

From: webmaster@puco.ohio.gov  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 115283  
Received: 11/3/2017 12:02:05 AM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 115283 AT:11-03-2017 at 12:02 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Ms. cindy johnson

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 3680 westwood northern blvd
- cincinnati
- cincinnati , OH 45211
- United States

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 5133530131
- Alternative: 5133530131
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: cindy@samplingadvisors.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: none
- Name on account: Cindy Johnson
- Service address: 3680 westwood northern blvd
- Service phone: 5133530131
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

RE: 17-32-EL-AIR I heard Duke Energy wants a 300% increase in the fixed costs on the bill. This just doesn't make sense in today's world where Climate Change issues are destroying us. If everyone is paying more of a fixed energy bill, they will be less inclined to do things to save money (like using LED bulbs, insulating their house, etc.). Please do not let them get away with this. If \$5 or \$10 of your bill was fixed, that wouldn't be so bad but not that kind of increase. We must do everything we can to save the planet; I'm sure Duke Energy will be fine with the money they are charging us!

From: webmaster@puco.ohio.gov  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 115288  
Received: 11/3/2017 10:04:10 AM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 115288 AT:11-03-2017 at 10:04 AM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: *(No first or last name submitted ?)*

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- *(NO CITY?) , Ohio (NO ZIP??)*
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: *(no e-mail address provided)*

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- *(no utility company name provided?)*
- *(no account name provided?)*
- *(no service address provided?)*
- *(no service phone number provided?)*
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Please do not pass issue 1732 EL \_AIR.. let people pay for what they actually use...that is fair business practice. I don't pay Macy's a flat fee for running their business. I only pay for services and goods exchanged. That's fair business practice. don't you agree? Thanks for putting some attention on this very important matter.

From: webmaster@puco.ohio.gov  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 115273  
Received: 11/2/2017 12:29:15 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 115273 AT:11-02-2017 at 12:29 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mr. William Bixler

CONTACT SENDER ? Yes

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 132 Zachary Dr
- Williamsburg , Ohio 45176
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: *(no home phone provided?)*
- Alternative: *(no alternative phone provided?)*
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: beastiebill@hotmail.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- Name on account: William Bixler
- Service address: 132 Zachary Dr
- Service phone: 3302194200
- Account Number: 75103584035

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Don't let Duke Energy further rip off the public with their "base" rates. I already pay ridiculous base rates. For example, I get charged \$41 a month just for gas service every month, even in the summer, when I use 0 gas. I also pay \$40 a month for "base" electric charges. This means I already pay \$81 a month if I use no gas or no electricity. If PUCO actually protects the public, then these base charges would be lowered, not raised. If they want to charge more as a variable usage rate, I would understand. That would encourage people to not use as much gas or electricity, but raising base rates is immoral.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio  
Consumer Services Division

Memorandum

Date: 11/2/2017

Re: Ramon Cardona  
3972 Old Carriage Ct

Loveland, OH 45140

Docketing Case No.: 17-32-EL-AIR

Notes:

Duke Energy want to increase by 300% the fixed fees to electric home consumers while reducing commercial rates! This is outrageous! Business do not a break as they deduct expenses. Citizens cannot do that. I object to this baseless price increase! Thanks

Please docket the attached in the case number above.

From: webmaster@puco.ohio.gov  
To: PUCO ContactThePUCO  
Subject: PUCO CONTACT FORM: 115245  
Received: 11/1/2017 12:40:55 PM  
Message:  
WEB ID: 115245 AT:11-01-2017 at 12:40 PM

Related Case Number:

TYPE: Comment

NAME: Mrs. Chris Tenhundfeld

CONTACT SENDER ? No

MAILING ADDRESS:

- 3711 Drakewood Dr
- `Cincinnati
- `Cincinnati , Ohio 45209
- USA

PHONE INFORMATION:

- Home: 5136312029
- Alternative: 5136312029
- Fax: *(no fax number provided?)*

E-MAIL: wagzie@aol.com

INDUSTRY:Electric

ACCOUNT INFORMATION:

- Company: Duke Energy
- *(no account name provided?)*
- Service address: 3711 Drakewood Dr
- Service phone: 5132006958
- *(no account number provided?)*

COMMENT DESCRIPTION:

Thank you for the opportunity to testify against Duke Energy's request for an increase in their electricity rate case, 17-32-EL-AIR. Currently, Duke Energy of Ohio natural gas customers must pay \$396 annually in order to have a gas meter, regardless of the amount of natural gas that is consumed. Now Duke Energy wants to charge it's Ohio electric customers to pay an additional \$264 annually in order to own an electric meter. Combined, Duke Energy proposes that its gas &

electric customers pay \$660 annually so we can have both an electric and gas meter. According to Duke Energy, these fixed costs are to maintain both the gas and the electrical distribution system. This increase in the monthly charges are unfair to low use customers, reduces the incentive to save energy and allows large users to pay the same maintenance and distribution costs as low users. High users put more stress and demand on the distribution system than low users. Upgrades to the distribution system is more likely because of the high users within the systems, as well as new users, not to mention how commercial users stress the system during peak demand times affect both the generation and electric distribution. If additional funding is required by Duke Energy, it is much more equitable to require customers to pay these additional costs based on their kwh usage, so the higher the usage, the more the residential customer pays per kwh. This is known as an inclining rate structure. Currently, Duke Ohio electric customers, the more kwh a residential customer uses, the less this customer has to pay per kwh. This is known as a declining rate structure. With a declining rate structure, there is little incentive for residential customers to invest in energy efficiency because the customer saves energy at the lowest cost electricity first. Improving energy efficiency reduces the stress on the distribution system which should reduce Duke Energy's maintenance and upgrading costs. An inclining rate structure encourages investment in energy efficiency and reduces the customers electricity costs, and reduces the demand on the distribution system. It also requires high users to pay their fair share of maintaining the distribution systems. If the PUCO and all Intervenors agree that Duke Energy requires additional funding, then please do NOT allow Duke to raise the monthly electric service charge period. Increasing the monthly service charge IS NO AN EQUITABLE or a fair way to pay more for our electricity costs. It is unfair to those who use the least amount of electricity and can least afford the nearly 400% increase in the monthly service costs. Charging a customer who uses 300kwh monthly the same service charge as a customer who uses 3000kwh monthly is not fair to the low user whose impact on the distribution system is only 1/10th as much as the high user. If additional funding is required, then charge the residential customer based on their usage. The more a residential customer uses, then the more they should pay per kwh, either through the rate structure process or as a separate rider that charges a flat fee per kwh so a high user will pay more than the low user. This is a much more equitable way to charge the electric users than increase everyone's monthly service fee.

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**11/3/2017 12:45:47 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 17-0032-EL-AIR**

Summary: Public Comment electronically filed by Docketing Staff on behalf of Docketing