BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the
Complaint of:
:

Gregory McKinney,

Complainant,

vs. : Case No. 18-496-EL-CSS

Ohio Edison Company,

Respondent. :

PROCEEDINGS

before Mr. Kerry K. Sheets, Attorney Examiner, at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:30 a.m. on Thursday, September 6, 2018.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
222 East Town Street, Second Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
            Mr. Gregory McKinney
 2
 3
                  On his own behalf.
            FirstEnergy Corp.
 4
            By Mr. Robert M. Endris
            76 South Main Street
 5
            Akron, Ohio 44308
 6
                  On behalf of the Respondent.
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				3
1	INDEX			
2				
3	Witnesses			Page
4	Gregory McKinney			
5	Direct Examination Cross-Examination by Mr. Endris Redirect Examination			5 11 21
6				∠⊥
7	Jason Horst Direct Examination by Mr. Endris			22
8	Cross-Examination by Mr. McKinney Redirect Examination by Mr. Endris			28 31
9	Re	cross-Examination by Mr. McKir	nney	32
10				
11	Comp	lainant Exhibit	Identified	Admitted
12	1A		15	2.2
13		Manges, Jr.	13	33
14	1B	Letter from Mr. Dan Brewster	15	33
15	1C	Invoice from Your Pool Boy	15	33
16	Company Exhibit Identified		Admitted	
17	1	Direct Testimony of Jason Horst on Behalf of Ohio		
18		Edison Company	23	33
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

Δ

1 Thursday Morning Session, 2 September 6, 2018. 3 EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I'll go ahead 4 5 and call the hearing. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 6 7 has set for hearing at this time and place Case No. 18-496-EL-CSS in the Matter of Gregory McKinney 8 9 versus Ohio Edison Company. 10 And I'll have the appearances of the 11 parties, please, starting with Mr. McKinney. Would 12 you give your name and address. 13 MR. McKINNEY: Gregory McKinney, 264 Ashland Avenue, Elyria, Ohio 44035. 14 15 EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you. And now the 16 company. 17 MR. ENDRIS: Thank you, your Honor. 18 behalf of the Ohio Edison Company, Robert M. Endris, 19 76 South Main Street, Akron, Ohio 44308. 20 EXAMINER SHEETS: Very good. Are there 2.1 any preliminary matters to take care of this morning? 2.2 MR. ENDRIS: None that I'm aware of. 23 EXAMINER SHEETS: Mr. McKinney? 24 MR. McKINNEY: Yes, sir. 25 EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you wish to present

5 1 testimony in the case? 2 MR. McKINNEY: Yes. 3 EXAMINER SHEETS: Please come up and take the stand. Raise your right hand and I'll swear you 4 5 in. 6 (Witness sworn.) 7 8 GREGORY McKINNEY 9 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 10 examined and testified as follows: 11 DIRECT EXAMINATION 12 EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Why don't you go 13 ahead and present your complaint and --14 THE WITNESS: From the very beginning? 15 EXAMINER SHEETS: Try and summarize it. 16 THE WITNESS: Okay. 17 EXAMINER SHEETS: Be concise. 18 THE WITNESS: Long story short my wife 19 and I moved into our new home in August. We lived in 20 the basement for the first four months while some 2.1 mild renovation work was being done. We basically 22 lived in the basement with a bed, a T.V., two

Basically 90 percent of our stuff was either in the

nightstands, a microwave, and refrigerator.

23

24

During this time they actually didn't even start the renovation work until November. So August, September, October, four months basically living with the bare necessities. So we didn't know it because I guess we weren't paying attention at the time, but they were estimating our electric bill. They -- and it's the truth. They couldn't get to the meter because it was on the backside of the house in a locked gate. So, you know, we were paying somewhere between 35 and 40 dollars a month which we thought was about right because we was basically running nothing for the first four months that we were there before the contractors had even showed up.

2.1

And then was it January or February, I think, honey? We got hit with that humongous bill saying that we had used 14,000 kilowatt-hours in a matter of like six months.

So that's when I -- first thing I immediately called Ohio Edison. And I got on a payment plan because I definitely didn't want the power to be turned off. And during this time that gave me a minute to catch my thoughts and regroup and, you know, let's see what they do.

So after contacting them, I immediately found a licensed electrician. He came out and looked

at the house, looked at my breaker box, and looked at all the circuits within the house and couldn't find any reason why the disk on the meter was still going haywire, you know, spinning crazy. So he even shut off the breakers and the power to the house and, you know, this is down in the basement. We walked outside and the power is shut off and the disk is still running.

2.1

So at that point I can't remember if I called Ohio Edison back and told them, you know, because I even have a letter here stating from the electrician what he did, that the next thing that I knew they gave us, my wife and I, about an hour's notice, and they came out and switched out my meter. They gave us one of the new digital ones that can be read from the street, so it wouldn't be an issue again of them not being able to get to the meter to read it.

And then they ran their lab test on it and claimed that it came back like 98 or 99 percent accurate. So, again, I'm not disputing that within, you know, the confines of their lab in a perfect environment that the meter ran great, and it ran accurately. I'm just disputing the fact that when it was attached to my house, it malfunctioned because

even right now with our house fully functional and running, the pool pump running 12 hours a day because it's on a timer, and with it being a hot summer with the central air conditioning and stuff running, the most our bill is is like 200 bucks a month.

2.1

So to say that, you know, in that period of time that we're talking about with basically us living with the bare, bare necessities, to say that I could have consumed 14,000 kilowatt-hours' worth of electricity is just beyond comprehension.

So that's really what the dispute is about. And I'm not even disputing the whole 14,000 kilowatt-hours because I know that we used some power during this time. I'm just disputing, you know, because the average -- average American household don't even burn 14,000 kilowatt-hours in a year much less to say that we could have consumed this during a, you know, four- to six-month period is just -- I can't even comprehend it. So that's really, you know, what the dispute is about.

I have all the records and statements from my contractor who did the work on the house saying that he basically used just tile saws, jigsaws, and rechargeable hand tools. I have a statement from the electrician saying that he in his

expert opinion thinks that there definitely was something wrong with the meter.

2.1

I have a statement from my pool guy because they claimed that my pool could be running. And my pool wasn't even hooked up during this time, much less between October and February. I don't know too many people that run an outdoor pool pump between October and February. So I -- I actually have documentation, letters backing up all the disputes that they say that could have caused my electric to be that high.

So basically that's why I'm here today is to dispute it. And I don't know if it's true or not because I read it multiple different places on the internet, but I was never offered for the meter to be tested when it was attached to my home. I don't even know if that's even possible, but I read it on multiple locations that it was an option, and I was never given that option. The meter was immediately taken off the house and taken off the premises so. That was just something else that I wanted to add, that I was never even given that option if that option was available.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Does that complete your testimony?

10 THE WITNESS: That's pretty much it in a 1 2 nutshell. 3 EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Do you want to look at these letters here? 4 5 MR. ENDRIS: Yes. 6 THE WITNESS: I sent copies. I don't 7 know. You should have gotten copies, but I can show 8 you these things. 9 EXAMINER SHEETS: Well, if we submit them 10 as an exhibit --11 THE WITNESS: Sure, sure. 12 EXAMINER SHEETS: -- we'll have to make 13 copies. 14 Sure, sure. THE WITNESS: EXAMINER SHEETS: Let counsel come up and 15 16 look at the letters. 17 THE WITNESS: That one -- I actually have 18 a copy from the pool guy that I brought. I didn't 19 bring a copy of the electric contractor. Let me find 20 it here. There's from my electrician who looked at 2.1 the box and looked at all my circuits and everything 22 that was attached to the home. This is a copy of the 23 paper from my contractor stating what type of tools 24 that he used and that no out -- no outstanding power 25 consumption was needed to perform the renovation

work. And that's the original. Let me find a copy from my pool guy. Here's the copy.

Honey, is there one sitting over there underneath my phone? I know I made a copy for the -- if not, you know, we can make copies, I guess. Yeah, I think we are going to have to make a copy of all three of these. This is the original from my pool guy.

MRS. McKINNEY: So you found it?

THE WITNESS: No. I have just got the original. Yes, so we will have to make copies of those.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off the record here.

(Discussion off the record.)

EXAMINER SHEETS: Go back on the record.

17

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Endris:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. Mr. McKinney, the letter from the electrician is not signed. Would you agree there's no signature?
- A. No, because it was an e-mail so there was no way for him to sign it electronically, correct. I know that you guys were given the option to follow up

- on these documents. That's what the guy from the PUCO said so if you did it, that's not on me. But, no, it's not got a written ink signature, no; correct, it does not. But on an e-mail you can't do that.
- Q. And Mr. Manges is not here available to be cross-examined?
 - A. No, he is not. I could not -- I could not afford to pay my electrician \$175 an hour to be here, no, I couldn't.
- 11 Q. And --

2

3

5

8

9

- 12 A. Neither is Mr. Brewster.
- Q. And there is no date on this as to when it was prepared?
- A. Yes, I believe there is. On February 3,
 2018, right there. As a matter of fact, that's
 the --
- 18 Q. That doesn't say when this document was 19 prepared.
- A. No, but obviously by reading it, you can tell that it was prepared after he came to look at the house on February 3, 2018, correct. Or no.
- Q. I'm asking the questions.
- A. Yeah. Would you like me to show you the e-mail when it was sent to me?

Q. No.

2.1

- A. No, okay. That would answer your question.
- Q. Was it prepared at the time that he performed the inspection?
- A. No, because I didn't know that I would need it until afterwards.

MR. ENDRIS: Okay. And so I would object. This is an unsigned statement. It's not dated, and the preparer of this document is not available for cross-examination. Mr. McKinney was asked whether he was planning to present an expert witness at the hearing, and he answered in the negative. This is in the nature of expert testimony that was not prefiled and is improper to be admitted as evidence.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any response?

again, I could not afford to pay any of my -- because these are all paid professionals to be here today, you know. So, no, I could not. It's dated on when he performed the work. No, it's not dated when he sent me the letter stating what his findings were, no, correct. It's signed by him because it was an

e-mail. It's written this way, but it's not hand signatured, no.

2.1

2.2

So I'm answering all your questions the best of my ability. But, again, I know that you was given the opportunity to confirm these or not so, I mean, that's all I have to say. You know, I don't know what else I can say. But I don't see how you could dismiss the evidence, you know, because it's phone number is there, his name is there, the date the work was performed so.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. I'll make a ruling at this point. I will overrule the objection and let the Commission consider these documents and give it the weight that they deserve.

THE WITNESS: I could provide more if more is necessary. This guy was really nice. You know, he understood my situation.

EXAMINER SHEETS: There's no question pending. So that's -- we'll consider these for an exhibit. We'll mark them as Complainant's Exhibit 1 and then we'll proceed.

MR. ENDRIS: I was remiss in also not objecting on the basis that it's hearsay and would ask that the -- that you note that it's not being offered for the truth of the matter but for

Mr. McKinney's understanding of what was told.

2.1

EXAMINER SHEETS: So you're overruled at this point.

MR. ENDRIS: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINER SHEETS: We'll mark these and consider them one exhibit and Complainant's Exhibit

1. Are there any questions now for this witness?

MR. ENDRIS: Excuse me. Yes, your Honor.

- Q. (By Mr. Endris) Referring to

 Complainant's Exhibit 1 which is the electrician's

 letter, does that document the kind of

 troubleshooting that the electrician may have

 performed?
- A. Yes, it does. It says "Upon inspection of both the panel, meter, and all the circuits in this home, I could find no problem with the electrical system in the house. I even turned off all the breakers and the meter -- I even turned off all the breakers, and the meter still ran.

"So after seeing this, I informed the homeowner that there was a problem with the meter, and he should have the electric company come and test their meter." So short of him saying that he pulled out a voltmeter or AMP meter, you know, it pretty much specifies the troubleshooting he did to get to

the conclusion that he had.

2.1

- Q. So that's all the evidence that you have as to the nature of the trouble --
 - A. From the electrician, correct.
 - O. From the document itself.
- A. Yes. Because, again, he couldn't -- he's not allowed to touch your meter. He was just allowed to troubleshoot the electrical system within our home.
- Q. And do you know, Mr. McKinney, what the electric usage in the home that you purchased was prior to your occupancy?
- A. No, because I believe it's irrelevant because you can't judge a residence's previous usage by a previous owner. That's like -- you know, to me that's like, you know, asking a person what type of gas mileage that they got with a car prior to you owning it because each person is going to drive a car differently.

So, no, I did not know what the previous homeowner's usage was because it's really irrelevant to how me and my wife use our home. And, again, we were, you know, living with basically a T.V., light, a fridge, and microwave for the better part of four months.

MR. ENDRIS: Your Honor, I am going to strike the last part of that question. I asked him what the usage -- if he knew what the usage was in the home prior to his living and -- living there and --

2.1

- A. And I did not, no, plain and simple.

 EXAMINER SHEETS: Motion to strike is overruled.
- A. And I apologize. I am just trying to explain your questions to the best of my ability.

 EXAMINER SHEETS: No questions.
- Q. You stated earlier that the company only gave you a one-hour notice about the meter being tested. But there was a step that you omitted. You called the Commission and made an informal complaint with them; isn't that correct?
 - A. Yes, on what my next move was, yes.
- Q. And you told the Commission staff that you were -- wanted to have the meter tested; is that correct?
- A. That I do not remember. That could be the case. I do not remember that. I know I did call them to ask them what my next course of action should be after setting up the payment plan, that's correct. But I can say that they called me at work and said

they'll be there in less than -- less than an hour to take the meter off the house. So there wasn't like a 24-hour notice, a 36-hour notice. It wasn't even a four-hour notice.

Q. Is it your testimony that there's a requirement for a notice for a meter test?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. I would think so. If we're talking about that the reason why you couldn't read my meter was because the gate was locked, wouldn't a courtesy call to the homeowner to let them know, hey, we are going to be either testing your meter or removing it; could you give us access to it?
- Q. They obviously had no difficulty with access to the meter that day.
- A. No, they did not because once I found out they weren't reading my meter I took the lock off the gate.
- Q. And you found out they weren't reading your meter because they made a call to you to request that you take a picture of your meter?
 - A. That was afterwards.
 - Q. After what?
- A. After they installed the new meter, I took a picture of it, correct.
- Q. You weren't called prior to receiving the

actual bill with the 14,000 kilowatt-hours that there was lack of access to your meter and requesting that you take a picture of it?

- A. I don't remember if this was while we still had the old meter or I still had -- or I just had gotten the new meter, but I do remember taking a picture of it and sending it in, that's correct.
- Q. And you stated that you moved into the home in August?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And do you recall receiving an actual -- a bill with an actual meter reading during the month of September?
- A. They would have had to give us one when it changed names, correct, yes.
- Q. And you also had an actual meter read in October?
- A. I would have to look at our bills to confirm that or not. I would have to look at our bills there because we brought all of our bills.
- Q. And then you had an estimated bill in -for the November meter read -- I'm sorry, it was for
 the October meter read, the November meter read --
 - A. Okay.
- Q. -- and the December meter read.

A. Okay.

- Q. Do you recall that?
- A. Like I said, again, my wife had pulled up the bills to confirm it.
- MR. ENDRIS: I'll be happy to give the witness a moment if that would...
- A. Don't even give her that. She's got all that right there. She has to look at it.
- 9 EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off the record 10 here.
- 11 (Discussion off the record.)
- 12 EXAMINER SHEETS: Still on
- 13 cross-examination. Any more questions?
- MR. ENDRIS: Back on the record?
- 15 EXAMINER SHEETS: Back on the record.
- Q. (By Mr. Endris) And you have a record there of pool equipment that was replaced by you
- 18 after moving into the home?
- 19 A. By my contractor, yes.
- Q. And that occurred in or after the month of March 2018?
- 22 A. Yes.
- 23 Q. 2018?
- A. Yes. The actual -- the initial
- 25 | consultation was in April, and I believe he came out

and installed the new pool equipment in May of '18 because when we bought the home, the pool equipment wasn't even hooked up.

- Q. And the remodeling activity completed in -- in or around late January, early February?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. So that condition no longer exists?
- A. Correct.

MR. ENDRIS: I have nothing further, your Honor.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Okay. Now, that was cross-examination. Do you have anything to say on redirect, at this point on redirect?

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

THE WITNESS: Yeah. I -- my initial thing was on the testing of the meter on the home, on the premises. Again, I read that it was an option. Was that an option that was available to me at that time to test the meter on the home rather than take it off the house and test it in your facilities at your lab with your employee?

EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have any more?

THE WITNESS: No.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Anything on recross?

22 1 MR. ENDRIS: No, your Honor. EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused. That 2 3 has to go to the reporter. Let's go off. (Discussion off the record.) 4 5 EXAMINER SHEETS: Let the record show 6 Complainant's Exhibit 1 is 1A, 1B, and 1C, electric 7 company, the contractor, and the pool letters. All right. Company's side of the case. 8 9 Do you have a witness? 10 MR. ENDRIS: Yes, your Honor. Company 11 would call Jason T. Horst. 12 (Witness sworn.) 13 EXAMINER SHEETS: Be seated. 14 15 JASON T. HORST being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was 16 17 examined and testified as follows: 18 DIRECT EXAMINATION 19 By Mr. Endris: 20 Ο. Mr. Horst, would you please state your 2.1 name and spell your last name for the record, please. 2.2 Α. It's Jason T. Horst, H-O-R-S-T. 23 Q. And by whom are you employed and in what 24 capacity? 25 Α. FirstEnergy Services Company, supervisor

meter test shop and rubber boots.

MR. ENDRIS: And I don't know if it was placed before him. May I approach, your Honor?

EXAMINER SHEETS: You may.

- Q. Mr. Horst, do you have a document before you that's been marked as Company Exhibit 1?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. And that's titled "Direct Testimony of Jason Horst on Behalf of Ohio Edison Company"; is that correct?
- 11 A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

16

17

18

2.1

- Q. Can you examine that briefly and tell us what this is?
- A. Yes. It's direct testimony involving the case with Mr. McKinney and meter testing services.
 - Q. And was this testimony prepared by you or under your direct supervision?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And if you were asked these questions today, would your answers be the same?
 - A. Yes.
- MR. ENDRIS: Your Honor, before tendering
 for cross I would ask some additional direct
 testimony in response to the testimony of
 Mr. McKinney.

24 EXAMINER SHEETS: Proceed. 1 MR. ENDRIS: Thank you, your Honor. 2 3 (By Mr. Endris) Mr. McKinney, are you Q. familiar with the Commission's rules regarding meter 4 5 testing in your capacity as supervisor of the meter 6 lab? 7 Yes, I am. Commission -- sorry. Α. Sorry. Did I say Mr. McKinney? 8 Q. 9 MR. McKINNEY: Yes. 10 Mr. Horst, my apologies. Q. 11 Yes, I'm familiar with them. Α. 12 And what do the Commission's rules say Ο. 13 about testing meter accuracy in the meter lab as 14 opposed to at a customer's premise? The Commission does allow us to test the 15 Α. 16 meter at a central testing facility. 17 So in short that's a Commission-approved Q. 18 procedure? 19 Α. Yes. 20 Q. And I would ask you -- would ask --2.1 MR. ENDRIS: I should have put the 22 additional documents in front of the witness. May I

EXAMINER SHEETS: You may.

23

24

25

approach?

Q. Mr. Horst, I handed you what has been

previously marked as Complainant's Exhibit 1A, 1B, and 1C. Do you see those?

A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And if you could turn to Complainant's Exhibit 1A which is the letter from the electrician to Mr. McKinney. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Actually it's to "To Whom It May Concern"; is that correct?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And do you see a detailed listing of any troubleshooting actions in this document?
 - A. No, I do not.
- Q. And would there be certain testing that you would expect to see performed in a case of -- a question about whether electricity running through the meter is being -- occurring on the customer's side of the meter?
 - A. Yes, I would expect to see some more.
- Q. And could you describe at least one example.
- A. So actually Mr. McKinney brought it up in his, a verified volt and AMP meter would be a very effective tool in troubleshooting electrical service to a house. If you suspect a meter is not accurate,

you would take some pretty simple measurements with that equipment to verify power flow.

- Q. Is there any indication in this document that anything was inspected on the meter base or meter socket?
 - A. No.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And would there -- would there be some indication to the company if such a test had been performed?
- A. Yes. We do allow licensed electricians to contact us and can break the seal, pull the meter to inspect the box, and we will come back afterwards and reseal that meter so we know that no tampering was involved.
- Q. So breaking the seal of a meter would be an act of tampering if not done under the permission of the company?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. And if you could turn to Complainant's Exhibit 1B, and is that the construction contractor's letter?
- A. Yes, Brewster Construction.
- Q. And does it tell you anything about all of the activity that may have occurred during the three-month period?

- A. It only describes power tools that were used, battery charging, so it describes the instruments that they had used for the work.
- Q. But it doesn't describe the day-to-day activity, how much of the work may have required doors being open, windows being open, in and out traffic?
 - A. No, it does not.
- Q. It doesn't describe whether or not space heaters were used to keep the inside temperature warm enough for the construction activity?
 - A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- Q. And if I could ask you to turn to Exhibit 1C, does that document indicate any troubleshooting of the wiring for the pool equipment? Let me ask first, is that document legible to you?
 - A. Not entirely, no.
 - Q. Parts of it can't be read?
- A. Correct.
- Q. For the parts you can see, does it describe to you any -- any rewiring of the equipment?
- A. No, I do not see anything that quotes rewiring, no.
- Q. Is there any comments on the condition of the equipment that was removed?

A. No, I do not see that either.

MR. ENDRIS: Your Honor, those are all the additional direct questions that I have for this witness, and he is available for cross-examination.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Thank you. Now, we will go to cross-examination by Mr. McKinney. You have to base your questions largely on his prefiled testimony.

MR. McKINNEY: Okay.

10

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. McKinney:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

- Q. First question I have for you is, very first one was is a meter testing when the meter is still attached to the home, was that an option? Was that available to me?
- A. No, that is not an option. Our -- no.
- Q. Okay. So the things I read online then were false.
- A. I don't know what you read online. Our company it's no.
- Q. Because I had read there was companies that specialize in that.
- MR. ENDRIS: Your Honor, is that a question or a statement?

EXAMINER SHEETS: You have to ask questions at this point.

2.1

MR. McKINNEY: All right.

EXAMINER SHEETS: You can't testify.

MR. McKINNEY: Okay.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Don't get too close to the microphone.

MR. McKINNEY: Sorry about that.

Q. Question No. 2 was --

MR. McKINNEY: Is that good?

EXAMINER SHEETS: Just about halfway.

- A. I can hear you.
- Q. Question No. 2 was in your opinion doing a basic test by shutting off the breakers on the house in the breaker box as he inspected the panel which basically shuts off power coming into the house, would you agree that that would be an initial first good way to go would be to shut off the breakers which shuts off the power coming into the house and then go out and look at the meter and see if the meter is still turning?
 - A. So to clarify that, by shutting your breaker off, it doesn't shut the power off coming into your house. There's still power at the base of the meter so but, yes, that's a -- I mean, that's a

good step to determine loading and what could be drawing power.

2.1

- Q. Okay. And, again, in your expert opinion if you shut off the main breaker, then that would mean that no power would be coming into your house, therefore, no power being used, therefore, the meter disk should still not be turning.
- A. Again, so there's still voltage being supplied to your house at that point through the meter. Something could still be drawing amperage and load that is not hooked to that box or if there is a problem with the box, there's still potential at the top of that box.
- Q. My next question is on the Brewster

 Construction, I forget which exhibit that is, 1A or

 1B, does it not say at the very bottom, I believe the

 last sentence, that no excessive power was needed to

 do this job? I'm not quoting it word for word. Is

 that what it says?
 - A. Do you want me to read it?

 EXAMINER SHEETS: Answer the question.
- A. Yes, it says "Two to three people worked four to five days per week. No outstanding power consumption needed."
 - Q. Okay. I guess my last one was on the

pool. Does it not say inspection in quotes,

"Assemble, install all new equipment parts and
labor," and then at the very -- and then at the
second line from the top it has "Down pump."

A. Yes, it does. It says that.

MR. McKINNEY: No other questions, your

Honor.

EXAMINER SHEETS: Any other redirect?

MR. ENDRIS: Yes, your Honor.

_ _ -

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Endris:

2.1

Q. Mr. Horst, Mr. McKinney asked you about whether shutting off all of the breakers in the main circuit box would shut off the power, and I recall that you replied no. Are there -- and you mentioned that there could be load still being drawn through the meter at the top of the box. Do you recall that response?

A. Yes.

- Q. Are there other reasons why power could actually still be flowing through the circuit box to equipment behind the box?
- A. Yes. As stated in my testimony, there is various reasons that could cause that -- that to

1 happen, yes. 2 MR. ENDRIS: Thank you, your Honor. 3 That's all I have. EXAMINER SHEETS: Any other recross? 4 5 6 RECROSS-EXAMINATION 7 By Mr. McKinney: In your expert opinion since nothing has 8 Ο. been done to the home except the upgraded newer 9 10 digital meter replaced, wouldn't those conditions 11 still exist in my home today? 12 I can't speak what is -- the conditions 13 in your home. 14 MR. McKINNEY: That's all, your Honor. 15 EXAMINER SHEETS: You're excused. 16 Do you have anything else to present? 17 MR. ENDRIS: Can you return the exhibits 18 to the reporter, please, the marked exhibits. 19 EXAMINER SHEETS: Do you have anything 20 else? 2.1 MR. ENDRIS: Nothing further from the 22 company. The company would offer Company's Exhibit 1 23 into evidence. 24 EXAMINER SHEETS: I will admit all

exhibits into evidence at this time.

```
33
                 (EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 1
 2
                 MR. ENDRIS: The company rests.
 3
                 EXAMINER SHEETS: Let's go off the record
 4
     here.
                 (Discussion off the record.)
 5
                 EXAMINER SHEETS: If there is nothing
 6
 7
     more to add, I will consider this submitted on the
 8
     record.
 9
                  (Thereupon, at 11:14 a.m., the hearing
10
     was concluded.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Thursday, September 6, 2018, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes.

Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter.

(KSG-6611)

TARY PUBLISHED THE OF OHOLING

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/14/2018 9:36:21 AM

in

Case No(s). 18-0496-EL-CSS

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Complaint of: Gregory McKinney vs. Ohio Edison Company, hearing held on September 6, 2018. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.