BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

- - -

In the Matter of the
Application of Willowbrook:
Solar I, LLC, for a:

Certificate of : Case No. 18-1024-EL-BGN

Environmental :
Compatibility and Public :
Need. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Jeff Jones, Administrative Law Judge, at the Ohio Power Siting Board, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, February 28, 2019.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.

222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201

(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

_ _ _

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, LLP
            By Mr. Michael J. Settineri
 3
            and Mr. MacDonald W. Taylor
            52 East Gay Street
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
 6
            Ohio Farm Bureau Federation
            By Ms. Amy Milam
 7
            280 North High Street
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 8
                 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau
 9
                 Federation.
10
            Dave Yost, Ohio Attorney General
            By Mr. Thomas Lindgren,
11
            Assistant Attorney General
            Public Utilities Section
12
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
13
                 On behalf of the OPSB Staff.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				3
1		INDEX		9
2				
3	TIW	NESS		PAGE
4	Douglas Herling			
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Settineri Examination by ALJ Jones		11	
6	Grant Zeto			
7		Direct Examination by Mr. Lindgren Examination by ALJ Jones		26 28
8				
9	JOI	NT EXHIBIT	DENTIFIED	ADMITTED
10	1	Joint Stipulation and	1 1	2.1
11		Recommendation	11	31
12	COMI	PANY EXHIBIT	DENTIFIED	ADMITTED
13 14	1	Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need Application	8	24
15	2	Notice of Filing of Applicant's Responses to		
16		Staff's Data Requests	8	24
17	3	Proof of Service	9	24
18	4	Proof of Notice of Public Informational Meeting	9	24
19	5	Various Proof of		
20	J	Publications	9	24
21	6	Direct Testimony of Douglas Herling	9	24
22	7	-		
23	/	Direct Testimony of Lori Coleman	10	25
24	8	Direct Testimony of Matthew Robinson	10	25
25			-	-

					4
1		INDEX	(Continued	d)	
2					
3	COM	PANY EXHIBIT	IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED	
4	9	Direct Testimony of David Hessler		10	25
5	1.0			10	23
6	10	Direct Testimony of Andrew Lines		10	25
7	11	Direct Testimony of Shawn McGee		11	25
8		Snawn McGee		Т.Т	23
9					
10	STA	FF EXHIBIT		IDENTIFIED	ADMITTED
11	1	Staff Report of Inve	estigation	28	31
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

5 1 Thursday Morning Session, 2 February 28, 2019. 3 ALJ JONES: Let's go on the record. 4 5 The Ohio Power Siting Board has assigned 6 for hearing at this time and place Case No. 7 18-1024-EL-BGN, that being in the Matter of the Application of the Willowbrook Solar I, that's Roman 8 9 numeral I, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental 10 Compatibility and Public Need. 11 My name is Jeff Jones. I am an 12 Administrative Law Judge with the Power Siting Board, 13 and I have been assigned by the Board to this hearing 14 today. At this time I will take appearances on 15 16 behalf of the parties. On behalf of the Applicant 17 Willowbrook Solar. 18 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. I 19 would like to make an appearance on behalf of 20 Willowbrook Solar I, LLC, Michael Settineri and 2.1 MacDonald Taylor, law firm of Vorys, Sater, Seymour 22 and Pease, 52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 23 ALJ JONES: Thank you, Mr. Settineri. 24 On behalf of the Ohio Farm Bureau 25 Federation.

MS. MILAM: Yes, good morning, your Honor. Amy Milam on behalf of Ohio Farm Bureau, 280 North High Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ JONES: Thank you.

2.1

2.2

On behalf of Staff of the Power Siting Board.

MR. LINDGREN: On behalf of the Staff,
Ohio Attorney Dave Yost by Thomas G. Lindgren,
Assistant Attorney General, 30 East Broad Street,
16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215.

ALJ JONES: Thank you, Mr. Lindgren.

I would also like to note for the record at this time that there were two other intervening parties to this case, James and Jeanine Powell and Timothy Brinker. Both Mr. Powell -- Mr. and Mrs. Powell and Mr. Brinker have filed requests to withdraw their intervention and no longer want to be considered party to this case. Those were filed on February 25 by the Powells of 2019, I'm sorry, and Timothy Brinker on February 26, 2019. So those two parties will no longer be considered parties in this case or intervenors in this case.

At this time before we begin with the case in chief of the Applicant Willowbrook Solar, I would ask if there is anybody who would like to offer

```
local public testimony on this proceeding. I know
 1
 2
     there was a local public hearing previously held in
     the project area, but I am just asking if there is
 3
     anybody else that wanted to give local public
 4
 5
     testimony.
 6
                 Seeing no one, Mr. Settineri, are you
 7
     prepared to proceed?
 8
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, we are, your Honor.
 9
     Thank you.
10
                 Your Honor, a preliminary matter, as you
     are aware, there's a Joint Stipulation and
11
12
     Recommendation that was filed in this proceeding
13
     early yesterday afternoon. Given that there is a
14
     Board rule, Rule 4906-2-24(B), we would at this time
    move for a waiver of that rule to allow for the
15
16
     Stipulation to be filed under the 24 deadline as
17
     contained in that rule.
18
                 ALJ JONES: Any objection to
     Mr. Settineri's motion?
19
20
                 MR. LINDGREN: No objections.
2.1
                 MS. MILAM: No, your Honor.
22
                 ALJ JONES: Thank you. That motion will
```

be granted. Thank you.

23

24

25

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

```
presentation, we will call Mr. Doug Herling to the stand, please.
```

ALJ JONES: Mr. Herling, would you raise your right hand, please.

(Witness sworn.)

ALJ JONES: Thank you.

You may proceed, Mr. Settineri.

MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor.

The first thing I would like to do is start by marking for the record a number of exhibits.

ALJ JONES: Okay.

MR. SETTINERI: First, I would like to mark for the record Company Exhibit 1 which is the Application for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Need that was filed in this proceeding.

ALJ JONES: It shall be so marked.

(EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

MR. SETTINERI: The next exhibit to mark is Company Exhibit 2 which are the Applicant's Responses to Staff's Data Request filed on this docket yesterday.

23 ALJ JONES: The document shall be so

24 marked.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

25 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)

```
MR. SETTINERI: The next exhibit to mark
 1
 2
     is Company -- as Company Exhibit 3 is a Proof of
     Service of the Application that was deemed complete.
 3
                 ALJ JONES: It shall be so marked.
 4
 5
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.
 6
                 MR. SETTINERI: The next exhibit to mark
 7
     as Company Exhibit 4 are various Proofs of Mailings
     to local landowners.
 8
                 ALJ JONES: That document shall be so
 9
10
    marked.
11
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
12
                 MR. SETTINERI: The next document to mark
13
     as Company Exhibit 5 are various Proofs of
14
     Publications also previously filed in the docket in
15
     this proceeding.
16
                 ALJ JONES: The document shall be so
17
    marked as Exhibit 5.
18
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
19
                 MR. SETTINERI: Next as Company Exhibit 6
20
     the Direct Testimony of Douglas Herling.
2.1
                 ALJ JONES: That document shall be so
2.2
    marked.
23
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.)
24
                 MR. SETTINERI: Next as Company Exhibit 7
25
     the Direct Testimony of Lori Coleman, C-O-L-E-M-A-N.
```

10 ALJ JONES: The document shall be so 1 2 marked. 3 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) MR. SETTINERI: As Company Exhibit 8, we 4 5 would ask to mark the Direct Testimony of Matthew 6 Robinson. 7 ALJ JONES: That document shall be so marked. 8 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 MR. SETTINERI: As Company Exhibit 9, we 11 would ask to mark the Direct Testimony of David 12 Hessler. 13 ALJ JONES: That document shall be so 14 marked. 15 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 16 MR. SETTINERI: And as Company Exhibit 10, the Direct Testimony of Andrew Lines, L-I-N-E-S. 17 18 ALJ JONES: That document shall be so 19 marked. 20 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 2.1 MR. SETTINERI: As Company Exhibit 11, 22 the Direct Testimony of Shawn McGee, M-c-G-E-E, Shawn 23 S-H-A-W-N.

ALJ JONES: The document shall be so

24

25

marked.

11 1 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 2 MR. SETTINERI: And, lastly, as Joint 3 Exhibit 1, the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation that was filed in this proceeding. 4 5 ALJ JONES: Joint Exhibit 1 shall be so 6 marked. 7 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 8 9 DOUGLAS HERLING 10 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 11 examined and testified as follows: 12 DIRECT EXAMINATION 13 By Mr. Settineri: 14 Good morning, Mr. Herling. Ο. 15 A. Good morning. 16 Could you please state your name and Ο. 17 business address for the record, please. 18 My name is Douglas Herling. I am Α. 19 Director of Business Development with Open Road 20 Renewables, 1105 Navasota Street, Austin, Texas 2.1 78702. 22 Okay. Mr. Herling, we have marked a Q. 23 number of exhibits in this proceeding. I would like 24 to walk you through those exhibits to have you

identify them for the record, please. If we can

start first with Company Exhibit 1. Do you have that before you?

A. I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- Q. And can you identify that for the record, please.
- A. Company Exhibit 1 is the Application for Willowbrook Solar I, LLC.
- Q. And you were involved in the development and preparation of that application, correct?
 - A. I was.
- Q. And could you identify for the record what's been marked as Company Exhibit 2, please.
- A. Company Exhibit 2 are the Applicant's
 Responses to Staff's Data Requests.
- Q. Okay. And were you involved in preparing those responses?
- 17 A. Yes, I was.
- Q. Okay. And could you identify for the record what's been marked as Company Exhibit 3, please.
- A. Company Exhibit 3 is the Proof of Service of the Application.
- Q. Okay. And could you identify for the record what's been marked as Company Exhibit 4, please.

- A. Company -- Company Exhibit 4 is the Proofs of Mailings to local adjacent and participating landowners.
- Q. Okay. And you were involved in the development of the landowner mailing list, correct?
 - A. Yes, I was.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And could you identify for the record what's been marked as Company Exhibit 5, please.
- A. Company Exhibit 5 includes Proofs of Publication in local newspapers.
- Q. And could you identify what's been marked as Company Exhibit 6, please.
 - A. Company Exhibit 6 is my testimony for the proceeding today.
 - Q. Okay. And I am also going to have you at this time, if you can, identify for the record what's been marked as Joint Exhibit 1, please.
 - A. Joint Exhibit 1 is the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation agreed to by the parties here today.
 - Q. And as to Joint Exhibit 1, you were involved -- were you involved in the negotiations related to that Joint Stipulation and Recommendation?
 - A. Yes, I was.

- Q. Okay. All right. I would like to turn back to your direct testimony as Company Exhibit 6, please. Do you have that before you, sir?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Take your time. Do you have that before you?
- 7 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

- Q. Okay. Was that prepared by you or at your direction?
- 10 A. Yes, it was.
- Q. Okay. And do you have any corrections to that testimony at this time?
- A. I do. We have one minor correction of a typo.
- Q. And if you could identify that for the court reporter, please.
- 17 A. The typo is on page 6, line 12, Answer
 18 13, we are striking the "D" in "noticed." It should
 19 read "notice."
- Q. Do you have any other corrections to your testimony?
- 22 A. I do not.
- Q. Now, in your testimony I wanted to direct your attention to Answer 22, Question 22. Are you there?

A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Now, you reviewed the Staff Report issued on February 4, 2019, in this proceeding, correct?
 - A. Yes, I have.
- Q. Okay. And your -- in the Question and Answer on 22, you present revisions to Staff's recommended conditions in the Staff Report, correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. All right. Now, with the Joint Stipulation being signed and submitted and filed in this proceeding, does that supercede your recommendations in Answer 22 as to the conditions that you recommend revising?
 - A. Yes, it does.
- Q. Okay. All right. You can set that aside, Mr. Herling. Let -- one last question on that though. If I was to ask you the questions in your testimony, subject to the correction you gave and the clarification of the testimony with regards to the stipulation, would your answers be the same today?
- A. Yes, my answers would all remain the same.
- Q. Thank you. If we can turn to Joint
 Exhibit 1, please. And, again, you were involved in

the negotiations in the Stipulation, correct?

A. Yes, I was.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And I am going to repeat something here for the record, but we'll just make sure it's clear. In your original February 22, 2019, prefiled testimony that is marked as Company Exhibit 6, did you make recommendations regarding the conditions proposed by Staff in the February 4, 2019, Staff Report of Investigation?
- A. Yes. And the Joint Stipulation reflected my recommendations regarding Staff's proposed conditions after negotiation with Staff. To be clear the recommended conditions in the Joint Stipulation replace the revisions to Staff's proposed conditions I presented in my initial prefiled testimony marked as Company Exhibit 6.
- Q. Is the Joint Stipulation a product of serious bargaining among capable and knowledgeable parties?
- A. Yes. I was personally involved in the negotiation of the Joint Stipulation. These signatory parties to the Joint Stipulation were all represented by counsel. During negotiations, these signatory parties agreed to modify some -- some of the conditions that Staff proposed in the Staff

Report of Investigation.

2.1

- Q. Does this Joint Stipulation benefit the public interest?
- A. Yes. The project is a major infrastructure project and major capital investment. It benefits the community. The project will generate clean and quiet renewable energy and will provide on-peak power during high demand periods of the mid-day and late afternoon.

In addition, the project will benefit the local economy through additional new jobs, economic stimulus, and tax revenue. The Joint Stipulation further benefits the public interests by requiring the project to take steps to meet certain requirements during the construction and operation of the project to minimize any impact of the project.

- Q. And does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?
 - A. No.
- Q. And what do you recommend the Ohio Power Siting Board do in regard to the Joint Stipulation?
- A. I recommend that the Ohio Power Siting
 Board adopt the Joint Stipulation including the
 recommendation -- including the recommended
 conditions.

18 1 MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, Mr. Herling. 2 Your Honor, at this time the witness is 3 available for cross-examination. ALJ JONES: Ms. Milam, do you have any 4 5 questions for this witness? 6 MS. MILAM: No questions, your Honor. 7 ALJ JONES: Mr. Lindgren, do you have any 8 questions for this witness? 9 MR. LINDGREN: No questions, your Honor. 10 ALJ JONES: Okay. 11 12 EXAMINATION 13 By ALJ Jones: 14 Sorry, Mr. Herling. I have a couple. Ο. 15 Α. That's all right. So turning your attention to page 2 of 16 Ο. 17 the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation, Joint 18 Exhibit 1 in this matter, do you have that in front 19 of you? 20 Α. Yes, I do. 2.1 Q. Okay. And again on page 2, there's some 22 references here that I just want to see if you can provide some insight and educational value to me on. 23 24 In the third paragraph down, the second full

paragraph of the -- on page 2, and in the last

paragraph on page 2, the first sentence, both of those sentences reference electric and communication lines. Do you see those references?

A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Can you explain for me what the purpose of communication lines are on a solar facility?
- A. Sure. We'll typically have fiberoptic cable or other communication between various inverters and arrays which helps us monitor the performance of the facility to relay information back to a central hub.
- Q. Is that always fiberoptic cable, or is it sometimes copper?
 - A. I don't have the answer to that at the moment.
 - Q. Thank you. On that same page, last paragraph on that page, there is reference, it is the third sentence down, it starts "The Applicant has committed that those portions of the AC collector system." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. Yes.
 - Q. And it talks about the "collector system outside the fenced solar fields and fenced substation." My question is what about the

facilities inside the fenced area? Will those be buried or how will they be located?

- A. Typically, yes. All -- all the collection line will be buried most -- in most cases at that same depth. Certain aspects of the solar farm the wiring can be harnessed beneath the panels, but once stepped up to a higher voltage it's buried.
- Q. Thank you. Turning your attention to, again I am in this Joint Stipulation entered in this case, Condition No. 11. Let me know when you are there.
 - A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. Okay. There is a reference in this condition to -- right in the middle of the paragraph "adjacent non-participating parcel contains a residence -- contains a residence with a direct line of sight." Do you see that sentence?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Okay. I guess my question is at this time do you know how many parcels that this would impact, non-participating parcels?
- A. We -- yes, we have a fairly -- fairly good idea. I don't have the number with me at the moment, but we've -- we've gone out and looked and worked with as many folks as we can at this point.

- Q. Okay. Turning your attention to Condition No. 19.
 - A. I'm there.

2.1

- Q. In the first line of Condition 19, it references "In-stream construction in perennial streams." Do you see that?
 - A. I do.
- Q. My question is what was the purpose for limiting this to perennial streams?
- A. The purpose of limiting to perennial streams is that there are several lower quality previously disturbed ditches used for drainage from fields that are not of high ecological value compared to say a perennial stream. We have tried to limit our crossings of any of the higher quality water bodies like the perennial stream.
- Q. Okay. Thank you. Also in that same condition, the Staff Report -- do you have the Staff Report with you?
 - A. I do not.
- Q. Okay. There was a modification to the condition that was in the Staff Report. And maybe you know the answer to this, and if you don't, that's fine. You can just say you don't. But there was a reference in the Staff's condition to an

ODNR-approved biologist being involved in the sweep seine technique. That language has been removed from Condition 19. Do you know why that language was removed from Condition 19?

A. It was based on consultation with our biologists.

2.1

- Q. Would this -- would this understanding that someone that has knowledge of these species be subsumed within Condition No. 22 of the Joint Stipulation that there would be someone who is knowledgeable in handling these species? Would that be subsumed by Condition 22, do you believe?
 - A. Yes, I do believe that.
- Q. Thank you. One -- I believe one last question. I shouldn't say that. Condition No. 25 of the Joint Stipulation, the discussion in the first line of that Condition No. 25 says "The Applicant shall to the extent practicable minimize the clearing of wooded areas." Do you see that reference?
 - A. Yes, I do, your Honor.
- Q. Would this -- to the extent practicable, would this be something that would be discussed in the pre-construction conference with the Power Siting Board Staff?
- A. Yes, it would. And just for the record,

we sought to minimize clearing to the extent possible 1 2 in the design of the project avoiding areas where there are extensive wooded areas to leave corridors 3 and other means for travel of wildlife. 4 5 ALJ JONES: Okay. Thank you, 6 Mr. Herling. I believe that's all the questions I 7 have. Any redirect based on my questions? 8 9 MR. SETTINERI: No, your Honor, we do 10 not. 11 ALJ JONES: Okay. Anybody else have 12 questions based on my questions? 13 MS. MILAM: No. 14 ALJ JONES: Thank you. Thank you. 15 That's all the questions I have. 16 THE WITNESS: Thank you, your Honor. 17 MR. SETTINERI: Your Honor, then at this 18 time we would move into the record Company Exhibit 1, 19 Company Exhibit 2, Company Exhibit 3, Company Exhibit 20 4, Company Exhibit 5, Company Exhibit 6, and Joint 2.1 Exhibit 1. 22 ALJ JONES: Is there any objection to the 23 admission of Company Exhibits 1 through 6 or Joint 24 Exhibit 1? Joint Exhibit 1 is the Joint Stipulation;

25

is that correct?

MR. SETTINERI: That is correct, your Honor.

2.1

ALJ JONES: I would like to take that up when -- after I ask Mr. Zeto some questions. So at this time let's take up Company's Exhibits 1 through 6. Any objection to the admission of those?

MR. LINDGREN: No objection.

MS. MILAM: No objection.

ALJ JONES: Thank you. Those documents shall be admitted.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

MR. SETTINERI: Next, your Honor, we had previously marked a series of exhibits for other individuals' testimony Company Exhibit 7, Company Exhibit 8, Company Exhibit 9, Company Exhibit 10, Company Exhibit 11. For all of those witnesses, Mr. Coleman, Robinson, Hessler, Lines, and McGee, they are all out of town witnesses. And after consulting with the parties, all parties to the case, they said they have no cross-examination for those witnesses, and they are agreeable to stipulate to the admission of all of those exhibits into the record without the appearance of those witnesses here today.

ALJ JONES: Thank you, Mr. Settineri. Is there any objection to the admission of the

25 testimonies that are marked as Exhibits 7 through 11 1 2. at this time? 3 MS. MILAM: No objection. MR. LINDGREN: No objection. 4 5 ALJ JONES: Thank you. Those documents shall be so admitted. 6 7 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.) MR. SETTINERI: Thank you, your Honor. 8 And this concludes our presentation at this time. 9 ALJ JONES: Thank you. 10 11 Thank you, Mr. Herling. You may step 12 down. 13 Ms. Milam, do you have any witnesses you 14 want to provide today? 15 MS. MILAM: I do not, your Honor. 16 ALJ JONES: Thank you. 17 Mr. Lindgren? 18 MR. LINDGREN: Thank you, your Honor. 19 The Staff calls Grant Zeto to the stand. 20 ALJ JONES: Mr. Zeto, would you raise 2.1 your right hand. 2.2 (Witness sworn.) 23 ALJ JONES: Thank you. Please be seated.

Go ahead, Mr. Lindgren.

MR. LINDGREN: Thank you.

24

		26			
1	GRANT ZETO				
2	being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was				
3	examined and testified as follows:				
4	DIRECT EXAMINATION				
5	By Mr. Lindgren:				
6	Q. Good morning, Mr. Zeto.				
7	A. Good morning.				
8	Q. Would you state your full name for the				
9	record.				
10	A. Grant Zeto.				
11	Q. And what is your business address?				
12	A. 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio				
13	43215.				
14	Q. Thank you. Where are you employed?				
15	A. I am employed with the Public Utilities				
16	Commission of Ohio.				
17	Q. How long have you been employed there?				
18	A. I've been employed here since 2011.				
19	Q. Thank you. And what is your position?				
20	A. I am a Utility Specialist 2. I				
21	specialize in environmental review.				
22	Q. Thank you. Were you involved in the				
23	investigation of the project we are here regarding				
24	this morning, the Willowbrook Solar Farm?				
25	A. Yes, I was.				

- Q. And what was your role?
- A. I was the Staff lead investigator for the project and helped to author sections of the Staff Report.
- 5 Q. Thank you.

6 MR. LINDGREN: May I approach the

7 | witness?

1

2

3

4

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

17

18

ALJ JONES: Yes, you may.

MR. LINDGREN: Let the record reflect I am handing the witness what I will ask to be marked as Staff Exhibit 1.

O. Mr. Zeto --

ALJ JONES: Are you going to describe what the document is?

MR. LINDGREN: I was going to ask him, if that's okay.

ALJ JONES: Okay.

- Q. Do you recognize that document?
- 19 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And can you explain what it is?
- 21 A. This is the Staff Report of

Investigation, the result of our review of the
Application and the other documents provided in the

24 docket in this case.

Q. Thank you. And does it accurately

28 reflect the results of your investigation? 1 2 Yes, it does. Α. 3 Thank you. Mr. Zeto, are you familiar Ο. with the Joint Stipulation and Recommendation that 4 5 has been marked as Joint Exhibit 1? 6 Α. Yes, I am. 7 And would you recommend that the Q. Commission adopt this stipulation? 8 9 Yes, I would. Α. 10 MR. LINDGREN: Thank you. 11 No further questions. 12 ALJ JONES: Thank you. Let's go ahead 13 and mark Staff's Exhibit 1 as the Staff Report of Investigation in the case docketed February 4, 2019. 14 15 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) ALJ JONES: Mr. Settineri, do you have 16 17 any questions for this witness? 18 MR. SETTINERI: We don't, your Honor. 19 Thank you. 20 ALJ JONES: Ms. Milam? 2.1 MS. MILAM: I do not, your Honor. 2.2 23 EXAMINATION 24 By Alj Jones: 25 Q. Mr. Zeto, I think I just have one that I

think you can clear up for me if you have the Staff Report of Investigation in front of you. Could you turn to page 19, please.

- A. Okay. I'm there.
- Q. And in the -- I want to direct your attention to the third and fourth paragraphs on page 19 of the Staff Report investigation. Do you have those in front of you?
 - A. Yes, I do.

2.1

- Q. Okay. There is a discussion in paragraph 3 about the Sloan's crayfish and there is a discussion in paragraph 4 regarding perennial streams. Do you see those references?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And I guess my question is -- and it relates to the Joint Stipulation Condition 19. Do you have the Joint Stipulation in front of you?
 - A. No, I don't.

I have it now.

- Q. Okay. So my question is just simply the reference to perennial streams in the Staff Report at page 19, paragraph 4, is -- is that intended to apply to the discussion of the Sloan's crayfish in the Staff Report of Investigation page 19, paragraph 3?
 - A. Not necessarily. There was kind of two

```
recommendations happening. But we did end up with the perennial streams and Sloan's crayfish.
```

- Q. So the Joint Stipulation Exhibit No. 1, Condition No. 19, is how you intend for this condition to read at the present time; is that correct?
- A. Yes. This was -- this condition was the result of coordination with ODNR, and the condition as written accurately reflects that coordination.

10 ALJ JONES: Thank you, Mr. Zeto. That's all the questions I have.

Any redirect, Mr. Lindgren?

MR. LINDGREN: None, your Honor.

14 ALJ JONES: Thank you. Any other

15 | questions of this witness?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MS. MILAM: No, your Honor.

17 ALJ JONES: Thank you.

MR. LINDGREN: Your Honor, I would move for the admission of Staff Exhibit 1, the Staff Report of Investigation.

ALJ JONES: Any objections to the admission of Staff Exhibit 1, the Staff Report of Investigation in this case?

MS. MILAM: No objection.

MR. SETTINERI: No objection, your Honor.

```
31
                 ALJ JONES: Thank you. That document
 1
 2
     shall be so admitted.
 3
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
                 ALJ JONES: Okay. Now, Mr. Settineri, do
 4
 5
     you renew your motion for the admission of Joint
 6
     Exhibit No. 1 which is the Joint Stipulation and
     Recommendation in this matter?
 7
 8
                 MR. SETTINERI: Yes, we do, your Honor.
     At this time again we renew our motion to submit
9
10
     Joint Exhibit 1 into the record.
11
                 ALJ JONES: Any objections?
12
                 MS. MILAM: No objection.
13
                 MR. LINDGREN: No objections.
14
                 ALJ JONES: Hearing none, that document
15
     shall so admitted.
16
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
17
                 ALJ JONES: Is there anything further to
18
     come before the Bench today?
19
                 MR. SETTINERI: No, your Honor.
20
                 MS. MILAM: No, your Honor.
2.1
                 ALJ JONES: All right. Thank you.
22
     you all for your attendance.
23
                 We are adjourned.
24
                 (Thereupon, at 10:30 a.m., the hearing
25
     was concluded.)
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, February 28, 2019, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter.

(KSG-6705)

TARY PUBLIC OF OHOLINIA

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

3/18/2019 11:28:37 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-1024-EL-BGN

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Willowbrook Solar I, LLC, for a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility and Public Record, hearing held on February 28th, 2019. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.