THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLI	CATION OF	
THE CLEVELAND	Electric	CASE NO. 18-563-EL-ATA
ILLUMINATING COMPAN	Y FOR	
APPROVAL OF A TARIFF CHANGE.		
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLI Ohio Edison Company for of a Tariff Change.		CASE NO. 18-564-EL-ATA
IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLI THE TOLEDO EDISON COM APPROVAL OF A TARIFF CHAN	PANY FOR	CASE NO. 18-565-EL-ATA

ENTRY ON REHEARING

Entered in the Journal on August 29, 2018

I. SUMMARY

{¶ 1} The Commission grants the applications for rehearing filed by the Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association for the purpose of further consideration of the matters specified in the application for rehearing.

II. DISCUSSION

{¶ 2} The Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company (CEI), Ohio Edison Company (Ohio Edison), and The Toledo Edison Company (Toledo Edison) are each an electric company as defined in R.C. 4905.03 and a public utility as defined in R.C. 4905.02, and, as such, are subject to the jurisdiction of the Commission.

{¶ 3} On May 1, 2018, CEI filed an application in Case No. 18-563-EL-ATA seeking to revise its pole attachment rates as a result of utilizing 2017 Federal Energy Regulatory Commission (FERC) data

{¶ 4} On May 1, 2018, Ohio Edison filed an application in Case No. 18-564-EL-ATA seeking to revise its pole attachment rates as a result of utilizing 2017 FERC data.

18-563-EL-ATA 18-564-EL-ATA 18-565-EL-ATA

{¶ 5} On May 1, 2018, Toledo Edison filed an application in Case No. 18-565-EL-ATA seeking to revise its pole attachment rates as a result of utilizing 2017 FERC data.

{¶ **6}** Consistent with the approval process established in in the November 30, 2016 Entry in Case No. 13-579-AU-ORD (13-579), these cases were automatically approved on June 30, 2018, with an effective date of July 1, 2018.

[¶7] On May 22, 2018, The Ohio Cable Telecommunications Association (OCTA) filed a motion to intervene in each of these cases. In support of its motions to intervene, OCTA submits that it has members who have existing and potential business interests in the service territories of CEI, Ohio Edison, and Toledo Edison. Specifically, OCTA asserts that pole and conduit access is essential for its members to provide a variety of communications services, including video, voice, and Internet access services in CEI's service territory. Therefore, OCTA avers that it and its members have a direct and significant stake in ensuring that the new proposed rates fully comply with the Commission's rules set forth in Ohio Adm.Code Chapter 4901:1-3 and are just and reasonable. According to OCTA, its interests are not represented by any other party in this proceeding. OCTA states that its intervention will contribute to a just and expeditious resolution of the issues involved in this proceeding and will not result in an undue delay.

{¶ 8} OCTA's motion to intervene is reasonable and should be granted.

 $\{\P 9\}$ Also on May 22, 2018, OCTA filed objections to the applications filed in the respective cases.

{¶ 10} On June 22, 2018, CEI, Ohio Edison, and Toledo Edison each filed responses to OCTA's objections in the respective cases.

{¶ 11} R.C. 4903.10 states that any party who has entered an appearance in a Commission proceeding may apply for rehearing with respect to any matters determined

18-563-EL-ATA 18-564-EL-ATA 18-565-EL-ATA

in that proceeding, by filing an application within 30 days after the entry of the order upon the journal of the Commission.

(¶ 12) On July 30, 2018, OCTA filed an application for rehearing in each of the respective cases. In support of its applications for rehearing, OCTA contends that it was unjust and unreasonable for the Commission to automatically approve the pole attachment rates proposed by CEI, Ohio Edison, and Toledo Edison without investigating whether the rate calculation properly included a significantly reduced amount of accumulated deferred taxes which was made as an accounting change. OCTA also contends that it was unjust and unreasonable for the Commission to automatically approve the proposed pole attachment rates while the Commission is still investigating, in Case No. 18-47-AU-COI, how the rates are affected by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017 (TCJA) and what the proper treatment of excess accumulated deferred income taxes created by the TCJA should be for the purposes of the pole attachment rate, it was unjust and unreasonable for the Commission to not grant its motion to strike and, instead, rely on the improper response filed by CEI on June 22, 2018.

{¶ 13} On August 9, 2018, CEI, Ohio Edison and Toledo Edison each filed a memorandum contra the respective applications for rehearing.

{¶ 14} The Commission finds that the applications for rehearing filed by OCTA should be granted for further consideration of the matters specified in the applications for rehearing.

III. ORDER

{¶ 15} It is, therefore,

{**¶ 16**} ORDERED, That OCTA's motions for intervention be granted in the respective cases. It is, further,

18-563-EL-ATA 18-564-EL-ATA 18-565-EL-ATA

 $\{\P 17\}$ ORDERED, That the applications for rehearing be granted for further consideration of the matters specified in the respective applications for rehearing. It is, further,

**

{¶ 18} ORDERED, That a copy of this Entry on Rehearing be served upon each party of record.

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

Asim Z: Haque, Chairman Beth Trombold Thomas W. Johnson awrence K. Friedeman Daniel R. Conway

JSA/hac Entered in the Journal

AUG 2 9 2018 Sarey J. M. Neal

Barcy F. McNeal Secretary