```
BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO
In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:
Ohio, Inc., for an : Case No. 17-32-EL-AIR
Increase in Electric
Distribution Rates.
In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:
Ohio, Inc., for Tariff : Case No. 17-33-EL-ATA
Approval.
In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:
Ohio, Inc., for Approval : Case No. 17-34-EL-AAM
to Change Accounting :
Methods.
In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:
Ohio, Inc., for Approval : Case No. 17-872-EL-RDR
to Modify Rider PSR.
In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:
Ohio, Inc., for Approval : Case No. 17-873-EL-ATA
to Amend Rider PSR.
In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:
Ohio, Inc., for Approval : Case No. 17-874-EL-AAM
to Change Accounting
Methods.
In the Matter of the
Application of Duke Energy:
Ohio, Inc., for Authority:
to Establish a Standard
Service Offer Pursuant to :
Section 4928.143, Revised : Case No. 17-1263-EL-SSO
Code, in the Form of an
Electric Security Plan,
Accounting Modifications, :
and Tariffs for Generation:
Services.
```

```
1
    In the Matter of the
    Application of Duke Energy:
2.
    Ohio, Inc., for Authority:
    to Amend its Certified : Case No. 17-1264-EL-ATA
 3
    Supplier Tariff, P.U.C.O. :
    No. 20.
 4
    In the Matter of the
5
    Application of Duke Energy:
    Ohio, Inc., for Authority: Case No. 17-1265-EL-AAM
    to Defer Vegetation
 6
    Management Costs.
7
    In the Matter of the
8
    Application of Duke Energy:
    Ohio, Inc., to Establish :
    Minimum Reliability : Case No. 16-1602-EL-ESS
9
    Performance Standards
10
    Pursuant to Chapter
    4901:1-10, Ohio
11
    Administrative Code.
12
13
                          PROCEEDINGS
14
    before Mr. Nicholas Walstra and Ms. Stacie Cathcart,
15
    Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
16
    Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-A,
17
    Columbus, Ohio, called at 9:00 a.m. on Wednesday,
18
    July 18, 2018.
19
2.0
                          VOLUME VIII
21
22
                     ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC.
23
               222 East Town Street, Second Floor
                   Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201
24
               (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
25
```

```
1388
     APPEARANCES:
 1
 2
            Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
            By Mr. Rocco O. D'Ascenzo
 3
            Deputy General Counsel,
            Ms. Jeanne W. Kingery
            Associate General Counsel,
 4
            and Ms. Elizabeth H. Watts
 5
            Associate General Counsel,
            139 East Fourth Street ML 1303 Main
 6
            Cincinnati, Ohio 45201
 7
            Duke Energy Business Services, LLC
            By Mr. Camal O. Robinson
 8
            550 South Tyron Street, 45th Floor
            Mail code: DEC45A
 9
            Charlotte, North Carolina 28202
10
            Ice Miller, LLP
            By Mr. Christopher Miller
11
            250 West Street, Suite 700
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-7509
12
            Ice Miller, LLP
13
            By Ms. Kay Pashos
            and Mr. Michael S. Mizell
14
            One American Square, Suite 2900
            Indianapolis, Indiana 46282
15
                 On behalf of Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
16
            Bruce E. Weston, Ohio Consumers' Counsel
17
            By Mr. William J. Michael,
            Mr. Christopher Healey,
18
            and Mr. Zachary Woltz,
            Assistant Consumers' Counsel
19
            65 East State Street, 7th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215-4213
20
                 On behalf of the Residential Consumers of
21
                 Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
22
2.3
24
25
```

```
1389
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Environmental Law & Policy Center
            By Ms. Madeline Fleisher
 3
            21 West Broad Street, 8th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 4
            and
 5
            Environmental Law & Policy Center
 6
            By Mr. Jean-Luc Kreitner
            35 East Wacker Drive, Suite 1600
 7
            Chicago, Illinois 60601
 8
                 On behalf of the Environmental Law &
                 Policy Center.
 9
            Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
10
            By Mr. William L. Wright,
            Section Chief
11
            Mr. Steven L. Beeler,
            Mr. Thomas G. Lindgren,
12
            and Mr. Robert Eubanks,
            Assistant Attorneys General
13
            Public Utilities Section
            180 East Broad Street, 6th Floor
14
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
15
                 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
16
            IGS Energy
            By Mr. Joseph Oliker
17
            and Mr. Michael Nugent
            6100 Emerald Parkway
            Dublin, Ohio 43016
18
19
                 On behalf of IGS Energy.
20
            Ohio Environmental Council
            By Ms. Miranda Leppla
21
            1145 Chesapeake Avenue, Suite I
            Columbus, Ohio 43212
22
                 On behalf of the Ohio Environmental
2.3
                 Council and Environmental Defense Fund.
24
25
```

	1390
1	APPEARANCES:
2	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Mr. Michael J. Settineri
3	and Ms. Gretchen L. Petrucci 52 East Gay Street
4	P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43215
5	On behalf of Constellation NewEnergy,
6	Inc., and Exelon Generation Company, LLC.
7	The Law Office of Robert Dove By Mr. Robert Dove P.O. Box 13442
9	Columbus, Ohio 43213
10	On behalf of the Natural Resources Defense Council.
11	Sierra Club Environmental Law Program
12	By Mr. Tony G. Mendoza Staff Attorney 2101 Webster Street, 13th Floor
13	Oakland, California 94612
14	On behalf of the Sierra Club.
15	Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP By Mr. Michael J. Settineri
16	Special Assistant Attorney General 52 East Gay Street
17	P.O. Box 1008 Columbus, Ohio 43215
18	On behalf of University of Cincinnati and
19	Miami University.
20	Ohio Partners for Affordable Energy By Ms. Colleen L. Mooney
21	P.O. Box 12451 Columbus, Ohio 43212
22	On behalf of Ohio Partners for
23	Affordable Energy.
24	
25	

		1391
1	APPEARANCES:	
2	Boehm, Kurtz & Lowry By Mr. Michael L. Kurtz	
3	and Ms. Jody Kyler Cohn 36 East Seventh Street, Suite 1510 Cincinnati, Ohio 45202	
5	On behalf of the Ohio Energy Group.	
6	Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP	
7	By Mr. N. Trevor Alexander, Mr. Mark T. Keaney	
8	and Mr. Steven D. Lesser, 1200 Huntington Center 41 South High Street	
9	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
10	On behalf of the City of Cincinnati.	
11	Bricker & Eckler, LLP By Mr. Devin D. Parram	
12	100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291	
13 14	On behalf of the Ohio Hospital Association.	
15	Bricker & Eckler, LLP	
16	By Ms. E. Nicki Hewell 100 South Third Street Columbus, Ohio 43215-4291	
17		
18	On behalf of People Working Cooperatively.	
19	Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP By Ms. Kimberly W. Bojko	
20	and Mr. Brian W. Dressel	
21	280 North High Street, Suite 1300 Columbus, Ohio 43215	
22	On behalf of The Ohio Manufacturers'	
23	Association Energy Group.	
24		
25		

		1392
1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)	
2	Carpenter Lipps & Leland LLP By Ms. Angela M. Paul Whitfield 280 North High Street, Suite 1300	
	Columbus, Ohio 43215	
4	On behalf of The Kroger Company.	
5	McNees, Wallace & Nurick LLC	
6	By Mr. Frank P. Darr and Mr. Matthew R. Pritchard	
7	21 East State Street, 17th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215	
8	On behalf of Industrial Energy Users - Ohio.	_
10	Whitt Sturtevant LLP	
11	By Mr. Mark A. Whitt and Ms. Rebekah J. Glover The KeyBank Building, Suite 1590	
12	88 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215	
13	On behalf of Retail Energy Supply	
14	Association and Direct Energy Business, LLC.	,
15	Spilman, Thomas & Battle, PLLC	
16	By Ms. Carrie M. Harris 110 Oakwood Drive, Suite 500	
17	Winston-Salem, North Carolina 27103	
18	On behalf of Wal-Mart Stores East, LP, and Sam's East, Inc.	•
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

		1393
1	INDEX	
2		
3	WITNESS	PAGE
4	Michael Murray	
5	Direct Examination by Ms. Leppla Cross-Examination by Mr. Oliker Cross-Examination by Ms. Watts	1394 1396 1400
6	Redirect Examination by Ms. Leppla	1408
7		
8	OEC/EDF EXHIBIT IDENTIFIED AD	MITTED
9	3 - Direct Testimony of 1395 Michael Murray	1409
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

1394 Wednesday Morning Session, 1 2 July 18, 2018. 3 EXAMINER WALSTRA: We'll go back on the 4 5 record. We're here for Day 8 of In Re: Duke 6 7 Energy Ohio, Incorporated, regarding their global stipulation. 8 9 Ms. Leppla, would you like to call your 10 witness. 11 MS. LEPPLA: Yes. We would like to call 12 Michael Murray. 13 (Witness sworn.) 14 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. Please take a seat. Please turn your mic on. 15 16 17 MICHAEL MURRAY 18 being first duly sworn, as prescribed by law, was examined and testified as follows: 19 20 DIRECT EXAMINATION 2.1 By Ms. Leppla: 22 Q. Mr. Murray, can you state your name and address for the record. 23 24 Michael Murray, 1752 Northwest Market 25 Street, No. 1513, Seattle, Washington.

Duke Energy Volume VIII 1395 And by whom are you employed and what's 1 Q. 2 your title? 3 Mission:data Coalition, and I'm the Α. President. 4 5 MS. LEPPLA: And, your Honors, I will --6 if I can approach, I will pass out what we have marked as OEC/EDF Exhibit 1. 7 8 EXAMINER WALSTRA: So marked. 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION.) 10 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Wait, it's 3. 11 MS. LEPPLA: Sorry. Just kidding. It's 12 3. 13 MS. WATTS: OEC/EDF? 14 MS. LEPPLA: Yes. OEC/EDF Exhibit 3. 15 (By Ms. Leppla) And, Mr. Murray, do you Q. have in front of you what's been marked as OEC/EDF 16 17 Exhibit 3? 18 Α. Yes. 19 And is this your prefiled testimony? Ο. 20 Α. It is. 2.1 Q. And was this prepared by you or at your 22 direction? 23 Α. Yes.

Have you reviewed it prior to taking the

24

25

0.

stand today?

1396 1 Α. Yes. 2 Do you have any corrections, amendments, Q. 3 or changes? Α. 4 No. 5 Q. If I were to ask you these same questions 6 contained in the document here today, would your 7 answers be the same? 8 Α. Yes. 9 MS. LEPPLA: Your Honors, OEC and EDF 10 move for the admission of Exhibit 3, pending 11 cross-examination. 12 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you. 13 Mr. Oliker. MR. OLIKER: Thank you, your Honor. Just 14 15 a few questions. 16 17 CROSS-EXAMINATION 18 By Mr. Oliker: 19 Good morning, Mr. Murray. My name is Joe 20 Oliker. I'm with IGS Energy. 2.1 Regarding your testimony, am I correct 22 you're recommending access to interval data for third 23 parties?

Q. Would some of those third parties include

A. That's correct.

24

entities that are not certified to provide competitive retail electric service in Ohio?

A. Yes, absolutely.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And under your recommendation, would any of those entities be subject to Public Utilities

 Commission of Ohio jurisdiction?
 - A. I do not believe so.
- Q. And what safeguards would exist to prevent third parties, that are not regulated by the Commission, from misusing consumer data?
- A. There are several -- several safeguards. At the federal level, the Federal Trade Commission under the Federal Trade Commission Act can investigate companies for misleading or deceptive business practices, and so a company that has a stated privacy policy and then violates the terms of those policies could be subject to FTC investigation.

There's also the possibility that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio could require a utility to turn off access to that third party if the third party had violated the law.

- Q. So, am I correct then, the Commission would have no direct ability to oversee the activities of third parties?
- A. I'm not a lawyer, but I do not believe

1 so.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Under your proposal, would third parties pay any historical usage fees to Duke Energy Ohio to obtain data?
- A. No. My recommendation is that the service be freely available.
- Q. Okay. Are you familiar with the existing fees for historical usage data that exists today?
- A. I am. I believe it's something like \$5, a \$5 charge.
- 11 Q. And what fee is that that you are 12 referring to?
 - A. I believe it's the fee that -- for historical data that CRES providers need to pay the utility for accessing 12 months of historical usage.
 - Q. Okay. Let's -- maybe let's put the dollar amount of the fee aside.

Let's assume for purposes of this, let me ask you a hypothetical, let's assume it's \$32. If CRES providers have to pay \$32 for historical usage, and that level — that rate continues at that level during the term of this ESP, would you agree it would be reasonable for third parties to have to pay the same level of fee?

A. I'm sorry. CSP?

1399 1 Q. This ESP. 2 Sorry. ESP. I thought you said CSP. Α. 3 Q. Sorry. Could you restate the question? 4 Α. 5 Q. Sure. 6 First, are you familiar with what an ESP 7 is? 8 Α. Yes. 9 Ο. If, during the term of this ESP, there is 10 a \$32 historical usage fee for 12 months, applicable to CRES providers, if that fee does not change, would 11 12 you agree it would be reasonable for third parties 13 that are not CRES providers to pay the same level of 14 fee? 15 Α. It does make sense for CRES providers and 16 non-retail third parties to be on an equal footing. 17 But my recommendation is that neither should have to 18 pay a fee. 19 MR. OLIKER: Okay. Thank you, your 20 Those are all the questions. 2.1 Thank you, Mr. Murray. 2.2 EXAMINER WALSTRA: OCC? 23 MR. HEALEY: I don't think I have

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Ms. Watts.

anything, but if I could defer until after Duke.

24

CROSS-EXAMINATION

By Ms. Watts:

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

15

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Good morning, Mr. Murray.
- A. Good morning.
- Q. My name is Elizabeth Watts, and I am here on behalf of Duke Energy.

Sir, you testified -- I don't know if "testify" is the proper word. You made a presentation to the Public Utilities Commission in respect of its PowerForward Initiative, correct?

- A. That's right.
- Q. And when you testified at that forum,
 were you representing OEC/EDF or were you
 representing your business entity?
 - A. I was representing my nonprofit.
- Q. Okay. And you are employed by Mission:data, correct?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. And you had previously led a coalition of companies. In your testimony I think you talk about that on page 3 at line 10. Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Is that coalition, that you described as
 "an unincorporated coalition of innovative
 companies," is that coalition a precursor to

Mission:data?

2.1

- A. Yes, it was.
- Q. So many of those same companies that you had represented previously then became incorporated and part of the Mission:data entity, correct?
 - A. Several of them, yes.
- Q. And many of these technology companies market and sell behind-the-meter products to customers for use in their home, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh, yes.
- Q. And in order for these companies to be able to sell their various products to customers in Ohio, they would need access to customer usage data.
- A. Some businesses, for some products, that is a requirement. Others it's not a requirement.
 - Q. And on your company web page there is a bio about you.
 - A. Uh-huh.
- Q. And, among other things, one of the statements there is that you have "over 15 years of experience with building automation, sub-metering, and liberating data from utilities for energy management applications." Did I quote that correctly?
- 25 A. That's right.

- Q. Okay. And in your testimony on page 3, you talk about your efforts to intervene at Public Utilities Commissions in other states in respect of advanced meters, data privacy, and benefits to ratepayers, correct?
 - A. Uh-huh, yes.
- Q. Are you familiar with what data is available to Duke Energy Ohio customers currently with respect to their daily usage?
 - A. I am.

2.1

- Q. And are you aware of any of the details regarding Duke Energy Ohio's customer systems?
- A. I'm generally familiar with the -- with the consumer web portal where they can log in and access billing and usage information.
- Q. So that would -- so I'm understanding your response, that would be the web portal that an individual consumer or customer of Duke Energy, what they can do when they go to the Duke Energy portal.
 - A. Correct.
- Q. Are you familiar with what a supplier can obtain currently from Duke Energy?
- A. I am familiar only via some of the prefiled testimony from CRES providers.
- Q. Okay. Again, just to be clear, when I

say "supplier," I mean a competitive electric retail energy supplier.

> Α. Yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

17

18

19

- Okay. On page 14 of your testimony, you Ο. discuss a study related to the results achieved by customers from load-shifting or conservation, correct?
 - Α. Are you referring to the Faruqui study?
 - Ο. Yes.
 - Α. Yes.
- 11 Are you aware of any time-of-use rate Q. 12 pilots that Duke Energy Ohio has undertaken?
 - Α. Not in detail, no.
 - Does Mission:data, itself, conduct any Ο. consumer surveys?
- 16 No, we do not. Α.
 - Q. With respect to access to real-time pricing for customers, what information would you expect customers to see if they were looking at real-time pricing in Ohio?
- 2.1 To clarify, my recommendation is not for 22 real-time pricing. It's twofold. One, access to 23 real-time consumption data in kilowatt-hours; and 24 then, No. 2, publishing the rate in a
- 25 machine-readable form. So real-time pricing along

the lines of what's available in the wholesale market is not part of my recommendation.

- Q. And do you know what customers are able to see in terms of usage on a daily basis in the Duke Energy Ohio system right now?
 - A. Yes.

2.1

2.2

- Q. And are you familiar with the Commission's rules in Ohio with respect to customer authorization to provide competitive retail energy service providers with customer usage information?
 - A. I'm generally familiar with it, yes.
- Q. And is it your testimony that Duke Energy should be required to provide that customer data to third parties using that same method of authorization?
- A. My recommendation is that there be a convenient online method for initiating that authorization. If the -- there's a sort of separation discussion to be had regarding the requirements of that authorization. So, for example, is there certain language in the authorization to make consumers aware of their choice and the fact that the utility, you know, may not have any control over what the third party does with that information. There may be certain necessary disclosures in the

customer protection realm that may very well be part of it. My recommendations are about the ease of use and an online process for facilitating that authorization.

- Q. So the process that you're proposing, would it require any amendment to the Commission's current rules?
 - A. I do not believe so.

2.1

- Q. So is it your expectation then that the third parties would provide the specified authorization, as currently required under the rules, to the utility company?
- A. I believe so. If I may clarify, the exception being that -- and again, I'm not a lawyer, there may or may not be an exception required, but my recommendation is that non-CRES third parties be allowable recipients of customer data with customer authorization.
- Q. And again, the customer authorization would be identical to the current process?
- A. Identical in terms of substance, yes. In terms of process and making it a simple online transaction, it may be different.
- Q. And would your member companies consent to be audited by the Commission in order to determine

if they are properly complying with the authorization requirements?

- A. Our position is that a -- an audit is -- is unnecessary and there may be jurisdictional limitations on the Commission's authority in that department.
- Q. On page 25 of your testimony, you describe a service which is called "Chai Basic."
 - A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And you describe a number of services that are provided within that -- within that service?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know if Duke Energy provides any of those services presently?
- A. My understanding is that Duke provides customers with access to their own usage and billing information. And there may be certain bill alerting or, you know, bill payment due notices that Duke sends. But beyond that I'm not aware of -- well, actually, I am aware of a pilot program under which Duke Energy provided a home energy bridge to some number of customers for providing access to some of their usage information.
- Q. And, sir, do you know if that pilot program was conducted in Ohio or elsewhere?

- A. I don't know.
- Q. On page 26, you have a reference to something called "Dr. Power (Home Energy Analytics)."

 Do you see that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. Do you know if Duke Energy provides any of the services that are provided under that program?
 - A. To my knowledge, Duke does not.
- Q. And on page 28 you describe OhmConnect, and you state OhmConnect has paid customers more than \$2 million for their participation in a demand reduction program; is that correct?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. And do you know if OhmConnect then monetizes that demand reduction by selling it to San Diego Gas & Electric?
- 17 A. They do.
- 18 MS. WATTS: That's all I have. Thank
- 19 you.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

- 20 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you.
- MR. HEALEY: Nothing, your Honor.
- 22 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Staff?
- MR. LINDGREN: No questions, your Honor.
- 24 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Any redirect?
- MS. LEPPLA: Just one question.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Ms. Leppla:

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

Q. Mr. Murray, you mentioned FTC and the PUCO in relation to a question about who can penalize or otherwise correct misuse of data. Are you aware of any other states where the Attorney General is able to take action against parties who misuse data?

A. Yes, I am. Again, I'm not a lawyer, but I know that in the State of Illinois, as part of the customer authorization process to share data with a third party, there is a specific disclosure regarding the Attorney General's contact information where they can file complaints against third parties and seek some sort of redress with the Attorney General.

MS. LEPPLA: No further questions, your Honor.

EXAMINER WALSTRA: Any follow-up?

MS. WATTS: No.

19 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Thank you, Mr. Murray.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

21 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Move your exhibit?

MS. LEPPLA: Yes. Exhibit 3, please,

23 your Honor.

24 EXAMINER WALSTRA: Any objections?

25 Hearing none, it will be admitted

```
1409
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)
 1
 2
                  EXAMINER WALSTRA: We can go off the
 3
     record.
                  (Discussion off the record.)
 4
 5
                  (Thereupon, at 9:29 a.m., the hearing was
 6
     adjourned.)
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

CERTIFICATE We do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by us in this matter on Wednesday, July 18, 2018, and carefully compared with our original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. Carolyn M. Burke, Registered Professional Reporter. (KSG-6583) 2.4

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/1/2018 5:12:55 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-0032-EL-AIR, 17-0033-EL-ATA, 17-0034-EL-AAM, 17-0872-EL-RDR, 17-0873-EL-ATA,

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. hearing held on 07/18/18 - Volume VIII electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.