PUCO Case No. 12-2050-EL-ORD Nov. 8, 2017 Proposed Rules Related to Compensation for Net Metered Customers

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO),

Dear Chairman Haque and Commissioners,

As an individual invested in a net metered, distributed generation system, I write to ask you to reconsider the November 8, 2017 decision on new, proposed net metering rules. The decision on these rules made progress in several respects, but set a bad precedent on what is considered in net metering credits which are earned by the self-generating customer when their system is producing more electricity than what they are using. Specifically, the PUCO ordered that the net metering credit be calculated for its energy value only, and prohibited the capacity value from being included in the credit.

My system and others across the state provide a capacity resource for the grid, therefore providing a benefit to the distribution utility. While it may be true that distribution utilities, nor the PUCO, have established a standardized monetary value for the capacity that distributed energy resources provide, it is well-established that these systems provide some capacity value, particularly during peak load events when the cost of serving is highest.

As an Ohio consumer and net metered utility customer, I appreciate the attention the Commission has recently paid to modernizing our grid and recognizing the important role that distributed generation systems are playing in our state. The number of distributed generation systems, and therefore the number of net metered customers, is projected to continue to increase in Ohio, and I ask you to please consider the importance of properly compensating owners of distributed generation systems for the whole value our systems provide to the grid--both for the raw electrons sent back to the grid, and the capacity value that our systems provide.

With great respect Mike DiPaola, concerned citizen and solar pv owner

Sincerely, Mike DiPaola 3546 Burch Ave Cincinnati, OH 45208

## PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

## **Consumer Service Division**

## Memorandum

CASE ID: 00240726 COMPANY: CUSTOMER: Alvin Compaan ADDRESS: 9135 W Bancroft St, Holland, Ohio 43528 SERVICE ADDRESS: 9135 W Bancroft St, Holland, Ohio 43528 AIQ: PUCO NIQ: (419) 829-0862

## DOCKETING CASE #: 12-2050-EL-ORD

SUBJECT: PUCO - Regarding PUCO

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

Dear Chairman Haque and Commissioners,

As a family invested in a net metered, distributed generation system (a rooftop solar array) that was installed in 2004, I write to ask you to reconsider the November 8, 2017 decision on new, proposed net metering rules. The decision on these rules made progress in several respects, but set a bad precedent on what is considered in net metering credits which are earned by the self-generating customer when their system is producing more electricity than what they are using. Specifically, the PUCO ordered that the net metering credit be calculated for its energy value only, and prohibited the capacity value from being included in the credit.

Our system and others across the state provide a capacity resource for the grid, therefore providing a benefit to the distribution utility. While it may be true that neither the distribution utilities, nor the PUCO, have established a standardized monetary value for the capacity that distributed energy resources provide, it is well-established that these systems provide some capacity value.

As an Ohio consumer and net metered utility customer, I appreciate the attention the Commission has recently paid to modernizing our grid and recognizing the important role that distributed generation systems are playing in our state. The number of distributed generation systems, and therefore the number of net metered customers, is projected to continue to increase in Ohio, and I ask you to please consider the importance of properly compensating owners of distributed generation systems for the whole value our systems provide to the grid--both for the raw electrons sent back to the grid, and the capacity value that our systems provide.

Not only is capacity value justified by its economic value, it is also the right policy to set on behalf of our children and grandchildren. Please do the right thing!

Alvin and Mary Compaan

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

12/10/2018 4:41:59 PM

in

Case No(s). 12-2050-EL-ORD

Summary: Public Comment received via website electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing.