THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE REVIEW OF THE DISTRIBUTION MODERNIZATION RIDER OF OHIO EDISON COMPANY, THE CLEVELAND ELECTRIC ILLUMINATING COMPANY, AND THE TOLEDO EDISON COMPANY.

CASE NO. 17-2474-EL-RDR

ENTRY

Entered in the Journal on March 21, 2019

- {¶ 1} In this Entry, the attorney examiner grants Staff's request for an extension of the filing date for the mid-term report of the third-party monitor.
- {¶ 2} Ohio Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, and The Toledo Edison Company (collectively, FirstEnergy) are electric distribution utilities (EDUs) as defined by R.C. 4928.01(A)(6), and public utilities as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, are subject to the jurisdiction of this Commission.
- {¶ 3} R.C. 4928.141 provides that an electric distribution utility shall provide consumers within its certified territory a standard service offer (SSO) of all competitive retail electric services necessary to maintain essential electric services to customers, including firm supply of electric generation services. The SSO may be either a market rate offer, in accordance with R.C. 4928.142, or an electric security plan (ESP), in accordance with 4928.143.
- {¶ 4} On March 31, 2016, in Case No. 14-1297-EL-SSO, the Commission approved FirstEnergy's application for an ESP. *In re Ohio Edison Co., The Cleveland Elec. Illum. Co., and the Toledo Edison Co. for Authority to Provide for a Std. Serv. Offer Pursuant to Section* 4928.143, *Revised Code, in the Form of an Elec. Security Plan, Case No.* 14-1297-EL-SSO, Opinion and Order (Mar. 31, 2016) (*ESP IV Case*). Further, on October 12, 2016, the Commission issued the Fifth Entry on Rehearing in the *ESP IV Case*. On rehearing, the

17-2474-EL-RDR -2-

Commission authorized FirstEnergy to implement a distribution modernization rider (Rider DMR). *ESP IV Case*, Fifth Entry on Rehearing (Oct. 12, 2016) at ¶185. Additionally, the Commission ruled that Staff will review the expenditure of Rider DMR revenues to ensure that Rider DMR revenues are used, directly or indirectly, in support of grid modernization. *ESP IV Case*, Fifth Entry on Rehearing (Oct. 12, 2016) at ¶282.

- {¶ 5} Subsequently, the Commission directed Staff to prepare a request for proposal (RFP) for a third-party monitor to assist Staff in the review of Rider DMR. *ESP IV Case*, Eighth Entry on Rehearing (Aug. 16, 2017) at ¶113.
- {¶ 6} Thereafter, by Entry issued on December 13, 2017, in this proceeding, the Commission directed Staff to issue a RFP for a third-party monitor to assist Staff in the review of Rider DMR, consistent with the Commission's previous directives. On January 24, 2018, the Commission selected Oxford Advisors, LLC, (Oxford) to serve as the third-party monitor.
- {¶ 7} In the *ESP IV Case*, the Commission directed the monitor to file a mid-term report of its review of Rider DMR in any proceeding in which the Companies seek an extension of Rider DMR, within 60 days after the filing of the application seeking such extension. *ESP IV Case*, Eighth Entry on Rehearing (Aug. 16, 2017) at ¶113.
- {¶ 8} On February 1, 2019, the Companies filed an application in Case No. 19-361-EL-RDR, seeking an extension of Rider DMR. Accordingly, the mid-term report is scheduled to be filed by Oxford in that docket by April 1, 2019.
- {¶ 9} On March 18, 2019, Staff filed a motion for an extension of time for the filing of the mid-term report. Staff represents that Oxford needs additional time to complete the mid-term report and requests that the date for filing the report be extended to April 29, 2019.

17-2474-EL-RDR -3-

 $\{\P\ 10\}$ The attorney examiner finds that the motion for an extension of time is

reasonable and should be granted. Accordingly, Oxford shall file the mid-term report in

the docket for Case No. 19-361-EL-RDR by April 29, 2019, unless otherwise ordered by

the Commission.

 $\{\P 11\}$ It is, therefore,

¶ 12} ORDERED, That Oxford file the mid-term report of its review of Rider

DMR in the docket for Case No. 19-361-EL-RDR by April 29, 2019, unless otherwise

ordered by the Commission. It is, further,

¶ 13} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry be served upon all parties of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

s/Gregory Price

By: Gregory A. Price Attorney Examiner

MJA/sc

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/21/2019 11:04:47 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-2474-EL-RDR

Summary: Attorney Examiner Entry directing Oxford file the mid-term report of its review of Rider DMR in the docket for Case No. 19-361-EL-RDR by 04/29/2019 unless otherwise ordered by the Commission - electronically filed by Sandra Coffey on behalf of Gregory Price, Attorney Examiner, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio