BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

)

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate

Case No. 19-232-EL-RDR

MOTION OF ERAMET MARIETTA INC. FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER AND MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

Frank P. Darr (Reg. No. 0025469) (Counsel of Record) Matthew R. Pritchard (Reg. No. 0088070) MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17TH Floor Columbus, OH 43215 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653 fdarr@mwncmh.com (willing to accept service by e-mail) mpritchard@mwncmh.com (willing to accept service by e-mail)

ATTORNEYS FOR ERAMET MARIETTA INC.

JANUARY 30, 2019 C0126527:1

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate

Case No. 19-232-EL-RDR

MOTION OF ERAMET MARIETTA INC. FOR PROTECTIVE ORDER

Pursuant to Rule 4901-1-24, Ohio Administrative Code ("O.A.C."), Eramet Marietta Inc. ("Eramet") respectfully moves the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio ("Commission") to issue a Protective Order to protect the confidentiality and prohibit the disclosure of the confidential information contained in the application of Ohio Power Company ("AEP-Ohio") to adjust its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider ("EDR") rate filed under seal on January 29, 2019. The confidential information is not subject to disclosure and includes competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information comprising trade secrets. The grounds for this Motion are set forth in the attached Memorandum in Support.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew R. Pritchard

Frank P. Darr (Reg. No. 0025469) (Counsel of Record) Matthew R. Pritchard (Reg. No. 0088070) MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653 fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Eramet Marietta Inc.

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)

In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust The Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Rate

Case No. 19-232-EL-RDR

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

I. INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

On June 19, 2009, Eramet filed an application before the Commission for a reasonable arrangement with AEP-Ohio to permit Eramet to upgrade its manufacturing facility in Ohio.¹ On August 5, 2009, Eramet and Commission Staff filed a Joint Stipulation and Recommendation resolving the issues in the case ("Stipulation").² On October 15, 2009, the Commission issued an Opinion and Order approving the Stipulation with modifications. On March 24, 2010, the Commission issued an Entry on Rehearing denying Applications for Rehearing and upholding its Opinion and Order approving the Stipulation.

In AEP-Ohio's initial electric security plan ("ESP") proceeding (Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO, *et al.*), the Commission authorized AEP-Ohio's EDR to recover economic development amounts authorized by the Commission in reasonable arrangement cases. In the initial ESP proceeding, the Commission also set the initial

2

¹ In the Matter of the Application for Establishment of a Reasonable Arrangement between Eramet Marietta Inc. and Columbus Southern Power Company, Case No. 09-516-EL-AEC (June 19, 2009). The application, as filed and approved, was between Eramet and Columbus Southern Power Company ("CSP"). However, CSP has since merged with Ohio Power Company.

² *Id.*, Stipulation (August 5, 2009).

level of the rider at zero.³ The EDR was reauthorized in AEP-Ohio's second, third, and fourth ESP proceedings and the rate is adjusted semi-annually.⁴ The rider is calculated as a percentage of a customer's distribution charges.

On January 29, 2019, AEP-Ohio initiated this semi-annual update case by filing an application requesting that the Commission adjust AEP-Ohio's EDR. The application contains Eramet's customer-specific information that was clearly marked as confidential and was filed under seal, separate from the redacted public version of the Eramet-specific schedule. Eramet has filed a Motion to Intervene in this proceeding.

For the reasons stated below, Eramet respectfully requests that the Commission grant protective treatment of Eramet's customer-specific information included to support AEP-Ohio's EDR adjustment filed under seal.

II. ARGUMENT

The billing information of the Eramet reasonable arrangement schedule filed by AEP-Ohio contains competitively sensitive and highly proprietary business information that constitutes trade secrets under Ohio law and the Commission's rules. State law recognizes the need to protect information that is confidential in nature. Accordingly, the General Assembly granted the Commission statutory authority to exempt certain

³ In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company for Approval of an Electric Security Plan; an Amendment to its Corporate Separation Plan; and the Sale or Transfer of Certain Generating Assets, Case Nos. 08-917-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 47-48 (March 18, 2009).

⁴ In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to Section 4928.143, Revised Code, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 11-346-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 66-67 (August 8, 2012); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 13-2385-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 69 (February 25, 2015); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company for Authority to Establish a Standard Service Offer Pursuant to R.C. 4928.143, in the Form of an Electric Security Plan, Case Nos. 16-1852-EL-SSO, et al., Opinion and Order at 42 (April 25, 2018).

documents from disclosure.⁵ Pursuant to this statutory grant of authority, the Commission promulgated Rule 4901-1-24, O.A.C. The rule provides for the issuance of an order that is necessary to protect the confidentiality of information contained in documents filed at the Commission to the extent that state and federal law prohibit the release of such information and if non-disclosure of the information is not inconsistent with the purposes of Title 49 of the Revised Code.

Trade secrets protected by state law are not considered public records and are therefore exempt from public disclosure.⁶ A trade secret is defined by R.C. 1333.61(D), as follows:

"Trade secret" means information, including the whole or any portion or phase of any scientific or technical information, design, process, procedure, formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method, technique, or improvement, or any <u>business information or plans, financial</u> <u>information</u>, or listing of names, addresses, or telephone numbers, that satisfies both of the following:

- (1) It derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain economic value from its disclosure or use.
- (2) It is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

R.C. 1333.61(D) (emphasis added).

The Eramet-related information is competitively sensitive and highly proprietary

business and financial information falling within the statutory characterization of a trade

secret.⁷ The information for which protective treatment is sought includes Eramet's

⁵ See R.C. 4901.12 and 4905.07.

⁶ R.C. 149.43(A)(1)(v); State ex rel. The Plain Dealer v. Ohio Dept. of Insurance, 80 Ohio St.3d 513, 530 (1997).

⁷ R.C. 1333.61(D).

billings paid for electricity based upon its actual and estimated usage. Public disclosure of the pricing information would jeopardize Eramet's business position and its ability to compete. The actual and projected billing information Eramet seeks to protect derives independent economic value from not being generally known and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by Eramet's competitors. Further, the efforts to protect the confidential pricing information are reasonable under the circumstances. Finally, actual customer usage and pricing terms are routinely accorded protected status by the Commission, and the Commission accorded such treatment to Eramet's information in AEP-Ohio's previous EDR update proceedings.⁸

The non-disclosure of the actual usage and pricing information will not impair the purposes of Title 49 as the Commission and its Staff will have full access to the

⁸ In the Matter of the Application of Columbus Southern Power Company and Ohio Power Company to Adjust Their Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 11-4570-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (October 12, 2011); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 12-688-EL-RDR. Finding and Order at 4-5 (March 28, 2012); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 12-2210-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 5 (September 26, 2012); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Cost Recovery Rider Pursuant to Rule 4901:1-38-08(A)(5), Ohio Administrative Code, Case No. 13-325-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (March 27, 2013) : In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Cost Recovery Rider Rate, Case No. 13-1739-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (September 18, 2013); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate. Case No. 14-193-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 5 (March 26, 2014); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 14-1329-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4-5 (September 17, 2014); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 15-279-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (March 18, 2015); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 15-1400-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (November 18, 2015); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 16-260-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (March 31, 2016); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 16-1684-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (September 22, 2016); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate. Case No. 17-295-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (March 29, 2017); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 17-1714-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (September 13, 2017); In the Matter of the Application of Ohio Power Company to Adjust Its Economic Development Rider Rate, Case No. 18-191-EL-RDR, Finding and Order at 4 (March 28, 2018).

confidential information in order to complete its review process. Because Eramet's information constitutes a trade secret, it should be accorded protected status.

III. CONCLUSION

Eramet respectfully requests that this Motion for Protective Order be granted for the reasons set forth herein.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Matthew R. Pritchard Frank P. Darr (Reg. No. 0025469) (Counsel of Record) Matthew R. Pritchard (Reg. No. 0088070) MCNEES WALLACE & NURICK LLC 21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, OH 43215-4228 Telephone: (614) 469-8000 Telecopier: (614) 469-4653 fdarr@mwncmh.com mpritchard@mwncmh.com

Attorneys for Eramet Marietta Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

In accordance with Rule 4901-1-05, Ohio Administrative Code, the PUCO's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document upon the following parties. In addition, I hereby certify that a service copy of the foregoing *Motion of Eramet Marietta Inc. for Protective Order and Memorandum in Support* was sent by, or on behalf of, the undersigned counsel for Eramet Marietta Inc., to the following parties of record this 30th day of January 2019, *via* electronic transmission.

/s/ Matthew R. Pritchard

MATTHEW R. PRITCHARD

Steven T. Nourse (Reg. No. 0046705) American Electric Power Service Corporation 1 Riverside Plaza, 29th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 stnourse@aep.com

COUNSEL FOR OHIO POWER COMPANY

William L. Wright (Reg. No. 0018010) Chief, Public Utilities Section Assistant Attorney General Office of the Ohio Attorney General 30 E. Broad St., 16th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 william.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

COUNSEL FOR THE STAFF OF THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/30/2019 12:46:48 PM

in

Case No(s). 19-0232-EL-RDR

Summary: Motion Motion of Eramet Marietta Inc. for Protective Order and Memorandum in Support electronically filed by Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard on behalf of Eramet Marietta, Inc.