

Public comment for 16-0253-GA-BTX

From: Elizabeth Rueve-Miller [mailto:elizabeth_ruevemiller@hotmail.com]

Sent: Monday, May 7, 2018 4:25 PM

To: Haque, Asim <Asim.Haque@puco.ohio.gov>

Cc: Puco ContactOPSB <contactopsb@puco.ohio.gov>

Subject: Re: OPSB Diligence Needed - 16-253-GA-BTX

Dear Mr Haque and Mr. Butler,

I appreciate that you took the time to respond to my letter. There have been many people involved in this project who have not even bothered to acknowledge the concerns expressed by the residents of Southwest, OH. I am hoping that this indicates that you take your position seriously, and actually care what the community has to say.

To be honest, I am not sure you heard the intent behind my letter. I am concerned, as are many others in our area, that OPSB Staff have not done due diligence in their review of Duke's application, and have accepted all of Duke's information at face value. There are obvious issues like the Pristine Site that were missed by both Duke and OPSB. This publicly available information could have been easily discovered by searching for environmental superfund sites in Southwest Ohio. Yet no one bothered to look.

How many other issues, were missed? What about concurring risks? PHMSA has a pipeline safety modeling group that has identified this as a major outage in pipeline planning and integrity management. Given the location of this pipeline, through our densely populated communities, we deserve more from people like you, to insure that this pipeline is needed (not just wanted by Duke), and that the option chosen represents the least invasive, most responsible option for ratepayers.

Once again, I am asking you, as the Chairman of PUCO, to take additional steps to insure that due diligence has been done by the OPSB Staff. Given what has been missed, it would be prudent, and reassuring to the public, if Duke's application was reviewed in its entirety, with an objective, critical eye.

In 15-218-GA-GCR, based upon an audit conducted by Exeter, Duke was supposed to develop a design day model that could be validated and adjusted based on actual daily data. Over 2 years later, on February 28, 2018, Duke filed a response to this audit. However, if you have the appropriate staff review the data submitted, I think you will find that they did not address the intent of the audit recommendation.

Thank you for your consideration.

Elizabeth Rueve-Miller

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

5/8/2018 4:52:24 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0253-GA-BTX

Summary: Public Comment electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing.