

**BEFORE THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD**

<b>In the Matter of the Application of</b>	)	
<b>Harrison Power LLC for a Certificate</b>	)	
<b>of Environmental Compatibility and</b>	)	<b>Case No. 17-1189-EL-BGN</b>
<b>Public Need for the Harrison Power</b>	)	
<b>Plant in Harrison County</b>	)	

---

**SUPPLEMENTAL DIRECT TESTIMONY OF STEPHEN GOFF**

---

**Q1: Please state your name, title and business address.**

A. My name is Stephen Goff. I am an officer of Harrison Power LLC (“HPL”), which is an affiliate of Ember Partners LP. My business address is 3000 Wilcrest Drive, #200, Houston, TX 77042.

**Q2: On whose behalf are you providing this supplemental testimony?**

A. I am testifying on behalf of the Applicant, Harrison Power LLC.

**Q3: What is the purpose of your supplemental testimony?**

A. I will briefly summarize the Joint Stipulation entered into by HPL, Ohio Power Siting Board Staff (“Staff”) and the Community Improvement Corporation of Harrison County (collectively, the “Parties”) on May 25, 2018. The Joint Stipulation has been marked as Joint Exhibit 1.

**Q4: In your original April 30, 2018 pre-filed testimony that is marked as Applicant Exhibit 4, did you make recommendations regarding the conditions proposed by Staff in the March 21, 2018 Staff Report of Investigation?**

A. Yes. And the Joint Stipulation reflects my recommendations regarding Staff’s proposed conditions, after negotiation with Staff. To be clear, the recommended

1 conditions in the Joint Stipulation replace the revisions to Staff's proposed conditions that  
2 I presented in my initial pre-filed testimony marked as Applicant Exhibit 4. Specifically,  
3 after negotiation with Staff, a clarification was made to Proposed Condition 1.  
4 Substantive changes were made to Proposed Conditions 9, 11, 12, 17, 21, and 22.

5 **Q5: Is the Joint Stipulation a product of serious bargaining among capable and**  
6 **knowledgeable parties?**

7 **A.** Yes. I was personally involved in the negotiation of the Joint Stipulation. The  
8 signatory parties to the Joint Stipulation were all represented by counsel. During  
9 negotiations, the signatory parties agreed to modify some of the conditions the Staff  
10 proposed in the Staff Report of Investigation. The evidence in bargaining is shown by  
11 the difference between my recommendations in my initial testimony to the recommended  
12 conditions in the Joint Stipulation.

13 **Q6: Does the Joint Stipulation benefit the public interest?**

14 **A.** Yes. The proposed facility is a major infrastructure project and a major capital  
15 investment; it benefits the community. The proposed facility will help meet energy  
16 demand in the region, particularly in light of the retirement of aging generation capacity.  
17 The proposed facility will help meet the region's energy demand by providing additional  
18 base load and peaking capacity. In addition, the proposed facility will benefit the local  
19 economy through additional new jobs, economic stimulus, and tax revenue. The Joint  
20 Stipulation further benefits the public interest by requiring the proposed facility to take  
21 steps and meet certain requirements during the construction and operation of the  
22 proposed facility to minimize any impact of the proposed facility.

1   **Q7:   Does the Joint Stipulation violate any important regulatory principle or practice?**

2           **A.**     No.

3   **Q8:   What do you recommend that the Ohio Power Siting Board do in regard to the Joint**  
4           **Stipulation?**

5           **A.**     I recommend that the Ohio Power Siting Board adopt the Joint Stipulation,  
6           including the recommended conditions.

7   **Q9:   Does this conclude your supplemental direct testimony?**

8           **A.**     Yes, it does.

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

The Ohio Power Siting Board's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the parties referenced in the service list of the docket card who have electronically subscribed to this case. In addition, the undersigned certifies that a courtesy copy of the foregoing document is also being served upon the persons below via electronic mail this 30th day of May 2018.

/s/ MacDonald W. Taylor

Jodi Bair  
John Jones  
[Jodi.bair@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:Jodi.bair@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)  
[John.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov](mailto:John.jones@ohioattorneygeneral.gov)

William J. Taylor  
Scott D. Eickelberger  
[wjt@kincaidlaw.com](mailto:wjt@kincaidlaw.com)  
[scotte@kincaidlaw.com](mailto:scotte@kincaidlaw.com)

Dylan Borchers  
[dborchers@bricker.com](mailto:dborchers@bricker.com)

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**5/30/2018 8:25:58 AM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 17-1189-EL-BGN**

Summary: Testimony Supplemental Testimony of Stephen Goff electronically filed by Mr. MacDonald W Taylor on behalf of Harrison Power LLC