BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application of The)	
Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for)	Case No. 18-0298-GA-AIR
Approval of an Increase in Gas Rates)	

TESTIMONY IN RESPONSE TO OBJECTIONS TO THE STAFF REPORT OF DAVID M. LIPTHRATT RESEARCH & POLICY DIVISION RATES AND ANLAYSIS DEPARTMENT

STAFF EX. ____

- 1 1. Q. Please state your name and business address.
- A. My name is David M. Lipthratt. My address is 180 East Broad Street,
- 3 Columbus, Ohio 43215-3793.

4

- 5 2. Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
- A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO).

7

- 8 3. Q. What is your current position with the PUCO?
- 9 A. I am employed by the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (the Commission
- or PUCO) as the Chief of the Research and Policy Division of the Rates and
- 11 Analysis Department.

12

- 13 4. Q. Would you briefly state your professional and educational background?
- A. I earned a Bachelor of Arts Degree that included a Major in Political Science
- and a Minor in History from the University of Georgia. Subsequently, I
- earned a Masters in Public Administration Degree with a focus on public
- budgeting and finance and policy analysis from the University of Georgia.
- In addition, I earned a post-baccalaureate Certificate of Accounting
- 19 Concentration at Columbus State Community College. I am a Certified
- Public Accountant (Ohio License # CPA.48876). Moreover, I have attended
- various seminars and rate case training programs sponsored by this

1	Commission, professional trade organizations, and the utility industry com-
2	munity.

3

- 4 5. Q. What are your responsibilities in this proceeding?
- A. I am responsible for the general management and processing of the Rate Case
 application, including schedule C-3.17 Distribution Accelerated Risk
 Reduction (DARR) Program and Integrity Management (IM) Program
 Expenses, as well as issues related to the Distribution Replacement Rider
 (DRR) and the House Bill 95 (HB95) Capital Expenditure Program (CEP).

10

- 11 6. Q. What is the purpose of your testimony?
- 12 A. The purpose of my testimony is to respond to objections raised by parties 13 pertaining to the CEP and DRR programs. Specifically, I will address the 14 Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel (OCC) Objections one, four, and 15 five and 18 through 23.

16

17

OCC Objection 1 – Rate Base

7. Q. OCC objects to Staff Report for failing to recommend for consumer protection a used and usefulness, prudence, and necessity audit of Vectren's 20 2011-2017 Capital Expenditure Program (CEP) investments, a depreciation

1	offset for those assets that have been retired, and that CEP investments as of
2	the date certain be included in rate base. Please respond.

A. The objection raised by has been addressed by the Stipulation filed in this case. As part of the Stipulation, VEDO shall not be required to create a depreciation offset as recommended in the Staff Report, provided that VEDO continue to net out retired assets in the calculation of deferred depreciation expense, as described in the Supplemental Testimony of VEDO witness J. Cas Swiz in this proceeding and as approved in Case Nos. 12-530-GA-UNC and 13-1890-GA-UNC. Additionally, the PUCO Staff or its designee will perform a review of VEDO's filing, every one to two years in its discretion, to determine the necessity, prudence, lawfulness, and reasonableness of the CEP Investment for the prior calendar year.

OCC Objections 4 and 5 - Distribution Accelerated Risk Reduction (DARR) Program and Integrity Management (IM) Program Expenses

- 17 8. Q. OCC raises several objections pertaining to Staff's recommendations for the
 18 DARR: (4) Staff failed to properly review past expenses, and (5) Staff failed
 19 to establish reasonable ongoing expenses. Please respond.
 - A. Staff did not conduct an isolated review of past and test year expenses in the rate case. The Staff person assigned to this are of review incorrectly determined that DARR expenses, similar to DIMP and TIMP expenses, were included in expense accounts that would have been reviewed by a different

that the DARR expenses were in fact held in a different deferral account and therefore costs associated with this program were not fully reviewed during the rate case investigation. After discovering its error, Staff conducted an expedited and limited review of these accounts due to limited resources and time constraints. As a result of this review, Staff believes the DARR expenses are appropriately included in base rates.

OCC Objections 18-22 - HB95 Capital Expenditure Programs (CEP)

9. Q. OCC raises several objections pertaining to Staff's recommendations for the CEP: (18) Staff failed to define proposed cost controls, (19) Staff failed to recommend a prudence review of historic plant records, (20) Staff failed to recommend Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc. (VEDO) work with OCC and Staff to develop future revenue caps, (21) Staff failed to recommend a minimum future revenue offset, and (22) Staff's recommendation of the creation of a single capital asset rider should be rejected. Do you agree?

A. No. The Staff report contained recommendations to develop costs controls;¹
Staff conducted a thorough plant review in this case;² and Staff recommended revenue caps.³ Staff made no recommendations to alter the

In the Matter of the Application of The Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc., for Approval of an Alternative Rate Plan, Case No. 18-49-GA-ALT, et al., Staff Report at 17-18 (Oct. 1, 2018).

² *Id*.

 $^{^3}$ *Id*.

revenue offset that is currently in place. Pertaining to consolidation of capital riders, Staff recommended approval of the CEP with certain modifications. As an alternative to Staff's recommendation, Staff recommended that the VEDO initiate discussion with Staff regarding the potential creation of a single rider that would include all capital investments. As a result of the Stipulation in this case, Staff's view is there is currently no need to discuss the creation of a single capital investment rider in this case, but Staff believes that the creation of a single investment rider should be discussed in future cases.

OCC Objection 23 - Distribution Replacement Rider (DRR)

- 12 10. Q. OCC objects to Staff's review of the DRR program, specifically that (23)

 Staff failed to limit rate caps by permitting deferrals. Do you agree?
- A. No. In Staff's opinion, the rate caps identified by VEDO in their application are appropriate. Also, the deferrals referred to by OCC have been permitted in the program since its inception and Staff is not recommending a change at this time.

- 19 11. Q. Does this conclude your testimony?
- A. Yes. However, I reserve the right to submit supplemental testimony as new information subsequently becomes available.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

This is to certify that the foregoing **Testimony of David Lipthratt** has been served upon all of the parties of record in Case No. 18-0298-GA-AIR by electronic and/or U.S. mail, postage pre-paid mail this 22nd day of January, 2019.

/s/Werner L. Margard III

Werner L. Margard III Assistant Attorney General

Parties of Record:

Mark A. Whitt (0067996)
Andrew J. Campbell (0081485)
Shannon K. Rust (0090182)
Christopher T. Kennedy (0075228)
Whitt Sturtevant LLP
The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590
88 East Broad Street
Columbus, Ohio 43215
whitt@whitt-sturtevant.com
campbell@whitt-sturtevant.com
rust@whitt-sturtevant.com
kennedy@whitt-sturtevant.com

Frank P. Darr (0025469)
Matthew R. Pritchard (0088070)
McNees Wallace & Nurick LLC
21 East State Street, 17TH Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
fdarr@mcneeslaw.com
mpritchard@mcneeslaw.com

William J. Michael (0070921)
Amy Botschner-O'Brien (0074423)
Christopher Healey (0086027)
Assistant Consumers' Counsel
Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel
65 East State Street, 7th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
William.michael@occ.ohio.gov
amy.botschner.obrien@occ.ohio.gov
christopher.healey@occ.ohio.gov

Counsel for the Office of the Ohio Consumers' Counsel

Colleen L. Mooney (0015668) Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy PO Box 12451 Columbus, OH 43212-2451 cmooney@ohiopartners.org

Counsel for Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy

P. Jason Stephenson (21839-49) Vectren Corporation One Vectren Square 211 N.W. Riverside Drive Evansville, Indiana 47708 jstephenson@vectren.com

Counsel for Vectren Energy Delivery Of Ohio, Inc.

Steven D. Lesser (0020242)
N. Trevor Alexander (0080713)
Mark T. Keaney (095318)
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
41 S. High St., 1200 Huntington Center
Columbus OH 43215
slesser@calfee.com
mkeaney@calfee.com
talexander@calfee.com

Counsel for the City of Dayton and Honda North America, Inc.

Joseph Oliker (0086088) Michael Nugent (0090408) IGS Energy 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, Ohio 43016 joliker@igsenergy.com mnugent@igsenergy.com

Counsel for IGS Energy

Darryl Brown (0062635)
Deputy Director & Senior Supervisory
Attorney
88th ABW Legal Office
5135 Pearson Road
Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433-5319
Darryl.Brown@us.af.mil

Madeline Fleisher (0091862) Environmental Law & Policy Center 21 West Broad St., 8th Floor Columbus, OH 43215 mfleisher@elpc.org

Robert Kelter (PHV-2685-2018) Senior Attorney Environmental Law & Policy Center 35 E. Wacker Drive, Suite 1600 Chicago, IL 60601 rkelter@elpc.org

Counsel for the Environmental Law & Policy Center

Michael J. Settineri (0073369) Gretchen L. Petrucci (0046608) Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP 52 East Gay Street Columbus, OH 43215 mjsettineri@vorys.com glpetrucci@vorys.com

Counsel for the Retail Energy Supply Association

Robert J. Friedman (OH PHV 20930-2019) AFLOA-JACE-ULFSC 139 Barnes Drive, Suite 1 Tyndall AFB, FL 32403 Robert.Friedman.5@us.af.mil

Counsel for Federal Executive Agencies

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

1/22/2019 2:49:23 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-0298-GA-AIR

Summary: Testimony in Response to Objections to the Staff Report of David M. Lipthratt electronically filed by Ms. Tonnetta Scott on behalf of PUC