

FILE *

Every Community's focus should be on a progressive, clean, renewable energy source. Allocating funds through heightened fees in an effort to bail out an out of date, failing business with harmful environmental side effects is both immoral and idiotic. Work towards a clean future and stop lining your pockets with hard-working american money.

- Branden Langhals
 9672 Grist Mill
 Olmsted Falls, OH 44138

CASE # 17-0334-EL-ATA

RECEIVED-DOCKETING DIV

2017 DEC 29 AM 11:19

PUCO

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.
 Technician Am Date Processed 12/29/17

★

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Strickland
25330 Tyndall Falls Dr.
Olmsted Falls, OH 44138

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

This letter is in regards to case number 17-0334-EL-ATA.

It has been brought to our attention as consumers of FirstEnergy there has been a proposal rolled out to increase the monthly "customer charge" from \$6.29 to \$18.87 per month. This fixed monthly rate that will go up is unacceptable not only to our family, but to many families on a budget like ourselves. Being that we are now a one income household due to no fault of our own we are struggling to make ends meet as it is at this time. To add this fee that is not in our control is very unfair.

At a time when we should be putting our goals and investments into clean air we find we are being pulled backwards in having to pay a higher monthly fee for energy that is not in the public's best interest moving forward. This could be money being put towards a better cause such as the clean air programs. This is what FirstEnergy should be focusing on.

Please reconsider this proposal. It would be in FirstEnergy's best interest to look at the different options of clean air and how as a company they can come together with their consumers to start to make the changes necessary towards clean air.

Sincerely,

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Strickland

Mr. and Mrs. Charles Strickland

Dec. 22, 2017

Cable # 17-0334-EL-ATA

Public Utilities Commission.

The fact that AEP Energy wants to triple the customer charge is absolutely ludicrous. When is the last time a hard working citizen received a triple increase in their hourly rate of pay or cost of living increase.

Let AEP Energy reinvest some of their millions of dollars in profits back into updating their power plants.

The citizens of Ohio and throughout the nation should not be the one's that have to bail out the utility companies because of their bad financial decisions.

Please help stop these proposed customer charge increase that are unjust and unfair.

Sincerely
Marianne DeBour

Michael F. Allan
25354 TYNDALE Ficus Dr.
OLMSTED Ficus OH 44138

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus OH 43215

December 22, 2017
SUBJECT: Case No. 17-0334-EL-ATA

Dear Commissioners -

I resent the proposed bailout of FirstEnergy by Consumers to prop up their business decisions. Please do not allow them to do this to us!

We recently invested in a new water heater on the promise of saving energy. This small investment on our part will be meaningless if all the potential savings are eaten up by price increases to cover First Energy - over which we would have no input.

Instead, ~~we~~ I support clean and renewable energy and strongly encourage investments and support from the PUCO for those sources of energy, rather than coal-burning plants, like those of First Energy's.

Thank you.

Sincerely, MICHAEL F. ALLAN
Michael Allan

CASE #: 17-0334-EL-ATA

STEVE HARRIS

25345 TYNDALE
FALLS OLMSTED FALLS
OH 44138

WITH THE INCREASE IN THE
VARIETY OF ENERGY SOURCES
(SUPPLIERS) I WOULD EXPECT THE
FEES TO COME DOWN, ESPECIALLY
WITH INCREASED COMPETITION.
COAL AS A SOURCE'S DAYS ARE
NUMBERED, SORRY W.VIRGINIA/OHIO/PA

FILE
Kenda Nasuti
5346 Young Rd
Stow, OH 44224
330-631-3710
Kenda.nasuti@gmail.com

December 21, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

PUCO

2017 DEC 29 AM 11:19

RECEIVED - DOCKETING DIV

This letter is in regards to First Energy case number 17-0334-EL-ATTI. I'm opposed to First Energy's plan to increase their customer charge by more than three times. My family is already on a budget. My son has medical issues and we have to pay for a medical food that is hypoallergenic and not available in stores. He needs 3-4 boxes a day which are \$8 for one box. The choices that the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio makes can negatively affect my family if P.U.C. allows these increases.

My house + appliances are aging. Why bother going and getting energy saving appliances if these proposed increases are allowed! Big fixed fees reduce what I can save if I made an energy saving investment. These choices to ~~have~~ have fixed fees is taking away my choice to be more efficient + use clean energy. I want to see First Energy increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs.

First Energy should be encouraging energy efficiency + not punishing customers who reduce their use.

Sincerely,

~~Kenda Nasuti~~
Kenda Nasuti