

5.3.1 Literature Review

Prior to performing field studies, the USGS 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map (**Figure 1**), County Soil Survey map (**Figure 3**), and NWI map (**Figure 4**) were analyzed in detail to determine the presence of any previously-identified ponds within the study area boundary.

No evidence of pond features was identified within the study area boundary on the reviewed sources.

5.3.2 Field Reconnaissance

Following the literature review, further investigation included inspection on foot during the field reconnaissance portion of the project to confirm the information gathered from the literature review, and to identify any ponds not annotated on the reviewed sources.

No pond features were identified within the study area boundary during the field reconnaissance activities.

6.0 CONCLUSIONS

Based upon the field reconnaissance activities, five (5) freshwater wetland features and one (1) stream feature were identified within the study area. No pond features were identified within the study area. All identified features are depicted on the Aquatic Resources Map (**Figure 2**).

Criteria have been evaluated in order to determine whether the aquatic feature located within study area is "adjacent" or "isolated". Specifically, the definition of "adjacent", as provided in 33 CFR Part 328.4, was used to determine if the aquatic feature was bordering, contiguous, or neighboring ("adjacent") other "Waters of the United States".


All features were determined to be contiguous to the Powers Brook (Mud Brook RM 9.09) (OAC 3745-1-26, Table 26-1) via Stream 0426-04, and therefore "adjacent". The USACE will make the final determination of "jurisdiction" in accordance with the Clean Water Act concerning all on-site aquatic features.


It is GPD Group's recommendation that no earthwork be conducted until such time as all appropriate regulatory agency acknowledgements, reviews, and verifications have been completed.

7.0 LITERATURE CITED/REFERENCES

- Braun, L.E. 1987. The Monocotyledoneae of Ohio. The Ohio State University Press, Columbus, Ohio.
- Brown, Lauren. 1979. Grasses an Identification Guide. Houghton Mifflin Company, New York, New York.
- Cowardin, et al. 1979. Classification of Wetlands and Deepwater Habitats of the United States. U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, U.S. Department of the Interior, Washington, D.C.
- Environmental Laboratory. 1987. Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual. Technical Report Y-87-1. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Waterway Experiment Station, Vicksburg, Mississippi.
- Environmental Laboratory. 2012. Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Northcentral and Northeast Region (Version 2.0). ERDC/EL TR-12-9, U.S. Army Engineer Research and Development Center, Vicksburg, MS.
- Federal Interagency Committee for Wetland Delineation (FICWD). 1989. Federal Manual for Identifying and Delineating Jurisdictional Wetlands. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Environmental Protection Agency, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, and U.S.D.A. Soil Conservation Service, Washington D.C. Cooperative Publication.
- Knobel, Edward. 1980. Field Guide to the Grasses, Sedges and Rushes of the United States. Dover Publications, Inc., Toronto, Ontario.
- Lichvar, R.W., D.L. Banks, W.N. Kirchner, and N.C. Melvin. 2016. The National Wetland Plant List: 2016 wetland ratings. Phytoneuron 2016-30: 1-17. Published 28 April 2016. ISSN 2153 733X
- Munsell Soil Color Charts. 2009. Munsell Products, Macbeth Color and Photometry Division of Kolmorgen Corporation, Baltimore, Maryland.
- Newcomb, Lawrence. 1977. Newcomb's Wildflower Guide. Little Brown and Company, Boston, Massachusetts.
- Ohio EPA, 2001. Ohio Rapid Assessment Method for Wetlands v. 5.0. Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.
- Ohio EPA, 2006. Methods for Assessing Habitat in Flowing Waters: Using the Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index (QHEI). Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.
- Ohio EPA, 2018. State of Ohio Water Quality Standards. Chapter 3745-1 of the Administrative Code. (Standards and Technical Support Section). Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.
- Ohio EPA, 2018. Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's Primary Headwater Habitat Streams – Version 4.0. Division of Surface Water, Columbus, Ohio.
- Rankin, Edward, T. 1989. The Qualitative Habitat Evaluation Index Rationale, Methods, and Application. Ohio EPA, Ecological Assessment Division, Columbus, Ohio.


- Soil Survey Staff, Natural Resources Conservation Service, United States Department of Agriculture. Web Soil Survey. Available online at <http://websoilsurvey.nrcs.usda.gov/>. Accessed April 30, 2019.
- Tiner, R.W. 1988. Field Guide to Nontidal Wetland Identification. Maryland Department of Natural Resources, Annapolis, Maryland and the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Newton Corner, Massachusetts.
- United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation Service. 2019. List of Hydric Soils for Summit County, Ohio.
- United States Department of Agriculture – Natural Resources Conservation District. 2019. Soil Survey Geographic (SSURGO) database for Summit County, Ohio.
- United States Department of Agriculture – Soil Conservation Service. Midwestern Wetland Flora, Field Office Guide to Plant Species. Midwest National Technical Center, Lincoln, Nebraska.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. February 2018. National Wetlands Inventory website. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. <http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/>. Accessed April 22, 2019.
- United States Department of Agriculture – Soil Conservation Service. Midwestern Wetland Flora, Field Office Guide to Plant Species. Midwest National Technical Center, Lincoln, Nebraska.
- U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. February 2018. National Wetlands Inventory website. U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish and Wildlife Service, Washington, D.C. <http://www.fws.gov/wetlands/>
- United States Geological Service. Hudson, Ohio. 7.5-Minute Topographic Quadrangle Map. U.S. Department of the Interior, Geological Survey.
- U.S. Geological Survey, 2012, The StreamStats program, online at <http://streamstats.usgs.gov>. Accessed May 1, 2019.






- Study Area
- PEM Wetland
- Wetland Extends

- Perennial Stream
- Upland Point
- Wetland Point



DRAFT Aquatic Resources Map
Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion



Study Area
Hydric Soil





Figure 3
Summit County Soil Map
Darrow Substation Ring Bus
Expansion



- | | |
|---------------------|----------|
| Study Area | Pond |
| NWI Wetlands | Lake |
| Emergent | Other |
| Forested/Shrub | Riverine |




Figure 4
 National Wetlands Inventory Map
 Darrow Substation Ring Bus
 Expansion

Appendix A

Field Data Forms

- **Wetland Determination Forms**
- **ORAM Forms**
- **HHEI Form**

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-01
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2096 Long: -81.4326 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: <u>0423-01</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1) <input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2) <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3) <input type="checkbox"/> Marl Deposits (B15) <input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1) <input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) <input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2) <input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) <input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3) <input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) <input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4) <input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) <input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5) <input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7) <input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) <input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks) <input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) <input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6) <input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10) <input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16) <input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2) <input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8) <input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) <input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) <input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3) <input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks: Saturation at surface.		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

Sampling Point: 0423-01

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ = Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 60%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 40%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species _____</td> <td>x 1 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species _____</td> <td>x 2 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species _____</td> <td>x 3 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species _____</td> <td>x 4 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species _____</td> <td>x 5 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: _____</td> <td>(A) _____ (B) _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = _____</td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species _____	x 1 = _____	FACW species _____	x 2 = _____	FAC species _____	x 3 = _____	FACU species _____	x 4 = _____	UPL species _____	x 5 = _____	Column Totals: _____	(A) _____ (B) _____	Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____																			
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____																			
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____																			
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____																			
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____																			
Column Totals: _____	(A) _____ (B) _____																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____																				
_____ = Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
_____ = Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
_____ = Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No _____																
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5' _____)																				
1. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	100	Yes	FACW																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ = Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ = Total Cover																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-01

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-02
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2097 Long: -81.4326 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: 0423-02

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
			=Total Cover	
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
			=Total Cover	
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5'</u>)				
1. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	35	Yes	FACU	
2. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	20	Yes	FACU	
3. <u>Daucus carota</u>	10	No	UPL	
4. <u>Securigera varia</u>	10	No	UPL	
5. <u>Dipsacus fullonum</u>	5	No	FACU	
6. <u>Trifolium pratense</u>	7	No	FACU	
7. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	3	No	FACU	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	
			90 =Total Cover	
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
			=Total Cover	

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 2 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 0.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>
FACU species <u>70</u>	x 4 = <u>280</u>
UPL species <u>20</u>	x 5 = <u>100</u>
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>380</u> (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.22</u>	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
2 - Dominance Test is >50%
3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes No X

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-02

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-03
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2100 Long: -81.4326 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: <u>0423-03</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators:		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)	
Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Surface Water (A1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Water-Stained Leaves (B9)	<input type="checkbox"/> Surface Soil Cracks (B6)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> High Water Table (A2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic Fauna (B13)	<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage Patterns (B10)	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Saturation (A3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Marl Deposits (B15)	<input type="checkbox"/> Moss Trim Lines (B16)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Water Marks (B1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry-Season Water Table (C2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sediment Deposits (B2)	<input type="checkbox"/> Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Crayfish Burrows (C8)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Drift Deposits (B3)	<input type="checkbox"/> Presence of Reduced Iron (C4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Algal Mat or Crust (B4)	<input type="checkbox"/> Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6)	<input type="checkbox"/> Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Iron Deposits (B5)	<input type="checkbox"/> Thin Muck Surface (C7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Geomorphic Position (D2)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7)	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (Explain in Remarks)	<input type="checkbox"/> Shallow Aquitard (D3)	
<input type="checkbox"/> Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<input type="checkbox"/> Microtopographic Relief (D4)	
		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)	
Field Observations:			
Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Depth (inches): <u>0.5</u>	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Depth (inches): <u>4</u>		
Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Depth (inches): <u>2</u>		
(includes capillary fringe)			
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:			
Remarks:			

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: 0423-03

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	=Total Cover			
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	=Total Cover			
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5'</u>)				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	80	Yes	FACW	
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	15	No	OBL	
3. <u>Onoclea sensibilis</u>	5	No	FACW	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	100	=Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	=Total Cover			

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____
Column Totals: _____	(A) _____ (B) _____
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 _____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)
¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-03

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-05
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2095 Long: -81.4334 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: <u>0423-05</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: <u>Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply)</u> _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		<u>Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required)</u> _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>8</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>6</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks:		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: 0423-05

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
		=Total Cover		Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species _____</td> <td>x 1 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species _____</td> <td>x 2 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species _____</td> <td>x 3 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species _____</td> <td>x 4 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species _____</td> <td>x 5 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: _____</td> <td>(A) _____ (B) _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = _____</td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species _____	x 1 = _____	FACW species _____	x 2 = _____	FAC species _____	x 3 = _____	FACU species _____	x 4 = _____	UPL species _____	x 5 = _____	Column Totals: _____	(A) _____ (B) _____	Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____																			
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____																			
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____																			
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____																			
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____																			
Column Totals: _____	(A) _____ (B) _____																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
		=Total Cover		Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <input type="checkbox"/> 2 - Dominance Test is >50% <input type="checkbox"/> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <input type="checkbox"/> 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <input type="checkbox"/> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5'</u>)																				
1. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	90	Yes	FACW																	
2. <i>Apocynum cannabinum</i>	8	No	FAC																	
3. <i>Juncus effusus</i>	2	No	OBL																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
		100 =Total Cover		Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
		=Total Cover																		

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-05

Profile Description: (Describe to the depth needed to document the indicator or confirm the absence of indicators.)

[illegible]¹Type: C=Concentration, D=Depletion, RM=Reduced Matrix, MS=Masked Sand Grains.²Location: PL=Pore Lining, M=Matrix.

Hydric Soil Indicators:

- _____ Histosol (A1)
- _____ Histic Epipedon (A2)
- _____ Black Histic (A3)
- _____ Hydrogen Sulfide (A4)
- _____ Stratified Layers (A5)
- _____ Depleted Below Dark Surface (A11)
- _____ Thick Dark Surface (A12)
- _____ Sandy Mucky Mineral (S1)
- _____ Sandy Gleyed Matrix (S4)
- _____ Sandy Redox (S5)
- _____ Stripped Matrix (S6)
- _____ Dark Surface (S7)

- ☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR R, **MLRA 149B**)
- ☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR R, **MLRA 149B**)
- ☐ High Chroma Sands (S11) (LRR K, L)
- ☐ Loamy Mucky Mineral (F1) (LRR K, L)
- ☐ Loamy Gleyed Matrix (F2)
- ☒ Depleted Matrix (F3)
- ☐ Redox Dark Surface (F6)
- ☐ Depleted Dark Surface (F7)
- ☐ Redox Depressions (F8)
- ☐ Marl (F10) (LRR K, L)

Indicators for Problematic Hydric Soils³:

☐ 2 cm Muck (A10) (LRR K, L, **MLRA 149B**)
☐ Coast Prairie Redox (A16) (LRR K, L, R)
☐ 5 cm Mucky Peat or Peat (S3) (LRR K, L, R)
☐ Polyvalue Below Surface (S8) (LRR K, L)
☐ Thin Dark Surface (S9) (LRR K, L)
☐ Iron-Manganese Masses (F12) (LRR K, L, R)
☐ Piedmont Floodplain Soils (F19) (**MLRA 149B**)
☐ Mesic Spodic (TA6) (**MLRA 144A, 145, 149B**)
☐ Red Parent Material (F21)
☐ Very Shallow Dark Surface (F22)
☐ Other (Explain in Remarks)

³Indicators of hydrophytic vegetation and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Restrictive Layer (if observed):

Type: _____

Depth (inches):

Hydric Soil Present?	Yes	No

Remarks:

This data form is revised from Northcentral and Northeast Regional Supplement Version 2.0 to include the NRCS Field Indicators of Hydric Soils, Version 7.0, 2015 Errata. (http://www.nrcs.usda.gov/Internet/FSE_DOCUMENTS/nrcs142p2_051293.docx)

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-06
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2096 Long: -81.4334 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) _____ Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) _____ FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Saturation Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks: Saturation at surface.		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: 0423-06

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>0.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="text-align: left;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="text-align: left;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>90</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>360</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>50</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)</td> <td><u>410</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2" style="text-align: center;">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.10</u></td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>	FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>	FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>	FACU species <u>90</u>	x 4 = <u>360</u>	UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>	Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>410</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.10</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>0</u>	x 1 = <u>0</u>																			
FACW species <u>0</u>	x 2 = <u>0</u>																			
FAC species <u>0</u>	x 3 = <u>0</u>																			
FACU species <u>90</u>	x 4 = <u>360</u>																			
UPL species <u>10</u>	x 5 = <u>50</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>100</u> (A)	<u>410</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>4.10</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>3</u> - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5'</u>)																				
1. <u>Poa pratensis</u>	<u>50</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
2. <u>Lolium perenne</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
3. <u>Dipsacus fullonum</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
4. <u>Daucus carota</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>UPL</u>																	
5. <u>Taraxacum officinale</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
6. <u>Glechoma hederacea</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
100 =Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u> </u> No <u>X</u>																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-06

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-07
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2098 Long: -81.4332 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: <u>0423-07</u>
Hydric Soil Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Wetland Hydrology Present?	Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)		

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>10</u> (includes capillary fringe)		Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks: Saturation at surface.		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: 0423-07

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>1</u> (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: <u>2</u> (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: <u>50.0%</u> (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <thead> <tr> <th>Total % Cover of:</th> <th>Multiply by:</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>OBL species <u>15</u></td> <td>x 1 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species <u>60</u></td> <td>x 2 = <u>120</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species <u>5</u></td> <td>x 3 = <u>15</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species <u>10</u></td> <td>x 4 = <u>40</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species <u>0</u></td> <td>x 5 = <u>0</u></td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)</td> <td><u>190</u> (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.11</u></td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>	FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>	FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>	FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>	UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>	Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>190</u> (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.11</u>	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species <u>15</u>	x 1 = <u>15</u>																			
FACW species <u>60</u>	x 2 = <u>120</u>																			
FAC species <u>5</u>	x 3 = <u>15</u>																			
FACU species <u>10</u>	x 4 = <u>40</u>																			
UPL species <u>0</u>	x 5 = <u>0</u>																			
Column Totals: <u>90</u> (A)	<u>190</u> (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = <u>2.11</u>																				
=Total Cover																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
=Total Cover				Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <u>1</u> - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation <u>2</u> - Dominance Test is >50% <u>X</u> 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ <u>4</u> - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) <u> </u> Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
=Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5'</u>)																				
1. <u>Phalaris arundinacea</u>	<u>60</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACW</u>																	
2. <u>Juncus effusus</u>	<u>15</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>OBL</u>																	
3. <u>Barbarea vulgaris</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>No</u>	<u>FAC</u>																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
80 =Total Cover				Definitions of Vegetation Strata: Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height. Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall. Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall. Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.																
=Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: <u>15'</u>)																				
1. <u>Rosa multiflora</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>Yes</u>	<u>FACU</u>																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10 =Total Cover																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-07

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-08
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Terrace Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2096 Long: -81.4339 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>
Hydric Soil Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u>	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: _____
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) _____ Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) _____ High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes _____ No <u>X</u> Depth (inches): _____ Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>14</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>12</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:		
Remarks: Saturation at surface.		

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: 0423-08

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	_____	=Total Cover		
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	_____	=Total Cover		
Herb Stratum (Plot size: <u>5'</u>)				
1. <i>Phalaris arundinacea</i>	50	Yes	FACW	
2. <i>Lolium perenne</i>	15	No	FACU	
3. <i>Dipsacus fullonum</i>	10	No	FACU	
4. <i>Daucus carota</i>	5	No	UPL	
5. <i>Taraxacum officinale</i>	3	No	FACU	
6. <i>Glechoma hederacea</i>	2	No	FACU	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	85	=Total Cover		
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____	
	_____	=Total Cover		

Dominance Test worksheet:
 Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 1 (A)
 Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: 1 (B)
 Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: 100.0% (A/B)

Prevalence Index worksheet:

Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____
Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	

Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators:
1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation
X 2 - Dominance Test is >50%
3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0¹
4 - Morphological Adaptations¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet)
 _____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation¹ (Explain)

¹Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.

Definitions of Vegetation Strata:
Tree – Woody plants 3 in. (7.6 cm) or more in diameter at breast height (DBH), regardless of height.
Sapling/shrub – Woody plants less than 3 in. DBH and greater than or equal to 3.28 ft (1 m) tall.
Herb – All herbaceous (non-woody) plants, regardless of size, and woody plants less than 3.28 ft tall.
Woody vines – All woody vines greater than 3.28 ft in height.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes X No _____

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-08

[illegible]

WETLAND DETERMINATION DATA FORM – Northcentral and Northeast Region

Project/Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion City/County: Summit County Sampling Date: 4/23/2019
 Applicant/Owner: FirstEnergy State: OH Sampling Point: 0423-09
 Investigator(s): L. Scott, A. Schweitzer Section, Township, Range: T4N R10W
 Landform (hillside, terrace, etc.): Depression Local relief (concave, convex, none): None Slope %: -
 Subregion (LRR or MLRA): LRR R, MLRA 139 Lat: 41.2098 Long: -81.4336 Datum: NAD83
 Soil Map Unit Name: MgA NWI classification: _____

Are climatic / hydrologic conditions on the site typical for this time of year? Yes X No _____ (If no, explain in Remarks.)
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ significantly disturbed? Are "Normal Circumstances" present? Yes X No _____
 Are Vegetation _____, Soil _____, or Hydrology _____ naturally problematic? (If needed, explain any answers in Remarks.)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS – Attach site map showing sampling point locations, transects, important features, etc.

Hydrophytic Vegetation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	Is the Sampled Area within a Wetland? Yes <u>X</u> No _____
Hydric Soil Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	If yes, optional Wetland Site ID: <u>0423-09</u>
Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	
Remarks: (Explain alternative procedures here or in a separate report.)	

HYDROLOGY

Wetland Hydrology Indicators: Primary Indicators (minimum of one is required; check all that apply) <u>X</u> Surface Water (A1) _____ Water-Stained Leaves (B9) <u>X</u> High Water Table (A2) _____ Aquatic Fauna (B13) <u>X</u> Saturation (A3) _____ Marl Deposits (B15) _____ Water Marks (B1) _____ Hydrogen Sulfide Odor (C1) _____ Sediment Deposits (B2) _____ Oxidized Rhizospheres on Living Roots (C3) _____ Drift Deposits (B3) _____ Presence of Reduced Iron (C4) _____ Algal Mat or Crust (B4) _____ Recent Iron Reduction in Tilled Soils (C6) _____ Iron Deposits (B5) _____ Thin Muck Surface (C7) _____ Inundation Visible on Aerial Imagery (B7) _____ Other (Explain in Remarks) _____ Sparsely Vegetated Concave Surface (B8)		Secondary Indicators (minimum of two required) _____ Surface Soil Cracks (B6) _____ Drainage Patterns (B10) _____ Moss Trim Lines (B16) _____ Dry-Season Water Table (C2) _____ Crayfish Burrows (C8) _____ Saturation Visible on Aerial Imagery (C9) _____ Stunted or Stressed Plants (D1) _____ Geomorphic Position (D2) _____ Shallow Aquitard (D3) _____ Microtopographic Relief (D4) <u>X</u> FAC-Neutral Test (D5)
Field Observations: Surface Water Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>1</u> Water Table Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>2</u> Saturation Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____ Depth (inches): <u>0</u> (includes capillary fringe)	Wetland Hydrology Present? Yes <u>X</u> No _____	

Describe Recorded Data (stream gauge, monitoring well, aerial photos, previous inspections), if available:

Remarks:
 Saturation at surface

VEGETATION – Use scientific names of plants.

 Sampling Point: 0423-09

Tree Stratum (Plot size: _____)	Absolute % Cover	Dominant Species?	Indicator Status																	
1. _____	_____	_____	_____	Dominance Test worksheet: Number of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A) Total Number of Dominant Species Across All Strata: _____ (B) Percent of Dominant Species That Are OBL, FACW, or FAC: _____ (A/B)																
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ =Total Cover				Prevalence Index worksheet: <table style="width: 100%;"> <tr> <th style="width: 50%;">Total % Cover of:</th> <th style="width: 50%;">Multiply by:</th> </tr> <tr> <td>OBL species _____</td> <td>x 1 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACW species _____</td> <td>x 2 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FAC species _____</td> <td>x 3 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>FACU species _____</td> <td>x 4 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>UPL species _____</td> <td>x 5 = _____</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Column Totals: _____ (A)</td> <td>_____ (B)</td> </tr> <tr> <td colspan="2">Prevalence Index = B/A = _____</td> </tr> </table>	Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:	OBL species _____	x 1 = _____	FACW species _____	x 2 = _____	FAC species _____	x 3 = _____	FACU species _____	x 4 = _____	UPL species _____	x 5 = _____	Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)	Prevalence Index = B/A = _____	
Total % Cover of:	Multiply by:																			
OBL species _____	x 1 = _____																			
FACW species _____	x 2 = _____																			
FAC species _____	x 3 = _____																			
FACU species _____	x 4 = _____																			
UPL species _____	x 5 = _____																			
Column Totals: _____ (A)	_____ (B)																			
Prevalence Index = B/A = _____																				
Sapling/Shrub Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ =Total Cover																				
Herb Stratum (Plot size: 5')																				
1. <i>Juncus effusus</i>	70	Yes	OBL	Hydrophytic Vegetation Indicators: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 - Rapid Test for Hydrophytic Vegetation _____ 2 - Dominance Test is >50% _____ 3 - Prevalence Index is ≤3.0 ¹ _____ 4 - Morphological Adaptations ¹ (Provide supporting data in Remarks or on a separate sheet) _____ Problematic Hydrophytic Vegetation ¹ (Explain) ¹ Indicators of hydric soil and wetland hydrology must be present, unless disturbed or problematic.																
2. <i>Juncus effusus</i>	15	No	OBL																	
3. <i>Onoclea sensibilis</i>	5	No	FACW																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
5. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
6. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
7. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
8. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
9. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
10. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
11. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
12. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
90 =Total Cover																				
Woody Vine Stratum (Plot size: _____)																				
1. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
2. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
3. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
4. _____	_____	_____	_____																	
_____ =Total Cover																				

Remarks: (Include photo numbers here or on a separate sheet.)

SOIL

Sampling Point: 0423-09

[illegible]

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion	Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer	Date: 04/23/2019
---	--	-------------------------

0	0
max 6 pts.	subtotal

Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).

Select one size class and assign score.

- ☐ >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts)
- ☐ 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts)
- ☐ 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts)
- ☐ 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts)
- ☐ 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts)
- ☐ 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt)
- ☒ <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)

2	2
max 14 pts.	subtotal

Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.

2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check.

- ☐ WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7)
- ☐ MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4)
- ☐ NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1)
- ☒ VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0)

2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average.

- ☐ VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7)
- ☐ LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5)
- ☒ MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3)
- ☒ HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)

9	11
max 30 pts.	subtotal

Metric 3. Hydrology.

3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply.

- ☐ High pH groundwater (5)
- ☐ Other groundwater (3)
- ☒ Precipitation (1)
- ☐ Seasonal/Intermittent surface water (3)
- ☐ Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5)

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ >0.7 (27.6in) (3)
- ☐ 0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2)
- ☒ <0.4m (<15.7in) (1)

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (12)
- ☒ Recovered (7)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

3b. Connectivity. Score all that apply.

- ☐ 100 year floodplain (1)
- ☒ Between stream/lake and other human use (1)
- ☐ Part of wetland/upland (e.g. forest), complex (1)
- ☐ Part of riparian or upland corridor (1)

3d. Duration inundation/saturation. Score one or dbl check.

- ☐ Semi- to permanently inundated/saturated (4)
- ☐ Regularly inundated/saturated (3)
- ☒ Seasonally inundated (2)
- ☒ Seasonally saturated in upper 30cm (12in) (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☐ ditch
- ☐ tile
- ☐ dike
- ☐ weir
- ☐ stormwater input

- ☐ point source (nonstormwater)
- ☒ filling/grading
- ☐ road bed/RR track
- ☐ dredging
- ☐ other

4	15
max 20 pts.	subtotal

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.

4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (4)
- ☐ Recovered (3)
- ☐ Recovering (2)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ Excellent (7)
- ☐ Very good (6)
- ☐ Good (5)
- ☐ Moderately good (4)
- ☐ Fair (3)
- ☐ Poor to fair (2)
- ☒ Poor (1)

4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (9)
- ☐ Recovered (6)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☒ mowing
- ☐ grazing
- ☐ clearcutting
- ☐ selective cutting
- ☐ woody debris removal
- ☐ toxic pollutants

- ☐ shrub/sapling removal
- ☐ herbaceous/aquatic bed removal
- ☐ sedimentation
- ☐ dredging
- ☐ farming
- ☐ nutrient enrichment

15
subtotal this page

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion

Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer

Date: 04/23/2019

15

subtotal first page

0

15

max 10 pts.

subtotal

Metric 5. Special Wetlands.

Check all that apply and score as indicated.

- ☐ Bog (10)
☐ Fen (10)
☐ Old growth forest (10)
☐ Mature forested wetland (5)
☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10)
☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5)
☐ Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10)
☐ Relict Wet Prairies (10)
☐ Known occurrence state/federal threatened or endangered species (10)
☐ Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10)
☐ Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10)

-4

11

max 20 pts.

subtotal

Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography.**6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.**

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Aquatic bed
☒ 1 Emergent
☐ Shrub
☐ Forest
☐ Mudflats
☐ Open water
☐ Other

6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersion.

Select only one.

- ☐ High (5)
☐ Moderately high (4)
☐ Moderate (3)
☐ Moderately low (2)
☐ Low (1)
☒ None (0)

6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add or deduct points for coverage

- ☒ Extensive >75% cover (-5)
☐ Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)
☐ Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)
☐ Nearly absent <5% cover (0)
☐ Absent (1)

6d. Microtopography.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Vegetated hummocks/tussocks
☐ Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)
☐ Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh
☐ Amphibian breeding pools

Vegetation Community Cover Scale

0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is of low quality
2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part and is of high quality
3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality

Narrative Description of Vegetation Quality

low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or disturbance tolerant native species
mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp

Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

Microtopography Cover Scale

0	Absent
1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality
2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality

11

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion	Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer	Date: 04/23/2019
---	--	-------------------------

1	1
max 6 pts.	subtotal

Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).

Select one size class and assign score.

- ☐ >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts)
- ☐ 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts)
- ☐ 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts)
- ☐ 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts)
- ☐ 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts)
- ☒ 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt)
- ☐ <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)

2	3
max 14 pts.	subtotal

Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.

2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check.

- ☐ WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7)
- ☐ MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4)
- ☐ NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1)
- ☒ VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0)

2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average.

- ☐ VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7)
- ☐ LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5)
- ☒ MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3)
- ☒ HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)

9	12
max 30 pts.	subtotal

Metric 3. Hydrology.

3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply.

- ☐ High pH groundwater (5)
- ☐ Other groundwater (3)
- ☒ Precipitation (1)
- ☐ Seasonal/Intermittent surface water (3)
- ☐ Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5)

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ >0.7 (27.6in) (3)
- ☐ 0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2)
- ☒ <0.4m (<15.7in) (1)

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (12)
- ☒ Recovered (7)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

3b. Connectivity. Score all that apply.

- ☐ 100 year floodplain (1)
- ☒ Between stream/lake and other human use (1)
- ☐ Part of wetland/upland (e.g. forest), complex (1)
- ☐ Part of riparian or upland corridor (1)

3d. Duration inundation/saturation. Score one or dbl check.

- ☐ Semi- to permanently inundated/saturated (4)
- ☐ Regularly inundated/saturated (3)
- ☐ Seasonally inundated (2)
- ☒ Seasonally saturated in upper 30cm (12in) (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☐ ditch
- ☐ tile
- ☐ dike
- ☐ weir
- ☐ stormwater input

- ☐ point source (nonstormwater)
- ☒ filling/grading
- ☐ road bed/RR track
- ☐ dredging
- ☐ other _____

5	17
max 20 pts.	subtotal

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.

4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (4)
- ☐ Recovered (3)
- ☐ Recovering (2)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ Excellent (7)
- ☐ Very good (6)
- ☐ Good (5)
- ☐ Moderately good (4)
- ☐ Fair (3)
- ☒ Poor to fair (2)
- ☐ Poor (1)

4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (9)
- ☐ Recovered (6)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☒ mowing
- ☐ grazing
- ☐ clearcutting
- ☐ selective cutting
- ☐ woody debris removal
- ☐ toxic pollutants

- ☐ shrub/sapling removal
- ☐ herbaceous/aquatic bed removal
- ☐ sedimentation
- ☐ dredging
- ☐ farming
- ☐ nutrient enrichment

17

subtotal this page

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion	Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer	Date: 04/23/2019
---	--	-------------------------

17

subtotal first page

0	17
---	----

max 10 pts.

subtotal

Metric 5. Special Wetlands.

Check all that apply and score as indicated.

- ☐ Bog (10)
- ☐ Fen (10)
- ☐ Old growth forest (10)
- ☐ Mature forested wetland (5)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5)
- ☐ Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10)
- ☐ Relict Wet Prairies (10)
- ☐ Known occurrence state/federal threatened or endangered species (10)
- ☐ Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10)
- ☐ Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10)

-2	15
----	----

max 20 pts.

subtotal

Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography.

6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Aquatic bed
- ☒ 1 Emergent
- ☐ Shrub
- ☐ Forest
- ☐ Mudflats
- ☐ Open water
- ☐ Other

6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersion.

Select only one.

- ☐ High (5)
- ☐ Moderately high(4)
- ☐ Moderate (3)
- ☐ Moderately low (2)
- ☒ Low (1)
- ☐ None (0)

6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add or deduct points for coverage

- ☒ Extensive >75% cover (-5)
- ☐ Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)
- ☐ Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)
- ☐ Nearly absent <5% cover (0)
- ☐ Absent (1)

6d. Microtopography.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Vegetated hummocks/mounds
- ☐ Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)
- ☐ Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh
- ☒ 1 Amphibian breeding pools

Vegetation Community Cover Scale

0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is of low quality
2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part and is of high quality
3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality

Narrative Description of Vegetation Quality

low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or disturbance tolerant native species
mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp

Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

Microtopography Cover Scale

0	Absent
1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality
2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality

15

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion

Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer

Date: 04/23/2019

0	0
max 6 pts.	subtotal

Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).

Select one size class and assign score.

- ☐ >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts)
- ☐ 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts)
- ☐ 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts)
- ☐ 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts)
- ☐ 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts)
- ☐ 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt)
- ☒ <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)

2	2
max 14 pts.	subtotal

Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.

2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check.

- ☐ WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7)
- ☐ MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4)
- ☐ NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1)
- ☒ VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0)

2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average.

- ☐ VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7)
- ☐ LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5)
- ☒ MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3)
- ☒ HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)

9	11
max 30 pts.	subtotal

Metric 3. Hydrology.

3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply.

- ☐ High pH groundwater (5)
- ☐ Other groundwater (3)
- ☒ Precipitation (1)
- ☐ Seasonal/intermittent surface water (3)
- ☐ Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5)

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ >0.7 (27.6in) (3)
- ☐ 0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2)
- ☒ <0.4m (<15.7in) (1)

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (12)
- ☒ Recovered (7)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

3b. Connectivity. Score all that apply.

- ☐ 100 year floodplain (1)
- ☒ Between stream/lake and other human use (1)
- ☐ Part of wetland/upland (e.g. forest), complex (1)
- ☐ Part of riparian or upland corridor (1)

3d. Duration inundation/saturation. Score one or dbl check.

- ☐ Semi- to permanently inundated/saturated (4)
- ☐ Regularly inundated/saturated (3)
- ☐ Seasonally inundated (2)
- ☒ Seasonally saturated in upper 30cm (12in) (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☐ ditch
- ☐ tile
- ☐ dike
- ☐ weir
- ☐ stormwater input
- ☐ point source (nonstormwater)
- ☒ filling/grading
- ☐ road bed/RR track
- ☐ dredging
- ☐ other

4	15
max 20 pts.	subtotal

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.

4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (4)
- ☐ Recovered (3)
- ☐ Recovering (2)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ Excellent (7)
- ☐ Very good (6)
- ☐ Good (5)
- ☐ Moderately good (4)
- ☐ Fair (3)
- ☐ Poor to fair (2)
- ☒ Poor (1)

4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (9)
- ☐ Recovered (6)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☒ mowing
- ☐ grazing
- ☐ clearcutting
- ☐ selective cutting
- ☐ woody debris removal
- ☐ toxic pollutants
- ☐ shrub/sapling removal
- ☐ herbaceous/aquatic bed removal
- ☐ sedimentation
- ☐ dredging
- ☐ farming
- ☐ nutrient enrichment

15
subtotal this page

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion	Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer	Date: 04/23/2019
---	--	-------------------------

15

subtotal first page

0	15
max 10 pts.	subtotal

Metric 5. Special Wetlands.

Check all that apply and score as indicated.

- ☐ Bog (10)
☐ Fen (10)
☐ Old growth forest (10)
☐ Mature forested wetland (5)
☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10)
☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5)
☐ Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10)
☐ Relict Wet Prairies (10)
☐ Known occurrence state/federal threatened or endangered species (10)
☐ Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10)
☐ Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10)

-3	12
max 20 pts.	subtotal

Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography.**6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.**

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Aquatic bed
☒ 1 Emergent
☐ Shrub
☐ Forest
☐ Mudflats
☐ Open water
☐ Other

6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersions.

Select only one.

- ☐ High (5)
☐ Moderately high (4)
☐ Moderate (3)
☐ Moderately low (2)
☒ Low (1)
☐ None (0)

6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add or deduct points for coverage

- ☒ Extensive >75% cover (-5)
☐ Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)
☐ Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)
☐ Nearly absent <5% cover (0)
☐ Absent (1)

6d. Microtopography.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Vegetated hummocks/tussocks
☐ Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)
☐ Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh
☐ Amphibian breeding pools

Vegetation Community Cover Scale

0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is of low quality
2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part and is of high quality
3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality

Narrative Description of Vegetation Quality

low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or disturbance tolerant native species
mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp

Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

Microtopography Cover Scale

0	Absent
1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality
2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality

12

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion	Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer	Date: 04/23/2019
---	--	-------------------------

0	0
max 6 pts.	subtotal

Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).

Select one size class and assign score.

- ☐ >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts)
- ☐ 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts)
- ☐ 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts)
- ☐ 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts)
- ☐ 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts)
- ☐ 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt)
- ☒ <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)

2	2
max 14 pts.	subtotal

Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.

2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check.

- ☐ WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7)
- ☐ MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4)
- ☐ NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1)
- ☒ VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0)

2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average.

- ☐ VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7)
- ☐ LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5)
- ☒ MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3)
- ☒ HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)

9	11
max 30 pts.	subtotal

Metric 3. Hydrology.

3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply.

- ☐ High pH groundwater (5)
- ☐ Other groundwater (3)
- ☒ Precipitation (1)
- ☐ Seasonal/Intermittent surface water (3)
- ☐ Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5)

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ >0.7 (27.6in) (3)
- ☐ 0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2)
- ☒ <0.4m (<15.7in) (1)

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (12)
- ☒ Recovered (7)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

3b. Connectivity. Score all that apply.

- ☐ 100 year floodplain (1)
- ☒ Between stream/lake and other human use (1)
- ☐ Part of wetland/upland (e.g. forest), complex (1)
- ☐ Part of riparian or upland corridor (1)

3d. Duration inundation/saturation. Score one or dbl check.

- ☐ Semi- to permanently inundated/saturated (4)
- ☐ Regularly inundated/saturated (3)
- ☐ Seasonally inundated (2)
- ☒ Seasonally saturated in upper 30cm (12in) (1)

Check all disturbances observed	
<input type="checkbox"/> ditch	<input type="checkbox"/> point source (nonstormwater)
<input type="checkbox"/> tile	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> filling/grading
<input type="checkbox"/> dike	<input type="checkbox"/> road bed/RR track
<input type="checkbox"/> weir	<input type="checkbox"/> dredging
<input type="checkbox"/> stormwater input	<input type="checkbox"/> other _____

4	15
max 20 pts.	subtotal

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.

4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (4)
- ☐ Recovered (3)
- ☐ Recovering (2)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ Excellent (7)
- ☐ Very good (6)
- ☐ Good (5)
- ☐ Moderately good (4)
- ☐ Fair (3)
- ☐ Poor to fair (2)
- ☒ Poor (1)

4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (9)
- ☐ Recovered (6)
- ☒ Recovering (3)
- ☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> mowing	<input type="checkbox"/> shrub/sapling removal
<input type="checkbox"/> grazing	<input type="checkbox"/> herbaceous/aquatic bed removal
<input type="checkbox"/> clearcutting	<input type="checkbox"/> sedimentation
<input type="checkbox"/> selective cutting	<input type="checkbox"/> dredging
<input type="checkbox"/> woody debris removal	<input type="checkbox"/> farming
<input type="checkbox"/> toxic pollutants	<input type="checkbox"/> nutrient enrichment

15

subtotal this page

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion	Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer	Date: 04/23/2019
---	--	-------------------------

15

subtotal first page

0	15
---	----

max 10 pts.

subtotal

Metric 5. Special Wetlands.

Check all that apply and score as indicated.

- ☐ Bog (10)
- ☐ Fen (10)
- ☐ Old growth forest (10)
- ☐ Mature forested wetland (5)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5)
- ☐ Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10)
- ☐ Relict Wet Prairies (10)
- ☐ Known occurrence state/federal threatened or endangered species (10)
- ☐ Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10)
- ☐ Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10)

-3	12
----	----

max 20 pts.

subtotal

Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography.

6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Aquatic bed
- ☒ 1 Emergent
- ☐ Shrub
- ☐ Forest
- ☐ Mudflats
- ☐ Open water
- ☐ Other

6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersion.

Select only one.

- ☐ High (5)
- ☐ Moderately high(4)
- ☐ Moderate (3)
- ☐ Moderately low (2)
- ☒ Low (1)
- ☐ None (0)

6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add or deduct points for coverage

- ☒ Extensive >75% cover (-5)
- ☐ Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)
- ☐ Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)
- ☐ Nearly absent <5% cover (0)
- ☐ Absent (1)

6d. Microtopography.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Vegetated hummocks/tussocks
- ☐ Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)
- ☐ Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh
- ☐ Amphibian breeding pools

Vegetation Community Cover Scale

0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is of low quality
2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part and is of high quality
3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality

Narrative Description of Vegetation Quality

low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or disturbance tolerant native species
mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp

Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

Microtopography Cover Scale

0	Absent
1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality
2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality

12

End of Quantitative Rating. Complete Categorization Worksheets.

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion**Rater(s):** L. Scott; A. Schweitzer**Date:** 04/23/2019

1

1

max 6 pts.

subtotal

Metric 1. Wetland Area (size).

Select one size class and assign score.

- ☐ >50 acres (>20.2ha) (6 pts)
☐ 25 to <50 acres (10.1 to <20.2ha) (5 pts)
☐ 10 to <25 acres (4 to <10.1ha) (4 pts)
☐ 3 to <10 acres (1.2 to <4ha) (3 pts)
☐ 0.3 to <3 acres (0.12 to <1.2ha) (2pts)
☒ 0.1 to <0.3 acres (0.04 to <0.12ha) (1 pt)
☐ <0.1 acres (0.04ha) (0 pts)

2

3

max 14 pts.

subtotal

Metric 2. Upland buffers and surrounding land use.

2a. Calculate average buffer width. Select only one and assign score. Do not double check.

- ☐ WIDE. Buffers average 50m (164ft) or more around wetland perimeter (7)
☐ MEDIUM. Buffers average 25m to <50m (82 to <164ft) around wetland perimeter (4)
☐ NARROW. Buffers average 10m to <25m (32ft to <82ft) around wetland perimeter (1)
☒ VERY NARROW. Buffers average <10m (<32ft) around wetland perimeter (0)

2b. Intensity of surrounding land use. Select one or double check and average.

- ☐ VERY LOW. 2nd growth or older forest, prairie, savannah, wildlife area, etc. (7)
☐ LOW. Old field (>10 years), shrub land, young second growth forest. (5)
☒ MODERATELY HIGH. Residential, fenced pasture, park, conservation tillage, new fallow field. (3)
☒ HIGH. Urban, industrial, open pasture, row cropping, mining, construction. (1)

9

12

max 30 pts.

subtotal

Metric 3. Hydrology.

3a. Sources of Water. Score all that apply.

- ☐ High pH groundwater (5)
☐ Other groundwater (3)
☒ Precipitation (1)
☐ Seasonal/Intermittent surface water (3)
☐ Perennial surface water (lake or stream) (5)

3c. Maximum water depth. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ >0.7 (27.6in) (3)
☐ 0.4 to 0.7m (15.7 to 27.6in) (2)
☒ <0.4m (<15.7in) (1)

3e. Modifications to natural hydrologic regime. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (12)
☒ Recovered (7)
☒ Recovering (3)
☐ Recent or no recovery (1)

3b. Connectivity. Score all that apply.

- ☐ 100 year floodplain (1)
☒ Between stream/lake and other human use (1)
☐ Part of wetland/upland (e.g. forest), complex (1)
☐ Part of riparian or upland corridor (1)

3d. Duration inundation/saturation. Score one or dbl check.

- ☐ Semi- to permanently inundated/saturated (4)
☐ Regularly inundated/saturated (3)
☐ Seasonally inundated (2)
☒ Seasonally saturated in upper 30cm (12in) (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☒ ditch
☐ tile
☐ dike
☐ weir
☐ stormwater input

- ☐ point source (nonstormwater)
☒ filling/grading
☐ road bed/RR track
☐ dredging
☐ other _____

5

17

max 20 pts.

subtotal

Metric 4. Habitat Alteration and Development.

4a. Substrate disturbance. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (4)
☐ Recovered (3)
☐ Recovering (2)
☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

4b. Habitat development. Select only one and assign score.

- ☐ Excellent (7)
☐ Very good (6)
☐ Good (5)
☐ Moderately good (4)
☐ Fair (3)
☒ Poor to fair (2)
☐ Poor (1)

4c. Habitat alteration. Score one or double check and average.

- ☐ None or none apparent (9)
☐ Recovered (6)
☒ Recovering (3)
☒ Recent or no recovery (1)

Check all disturbances observed

- ☒ mowing
☐ grazing
☐ clearcutting
☐ selective cutting
☐ woody debris removal
☐ toxic pollutants

- ☐ shrub/sapling removal
☐ herbaceous/aquatic bed removal
☐ sedimentation
☐ dredging
☐ farming
☐ nutrient enrichment

17

subtotal this page

Site: Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion	Rater(s): L. Scott; A. Schweitzer	Date: 04/23/2019
---	--	-------------------------

17

subtotal first page

0	17
---	----

max 10 pts.

subtotal

Metric 5. Special Wetlands.

Check all that apply and score as indicated.

- ☐ Bog (10)
- ☐ Fen (10)
- ☐ Old growth forest (10)
- ☐ Mature forested wetland (5)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-unrestricted hydrology (10)
- ☐ Lake Erie coastal/tributary wetland-restricted hydrology (5)
- ☐ Lake Plain Sand Prairies (Oak Openings) (10)
- ☐ Relict Wet Prairies (10)
- ☐ Known occurrence state/federal threatened or endangered species (10)
- ☐ Significant migratory songbird/water fowl habitat or usage (10)
- ☐ Category 1 Wetland. See Question 1 Qualitative Rating (-10)

-1	16
----	----

max 20 pts.

subtotal

Metric 6. Plant communities, interspersions, microtopography.

6a. Wetland Vegetation Communities.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Aquatic bed
- ☒ 1 Emergent
- ☐ Shrub
- ☐ Forest
- ☐ Mudflats
- ☐ Open water
- ☐ Other _____

6b. horizontal (plan view) Interspersions.

Select only one.

- ☐ High (5)
- ☐ Moderately high(4)
- ☐ Moderate (3)
- ☐ Moderately low (2)
- ☒ Low (1)
- ☐ None (0)

6c. Coverage of invasive plants. Refer to Table 1 ORAM long form for list. Add or deduct points for coverage

- ☐ Extensive >75% cover (-5)
- ☒ Moderate 25-75% cover (-3)
- ☐ Sparse 5-25% cover (-1)
- ☐ Nearly absent <5% cover (0)
- ☐ Absent (1)

6d. Microtopography.

Score all present using 0 to 3 scale.

- ☐ Vegetated hummocks/mounds
- ☐ Coarse woody debris >15cm (6in)
- ☐ Standing dead >25cm (10in) dbh
- ☐ Amphibian breeding pools

Vegetation Community Cover Scale

0	Absent or comprises <0.1ha (0.2471 acres) contiguous area
1	Present and either comprises small part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality, or comprises a significant part but is of low quality
2	Present and either comprises significant part of wetland's vegetation and is of moderate quality or comprises a small part and is of high quality
3	Present and comprises significant part, or more, of wetland's vegetation and is of high quality

Narrative Description of Vegetation Quality

low	Low spp diversity and/or predominance of nonnative or disturbance tolerant native species
mod	Native spp are dominant component of the vegetation, although nonnative and/or disturbance tolerant native spp can also be present, and species diversity moderate to moderately high, but generally w/o presence of rare threatened or endangered spp
high	A predominance of native species, with nonnative spp and/or disturbance tolerant native spp absent or virtually absent, and high spp diversity and often, but not always, the presence of rare, threatened, or endangered spp

Mudflat and Open Water Class Quality

0	Absent <0.1ha (0.247 acres)
1	Low 0.1 to <1ha (0.247 to 2.47 acres)
2	Moderate 1 to <4ha (2.47 to 9.88 acres)
3	High 4ha (9.88 acres) or more

Microtopography Cover Scale

0	Absent
1	Present very small amounts or if more common of marginal quality
2	Present in moderate amounts, but not of highest quality or in small amounts of highest quality
3	Present in moderate or greater amounts and of highest quality



Primary Headwater Habitat Field Evaluation Form

HHEI Score (sum of metrics 1+2+3)

48

SITE NAME/LOCATION FirstEnergy's Darrow Substation Ring Bus Expansion

SITE NUMBER 0423-04 RIVER BASIN Cuyahoga RIVER CODE DRAINAGE AREA (mi²) 0.15

LENGTH OF STREAM REACH (ft) 200 LAT 41.2102 LONG -81.4331 RIVER MILE

DATE 4/23/2019 SCORER L. Scott; A. Schweitzer COMMENTS

NOTE: Complete All Items On This Form - Refer to "Field Evaluation Manual for Ohio's PHWH Streams" for InstructionsSTREAM CHANNEL MODIFICATIONS: ☐ NONE/NATURAL CHANNEL ☐ RECOVERED ☒ RECOVERING ☒ RECENT OR NO RECOVERY

1. **SUBSTRATE** (Estimate percent of every type present. Check ONLY two predominant substrate TYPE boxes. (Max of 32). Add total number of significant substrate types found (Max of 8). Final metric score is sum of boxes A & B.

TYPE	PERCENT	TYPE	PERCENT
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> BLDR SLABS [16 pts]		<input type="checkbox"/> <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> SILT [3 pts]	15%
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> BOULDER (>256 MM) [16 pts]		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> LEAF PACK/WOODY DEBRIS [3 pts]	5%
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> BEDROCK [16 pts]		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> FINE DETRITUS [3 pts]	
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> COBBLE (62-256 MM) [12pts]		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> CLAY or HARDPAN [0 pts]	70%
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> GRAVEL (2-64 MM) [9 pts]		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> MUCK [0 pts]	10%
<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> SAND (>2 MM) [6 pts]		<input type="checkbox"/> <input type="checkbox"/> ARTIFICIAL [3 pts]	

Total of Percentages of

Bldr Slabs, Boulder, Cobble, Bedrock 0% (A)

SCORE OF TWO MOST PREDOMINATE SUBSTRATE TYPES: 3

(B) 4

HHEI
Metric
PointsSubstrate
Max = 40

7

A + B

2. **Maximum Pool Depth** [Measure the maximum pool depth within the 61 meter (200 ft) evaluation reach at the time of evaluation. Avoid plunge pools from road culverts or storm water pipes] (Check ONLY one box):

<input type="checkbox"/> > 30 centimeters [20 pts]	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 5 - 10 cm [15 pts]
<input type="checkbox"/> > 22.5 - 30 cm [30 pts]	<input type="checkbox"/> < 5 cm [5 pts]
<input type="checkbox"/> > 10 - 22.5 cm [25 pts]	<input type="checkbox"/> NO WATER OR MOIST CHANNEL [0 pts]

COMMENTS

MAXIMUM POOL DEPTH (centimeters): 10

Pool Depth
Max = 30

15

3. **BANK FULL WIDTH** (Measured as the average of 3-4 measurements) (Check ONLY one box):

<input type="checkbox"/> > 4.0 meters [30 pts]	<input type="checkbox"/> > 1.0 m - 1.5 m (> 3' 3" - 4' 8") [15 pts]
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> > 3.0 - 4.0 m [25 pts]	<input type="checkbox"/> ≤ 1.0 m (≤ 3' 3") [5 pts]
<input type="checkbox"/> > 1.5 - 3.0 m [20 pts]	

COMMENTS

AVERAGE BANKFULL WIDTH (meters): 3.2

Bankfull
Width
Max = 30

25

This information must also be completed

RIPARIAN ZONE AND FLOODPLAIN QUALITY

NOTE: River Left (L) and Right (R) as looking downstream

RIPARIAN WIDTH

(Per Bank)

L	R	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Wide >10 m
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Moderate 5 - 10 m
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Narrow < 5m
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	None

FLOODPLAIN QUALITY (Most Predominant per Bank)

L	R	
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mature Forest, Wetland
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Immature Forest, Shrub, or Old Field
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Residential, Park, New Field
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Fenced Pasture
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Conservation Tillage
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Urban or Industrial
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Open Pasture, Row Crop
<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	Mining or Construction

COMMENTS

FLOW REGIME (At Time of Evaluation) (Check ONLY one box):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Stream Flowing	<input type="checkbox"/> Moist Channel, isolated pools, no flow (intermittent)
<input type="checkbox"/> Subsurface flow with isolated pools (interstitial)	<input type="checkbox"/> Dry channel, no water (ephemeral)

SINUOSITY (Number of bends per 61 m (200 ft) of channel) (Check ONLY one box):

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> None	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.0	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.0	<input type="checkbox"/> 3.0
<input type="checkbox"/> 0.5	<input type="checkbox"/> 1.5	<input type="checkbox"/> 2.5	<input type="checkbox"/> >3

STREAM GRADIENT ESTIMATE

☒ Flat (0.5ft/100ft)
 ☐ Flat to Moderate
 ☐ Moderate (2ft/100ft)
 ☐ Moderate to Severe
 ☐ Severe (10ft/100ft)

ADDITIONAL STREAM INFORMATION (This Information Must Also be Completed):QHEI PERFORMED? ☐ Yes ☒ No QHEI Score _____ (If Yes, Attach Completed QHEI Form)**DOWNSTREAM DESIGNATED USE(S)**

☒ WWH Name: Powers Brook (Mud Brook RM 9.09) Distance from Evaluated Stream 1.8 miles

☐ CWH Name: _____ Distance from Evaluated Stream _____

☐ EWH Name: _____ Distance from Evaluated Stream _____

MAPPING: ATTACH COPIES OF MAPS, INCLUDING THE ENTIRE WATERSHED AREA. CLEARLY MARK THE SITE LOCATION.

JSGS Quadrangle Name: Hudson, Ohio NRCS Soil Map Page: _____ NRCS Soil Map Stream Order: _____

County: Summit County Township/City: City of Hudson

MISCELLANEOUS

Base Flow Conditions? (Y/N): Y Date of last precipitation: 4/19/2019 Quantity: 0.02 in

Photo-documentation Notes: _____

Elevated Turbidity? (Y/N): N Canopy (% open): 100 % // 0%

Were samples collected for water chemistry? (Y/N): N Lab Sample # or ID (attach results): _____

Field Measures: Temp (°C) _____ Dissolved Oxygen (mg/l) _____ pH (S.U.) _____ Conductivity (µmhos/cm) _____

Is the sampling reach representative of the stream (Y/N) Y If not, please explain: _____

Additional comments/description of pollution impacts: _____

BIOLOGICAL OBSERVATIONS

(Record all observations below)

Fish Observed? (Y/N) N Species observed (if known): _____

Frogs or Tadpoles Observed? (Y/N) Y Species observed (if known): _____


Salamanders Observed? (Y/N) N Species observed (if known): _____

Aquatic Macroinvertebrates Observed? (Y/N) N/A Species observed (if known): _____

Comments Regarding Biology: _____

DRAWING AND NARRATIVE DESCRIPTION OF STREAM REACH (This must be completed)

Include Important landmarks and other features of interest for site evaluation and a narrative description of the stream's location



Appendix B Representative Photographs



Photograph 1. View facing southwest towards Wetland 0423-01.



Photograph 2. View facing south towards Wetland 0423-03



Photograph 3. View facing south towards Wetland 0423-03.



Photograph 4. View facing southwest towards Wetland 0423-05



Photograph 5. View facing northwest towards Wetland 0423-07



Photograph 6. View facing east towards Wetland 0423-07



Photograph 7. View facing west towards Wetland 0423-09



Photograph 8. View facing northwest facing upstream along Stream 0423-04



Photograph 9. View facing east facing downstream along Stream 0423-04

Appendix C

List of Preparers