BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the

In the Matter of the : Application of Aqua Ohio, : Case No.

Inc. for Authority to Assess
a System Improvement Charge
: 18-0337-WW-SIC
: : 18-0337-WW-SIC

in Lake/Masury/Prior American/ : Prior Mohawk/Prior Tomahawk : Properties.

## PROCEEDINGS

Before Anna Sanyal, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Monday, December 17, 2018, at 11:00 A.M.

Armstrong & Okey, Inc. 222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Whitt Sturtevant LLP
            By Ms. Rebekah J. Glover
 3
            88 East Broad Street
            Suite 1590
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
 6
            Ms. Amy Botschner O'Brien
 7
            Staff Attorney
            65 East State Street
 8
            7th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 9
                 On behalf of the Office
10
                 of Consumers' Counsel.
11
            Mr. Robert Eubanks
12
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street
13
            16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
14
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
15
                 Public Utilities Commission
                 of Ohio.
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
2.4
25
```

					3
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3			MARKED	ADMT	
4	JOINT EXHIBITS				
5	1	Stipulation and Recommendation	7	8	
6 7	7 011	A EVIITETHS			
8		A EXHIBITS	7	8	
	1	Application			
9	2	Current Tariff Sheets	7	8	
10		Proof of Publication	7	8	
11	4	Supplemental Direct Testimony of Richard A. Hideg	7	8	
12					
13	0CC	EXHIBITS			
14	1	Direct Testimony of William Ross Willis	6	8	
15		WIIIIam ROSS WIIIIS			
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

4 1 Monday Morning, 2 December 17, 2018. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public 5 Utilities Commission of Ohio has called for 6 hearing at this time and place Case No. 7 18-337-WW-SIC In the Matter of the Application of Aqua Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Assess a 8 System Improvement Charge. 9 10 My name is Anna Sanyal, and I am the 11 Attorney Examiner assigned to this case. Let's 12 do appearances starting with Aqua, please. 13 MS. GLOVER: Thank you, your Honor. 14 On behalf of Aqua Ohio Rebekah Glover and Andrew 15 Campbell, law firm Whitt Sturtevant, 88 East 16 Broad Street, Suite 1590, Columbus, Ohio, 43215. 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 18 MS. O'BRIEN: Thank you, your Honor. On behalf of the Office of the Ohio Consumer's 19 20 Counsel, Amy Botschner O'Brien, 65 East State 2.1 Street, 7th Floor, Columbus, Ohio 43215. 2.2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

MR. EUBANKS: Thank you, your Honor. Robert Eubanks, on behalf of Staff, Attorney General's office, 30 East Broad Street, 16th

23

24

25

5

```
Floor, Public Utilities Section.
```

1

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

23

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.

According to the docket we only have one pending

matter before us today, which is the motion

filed by Aqua. So, Ms. Glover, I will just let

you tell us about the motion, briefly, for

the record.

MS. GLOVER: Thank you, Your Honor. On December 12th Aqua filed a motion for an expedited briefing schedule. All the parties have agreed to waive cross-examination of the witnesses who filed testimony on December 10th. And have also agreed to stipulate to the admission of that testimony into the record.

In addition the parties have agreed to a briefing schedule that includes one post-hearing brief to be filed on December 21st.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And in the motion has Aqua indicated that there are no objections to this schedule. Is that still the case? OCC?

MS. O'BRIEN: That is correct.

MR. EUBANKS: Yes, your Honor.

24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: In that case, I

25 | will grant the motion. I will expect,

6

```
obviously, the briefs to be filed by December
 1
 2.
     21st.
 3
                 And before we went on the record Mr.
     Eubanks brought up an issue about whether
 4
 5
     comments should be filed on the docket and I
 6
     just want to note on the record that the
 7
     specific comments are attached to the testimony
     filed already on the docket. Correct?
 8
 9
                 MS. O'BRIEN: Yes, they are. They
10
     are attached to the direct testimony of William
     Ross Willis which would be marked as OCC Exhibit
11
12
     1.
13
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Sure. It shall
14
    be so marked.
15
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION)
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And obviously no
     objections to it being admitted.
17
18
                 MS. GLOVER: Correct.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are there any
20
     other matters?
2.1
                 MS. GLOVER: Yes, your Honor.
22
     would like to enter Aqua's exhibits into the
23
     record.
24
                 I would like to have marked as Joint
25
     Exhibit 1 the Stipulation and Recommendation
```

7 that was filed on November 8th. 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It shall be so 3 marked. (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION) 4 5 MS. GLOVER: Marked as Aqua Exhibit 1 the Application, exhibits and testimony that 6 were filed on March 1st. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It shall be so 8 marked. 9 10 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR 11 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES) 12 MS. GLOVER: Aqua Exhibit 2, the correspondence and attachments that were filed 13 in the docket on June 21st. 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It shall be so 15 16 marked. 17 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR 18 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES) 19 MS. GLOVER: Aqua Exhibit 3, the 20 Proof of Publication that was filed on 2.1 the docket on June 26th. 2.2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It shall be so 23 marked. 24 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF 25 IDENTIFICATION)

```
MS. GLOVER: And Aqua Exhibit 4, the
 1
 2
     supplemental testimony of Richard A. Hideg in
     support of the stipulation that was filed in the
 3
     docket on December 10th.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: It shall be so
 6
     marked.
 7
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
     IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
 8
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And there are
10
     obviously no objections to them being admitted?
11
                 MS. O'BRIEN: No objection.
12
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: They shall be
13
     admitted.
14
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
15
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Are there any
     other matters that you would like to put on the
16
17
     record?
18
                 Okay. Then we are adjourned.
19
                 MR. EUBANKS: What was the
20
     stipulation marked as?
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The stipulation
22
    was marked Joint Exhibit 1.
23
                 MR. EUBANKS: Okay. I just wanted
24
     to make sure. All right.
25
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This matter
```

```
9
     shall be submitted to the record. And we have a
 1
     record for the shortest hearing.
 2
 3
                  Thank you very much.
                 MR. EUBANKS: Thank you, your Honor.
 4
 5
                 (At 11:06 A.M. the hearing was
 6
     concluded)
 7
 8
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

## CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on December 17, 2018, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Michael O. Spencer,
Registered Professional
Reporter.

OTAR Y AUGUSTALIAN OF OHIO

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

1/2/2019 10:24:03 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-0337-WW-SIC

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of the Application of Aqua Ohio, Inc. for Authority to Assess a System Improvement Charge in Lake/Masury/Prior American/Prior Mohawk/Prior Tomahawk Properties, hearing held at December 17th, 2018. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.