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Tetra Tech, Inc.
2 Lan Drive
Suite. 210
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## Re: Waters of US Determination for Nestlewood Solar, Clermont/Brown Counties, Ohio

Ms. Gresock:
Smart Services, Inc. is pleased to submit the following report regarding the waters of the US, including wetlands, delineation for Tetra Tech, Inc. at the 610 -acre Nestlewood Solar project located adjacent to Vandament Road in Tate Township Clermont and Brown counties, Ohio. Enclosed are two copies of the report and an electronic copy.

We appreciate this opportunity to work with Tetra Tech, Inc. and look forward to our continued relationship.


Director of Environmental Services
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## EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Smart Services Inc. (Smart) has completed a Waters of the United States (WOUS), including wetlands, delineation for the proposed Nestlewood Solar project (the Project). The Project is proposed to be located on approximately 610 acres along Vandament Road in Tate Township, Clermont and Brown counties, Ohio (the Project Area). This executive summary is intended to be taken in context with the complete report and is not designed to be used as a separate document. A summary of the findings of the report follows.

This document is a determination of the regulatory status of any wetland, significant bodies of water, watercourse, and/or floodplain located on the Project Area, based on Section 404 of the federal Clean Water Act (CWA) and by Section 401 of the CWA, Ohio Revised Code (ORC) Section 6111.03(P). Protection is provided for all wetlands under ORC 6111.04, the Wetland AntiDegradation Rule under Ohio Administrative Code (OAC) 3745-1-54, the Section 401 Water Quality Certification Rules under OAC 3745-32, and for isolated wetlands under ORC 6111.02 3111.029. The WOUS Delineation performed provides the accurate size, shape, location, and function of each feature identified on the Project Area, thereby aiding in the determination of the regulatory status of all jurisdictional areas present on the Project Area.

The WOUS Delineation was performed in accordance with the Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1 (1987) (1987 Manual) and Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region 2.0 (2010). The determination of any wetlands depends on three basic parameters. These parameters include: 1) the presence of hydrophytic vegetation; 2) the presence of hydric soils; and 3) the presence of wetland hydrology on a consistent basis.

In Ohio, the United States Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) has jurisdiction over wetlands that are considered "adjacent" (hydrologically connected) to a WOUS, while the Ohio Environmental Protection Agency (Ohio EPA) has the authority to regulate and permit impacts to "isolated", or non-adjacent wetlands. Therefore, in an attempt to establish the level of jurisdictional authority, the hydrology of each wetland within the Project Area was evaluated to determine whether or not they should be considered adjacent.

The majority of the non-agricultural portion of the Project Area is wooded, with 61.3 acres considered jurisdictional forested or scrub/shrub wetland habitat and classified as Category 2 wetland according to the Ohio Rapid Assessment Method (ORAM) scoring methodology. Emergent wetland was limited to 0.7 acre and was considered a Category 1 wetland. A total of 1,979 linear feet of intermittent and perennial streams were identified and had Primary Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index (HHEI) scores that considered them Class II headwater streams. Lastly a small, 0.3 -acre, open water system (pond), was identified. The wetlands and pond were considered WOUS and jurisdictional because of their connection to the on-site Poplar Creek, which drains to the off-site Big Indian Creek, that eventually drains into the Little Miami River.

### 1.0 INTRODUCTION

Mr. Kyle Dixon, Environmental Scientist and Project Lead; Mr. Brian Mitch, Environmental Scientist; and Mr. Mitchel Strain providing Quality Assurance/Quality Control, completed a WOUS, including wetlands, delineation on the proposed 610-acre Project Area located along Vandament Road in Tate Township, Clermont and Brown counties, Ohio. A Location Map is included in Appendix A.

The purpose of the WOUS delineation for the Project Area was to facilitate Project development by identifying WOUS, including wetlands, which might require permitting under the federal CWA. Early planning for CWA requirements will limit Project schedule delays and financial liabilities. In order to qualitatively evaluate the functions and values of wetlands, Ohio EPA requires the ORAM, version 5.0 , be performed on each wetland identified. ORAM scores are typically used to categorize wetlands during initial project planning and to determine wetland mitigation ratios. The table below summarizes the scoring method. Category 1 wetlands typically indicate small or low-quality wetlands, while Category 3 wetlands are generally considered to be large, relatively undisturbed high-quality systems. An ORAM score falls into one of the "Transitional" zones between two categories should be placed in the higher of the two categories unless proven otherwise. Ultimately, the category assigned to any wetland is subject to verification by Ohio EPA.

Table 1. Wetland ORAM Scores and Corresponding Categories

| ORAM Score | $0-29.9$ | $30-34.9$ | $35-59.9$ | $60-64.9$ | $\geq 65$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Category 1 | Transitional | Category 2 | Transitional | Category 3 |

Source: Ohio EPA, Ohio Rapid Assessment Method Training Course, February 14, 2001.

Streams were identified as linear waterways with distinct bed, bank, and ordinary high-water mark (OHWM). In addition, because all on-site streams had pools less than 17.8- inches deep and watersheds less than a one square mile, streams were evaluated using Ohio EPA's HHEI. Scores for HHEI can range from 0 to 100 .

Under Sections 404 and 401 of the CWA, the USACE and the Ohio EPA, respectively, maintain jurisdiction over the filling and dredging of WOUS, including wetlands. Ohio EPA separately regulates impacts to isolated wetlands. If the future development will impact wetlands or streams through filling or dredging, USACE and Ohio EPA will be the regulatory permitting agencies.

### 2.0 METHODOLOGY

Smart reviewed available background information including aerial photographs, soil surveys, topographic maps, and National Wetland Inventory (NWI) maps to gain an understanding of Project Area conditions and potential jurisdictional areas. Smart then visited the Project Area to document current conditions and identify potential wetlands, streams or other jurisdictional WOUS in accordance with the Corps of Engineers Wetlands Delineation Manual, Technical Report Y-87-1 [(1987)(1987 Manual)] and the Regional Supplement to the Corps of Engineers Wetland Delineation Manual: Midwest Region 2.0 (2010).

The data on vegetation, soils, and hydrology collected at each of the sample plots was used to complete the data sheets at the time of field work. Global Positioning System (GPS) technology was used to document sample plot locations, along with all delineated wetland boundaries. Appendix B includes all background information, including the NWI Map; United States Geological Survey (USGS) Topographic Maps; and United States Department of Agricultural (USDA), Natural Resource Conservation Service (NRCS) Soil Map. Appendix C includes the features map showing the location of all sample plots, transects, and jurisdictional areas with photo documentation. Data sheets are included in Appendix D.

### 3.0 RESULTS

The following section includes the results of the background information review, site reconnaissance, WOUS Delineation, ORAM, and HHEI.

### 3.1 Background Information

### 3.1.1 National Wetland Inventory

A review of the NWI was conducted to determine the likely presence, location, size and type of wetlands that may be located on the subject property. NWI maps are compiled by the U.S. Department of the Interior, Fish \& Wildlife Services (USFWS). These maps outline existing wetlands and deep-water habitats on individual (USGS) topographic maps. NWI maps are prepared by stereoscopic analysis of high-altitude aerial photographs obtained during the following years: 2002, 2005, 2010, and 2015. The aerial photographs typically reflect conditions during the specific year and season when they were taken.

Because small wetlands and those hidden by dense forest cover may not be represented on these maps, NWI maps cannot be used as the sole method of determining the presence or absence of jurisdictional wetlands on a property.

The review of the NWI map covering the Project Area indicates wetlands are present. Several areas are categorized as palustrine forested, broad-leaved deciduous, and temporarily flooded (PFO1A) wetlands, with an aerial extent of approximately 18 acres. Other areas were categorized as palustrine emergent, persistent, temporarily flooded (PEM1A) wetlands, with an aerial extent of approximately 0.75 acres.

### 3.1.2 FIRM Flood Plain Map

A review of the Flood Insurance Rate Map (FIRM) floodplain map was used to determine the existence, location, and zone of the floodplain which may be located within the boundary of the subject property. FIRMs are maps that depict floodplain areas along rivers and tributaries. The maps record the following data: 100-year (l percent [\%] chance of annual flooding) and 500-year ( $0.2 \%$ annual chance of flooding) floodplains, the height of the base flood (Base Flood Elevations), and the level of risk premium zones developed from topographical information across a floodplain. The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) generates FIRM floodplain maps for flood insurance purposes.

According to the FIRM prepared by FEMA, the property is located in an area designated Zone X , indicating no concerns for flooding. The area is not in the 100 - or 500 -year floodplains.

### 3.1.3 USGS Topographic Map

Smart reviewed the 2016 Bethel USGS 7.5 Map of the Project Area and surrounding area.

The Project Area is situated east of Bethel Maple Rd, north of State Route 774, west of Township Highway 38 and south of Township Highway 152. The elevation on the Project Area is approximately 935 -feet above mean sea level. Wetlands are shown between Bethel Maple Road and Oak Corner Road, north of Leonard Road, and north of Vandament Road. Three blue line water features (streams) are shown, with one of them identified as Poplar Creek. These streams eventually drain into the Little Miami River via Big Indian Creek.

### 3.1.4 Soils

The Soil Survey of Clermont County, Ohio depicts four soil series within the Project Area. Relevant information for the mapped soil types is included below:

- Clermont Series (Cle) - This map unit consists of fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Typic Glossaqualfs. According to Hydric Soils of the United States the Clermont Series is hydric.
- Jonesboro Series (Jo) - This map unit consist of fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Glossaquic Hapludalfs. According to Hydric Soils of the United States the Jonesboro Series is hydric.
- Rossmoyne Series (R) - This map unit consists of fine-silty, mixed, superactive, mesic Aquic Fragiudalfs. According to Hydric Soils of the United States the Rossmoyne Series is hydric.
- Westboro Series (Ws) - This map unit consists of fine-silty, mixed, active, mesic Fragiaquic Glossudalfs. According to Hydric Soils of the United States the Westboro Series is hydric.


### 3.2 Site Reconnaissance and Characteristics

Smart conducted a field inspection and WOUS delineation of the Project Area on October 17, 18, 19, 23, 24, 26, and 30, and November 2, and 21, 2018. The Project Area consists of approximately 610 acres.

Site reconnaissance revealed the majority of the Project Area was in agricultural production with portions being forested. Specific features were identified and included the following: seven forested wetlands, one scrub-shrub wetland, two emergent wetlands, one pond, numerous erosional features, and five streams. The above referenced features identified on the Features Map is provided in Appendix C. A discussion of each feature situated on the Project Area follows. All the wetlands listed below had strong field indicators of wetland hydrology, including signs of surface water and inundation and saturation.

### 3.2.1 Forested Wetlands

Most of these features were dominated by Fraxinus pennsylvanica (Green ash), Quercus bicolor (Swamp white oak), and Liquidambar styraciflua (Sweetgum). The wetlands are located throughout much of the Project Area, and total 38.3 acres in extent.

### 3.2.2 Emergent Wetlands

The emergent vegetation was dominated with Carex lurida (Shallow sedge), Scripus atrovirens (Dark green bulrush), and Carex vulpinoidea (Brown fox sedge). The two wetlands totaled 0.7 acres in extent.

### 3.2.3 Scrub-Shrub Wetland

The vegetation was dominated with Liquidambar styraciflua (Sweetgum). The wetland totaled 23 acres in aerial extent.

### 3.2.4 Streams

Five streams were observed throughout the Project Area. Portions of Poplar Creek were identified as Streams 4 and 5 on the Project Area. All streams listed are also located as "blue-line" features on the 2016 Bethel 7.5-minute topo map. All streams exhibited similar characteristics and scored nearly the same HHEI score ranging from 55-65. The streams total approximately 1,979 linear feet.

### 3.2.5 Erosional Features

Several erosional features were identified while documenting the site conditions. The erosional features identified lacked a well-defined bed and bank as well as an OHWM and totaled approximately 18,878 linear feet.

In addition, based on historical aerial photographs, years 1938 thru 2000; and lack of adjacent woody vegetation, none of the features are permanent. Smart speculates the erosional features occur during extremely wet springs and are enhanced by agricultural practices to facilitate crop production.

### 3.2.6 Pond

The open water feature was observed east of Oak Corner Road, adjacent to Wetland 9, with an outlet to the northwest, leading into a jurisdictional wetland and is 0.3 acres in aerial extent. Based on historical aerial photographs evidence the pond was excavated on or before 1967.

Feature Map and photographs are in Appendix C with Data Sheets in Appendix D.

### 3.3 Ohio Rapid Assessment Method (ORAM)

Many of the forested wetlands had similar vegetation, soils, and hydrology. Due to the size, surrounding land use, seasonal inundation, narrow upland buffer zone, and general lack of recovery from hydrology alteration, i.e., ditching and filing/grading, the ORAM score ranged from a Category 1 to a High Category 2, as shown in the following table. Completed data sheets are included in Appendix C.

### 3.4 Headwater Habitat Evaluation Index (HHEI)

An HHEI was completed for each of the five streams located on the Project Area. Three of the streams (Streams A, B, and C) were believed to be intermittent and the portions of Poplar Creek (Streams D and E) were considered to be perennial. The HHEI scores ranged from 55 to 65 , as shown in the following table. Completed data sheets are included in Appendix C.

### 4.0 CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In 2001, the United States Supreme Court found USACE did not have jurisdiction over isolated wetlands (SWANCC v. U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, et al., 2001). Therefore, USACE only has jurisdiction over wetlands considered adjacent, hydrologically connected, to a WOUS. In response to this ruling, the Ohio EPA instituted emergency rules to protect isolated wetlands, essentially granting the Ohio EPA the authority to regulate and permit impacts to isolated wetlands. Therefore, in an attempt to establish the level of jurisdictional authority, the hydrology of each wetland within the subject property was evaluated to define whether or not the wetland should be considered adjacent or isolated.

The main criterion used to determine adjacency was whether or not the wetland had a direct connection to surface water, i.e., intermittent, ephemeral, or man-made, connection to a tributary system considered to be a WOUS. Any wetland adjacent to a tributary system is considered jurisdictional by the USACE under Section 404 of the federal CWA as regulated by the USACE. The Ohio EPA also regulates the filling of these wetlands under Section 401 of the CWA. Wetlands that meet the three wetland criteria as per the 1987 Manual but are considered not to have a connection to other WOUS are classified as isolated wetlands and thus fall within the regulation of the Ohio EPA only. The USACE regulates adjacent wetlands only and all streams.

In conclusion, the majority of the non-agricultural portion of the Project Area is wooded, with 61.3 acres considered jurisdictional forested or scrub/shrub wetland habitat and classified as Category 2 wetland according to the ORAM scoring. Emergent wetland was limited to 0.7 acres and was considered Category 1 wetland. A total 1,979 linear feet of intermittent and perennial streams were identified and had HHEI scores that considered them Class II headwater stream. A small, 0.3 -acre, open water system was also identified. The wetlands and pond are considered a WOUS and jurisdictional because of their connection to the on-site Poplar Creek which drains to the off-site Big Indian Creek, that eventually drains into the Little Miami River.

All WOUS features identified within the Project Area are presented on the Features Map, provided in Appendix C. Routine Data Forms prepared for the wetlands are included in Appendix D. Tables 2 and 3 provide a summary of WOUS features identified within the Project Area.

Table 2. Wetland Features Identified on the Project Area

| Feature | Classification | Jurisdictional | Adjacent | Size | ORAM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetland 1 | Forested | Yes | Yes | 3.3 acres | 2 |
| Wetland 2 | Forested | Yes | Yes | 0.1 acre | 1 |
| Wetland 3 | Forested | Yes | Yes | 12 acres | 2 |
| Wetland 4 | Forested | Yes | Yes | 5.7 acres | 2 |


| Feature | Classification | Jurisdictional | Adjacent | Size | ORAM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Wetland 5 | Forested | Yes | Yes | 1.3 acres | 2 |
| Wetland 6 | Forested | Yes | Yes | 9.4 acres | 2 |
| Wetland 7 | Forested | Yes | Yes | 6.5 acres | 2 |
| Wetland 8 | Scrub-Shrub | Yes | Yes | 23 acres | 2 |
| Wetland 9 | Emergent | Yes | Yes | 0.5 acre | 1 |
| Wetland 10 | Emergent | Yes | Yes | 0.2 acre | 1 |
| Pond | Open Water | Yes | Yes | 0.3 acre | N/A |

Table 3. Stream Features Identified on the Project Area

| Feature | Classification | Jurisdictional | Adjacent | Size | HHEI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Stream A | Class II | Yes | Yes | 1,060 feet | 60 |
| Stream B | Class II | Yes | Yes | 153 feet | 65 |
| Stream C | Class II | Yes | Yes | 394 feet | 65 |
| Stream D | Class II | Yes | Yes | 372 feet | 55 |
| Stream E | Class II | Yes | Yes | 588 feet | 60 |
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## COMMON WETLAND DEFINITIONS

Atypical wetland: This term refers to areas in which one or more parameters (vegetation, soil and/or hydrology) have been sufficiently altered by human activities or natural events to preclude the presence of wetland indicators of the parameter.

Emergent Wetland: Vegetative classification of a wetland system based on the dominant vegetation consisting of rooted herbaceous plant species that have parts extending above a water surface.

100-year flood: A flood with a magnitude, which has a $1 \%$ chance of occurring or being exceeded in any given year.

Floodplain: The area of land adjoining a river or steam that will be inundated by a 100-year flood.
Floodway: The channel of a river or stream and the portions of the floodplain adjoining the channel that are reasonably required to carry and discharge a 100-year flood.

Hydric soil: Soil that is saturated, flooded, or ponded long enough during the growing season to develop anaerobic conditions in the upper part (1991 National Technical Committee on Hydric Soils definition).

Hydrophytes: Plant species that grows in water or on a substrate that is at least periodically deficient in oxygen as a result of excessive water content; plants typically found in wet habitats.

Scrub/Shrub Wetland: Vegetative classification of a wetland system based on the dominant vegetation consisting of woody plants less than three (3) inches in diameter but greater than three (3) feet in height.

Typical situation: That, which nominally, usually, or commonly occurs.
Wooded (Forested) Wetland: Vegetative classification of a wetland system based on the dominant vegetation consisting of woody plants Three (3) inches in diameter or greater regardless of height.

Wetland: "Areas that are inundated or saturated by surface or ground water at a frequency and duration sufficient to support, and that under normal circumstances do support, a prevalence of vegetation typically adapted for life in saturated soil conditions," as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act.

Wetland hydrology: Hydrologic characteristics of areas that are periodically inundated or have soils saturated to the surface at some time during the growing season.

Wetland Indicator Status: $\underline{O B L}$ : Obligate wetland plant that occurs almost always, $99 \%$ of the time, in wetlands under natural conditions, but which rarely occur in non-wetlands; $\underline{F A C W}$ : Facultative wetland plant, that occurs usually, $67 \%$ to $99 \%$ of the time, in wetlands, but also occurs $1 \%$ to $33 \%$ in non-wetlands; $\underline{F A C}$ : Facultative plant, that occurs in both wetlands and non-wetlands $33 \%$ to $67 \%$ of the time; FACU: Plant that occurs sometimes $1 \%$ to $33 \%$ of the time in wetlands but occurs more often, $67 \%$ to $99 \%$ in non-wetlands.
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## MAP INFORMATION

The soil surveys that comprise your AOI were mapped at scales ranging from 1:15,800 to 1:20,000.

Please rely on the bar scale on each map sheet for map measurements.

Source of Map: Natural Resources Conservation Service Web Soil Survey URL:
Coordinate System: Web Mercator (EPSG:3857)
Maps from the Web Soil Survey are based on the Web Mercator projection, which preserves direction and shape but distorts distance and area. A projection that preserves area, such as the Albers equal-area conic projection, should be used if more accurate calculations of distance or area are required.

This product is generated from the USDA-NRCS certified data as of the version date(s) listed below.

Soil Survey Area: Brown County, Ohio
Survey Area Data: Version 18, Sep 17, 2018
Soil Survey Area: Clermont County, Ohio
Survey Area Data: Version 18, Sep 17, 2018
Your area of interest (AOI) includes more than one soil survey area. These survey areas may have been mapped at different scales, with a different land use in mind, at different times, or at different levels of detail. This may result in map unit symbols, soil properties, and interpretations that do not completely agree across soil survey area boundaries.

Soil map units are labeled (as space allows) for map scales 1:50,000 or larger.

Date(s) aerial images were photographed: Nov 7, 2015—Feb 5, 2017

The orthophoto or other base map on which the soil lines were compiled and digitized probably differs from the background imagery displayed on these maps. As a result, some minor shifting of map unit boundaries may be evident.

## Map Unit Legend

| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Cle1A | Clermont silt loam, 0 to 1 <br> percent slopes | 188.3 | $30.2 \%$ |
| WsS1A1 | Westboro-Schaffer silt loams, 0 <br> to 2 percent slopes | 30.0 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Subtotals for Soil Survey Area | $\mathbf{2 1 8 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{3 5 . 0 \%}$ |  |
| Totals for Area of Interest | $\mathbf{6 2 3 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 . 0 \%}$ |  |


| Map Unit Symbol | Map Unit Name | Acres in AOI | Percent of AOI |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cle 1 A | Clermont silt loam, 0 to 1 percent slopes | 280.3 | 45.0\% |
| JoR1A1 | Jonesboro-Rossmoyne silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes | 3.0 | 0.5\% |
| JoR1B1 | Jonesboro-Rossmoyne silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes | 5.8 | 0.9\% |
| JoR1B2 | Jonesboro-Rossmoyne silt loams, 2 to 6 percent slopes, eroded | 14.7 | 2.4\% |
| RpC2 | Rossmoyne silt loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, eroded | 6.9 | 1.1\% |
| RsC3 | Rossmoyne silty clay loam, 6 to 12 percent slopes, severely eroded | 7.8 | 1.3\% |
| WsS1A1 | Westboro-Schaffer silt loams, 0 to 2 percent slopes | 62.4 | 10.0\% |
| WsS1B1 | Westboro-Schaffer silt loams, 2 to 4 percent slopes | 23.9 | 3.8\% |
| Subtotals for Soil Survey Area |  | 404.8 | 65.0\% |
| Totals for Area of Interest |  | 623.1 | 100.0\% |
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