BEFORE

THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of the Application for)	
Approval of an Unique Arrangement)	Case No. 18-1129-EL-AEC
between the University of Cincinnati)	
and Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.)	

MOTION TO INTERVENE

BY

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

Now comes Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., (Duke Energy Ohio or the Company) and moves to intervene as a full party of record in the above-captioned proceedings pursuant to R.C. 4903.221 and O.A.C. 4901-1-11. The basis for Duke Energy Ohio's motion is set forth in the attached memorandum in support, which is incorporated herein by reference.

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery
Rocco D'Ascenzo (0077651)
Deputy General Counsel
Elizabeth H. Watts (0031092)
Associate General Counsel
Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172)
Associate General Counsel
139 East Fourth Street
1303-Main
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 287-4320 (telephone)
(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)
Rocco.d'ascenzo@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com
Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com

MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT

I. Introduction

On July 20, 2018, the University of Cincinnati (UC) filed its application for a unique arrangement in accordance with R.C. 4905.31 and Ohio Admin. Code Rule 4901:1-38-05. UC is a transmission voltage customer of Duke Energy Ohio and is proposing to implement this unique arrangement to provide the Company with an additional source of interruptible load for use during PJM system emergencies, in exchange for a monthly credit. UC describes its proposed arrangement as a mechanism to provide up to 54.7 megawatts (MW) of interruptible load upon request by Duke Energy Ohio during emergency events to ensure system reliability in times of peak demand. The pricing of this monthly credit is structured to mirror another unique arrangement recently approved by this Commission in Case No. 18-0450-EL-AEC. The credits provided to UC would be collected by Duke Energy Ohio through its Economic Competitiveness Fund Rider ("ECF Rider").

The proposal offered by UC provides additional interruptible load to the Company, which, due its operating characteristics and physical location, and specifically its proximity to six hospitals served by the same substation as UC, could provide enhanced stability to that circuit during a system emergency, on an expedited basis.

Duke Energy Ohio, as the electric distribution utility serving UC and the nearby hospitals, with an interest in the competitive and wholesale markets, will be affected by UC's unique arrangement as proposed and thus seeks intervention pursuant to R.C. 4903.221.

¹ In the Matter of the Application of AK Steel Corporation for Expedited Approval of a Reasonable Arrangement Between AK Steel Corporation and Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., Case No. 18-0450-EL-AEC, Opinion and Order (June 28, 2018)

II. Legal Standard

R.C. 4903.221(B) sets forth the criteria that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission) is required to consider in ruling on applications to intervene. These criteria include:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest.
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case.
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.²

The Commission has provided additional detail on the intervention requirements through the promulgation of O.A.C. 4901-1-11. Specifically, that rule requires that the Commission allow intervention by a person who has a "real and substantial interest in the proceeding" and who "is so situated that the disposition of the proceeding may...impair or impede [its] ability to protect that interest, unless the person's interest is adequately represented by existing parties." Consistent with the statutory provisions, the rule also lists several factors for the Commission to consider in determining whether a potential intervenor meets that standard:

- (1) The nature and extent of the prospective intervenor's interest.
- (2) The legal position advanced by the prospective intervenor and its probable relation to the merits of the case.
- (3) Whether the intervention by the prospective intervenor will unduly prolong or delay the proceedings.
- (4) Whether the prospective intervenor will significantly contribute to full development and equitable resolution of the factual issues.

3

² R.C. 4903.221.

³ O.A.C. 4901-1-11(A).

(5) The extent to which the person's interest is represented by existing parties.⁴

As discussed below, Duke Energy Ohio satisfies these criteria and its intervention in these proceedings is therefore warranted.

III. Duke Energy Ohio Should be Granted Intervention in These Proceedings.

As part of its unique arrangement, UC is proposing to receive a rate credit, as described in its Application, to provide up to 54.7 MW of interruptible load upon request by Duke Energy Ohio during emergency events, in exchange for a monthly credit. Duke Energy Ohio is a wholesale energy market participant and prospective participant in the unique arrangement process proposed by UC. The Company's willingness to participate in such an arrangement is contingent upon the Commission's approval of a recovery mechanism for the credits UC seeks to receive.

Duke Energy Ohio has a real and substantial interest in these proceedings that is directly related to the merits of the case. No existing party represents Duke Energy Ohio's interests. Further, Duke Energy Ohio's participation will contribute to the development of the issues and an equitable resolution. As no deadline for intervention has been set in these proceedings but expedited approval has been requested, Duke Energy Ohio's intervention will not unduly prolong or delay them and its interests are not represented by existing parties.

Duke Energy Ohio therefore respectfully requests that the Commission grant its motion to intervene and that it be made a full party of record.

4

⁴ O.A.C. 4901-1-11(B).

⁵ Application, pg. 1.

Respectfully submitted,

DUKE ENERGY OHIO, INC.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery
Rocco D'Ascenzo (0077651)
Deputy General Counsel
Elizabeth H. Watts (0031092)
Associate General Counsel
Jeanne W. Kingery (0012172)
Associate General Counsel
139 East Fourth Street
1303-Main
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
(513) 287-4320 (telephone)
(513) 287-4385 (facsimile)
Rocco.D'Ascenzo@duke-energy.com
Elizabeth.watts@duke-energy.com
Jeanne.kingery@duke-energy.com

630452

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that a true and accurate copy of the foregoing document was served this 8th day of August, 2018, by electronic transmission or U.S. mail, postage prepaid, upon the persons listed below.

/s/ Jeanne W. Kingery Jeanne W. Kingery

William Wright
Attorney General's Office
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 E. Broad St., 6th Fl.
Columbus, Ohio 43215
William.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov

Attorney for Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Mike DeWine
Attorney General
Michael J. Settineri
Special Assistant Attorney General
Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease LLP
52 E. Gay Street
P.O. Box 1008
Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
mjsettineri@vorys.com

Attorney for University of Cincinnati

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/8/2018 4:02:02 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-1129-EL-AEC

Summary: Motion Motion to Intervene of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. electronically filed by Mrs. Debbie L Gates on behalf of Duke Energy Ohio Inc. and D'Ascenzo, Rocco O. Mr. and Kingery, Jeanne W