

FILE

BEFORE  
THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Filing by Ohio Edison :  
Company, The Cleveland Electric :  
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo : Case No. 16-481-EL-UNC  
Edison Company of a Grid Modernization :  
Business Plan. :

In the Matter of the Filing by Ohio Edison :  
Company, The Cleveland Electric :  
Illuminating Company and The Toledo : Case No. 17-2436-EL-UNC  
Edison Company Application for :  
Approval of a Distribution Platform :  
Modernization Plan. :

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio :  
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric :  
Illuminating Company and The Toledo : Case No. 18-1604-EL-UNC  
Edison Company to Implement Matters :  
Relating to the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of :  
2017. :

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio :  
Edison Company, The Cleveland Electric :  
Illuminating Company, and The Toledo : Case No. 18-1656-EL-ATA  
Edison Company for Approval of a Tariff :  
Change. :

PUCO

2019 MAR 13 PM 2:40

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

---

CORRECTION TO REPLY BRIEF  
OF  
THE SMART THERMOSTAT COALITION

---

It has come to the attention of The Smart Thermostat Coalition ("STC") that a material word was inadvertently omitted from the Reply Brief filed by STC in the above-captioned proceedings on March 12, 2019.

The sentence that begins at the bottom of page 24 and carries over to page 25 states as follows:

this is to certify that the images appearing are an  
accurate and complete reproduction of a case file  
document delivered in the regular course of business  
technician M Date Processed MAR 13 2019


This is important for the purpose at hand because the Companies' cost/benefit analysis treats the savings in the customer energy management category as being the same amount of IHD accumulated from year to year, which is inconsistent with the observation in the SGIG Study that the customer response in year one is not replicated in subsequent years by customers who were given IHDs.

The word "savings" should have followed the acronym "IHD" in the third line, so the corrected sentence would read as follows:

This is important for the purpose at hand because the Companies' cost/benefit analysis treats the savings in the customer energy management category as being the same amount of IHD **savings** accumulated from year to year, which is inconsistent with the observation in the SGIG Study that the customer response in year one is not replicated in subsequent years by customers who were given IHDs.

STC regrets this error and apologizes for any confusion this omission may have caused.

Respectfully submitted,




---

Barth E. Royer (0016999)  
Barth E. Royer, LLC  
2740 East Main Street  
Bexley, Ohio 43209  
(614) 817-1331 – Phone  
*BarthRoyer@aol.com* – Email

Attorney for  
The Smart Thermostat Coalition

**Certificate of Service**

I hereby certify that copies of the foregoing have been served by electronic mail on the following persons this 13th day of March 2019.



Barth E. Royer  
Attorney for  
The Smart Thermostat Coalition

Thomas.lindgren@ohioattorneygeneral.gov  
mkurtz@BKLawfirm.com  
kboehm@BKLawfirm.com  
jkylercohn@BKLawfirm.com  
gkrassen@bricker.com  
dstinson@bricker.com  
mleppla@theOEC.org  
tdougherty@theOEC.org  
jfinnigan@edf.org  
paul@carpenterlipps.com  
Bojko@carpenterlipps.com  
Dressel@carpenterlipps.com  
ghiloni@carpenterlipps.com  
cmooney@ohiopartners.org  
glpetrucci@vorys.com  
glover@whitt-sturtevant.com  
mwarnock@bricker.com  
dborchers@bricker.com  
jeckert@firstenergycorp.com  
bknipe@firstenergycorp.com  
jlang@calfee.com  
mkeaney@calfee.com  
fdarr@mwncmh.com  
mpritchard@mwncmh.com  
rdove@keglerbrown.com  
mdortch@kravitzllc.com  
dborchers@bricker.com  
dparram@bricker.com  
joliker@igsenergy.com  
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com  
campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com  
bethany.allen@igs.com  
Bryce.McKenney@occ.ohio.gov  
terry.etter@occ.ohio.gov  
Greg.Price@puc.state.oh.us  
Megan.Addison@puc.state.oh.us