# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Ishton W. Morton 5483 Edger Drive Cincinnati, Ohio 45239	
Complainant	
<b>v</b> .	) Case No. 19-0159-EL-CSS
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.	
Respondent	)

## ANSWER OF DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

For its Answer to the Complaint of Ishton W. Morton (Complainant), Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or Respondent) states as follows:

1. The Complaint is not in a form allowing for specific admission or denial as to individual allegations. Accordingly, Duke Energy Ohio generally denies the allegations set out in the Complaint.

2. Statements regarding general procedures for the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) are not allegations to which a response is required.

3. Duke Energy Ohio denies the allegations contained in the paragraphs of the Complaint.

4. Duke Energy Ohio denies each and every allegation of fact and conclusion of law not expressly admitted herein.

#### AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

1. The Complainant does not assert any allegations of fact that would give rise to a cognizable claim against Duke Energy Ohio.

2. Duke Energy Ohio asserts as an affirmative defense that pursuant to R.C. 4905.26 and O.A.C. 4901-9-01-(B)(3), Complainant has failed to set forth reasonable grounds for complaint.

3. Duke Energy Ohio asserts as an affirmative defense that Complainant has not stated any request for relief that can be granted by this Commission.

4. Duke Energy Ohio asserts that to the extent Complainant is seeking monetary damages, such relief is beyond the scope of the Commission's jurisdiction.

5. Duke Energy Ohio asserts that to the extent the Complainant is seeking equitable relief, such relief is beyond the scope of the Commission's jurisdiction.

6. Duke Energy Ohio reserves the right to raise additional affirmative defenses or to withdraw any of the foregoing affirmative defenses as may become necessary during the investigation and discovery of this matter.

#### **CONCLUSION**

WHEREFORE, having fully answered, Duke Energy Ohio respectfully requests that the Commission dismiss the Complaint of Ishton W. Morton, for failure to set forth reasonable grounds for the Complaint and to deny Complainant's request for relief, if any.

2

Respectfully submitted,

<u>/s/ Elizabeth H. Watts</u> Rocco O. D'Ascenzo (0077651) Deputy General Counsel Elizabeth H. Watts (0031092) Associate General Counsel Duke Energy Business Services LLC 139 East Fourth Street, 1303-Main Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 (513) 287-4320 (telephone) (513) 287-7385 (fax) rocco.d'ascenzo@duke-energy.com elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com

Attorneys for Respondent Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing Answer of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., was served via regular US Mail postage prepaid, or by electronic mail service, this 5<sup>th</sup> day of February 2019, upon the following:

Ishton W. Morton 5483 Edger Drive Cincinnati, Ohio 45239

> <u>/s/ Elizabeth H. Watts</u> Elizabeth H. Watts

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

2/5/2019 12:19:50 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-0159-EL-CSS

Summary: Answer Answer of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Complainant Ishton W. Morton electronically filed by Mrs. Debbie L Gates on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio Inc. and D'Ascenzo, Rocco O. Mr. and Watts, Elizabeth H