

PUCO Case No. 12-2050-EL-ORD Nov. 8, 2017 Proposed Rules Related to Compensation for Net Metered Customers

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO),

Dear Chairman and Commissioners,

In 2015 I invested in a net metered, photovoltaic generation system, and now I ask you to reconsider the November 8, 2017 decision on new net metering rules. The decision on these rules made progress in some ways, but I was appalled that the PUCO ordered that the net metering credit be calculated for its energy value only, and actually prohibited the capacity value from being included in the credit. This is unfair and assumes without justification that the capacity value of systems such as mine is *zero*, and amounts to changing the rules of the game after the fact.

- 1) changing the rules so radically has taken them into the realm of being unfair.
- 2) changing the rules should not apply to current, existing systems.
- 3) the capacity value of our systems should not be zero.
- 4) why should my system be forbidden to contract with alternative suppliers?

As an Ohio consumer and net metered utility customer, I appreciate the attention the Commission has paid to modernizing our grid and recognizing the important role that distributed generation systems play in our state. The number of distributed generation systems, and therefore the number of net metered customers, is projected to continue to increase in Ohio, and I ask you to please consider the importance of properly compensating owners of distributed generation systems for the whole value our systems provide to the grid--both for the power sent back to the grid, and the capacity value that our systems provide.

Benson Branch
459 Fallriver Dr
Reynoldsburg, OH 43068

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (PUCO),

Dear Chairman Haque and Commissioners,

As an individual/business invested in a net metered, distributed generation system, I write to ask you to reconsider the November 8, 2017 decision on new, proposed net metering rules. The decision on these rules made progress in several respects, but set a bad precedent on what is considered in net metering credits which are earned by the self-generating customer when their system is producing more electricity than what they are using. Specifically, the PUCO ordered that the net metering credit be calculated for its energy value only, and prohibited the capacity value from being included in the credit.

My system and others across the state provide a capacity resource for the grid, therefore providing a benefit to the distribution utility. While it may be true that distribution utilities, nor the PUCO, have established a standardized monetary value for the capacity that distributed energy resources provide, it is well-established that these systems provide some capacity value.

As an Ohio consumer and net metered utility customer, I appreciate the attention the Commission has recently paid to modernizing our grid and recognizing the important role that distributed generation systems are playing in our state. The number of distributed generation systems, and therefore the number of net metered customers, is projected to continue to increase in Ohio, and I ask you to please consider the importance of properly compensating owners of distributed generation systems for the whole value our systems provide to the grid--both for the raw electrons sent back to the grid, and the capacity value that our systems provide.

R Anderson
3049 state route 550
Cutler, OH 45724

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

6/6/2018 11:55:28 AM

in

Case No(s). 12-2050-EL-ORD

Summary: Public Comment electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing.