

Asim Z. Haque, Chairman

October 4, 2018

M. Beth Trombold Thomas W. Johnson Lawrence K. Friedeman Daniel R. Conway

FILE

Docketing Division
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus OH 43215

RE: In the Matter of the Application of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to Adjust Rider AU for 2017 Grid Modernization Costs, Case No. 18-0837-GA-RDR

# Dear Docketing Division:

Enclosed please find the Staff's Review and Recommendations regarding the application filed by Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. to adjust its Rider AU in Case No. 18-0837-GA-RDR.

Tamara S. Turkenton

Director, Regulatory Services Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio David Lipthratt

Chief, Research and Policy Division Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Enclosure

Cc: Parties of Record

Phia

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a cose file document delivered in the regular course of pasiness.

Date Processed 10/4/18

E 6 W 1-130810

# Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. Case No. 18-0837-GA-RDR (Rider AU)

#### **SUMMARY**

On June 29, 2018, Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. (Duke or the Company) filed an application in Case No. 18-0837-GA-RDR for approval to update its advanced utility rider (Rider AU). This application is to recover 2017 Operation and Maintenance (O&M) and capital-related expenses incurred as a result of the Company's investment in grid modernization and related systems associated with gas service. The rider is designed to recover approved costs incurred over the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2017 through a per-meter customer charge. The requested revenue requirement is approximately \$3.07 million.

## STAFF REVIEW AND RECOMMENDATIONS

In its review, Staff examined the as-filed schedules for consistency with the Commission's Opinion and Orders in previous grid modernization cases and to ensure proper accounting treatment was applied by the Company. The audit consisted of a review of the financial statements regarding completeness, occurrence, presentation, valuation, allocation, and accuracy. Staff conducted this audit through a combination of document review, interviews, and interrogatories. Staff requested documentation as needed to determine the costs were substantiated or to conclude that an adjustment was warranted.

## CONCLUSION

Staff has completed its review of the filing and finds that the Company has appropriately included in its Rider AU only those costs that are incurred as a result of serving its retail customers in Ohio and recommends that the Application be approved and rates become effective on a bills-rendered basis.

The chart below shows the proposed monthly rate compared to the rate currently in effect:

Current Rate (All Customer Classes)	Proposed Rate (All Customer Classes)	Change
\$0.80	\$0.60	(\$0.20)
Current Credit (Gas Only Customers)	Proposed Credit (Gas Only Customers)	Change
\$0.45	\$0.29	(\$0.16)