BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's)	
Implementation of Substitute House Bill)	Case No. 19-0173-TP-ORD
402 of the 132nd Ohio General Assembly.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE BY THE OFFICE OF THE OHIO CONSUMERS' COUNSEL

The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("PUCO") is adopting rules in response to a bill recently passed into law that could significantly increase (unlimited increases beginning in March 2023) the cost consumers pay for basic local telephone service. The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel ("OCC") moves to intervene to provide recommendations to the PUCO for setting parameters, to the extent possible, which will maximize consumer protections in the rules. OCC is filing on behalf of Ohio's residential utility customers. The reasons the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion are further set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973) Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Terry L. Etter

Terry Etter (0067445), Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213 Telephone [Etter]: (614) 466-7964 Terry.etter@occ.ohio.gov (Will accept service via email)

¹ See R.C. Chapter 4911, R.C. 4903.221, and Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Commission's)	
Implementation of Substitute House Bill)	Case No. 19-0173-TP-ORD
402 of the 132nd Ohio General Assembly.)	

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

In December 2018, the General Assembly passed Substitute House Bill 402, which was signed into law by Governor Kasich. Among other things, the bill raised (from \$1.25 to \$2.00 per month) the amount telephone companies may increase charges for customers' basic service each year. The bill also provided for unlimited increases in basic service charges beginning in March 2023. In this case, the PUCO is adopting rules to implement Substitute House Bill 402. OCC has authority under law to represent the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers, pursuant to R.C. Chapter 4911.

R.C. 4903.221 provides, in part, that any person "who may be adversely affected" by a PUCO proceeding is entitled to seek intervention in that proceeding. The interests of Ohio's residential customers may be "adversely affected" by this case, especially if the customers were unrepresented in a proceeding setting rules concerning limits on basic local service price increases. Thus, this element of the intervention standard in R.C. 4903.221 is satisfied.

R.C. 4903.221(B) requires the PUCO to consider the following criteria in ruling on motions to intervene:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest:
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case;

- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings;
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

First, the nature and extent of OCC's interest is representing Ohio's residential customers in this case adopting the rules regarding the limits on increases to the amount they are charged for basic local service. This interest is different from that of any other party and especially different from that of the utility whose advocacy includes the financial interest of stockholders.

Second, OCC's advocacy for residential customers will include advancing the position that rates should be no more than what is reasonable and lawful under Ohio law, for service that is adequate under Ohio law. OCC's position is therefore directly related to the merits of this case that is pending before the PUCO, the authority with regulatory control of public utilities' rates and service quality in Ohio.

Third, OCC's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.

OCC, with its longstanding expertise and experience in PUCO proceedings, will duly allow for the efficient processing of the case with consideration of the public interest.

Fourth, OCC's intervention will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues. OCC will obtain and develop information that the PUCO should consider for equitably and lawfully deciding the case in the public interest.

OCC also satisfies the intervention criteria in the Ohio Administrative Code (which are subordinate to the criteria that OCC satisfies in the Ohio Revised Code). To intervene, a party should have a "real and substantial interest" according to Ohio Adm.

Code 4901-1-11(A)(2). As the advocate for residential utility customers, OCC has a very real and substantial interest in this case where the PUCO is adopting rules concerning the limits on increases to customers' basic local telephone service charges.

In addition, OCC meets the criteria of Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(1)-(4). These criteria mirror the statutory criteria in R.C. 4903.221(B) that OCC already has addressed and that OCC satisfies.

Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11(B)(5) states that the PUCO shall consider "The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties." While OCC does not concede the lawfulness of this criterion, OCC satisfies this criterion in that it uniquely has been designated as the state representative of the interests of Ohio's residential utility customers. That interest is different from, and not represented by, any other entity in Ohio.

Moreover, the Supreme Court of Ohio ("Court") confirmed OCC's right to intervene in PUCO proceedings, in deciding two appeals in which OCC claimed the PUCO erred by denying its interventions. The Court found that the PUCO abused its discretion in denying OCC's interventions and that OCC should have been granted intervention in both proceedings.²

OCC meets the criteria set forth in R.C. 4903.221, Ohio Adm. Code 4901-1-11, and the precedent established by the Supreme Court of Ohio for intervention. On behalf of Ohio residential customers, the PUCO should grant OCC's Motion to Intervene.

-

² See Ohio Consumers' Counsel v. Pub. Util. Comm., 111 Ohio St.3d 384, 2006-Ohio-5853, ¶13-20.

Respectfully submitted,

Bruce Weston (0016973) Ohio Consumers' Counsel

/s/ Terry L. Etter

Terry Etter (0067445), Counsel of Record Assistant Consumers' Counsel

Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

65 East State Street, 7th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213 Telephone [Etter]: (614) 466-7964 Terry.etter@occ.ohio.gov (Will accept service via email)

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of this Motion to Intervene was served on the persons stated below via electronic transmission, this 18th day of April 2019.

/s/ Terry L. Etter

Terry L. Etter Assistant Consumers' Counsel

SERVICE LIST

john.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

glpetrucci@vorys.com fdarr@mwncmh.com

Attorney Examiners: Jay.agranoff@puc.state.oh.us Jeffrey.jones@puc.state.oh.us This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/18/2019 5:18:17 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-0173-TP-ORD

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene by The Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel electronically filed by Ms. Jamie Williams on behalf of Etter, Terry Mr.