BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the Application of Duke :

Energy for a Certificate of Environmental :

Compatibility and Public Need to : Case No. 16-0253-GA-BTX

Construct the C314V Central Corridor

Pipeline Extension Project. :

PREFILED TESTIMONY OF Jon C. Pawley

SITING, EFFICIENCY AND RENEWABLE ENERGY DIVISION
DEPARTMENT OF RATES AND ANALYSIS
OHIO POWER SITING BOARD STAFF

STAFF EX. ___

1	1.	Q.	Please state your name and business address.
2		A.	My name is Jon C. Pawley, and my business address is 180 East Broad
3			Street, Columbus OH 43215.
4			
5	2.	Q.	By whom are you employed and what is your position?
6		A.	I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission)
7			as a Utility Specialist 3 in the Siting, Efficiency and Renewable Energy
8			Division of the Commission's Department of Energy and Environment.
9			
10	3.	Q.	Please summarize your educational background and work experience.
11		A.	I received an Associates of Applied Science degree in Architectural Tech-
12			nology from SUNY Alfred State College in 1986, a Bachelor of Arts (B.A.)
13			degree in Environmental Design from the University at Buffalo in 1991,
14			and a Masters of City and Regional Planning degree from The Ohio State
15			University in 1993.
16			
17			I have been employed at the Commission for roughly twenty years. Most
18			recently, my responsibilities at the Commission have primarily involved
19			social and cultural resource matters associated with site planning of major
20			utility facilities in the state of Ohio. I have also been the Staff project lead
21			on numerous applications for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility

and Public Need before the Board. Additionally, I have roughly ten years 1 2 of experience in public and private sector development planning. 3 4 4. Q. Have you testified in prior proceedings before the Ohio Power Siting Board? 5 6 A. Yes. I have testified in numerous proceedings before the Board. 7 8 5. Q. What was your role in this case? 9 A. I was a Staff subject analyst for portions of the staff report by contributing 10 to the overall staff investigation. 11 12 What sections of the staff report of investigation did you work on? 6. Q. 13 Agriculture, parks and recreation and cultural resources. A. 14 15 7. Q. Are you responsible for any conditions in the Staff Report? If so, which 16 ones? 17 A. Yes, I am responsible for conditions 11, 12, and 13 in the Staff Report. 18 19 8. Q. Condition 11 pertains to a cultural resources survey program to be 20 performed prior to construction. Why was this recommended? 21 A. This is a standard staff condition to ensure ongoing coordination between Staff, the Ohio Historic Preservation Office and the Applicant. The 22

1	recommended survey work allows for more detailed field work (if
2	necessary) as well as defined parameters should an unexpected cultural
3	discovery be found. This condition allows for additional input from the
4	Ohio Historic Preservation Office and/or local preservation advocates after
5	a final route has been selected, and prior to construction of that route.

6

- Q. Will historic structures or sites need to be removed or destroyed as a result
 of the construction of this project?
- 9 A. No

10

11 10. Q. Do you have any changes to condition 11?

12 A. Yes. In the first sentence of condition 11, the word transmission should be
13 removed. The first sentence should now read: "Prior to construction, the
14 Applicant shall finalize a Phase I cultural resources survey program (which
15 may include archeological and architectural components for the gas line,
16 laydown area(s) and any access roads acceptable to Staff and the Ohio
17 Historical Preservation Office (OHPO)."

18

19 11. Q. Conditions 12 and 13 pertain to construction impacts to parks and construction impacts are as 20 recreational areas. Can you explain what these impacts might be?

21

A. The primary construction impact of installing the pipeline would be temporary, seasonal disturbance on parking areas, bikepaths, and recreational use of grass areas (fields). These impacts will be related to staging the pipeline for installation and include trenching, welding and placement of the pipeline.

- 7 12. Q. And how might those impacts be minimized?
- A. Constructing the pipeline in phases, so that any work to be performed in park and/or recreational areas where people are playing or congregating be done during that activity's off-season. The facility would be located underground so that if properly restored above grade, recreational use and parking may remain as it was prior to construction.

- 14 13. Q. As the Preferred and Alternate routes are presently proposed, where would the biggest impact(s) associated with construction be expected?
- A. In analyzing GIS-mapping, field reviews and information contained in the
 application, I believe that the pipeline construction will likely have the
 greatest impact to ballfields at the Robert Shuler Sports Complex, due to
 the large drill site needed to bore the pipeline under I-275, and the golf
 course along the Preferred Route, more than any other recreational areas. It
 appears that the Alternate Route, though adjacent to more recreational
 areas, does not actually bifurcate or directly impact ballfields, etc., rather it

1			is sited near property lines, road right-of-way and edges of recreational
2			areas.
3			
4	14.	Q.	How important is the Applicant-local governmental cooperation?
5		A.	Very important.
6			
7	15.	Q.	And why is that?
8		A.	Local governments will have the best knowledge of schedules and timing
9			of public events occurring in their jurisdictions, as well as assisting the
10			Applicant with off-season construction scheduling.
11			
12	16.	Q.	Does this conclude your testimony?
13		A.	Yes it does. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testi-
14			mony, as new information subsequently becomes available or in response
15			to positions taken by other parties.
16			

PROOF OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true copy of the foregoing Prefiled Testimony of Jon C. Pawley, submitted on behalf of the Staff of the Ohio Power Siting Board, was served via electronic mail, upon the following parties of record, this 2nd day of April, 2019.

/s/ Steven L. Beeler

Steven L. Beeler

Parties of Record:

Jeanne.Kingery@duke-energy.com Rocco.DAscenzo@duke-energy.com Andrew.Garth@cincinnati-oh.gov Howard.Miller@cincinnati-oh.gov robert.holderbaum@puco.ohio.gov john.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov Robert.eubanks@ohioattorneygeneral.gov jyskamp@fairshake-els.org ecollins@fairshake-els.org bfox@graydon.law ilang@calfee.com slesser@calfee.com mkeaney@calfee.com ciones@calfee.com tburke@manleyburke.com mkamrass@manleyburke.com Bryan.pacheco@dinsmore.com Mark.arnzen@dinsmore.com miller@donnellonlaw.com KCMcDonough@woodlamping.com Roger.friedmann@hcpros.org Michael.friedmann@hcpros.org Jay.wampler@hcpros.org tmd@donnellonlaw.com butler@donnellonlaw.com dstevenson@cinci.rr.com

ahelmes@deerpark-oh.gov dborchers@bricker.com dparram@bricker.com joliker@igsenergy.com Richard.tranter@dinsmore.com Kevin.detroy@dinsmore.com Kent.bucciere@gmail.com lauxlawesq@gmail.com Paula.boggsmuething@cincinnati-oh.gov

Administrative Law Judges

sarah.parrot@puco.ohio.gov greta.see@puco.ohio.gov This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/2/2019 2:27:16 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0253-GA-BTX

Summary: Testimony of Jon Pawley electronically filed by Ms. Tonnetta Scott on behalf of PUC