VEDO EXHIBIT NO. 8.0

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

)	
)	Case No. 18-0298-GA-AIR
)	
)	
)	Case No. 18-0299-GA-ALT
)	
)))))

DIRECT TESTIMONY OF K. CHASE KELLEY ON BEHALF OF VECTREN ENERGY DELIVERY OF OHIO, INC.

	Management policies, practices, and organization
	Operating income
	Rate base
	Allocations
	Rate of return
	Rates and tariffs
X	Other (Alternative Rate Plan: Multi-Family Housing Pilot Program)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS	. 1
II.	SUMMARY	. 2
III.	PROGRAM DESIGN AND TARIFF PROVISIONS	. 2
IV.	NEED FOR THE PROGRAM	. 5
V.	BENEFITS OF NATURAL GAS FOR MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTS	. 8
VI.	BENEFITS TO EXISTING CUSTOMERS	13
VII.	CONCLUSION	15

Direct Testimony of K. Chase Kelley

1	I.	BACKGROUND AND QUALIFICATIONS
2	Q1.	Please state your name and business address.
3	A.	My name is K. Chase Kelley, and my business address is One Vectren Square,
4		Evansville, Indiana 47708.
5	Q2.	By whom are you employed and in what capacity?
6	A.	I am employed by Vectren Utility Holdings, Inc. (VUHI), the immediate parent company
7		of Southern Indiana Gas and Electric Company d/b/a Vectren Energy Delivery of
8		Indiana, Inc. (Vectren South or Company), Indiana Gas Company, Inc. d/b/a Vectren
9		Energy Delivery of Indiana, Inc. (Vectren North) and Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio,
10		Inc. (VEDO or the Company). I am Vice President, Marketing and Communications for
11		VUHI.
12	Q3.	What is your educational background?
13	A.	I received a Bachelor's degree in Organizational Communication from Murray State
14		University in 1998. I received a Master's of Mass Communication degree in 1999 from
15		the University of South Carolina.
16	Q4.	Please describe your business experience.
17	A.	I have more than 15 years of experience in the utility industry. I have worked at VUHI
18		and its predecessor companies since 2002 in a variety of positions including Manager of
19		External & Conservation Communications, Director of Corporate Communications and
20		Vice President of Corporate Communications. I was named a vice president in 2014 was
21		promoted to my current position effective June 2015.

1 2	Q5.	What are your present duties and responsibilities as Vice President, Marketing and Communications?
3	A.	I oversee five departments, including Energy Efficiency, Corporate Communications,
4		Residential & Commercial Sales, Customer Relations & Process Improvement, and the
5		New Service Contact Center for VUHI's utilities.
6	II.	SUMMARY
7	Q6.	What is the purpose and scope of your testimony in this proceeding?
8	A.	My testimony will provide an overview of the Multi-Family Housing Pilot Program (the
9		Pilot or the Pilot Program), proposed as part of VEDO's Alternative Rate Plan. I will
10		discuss the Program's design and related tariff provisions; the need for the Program; and
11		the benefits both to new customers and existing customers. I am also sponsoring those
12		portions of Schedule E-3 and the Alternative Rate Plan exhibits that pertain to the Pilot
13		Program, which were prepared by me or under my supervision.
14	III.	PROGRAM DESIGN AND TARIFF PROVISIONS
15	Q7.	Please provide an overview of VEDO's request.
16	A.	VEDO requests authority to conduct a seven-year Pilot Program that will better enable
17		builders and developers to offer natural gas service to multi-family units. Specifically,
18		VEDO proposes providing builders and developers of multi-family apartments and
19		condominiums a contribution toward the installation of gas piping and venting for
20		individually metered units. The residents of the multi-family units will be allowed the
21		opportunity to receive the many benefits natural gas heating provides, including lower-
22		priced bills from a more efficient, cleaner energy source that is produced in the state of
23		Ohio. Likewise, VEDO's existing natural gas customers will benefit from more stable

24 rates as the utility's fixed costs will be spread across a larger customer base.

Q8. What financial contribution does VEDO propose?

Under VEDO's proposed tariff, "The amount of Company's financial contribution shall 2 A. 3 be limited to the lesser of the actual cost of installing the required piping and venting for 4 all dwelling units within a project, or \$2,000 per dwelling unit." In other words, the 5 contribution is capped at \$2,000 per unit, and could be less depending on the developer's 6 actual costs. To qualify for the contribution, the developer will be required to consult 7 with Company staff in advance of the project construction and submit actual costs of the 8 venting and indoor piping work to ensure financial incentives are paid appropriately. 9 VEDO proposes to cap the annual contribution amount at \$1 million per year; to exceed 10 this amount, the Company would need to receive additional authority from the PUCO. 11 09. Why does VEDO propose setting the maximum contribution at this amount? 12 A. The \$2,000-per-unit contribution approximates the cost of the service line provided to 13 new residents of single-family homes under VEDO's tariff. Under VEDO's existing and 14 proposed tariff, all service lines for new construction are installed by and at the expense 15 of the Company. (Sheet No. 65.) Likewise, if the new customer requires a main 16 extension, the Company is required to make a main extension of one hundred (100) feet 17 or less without cost to the customer. (Sheet No. 68.) As such, for new residential or small 18 commercial customers, there is typically no charge to connect to VEDO's system and 19 receive natural gas service, even if the customer is not located on an existing gas main. 20 In VEDO's experience, the average cost of installing a service line is typically 21 around \$2,000, although the actual cost in any given case will depend upon project-22 specific factors. These installation costs are capitalized and included in rate base. Given 23 VEDO's straight fixed variable rate design for residential customers, an investment of

24 \$2,000 per customer pays for itself (from a simple payback perspective) in far less than

ten years regardless of consumption levels in the home. In other words, the non-gas-cost
 revenues received from the customer surpass the infrastructure costs needed to serve the
 customer in a matter of a few years.

- 4 Q10. Please describe what projects will be eligible for the Program.
- A. For the purposes of the Pilot Program, a multi-family project is defined as four or more
 separately metered units served in a single building. The Program will also be available
 for existing multi-family buildings whose units are not currently served on an
- 8 individually metered basis but are being converted to individually metered units.
- 9 The developer and/or building owner of any multi-family project served under the 10 Program will be responsible for installation, ownership and maintenance of all piping 11 beyond the Company's meter, which is today's standard practice. Developers will also be 12 required to comply with any application and other informational requirements established

13 by the Company.

Q11. Please describe the proposed length of the Pilot Program and VEDO's reporting plans

A. The Company proposes that the Pilot Program be in effect for seven years, beginning with the date that the rates approved in VEDO's base-rate case are implemented. Due to the considerable lead time on multi-family projects, the Company proposes an extensive period to evaluate whether the Program is worthwhile for continuation as it will take months, and even years, to educate local builders, developers, architects and engineering firms all of which have designed multi-family buildings for decades as all-electric complexes.

Every year, the Company will provide a formal update to the Commission on the
Program, including successful projects and incentives offered, no later than February 1.

1		Upon completion of the seven-year term, VEDO will make a recommendation for
2		whether to extend or modify the Program, including whether to establish it as a
3		permanent offering.
4	Q12.	How will Program costs be treated?
5	A.	The Company proposes to treat these costs like standard capital expenditures for other
6		New Business capital expenditures, which average around \$10 million per year. VEDO
7		will account for the incentives in the same manner, and include the aggregate
8		contributions in rate base in subsequent rate cases.
9	Q13.	Are there any limits on the amount of contributions?
10	A.	Yes. In addition to the annual Program limits discussed previously, the incentive is
11		capped at the <i>lesser</i> of \$2,000 per unit or the developer's actual costs for each project. If
12		the actual costs are more than \$2,000 per unit, the developer would remain responsible
13		for the difference between the maximum incentive and the actual costs.
14	IV.	NEED FOR THE PROGRAM
15	Q14.	Why does VEDO believe that the Program is necessary?
16	A.	Although single-family home owners can readily utilize natural gas through new
17		construction and/or conversion at their existing residence, those who own or rent multi-
18		family units, especially those in multi-story complexes, are not generally afforded the
19		opportunity to benefit from natural gas service.
20 21	Q15.	Why does VEDO believe that residential renters are not typically able to benefit from natural gas service?
22	A.	The primary impediment is the relatively high up-front costs to install gas piping and
23		facilities (indoor) to all of the units in a multi-family complex. Space- and water-heating
24		appliances that use natural gas require the installation of special venting and related

1		changes in architectural design when compared to an all-electric complex. Developers of
2		multi-family building projects do not generally stand to directly gain from the longer-
3		term price and efficiency benefits of natural gas, so the additional up-front costs have
4		often deterred them from choosing natural gas.
5		The Company's proposal will effectively remove that cost barrier. VEDO's
6		proposal provides a cost-effective opportunity for developers and owner/operators of
7		multi-family buildings to install infrastructure needed to offer natural gas service to the
8		residents. At the same time (as discussed in greater detail below), the level of the
9		incentive, coupled with the fact it will increase the number of new customers, if
10		successful, ensures that the Program will economically benefit existing customers.
11 12	Q16.	What sort of financial challenges are posed to the multi-family developer when considering natural gas service?
13	A.	Extending natural gas service to a multi-family complex is relatively simple. Each
14		building in the planned complex would typically have one larger service line. The service
15		line would connect to a meter bank, typically located near a building wall or parking area.
16		At this point, installation is more complex. The fuel lines for those meters are run into
17		and through the building, by the developer's selected contractor and at the developer's
18		expense, to deliver natural gas service to each unit within the building. The developer
19		must also pick up the additional cost for the required venting for any appliance.
20		These additional costs of piping each unit and venting each appliance often
21		economically preclude the installation of gas. These indoor fuel-line and venting costs
22		have deterred developers from including natural gas equipment in their multi-family
23		complexes beyond common space areas. Multi-family developers have explained to
24		Company staff that incremental natural-gas-installation costs can range anywhere from

\$1,100 per unit to \$3,500 per unit (depending upon whether the complex is new
 construction or being retro-fitted).

Please provide an illustration of this cost differential in choosing natural gas 3 017. 4 appliances for space and water heating versus installing electric appliances. 5 A. Below is an illustration of costs that would be incurred to install a hydronic system in a 6 50-unit, two-story apartment complex. Costs are estimates developed in conjunction with 7 a water heater manufacturer and a multi-family developer operating in Vectren's service 8 area. A hydronic system uses a natural gas tankless water heater and an air handler to 9 provide water heating and space heating. This newer technology is less expensive and 10 more efficient than a traditional natural gas tank water heater and furnace combination. 11 Furthermore, using a hydronic system only requires one vent, and the equipment is 12 smaller in size compared to a traditional gas furnace and tank water heater, which is an 13 added benefit for multi-family construction that is often challenged for adequate square 14 footage. 15 Water heating costs 16 Tankless gas water heater (and air handler) = \$50,000 or \$1,000 per unit 17 Electric water heater, 50-gallon = \$17,500 or \$350 per unit • 18 • Cost differential: \$32,500 19 **Labor and material costs** (flue installation, PVC piping, fittings, valves, etc.) 20 Flue installation labor: \$16,000 21 Incremental labor costs for installing a tankless water heating and air handler versus a • traditional tank water heater and electric heating system: \$16,500 22 23 • PVC, fittings, valves, flanges and caulk: \$32,000

• Cost differential: \$64,500

1		Electric heating and air-conditioning (A/C) costs
2 3		• \$65,000 to install 50 heat pumps or approximately \$1,300 per unit (serves as heat and A/C source)
4 5 6		• If developer chooses the gas hydronic system, the developer only needs to install an A/C unit, which reduces the out-of-pocket spend from \$65,000 to \$25,000-\$30,000; i.e., the A/C costs \$500 to \$600 per unit
7		Total cost differential
8		• (\$32,500) for gas appliances
9		• (\$64,500) for material and labor to install gas appliances
10 11		• \$35,000 to \$40,000 credit for using a hydronic system (which uses a gas tankless water and air handler for water and space heating needs)
12 13		• Equals an additional \$57,000 to \$62,000 to install a natural gas hydronic system over a heat pump and electric tank water heater
14 15	V.	BENEFITS OF NATURAL GAS FOR MULTI-FAMILY RESIDENTS
16	Q18.	What are the benefits of using natural gas in the residential sector?
17	A.	Natural gas is a low-carbon, affordable energy source that is the overwhelming choice for
18		home and water heating in the Midwest. For example, more than 90 percent of new home
19		builders for single-family dwellings in the Midwest chose natural gas according to an
20		American Gas Association (AGA) energy analysis group report in 2012. This matches
21		VEDO's experience, as more than 90 percent of the high-volume builders in new
22		construction for single-family dwellings have chosen natural gas due to its efficiency and
23		accessibility over the past five years. Over the past several years, natural gas bills have
24		fallen significantly whereby bills of today are nearly 25 percent lower than a nearly a
25		decade ago. The following chart illustrates a 12-month bill for the typical VEDO

customer.

1

2



3 Q19. For home heating purposes, how does natural gas compare to other fuel sources?

Natural gas is the most affordable source of fuel for home heating when compared to fuel
oil, propane, and electricity. According to the AGA's Full-Fuel-Cycle Energy Efficiency
Standards report from 2017, a household with natural gas appliances consumes 33
percent less energy than a household using all electric appliances. The chart below
illustrates national average heating costs by fuel type according to data from the U.S.
Department of Energy, Office of Energy Efficiency and Renewable Energy (as published



3 Q20. Is this true for a customer in VEDO's service area?

Yes. A customer in VEDO's service area using a high-efficiency natural gas furnace can
save between \$225 and \$850 per year when choosing a gas unit over an electric space
heating unit, which is often the choice for multi-family complexes. In fact, in many
multi-family facilities, an electric resistance furnace is installed, which costs less up





3

4 5

6

- 2 Chart footnotes:
 - Vectren's Energy Efficiency market potential study indicates that going from a .59 to a .67 will save about 26 units of gas; the tankless unit saves approximately 63 units.
 Electric water heater (tank) usage is assumed to be 156 kWh per month
 - Electric water heater (tank) usage is assumed to be 156 kWh per month.
 - Electric rates are those of Dayton Power & Light at www.waytogo.com/rate_tariff/d18.pdf.
- 7 8 9

10 Q22. What are the long-term price forecasts for natural gas?

- 11 A. Natural gas pricing forecasts demonstrate natural gas prices will remain low and stable
- 12 thanks to America's abundant domestic supply, including the state of Ohio where natural
- 13 gas is produced in the Utica shale region. As the following Energy Information
- 14 Administration (EIA) presentation from February of 2018 illustrates, the long-term





eia John J. Conti, Colu February 13, 2018

3 VI. BENEFITS TO EXISTING CUSTOMERS

4 Q23. Has VEDO considered the impact of the Program on existing customers?

5 A. Yes. VEDO believes that the Program, by adding new customers in a cost-effective
6 manner, will benefit existing customers.

7 Q24. How will existing customers benefit from additional gas customers?

8 A. VEDO gross customer additions have averaged less than 1,400 annually over the past

9 five years from the residential (new construction) segment. Robust customer growth

- 10 allows fixed costs to be spread among a larger base. All else equal, this would tend to
- 11 result in lower natural gas rates over time than with a stagnant or declining customer
- 12 base. Through the Program, as discussed below, an additional 325 to 600 customers per
- 13 year will now be afforded the opportunity to become VEDO customers, a substantial
- 14 increase over the residential-customer growth recently experienced by the Company.

1 025. Has the Company considered the potential rate impact related to the Program? 2 A. Yes. VEDO expects any customer rate impact to be negligible and, in the long-term, 3 positive. Even if incentives were fully utilized at \$1 million per year for all seven years of 4 the pilot (which VEDO does not expect), the Program's immediate, short-term rate 5 impact would be approximately \$0.17 per month. In reality, however, the corresponding 6 expansion of the customer base (by several hundred customers per year) would tend to 7 offset this impact, and in a matter of a few years, the incentives would have paid for 8 themselves. 9 **O26.** Does VEDO believe that these rate impacts are reasonable? 10 A. Yes. The incentive will have the same rate impact and the same corresponding benefits as 11 a new residential service line. Any rate impact associated with the Pilot Program should 12 thus be considered reasonable. 13 What is the forecast for multi-family growth in the VEDO area? **O27**. 14 A. According to Moody's Analytics-a leading-edge software, advisory services and 15 research for credit and economic analysis and financial risk management firm-permits 16 for new multi-family construction are expected to range from approximately 325 to 550 17 units per year in the greater Dayton area. Alteryx, which provides a leading platform for 18 self-service data analytics, lists a more aggressive forecast for the region with growth of 19 600 units per year over the next five years. 20 **O28**. How does VEDO interpret these forecasts? 21 A. These forecasts suggest that there will be growth in the development and construction of 22 multi-family housing projects and opportunities to market the Program. But regardless of 23 whether such growth comes about, there is no risk under the Program. If new facilities 24 are not built, or do not avail themselves of contributions, incentives will not be paid.

1 VII. CONCLUSION

- 2 **Q29.** Does this conclude your prepared direct testimony?
- 3 A. Yes, it does.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

4/13/2018 3:52:26 PM

in

Case No(s). 18-0298-GA-AIR, 18-0299-GA-ALT

Summary: Exhibit 8.0 - Direct Testimony of K. Chase Kelley electronically filed by Ms. Rebekah J. Glover on behalf of Vectren Energy Delivery of Ohio, Inc.