# BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of **Umid Aliev**, Notice of : Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess :

Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture.

Case No. 17-1547-TR-CVF

(OH3229013932D)

:

## SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT

#### I. Introduction

Pursuant to Rule 4901:2-7-11 of the Ohio Administrative Code (O.A.C.), Umid Aliev (Respondent) and the Staff of the Transportation Department of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Staff) enter into this settlement agreement and urge the Commission to adopt the same.

It is understood by the Respondent and the Staff that this Settlement Agreement is not binding upon the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio (Commission). This agreement however, is based on the Respondent's and the Staff's desire to arrive at a reasonable result considering the law, facts and circumstances. Accordingly, the Respondent and the Staff believe that the Commission should adopt this Settlement Agreement.

This settlement agreement is submitted on the condition that the Commission adopts the agreed upon terms. The Parties agree that if the Commission rejects all or any part of this Settlement Agreement or otherwise materially modifies its terms, either Party shall have the right, within thirty (30) business days of the Commission's order, either to file an application for rehearing or to terminate and withdraw from this agreement by filing a notice with the Commission. If an application for rehearing is filed, and if the Commission does not, on rehearing, accept the Settlement Agreement without material modification, either Party may

terminate and withdraw from this agreement by filing a notice with the Commission within ten (10) business days of the Commission's order or entry on rehearing. In such an event, a hearing shall go forward, and the Parties shall be afforded the opportunity to present evidence through witnesses, to cross-examine all witnesses, to present rebuttal testimony, and to file briefs on all issues.

## II. Procedural History

- A. On April 23, 2017, a vehicle operated by JK Transportation, Inc. and driven by Respondent Umid Aliev was inspected within the State of Ohio. The inspection resulted in the discovery of an out-of-service violation of the federal motor carrier safety regulations. The violation was: false report of the driver's record of duty status in violation of 49 C.F.R. §395.8(e).
- B. On June 8, 2017, Respondent was timely served with a notice of preliminary determination in accordance with Rule 4901:2-7-12, O.A.C. for Case No. OH3229013932D. The preliminary determination assessed Respondent \$100.00 for the violations.
- C. On July 5, 2017, Respondent made a timely formal request for an administrative hearing pursuant to Rule 4901:2-7-13, O.A.C.
- D. The parties have negotiated this settlement agreement which the parties believe resolves all the issues raised in the notice of preliminary determination.

### **III.** Settlement Agreement

The parties hereto agree and recommend that the Commission find as follows:

- A. Respondent admits that his record of duty status was inaccurate, but denies that the error was made with any intent to falsify that record. Consequently, Respondent agrees to violations of 49 C.F.R. §395.8(a), as opposed to 49 C.F.R. §395.8(e). Respondent recognizes that the violation of 49 C.F.R. §395.8(a) may be included in the Respondent's Safety-Net Record and history of violations insofar as they may be relevant for purposes of determining future penalty actions.
- B. Respondent agrees to pay a civil forfeiture of \$100.00 for the violation of 49C.F.R. §395.8(a).
- C. Payment will be due thirty (30) days from Commission approval of this settlement agreement. Payment should be made by certified check or money order to "Treasurer State of Ohio," and mailed to: PUCO FISCAL, 180 East Broad St., Columbus, OH 43215-3793.
- D. This settlement agreement shall not become effective until adopted by an Opinion and Order of the Commission. The date of the entry of the Commission order adopting the settlement agreement shall be considered the effective date of the settlement agreement.
- E. This settlement agreement is made in settlement of all factual or legal issues in this case. It is not intended to have any effect whatsoever in any other case or proceeding.

#### III. Conclusion

The Signatory parties agree that this Settlement Agreement is in the best interest of all parties, and urge the Commission to adopt the same. The undersigned respectfully request that the Commission issue an entry in accordance with the terms set forth in this Settlement Agreement.

The parties have manifested their consent to the Settlement Agreement by affixing their signatures below on this 3<sup>rd</sup> day of November, 2017.

On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

/s/Umid Alier

**Umid Aliev** 1760 Lansing Dr., Apt. 210 Salem, VA 24153-7542 <u>/s/Werner L. Margard III</u>

Werner L. Margard III
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
30 East Broad Street, 16<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, OH 43215

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

11/3/2017 3:58:05 PM

in

Case No(s). 17-1547-TR-CVF

Summary: Agreement Settlement Agreement electronically filed by Mrs. Tonnetta Y Scott on behalf of PUCO