BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of David G. :

Zeigler, Notice of Apparent : Case No.

Violation and Intent to :16-2170-TR-CVF

Assess Forfeiture.

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before Jim Lynn, Attorney Examiner, held at the offices of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-D, Columbus, Ohio, on Thursday, September 28, 2017, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481
Fax - (614) 224-5724

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Steve Beeler
            Assistant Attorney General
 3
            30 East Broad Street
 4
            16th Floor
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
                 Public Utilities Commission
 6
                 of Ohio.
 7
 8
            Mr. David G. Zeigler
            4394 East Township Road 58
 9
            Bloomville, Ohio 44818
10
                 Appearing Pro se.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	-
2		
3	DIRECT CROSS STATE'S WITNESSES	
4		
5	Michael J. Byrne 6/47 24/46	
6	Rod Moser 30	
7	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
8	David Zeigler 35	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
2324		
25		
۷ ک		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			1
2					
3	STAT	E'S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle	8	29	
5	_	Examination Report			
6	2	Notice of Preliminary Determination	32	35	
7					
8					
9					
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					

```
1
                          Thursday Morning,
 2
                          September 28, 2017.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public
 4
 5
     Utilities Commission of Ohio has assigned for
 6
     hearing at this time and place Case No.
 7
     16-2170-TR-CVF In the Matter of David Zeigler
     Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to
 8
9
     Access Forfeiture.
10
                 I am Jim Lynn, the Attorney Examiner
11
     assigned to hear this case. And at this time we
12
     will have the appearances of the parties. We
13
     will start with the Ohio Attorney General's
     office.
14
15
                 MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.
16
     On behalf of the Staff of the Public Utilities
17
     Commission of Ohio, Ohio Attorney General Mike
18
     DeWine. I am Steve Beeler, Assistant Attorney
19
     General, 30 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio
20
     43215.
2.1
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
22
    Mr. Zeigler, your name and address, please.
23
                 MR. ZEIGLER: Yes. David Zeigler.
24
     My address is 4394 East Township Road 58,
25
     Bloomville, Ohio 44818.
```

6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. We 1 2 will get on with our proceeding. Mr. Beeler, I 3 assume you have one or more witnesses. MR. BEELER: Yes, Your Honor. Thank 4 5 you. At this time the Staff would call 6 Inspector Byrne to the stand. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Inspector Byrne, come up here, please. Thank you. 8 9 (WITNESS SWORN) 10 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please have a 11 seat. Mr. Beeler, go ahead. 12 MR. BEELER: Thank you. 13 14 MICHAEL J. BYRNE 15 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 16 testified as follows: 17 DIRECT EXAMINATION 18 By Mr. Beeler: 19 Q. Good morning. 20 A. Good morning. 2.1 Q. Just start here, please state your 22 full name for the record. 23 Α. Inspector Mike Byrne, Michael J. 24 Byrne. 25 Q. Where are you employed?

- A. With the Ohio State Highway Patrol.
- Q. What is your position within the Patrol?
 - A. Motor Carrier Enforcement Inspector.
- Q. Okay. How long have you been with the Patrol?
 - A. Since 2000.

1

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

- Q. And what are your duties in your capacity as a Motor Carrier Inspector just generally?
- A. Generally the inspection of commercial motor vehicles, in addition to inspection of drivers, which includes specials of hazardous material property carrying vehicles.
- Q. Okay. What sort of training do you have in the area of motor carrier inspections?
- A. Well, the initial training is the North American Standard Level 1. In addition to that is your basic hazardous material, which includes bulk, cargo tank.
- And then additional training every year at the Patrol Academy, which includes hazardous materials. Monthly training at roll call meetings covering different sections of

- law, if need be updates of hazardous materials as well.
- Q. So you have initial training then you have yearly kind of refresher continuing courses?
- A. We have to maintain certification with some of the inspections.
 - Q. Just generally would you say that motor carrier inspections that you conduct are to protect Ohio's traveling public?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. How many inspections do you think you do in a year, approximately?
- 14 A. Up to a thousand.
- 15 Q. Somewhere between 500 --
- 16 A. Ball park 950.
- 17 MR. BEELER: May I approach
- 18 | the witness, your Honor?
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. Yes, you
- 20 may.

1

2.

3

4

5

8

9

- 21 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR
- 22 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)
- MR. BEELER: I have what has been
- 24 previously marked as Staff Exhibit 1. This is
- 25 | the Driver/Examination Report with inspection

Proceedings 9 date of 8-19-2016. 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 3 Q. Take a quick second to look at that. Do you recognize this document? 4 5 Α. Yes, sir. Is this document a Patrol record? 6 Ο. 7 Α. Yes, sir 8 Is it kept in the ordinary business Q. of the Patrol? 9 10 Α. Yes, sir. And it's the practice of the Patrol 11 Ο. 12 to make this report; correct? 13 Α. That is correct. 14 And you report on matters observed Ο. 15 pursuant to a duty imposed by law to which there is a duty by you to report; correct? 16 17 Α. Yes, sir. 18 Q. Is this document in the same, 19 substantially the same condition as when you 20 prepared it? 2.1 Α. Correct. 22 It's in a little bit different Q.

format, but it has the similar information in 23 24 there: correct?

25 Α. Yes.

- Q. Was the reason for you producing this report to report an inspection of Central Ohio Farmers Co-Op, Inc?
- A. It was an observation I had seen while following a commercial motor vehicle.
 - Q. Do you remember this inspection?
- 7 A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

- Q. Is the driver listed on the report?
 - A. Mr. David Zeigler of Bloomville.
- Q. Is Mr. Zeigler here today?
- 11 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. Does the driver get a copy of the report at the stop?
- 14 A. Yes, he does.
- 15 Q. And you gave Mr. Zeigler a copy?
- 16 A. Yes, I did.
- Q. Okay. Are there violations noted on the report?
- 19 A. Yes.
- Q. I notice there are six violations
 noted. However, the understanding that the
 forfeitures today only involve the failure to
 stop at a railroad crossing and the using a
 hand-held mobile telephone while operating a
 commercial motor vehicle; is that correct?

A. That is correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Let's start with the failure to stop at a railroad crossing. That is 49 CFR 329.10 (a) You observed Mr. Zeigler failing to stop at a railroad crossing; correct?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. Just generally in a narrative form tell me how you observed that.
- A. At the time I was on my way to Findlay at our office. We were to have a meeting at 9:00 o'clock. As you can see the start time was at 7:34.

I was going to get there a little early to do some maintenance on the car, wash it, whatnot. So I had a little bit of time.

Simply put, I wanted to see if the vehicle was going to stop for the railroad tracks. I knew of the area very well, I knew of the railroad tracks. And observing the vehicle -- well, first of all he was placarded so talking about hazardous material. It was noticeable with the placard with ID numbers and Class 3 flammable.

Q. And it was properly placarded; correct?

A. Yes. Which is why I wanted to see. We have a placarded vehicle, I want to see if it was going to stop for the tracks. So I watched it. Specifically I watched of the left steering wheel, the well nut covers, I wanted to use that as a reference, so I used that.

And I watched the rotation of the wheel nut covers. Now, the commercial slowed down but it never stopped. Crossed the tracks.

Q. Okay.

2.1

2.2

- A. Then there --
- Q. And tell me a little bit more about your observation point. You said you were -- just go ahead and just point it out a little further.
- A. All right. Explain a little further. The commercial did not stop. Did slow down, but did not come to a complete stop. Just continued over the tracks.

After seeing that I looked up to see what the driver was doing. He was visible, he was visible in his left rear view mirror.

Q. Before you get there, I want to ask you about -- and you might have said this, but I want you to do it in a little more detail.

Where were you in relation to the truck when you observed the rolling across the tracks?

- A. I was behind, there was one car separating us, so I would have been one, two cars behind the commercial vehicle.
 - Q. One car in between you?
 - A. One car in between.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Was it just a regular car, was it a truck or an SUV?
- A. Just a regular car. It was not something that prohibited my vision of the --
- Q. Okay. And do you recall if you pulled over to the side a little bit to get a better vision, or anything like that or --
- A. If I observed the driver in the vehicle I could very well have moved to the left to see the violation.
- Q. Okay. All right. So, turning to the report, in the area marked Violations are the six violations listed. I want to draw your attention to the two that are at issue here today.
- You have in the violation description, can you read for the two

violations, just the violation description?

A. Okay. All right. The railroad crossing, this is the general violation that the report has. And then explain further.

It says, "Failure to stop at railroad crossing - CMV requiring display of hazardous material placards."

Then I go on to say "Visibly saw the wheel nut covers of the left steer axle wheel continue to turn as the CMV failed to stop at the railroad crossing on U.S. 224 west of U.S.

12 | 23, Alvada, Ohio."

2.1

2.2

Going to the next violation, this is again the generic violation that the program has.

"Using a hand-held mobile telephone telephone while operating a CMV."

Then I go to explain "Visibly saw the driver in his left rearview mirror, after failing to stop at the railroad tracks, with a cell phone to his head. Driver stated he was talking to a customer."

Q. Now to go back to a couple of the statements that you said about general violation that the computer program brings up.

So, everything before the colon would be just when you put a violation and that information shows up, then after the colon --

- A. That is my description.
- Q. Your description on the day of the inspection?
- A. Yes, sir.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. And the driver, when you give him the report would be able to see that description; correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Can you turn to the second page of the report? Draw your to your inspection notes.
 - So, these notes are notes that you prepare kind of when you get back to your car right after the inspection, same day, you go back to your car to prepare these notes?
 - A. Correct.
- Q. So it's basically done contemporaneously with the stop, just right after?
- A. It's an addendum to the report.
- Q. Okay. Could you read these notes for the record, please?

A. Sure. While following the CMV I watched it approach the railroad tracks westbound on US 224 just west of the U.S. 23 intersection, Alvada, Ohio. The CMV never stopped before the railroad tracks. Instead it slowed and crossed the railroad tracks slowly. This was noticeable by watching the rotation of the left steering axle wheel nut covers. After CMV crossed the tracks I looked at the driver in his left rearview mirror. He had a cell phone in his left hand and appeared to be having a conversation.

2.1

Mr. Zeigler stated he was in a hurry that morning because he was late getting to his customer, DM Base in Findlay, Ohio. He admitted to using his cell phone at the time he failed to stop at the railroad tracks, which he also admitted to.

He mentioned he took the CMV home yesterday. When I asked for the shipping papers he stated he left them at Bloomville, Ohio. Mr. Zeigler was given a blank hazardous shipping paper to fill out so the shipping violation could be corrected.

After going over the report with

```
the driver I recommended he use a hands-free device, such as a Bluetooth, if he wants to use his Samsung Galaxy smartphone. The driver was unsure of the model. Then Mr. Zeigler shook his head briefly and pointed to his Bluetooth headset hanging from the passenger side sun visor.
```

The GVWR on the truck could not be located. According to the National Institute for Safety Research commercial motor vehicle VIN decoder, the GVWR of the truck was between 16,001 and 33,000 pounds."

- Q. Okay. And again you completed these notes contemporaneously with the vehicle stop; correct?
- 16 A. Correct.
- Q. Have you made any changes since then?
- 19 A. No.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

- Q. So these are on the day of
 the inspection. I think you stated this
 already, but the stop was at 7:34 in the
 morning; correct?
- A. Correct.
- Q. It was light outside at that time?

- A. Oh, yes. It was sunny.
- Q. Sunny day?
 - A. Sunny and clear.
 - Q. So nothing weather-wise would have impeded your vision; correct?
 - A. No.

1

3

4

5

6

9

17

- 7 Q. And your vision is okay?
- 8 A. Yes.
 - Q. Regular check-ups?
- 10 A. Yes.
- Q. Okay. So, and we are still on,
 although I would have to read the entire notes,
 we are still on the railroad crossing violation.
- So, as a result of your

 observations, your preparation of this report,

 you cited the driver for this violation;
- 18 A. That is correct.
- Q. So it's your testimony here today
 that Mr. Zeigler violated the CFR regulation by
 failing to stop at a railroad crossing; correct?
- 22 A. Yes.

correct?

23 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, I
24 assume you are going to move on to the next
25 violation.

MR. BEELER: Yes.

 $\label{eq:attorney} \mbox{ATTORNEY EXAMINER:} \mbox{ Before you do}$ that I have a few questions for the officer.

EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

2.1

- Q. Officer Byrne, is US 224 were you observed this alleged violation, is that a two-lane or a four-lane road?
 - A. That is a two-lane road.
- Q. One in each direction. Okay. So at that point where you were following, do you recall was the road straight?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. It was straight. In that case, yet you are saying that you may have moved your vehicle over somewhat, I assume to the left, to better observe the wheels turning on the cab of that commercial motor vehicle; right?
- A. It's a possibility that I could have done that, but otherwise it would have been very well seen. There was an incline, there was an incline to the approach of the railroad tracks. So whether or not I would have moved to the left, it's really irrelevant because even with the car in front of me whether I would have

moved to the left or not, the wheel hub covers
were still visible.

- Q. As you are indicating you were actually behind the truck an behind another vehicle.
- A. No. I was behind a car. You have the truck --
 - Q. Sorry. You have a car between you and the truck?
- 10 A. Right.

3

4

5

8

- 11 Q. And the road is straight there?
- 12 A. Yes.
- Q. You are saying what helped you was this incline, it helped you see the vehicle?
- 15 A. It could of very well helped, but I 16 do recall seeing the wheel nut covers.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. No more questions at this time. Please go ahead.
- 19 FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION
- 20 | By Mr. Beeler:
- Q. A follow-up at that point. Your
- 22 | vehicle is an SUV; correct?
- 23 A. Yes.
- Q. You sit up a little higher?
- 25 A. Oh, yes.

- So you would be able to clearly see 1 Q. 2 over a sedan? 3 There is no obstruction. Α. ATTORNEY EXAMINER: What kind of a 4 5 vehicle is that, Officer? 6 THE WITNESS: It is a Chevy Tahoe. 7 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 8 Q. Okay. Turning to the cell phone violation. That is CFR 392.82 (a)(1). 9 10 I know you read your notes, but you 11 also observed Mr. Zeigler using a hand-held 12 communication device; correct? 13 Α. Yes. 14 MR. ZEIGLER: May I interrupt? 15 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Actually after Mr. Beeler is finishing his questions you will 16 have the opportunity. 17 18 MR. ZIEGLER: Okay. I am sorry. 19 I have no -- I was on my cell phone. I am 20 quilty of that. 2.1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Well, after Mr.
- Beeler is finished with his questions you can have the opportunity to ask questions of the witness, then we will put you on the witness stand as well. Thank you. I apologize. I

- should have explained that at the beginning.

 Go ahead.
 - Q. Again, just one more time, how did you observe that violation?
 - A. With the cell phone?
 - Q. Yes.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

16

- A. It was immediately after the vehicle failed to stop at the railroad crossing. I looked up, wanted to see what the driver was doing and sure enough, cell phone to the head.
- 11 Q. And the driver admitted the violation at the scene; correct?
 - A. Yes, he did.
 - Q. Okay. And just commonly as part of your job with both railroad and cell phone violations you commonly look for these violations as far as your job; correct?
- 18 A. Oh, yes.
- Q. Okay. So, as a result of your observation you cited the driver for a violation; correct?
- 22 A. Correct.
- Q. And it's your testimony that he was talking on a cell phone while operating a commercial motor vehicle?

A. Correct.

2.1

- Q. Okay. Anything that you can think of that we have not discussed here that you think would be important for the Examiner to know or for the Commission to know about this stop? Anything else you can think of that I may have missed?
- A. Just other than this all boils down to a couple of things. This is distraction, and being in a hurry. Okay? Mr. Zeigler left his shipping papers at home. As stated in the notes he had taken his vehicle home the day prior. He was in a hurry.

He contacted his recipient, the receiver of the cargo. So we got the shipping papers left at home. He was in a hurry, he was on his phone contacting the receiver of the cargo.

And in doing so this more than likely distracted him, thus failing to stop at the railroad crossing, thus resulting in him visibly using his cell phone while driving. This is the culmination of things that all boils down to distraction and running late.

Q. Did the driver dispute this at all

```
24
     at the scene? Do you remember?
1
2
            Α.
                 No.
 3
                 MR. BEELER: No further questions,
     your Honor. At this time I would, subject to
 4
 5
     cross-examination, I would move Staff Exhibit 1
     into the record.
 6
7
                 Again, I do this commonly, but I am
8
     going to reserve Mr. Byrne for rebuttal if
     needed.
9
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Certainly.
     Let's see, before we admit it into evidence, Mr.
11
12
     Zeigler, do you have any questions for
13
     the witness? No statements right now, but how
14
     you feel about what you did. Any questions?
15
     Please go ahead.
16
                 MR. ZEIGLER: Yes, sir, I do.
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.
18
                    CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
    By Mr. Zeigler:
20
                 Mr. Byrne, do you know how wide the
            Q.
2.1
    back end of my truck is?
2.2
            Α.
                 It was not measured. No, I do not.
23
                 Do you know how wide the so called
            Q.
24
    wheel nut covers that you said you witnessed
25
    moving as I was stopped at the railroad tracks,
```

do you know how wide them are?

- A. I could only questimate --
- Q. Were we on a two-lane highway -
 MR. BEELER: Objection, your Honor.

5 | Can he finish his answer?

1

2

3

4

6

7

8

- A. I can only guess. Wheel nut covers typically on a vehicle like that (indicating) it would be well over I would say about two inches or more.
- Q. Overall width, Mr. Byrne, what is
 the overall width from side-to-side if you were
 behind the vehicle?
- A. Overall width including the nut covers?
- 15 Q. Yes.
- A. That wasn't measured, so I have no answer to that.
- 18 Q. There was one vehicle in between me 19 and you; correct?
- 20 A. That is correct.
- Q. You did not go left of center to witness the wheel nut covers move?
- A. I do not recall if I went left of center.
- Q. Do you recall, was there any

oncoming traffic?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. I was not focused on oncoming traffic.
 - Q. So there very well could have been oncoming traffic?
 - A. There could, yes.
 - Q. Okay. And you feel that being roughly 20 feet behind my vehicle that you could see the wheel nut covers without going left of center; is that correct?
- A. Yes.
- Q. Do you think it's possible you could not see them wheel covers moving from behind there?
 - A. No, no. That was my focus is specifically looking for some kind of a reference to the vehicle to determine is this vehicle going to stop at the railroad tracks. So that was specifically what I used to observe.
 - Q. So how did you position yourself while sitting in your vehicle, not moving left of center, how did you see the wheel nut covers moving?
- A. Simple. I was right behind you in the driver's seat. There was no obstruction.

The wheel nut covers were absolutely visible.

- Q. Okay. The wheel nut covers are approximately one half inch wider than the rear end of the truck.
 - A. Say that again.
- Q. From side-to-side they are approximately one half inch wider than the rear compartment which holds the fuel of the truck which you were 15 feet behind.
 - A. Okay.

2.1

Q. Setting in your truck, not left of center, the view you had, there is no way possible to see them wheel nut covers moving without you moving left of center. If you move left of center there is oncoming traffic.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler.

MR. BEELER: I just want to lodge an objection that I believe that question was asked and answered.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Zeigler, some of the comments about -- again, you can ask him questions, but what you think the true facts were we will wait until you are up on the witness stand giving testimony. Thank you.

Q. One other question, Mr. Byrne, the

reason you pulled me over was for what?

1

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. For failing to stop at the railroad tracks.
 - Q. Okay. Do you have this you said was an addendum to the original?
 - A. Inspection notes.
 - Q. Where it states, where it starts with Mr. Zeigler stated he was in a hurry, will you read that again to us, please?
 - A. "Mr. Zeigler stated he was in a hurry this morning because he was late getting to his customer, CM Base, in Findlay, Ohio."
 - Q. Continue, please.
 - A. "He admitted to using his cell phone at the time he failed to stop at the railroad tracks, which he also admitted to.
 - Q. May I stop you, please. If you pulled me over for running the railroad tracks, why is that in parentheses after the fact of me admitting to using the phone?
 - A. Probably grammatical reasons. Just so it didn't appear confusing.
- Q. Do you have a recording of me admitting that I run the railroad tracks?
- 25 Q. No, I do not.

```
29
 1
                 MR. ZEIGLER: I have no further
 2
     questions for him.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler.
                 MR. BEELER: May I have one second?
 4
 5
     No further questions, your Honor.
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
 7
     Thank you. And I believe you had asked that
     Staff Exhibit 1 be admitted into evidence.
 8
 9
                 MR. BEELER: Correct.
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Zeigler, do
11
     you have any objections to that? Do you have
12
     any objections to Staff Exhibit 1? That is
13
     the inspection report being admitted into
     evidence?
14
15
                 MR. ZEIGLER: No, sir.
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right.
17
     Thank you. In that case we will admit Staff
18
     Exhibit 1 into evidence.
19
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
20
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Beeler, I
21
     believe you may have an additional witness;
2.2
     correct?
23
                 MR. BEELER: Yes.
24
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Officer, you can
25
     take your seat and are under oath so there may
```

30 be more questions of you later. Thank you. 1 2 MR. BEELER: At this time Staff 3 would call Rod Moser to the stand. 4 (WITNESS SWORN) 5 6 ROD MOSER called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 7 8 testified as follows: 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 By Mr. Beeler: 11 Good morning. Q. 12 Α. Good morning. Please state your full name for the 13 Q. 14 record. 15 Α. My name is Rod Moser. 16 Where are you employed? Q. 17 I am employed with the Α. 18 transportation section of the Public Utilities 19 Commission of Ohio. 20 Q. And what is your position? 2.1 I am the Chief of the compliance 2.2 section. 23 How long have you been in that Q.

A little over six months.

24

25

position?

Α.

Q. And your duties are what?

2.1

2.2

- A. My duties would be to oversee the civil forfeiture process and the administrative hearing process for inspections conducted by CMV troopers and inspectors.
- Q. What is your expert qualifications or training in this area?
- A. I have experience of about almost 30 years with the Highway Patrol, primarily focus on commercial enforcement. I was a supervisor for the District 6 commercial enforcement unit.

 I attended North American Standards Parts A and B.
 - Q. Explain just generally, not necessarily this case, but just generally how the Commission determines amounts of forfeitures.
 - A. The forfeitures are based upon guidelines established by the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance. There are five categories of offenses.

One category is zero, which is a violation that never has a fine. And then four other categories based upon the type of violation and the severity of the violation.

```
And the Commission applies this
 1
            Q.
 2
     process uniformly to drivers, carriers and
     shippers; correct?
 3
                 Yes. Regardless of who you are the
 4
     fine is the same.
 5
 6
            Q.
                 Sure.
 7
                 MR. BEELER: May I approach, your
     Honor?
 8
 9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes.
10
                 MR. BEELER:
                              Thank you.
11
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes, you may.
12
                 MR. BEELER: I have what has been
13
     previously marked as Staff Exhibit 2. This is
14
     the Notice of Preliminary Determination letter
15
     that was sent to Mr. Zeigler and it's dated
     October 5th, 2016.
16
17
                 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR PURPOSES OF
18
     IDENTIFICATION)
19
                 Do you recognize this document?
            Ο.
20
            Α.
                 I do.
                 What is it?
2.1
            Q.
22
                 It's a letter that we commonly call
            Α.
23
     an NPD, Notice of Preliminary Determination.
24
     It's a letter that goes out to the Respondent
25
     following a conference with one of the
```

- compliance officers.
- 2 In this case it was following a
- 3 | conference with Compliance Officer Michael
- 4 Hines.

- 5 Q. Okay. And this document is sent to
- 6 | the Respondent; correct?
- 7 A. That's correct.
- 8 Q. And you reviewed this document in
- 9 preparation for the hearing today; correct?
- 10 A. I did.
- 11 O. Is this document a Commission
- 12 record?
- 13 A. Yes, it is.
- 14 Q. Kept in the ordinary business of
- 15 | the Commission?
- 16 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Okay. Please explain how the
- 18 | forfeiture was derived in this case.
- 19 A. Well, these two violations are both
- 20 | Group 4 violations where all of Group 4 contain
- 21 violations that are considered very hazardous.
- 22 In the other groups the entire group would be
- 23 | the same amount. In this group they are
- 24 separated depending on what the violation is.
- 25 So the railroad crossing violation

```
is a $500 fine, and the cell phone use is $250.
```

- Q. Okay. And is this forfeiture amount or penalty consistent with the recommended fine schedule and recommended civil penalty with the procedure adopted by the Commercial Motor
- 6 Vehicle Alliance?

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

- A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And I think you have already said it, but what is the forfeiture amount?
- A. \$750 total.
- Q. Okay. Would you recommend this amount to the Commission?
- A. Yes, I would.
- Q. Anything else that we haven't talked about here that you think would be important?
- 16 A. No, sir.
- MR. BEELER: Okay. No further
- 18 | questions, your Honor.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
- 20 And, Mr. Zeigler, any questions of the witness?
- 21 MR. ZIEGLER: No, sir.
- 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr.
- 23 Beeler, I will assume you want to admit this
- 24 document into evidence.
- 25 MR. BEELER: Staff would move for

```
admission of Staff Exhibit 2.
 1
 2
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Zeigler, any
 3
     objections?
                 MR. ZIEGLER: No, sir.
 4
 5
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you.
     In that case we will admit Staff Exhibit 2 into
 6
 7
     evidence.
                 (EXHIBIT HEREBY ADMITTED INTO
 8
9
     EVIDENCE)
10
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No more
11
    witnesses, Mr. Beeler?
12
                 MR. BEELER: No more witnesses, your
13
    Honor.
14
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Zeigler, if
15
     you would like to come up to the witness stand,
16
    please. Thank you.
17
                 (WITNESS SWORN)
18
19
                      DAVID ZEIGLER
20
     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,
2.1
     testified as follows:
22
                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please have a
23
     seat. And at the beginning you made some
     comments earlier that I said were more
24
25
     appropriate for actually when you were on the
```

```
witness stand. So please go ahead with whatever
1
2
     remarks you want to make about either of the
 3
     alleged violations.
                 MR. ZEIGLER: Yes, sir. I apologize
 4
 5
     about that.
 6
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: That is okay.
7
                 MR. ZEIGLER: This is the first time
     I have ever done this.
8
9
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Not a problem.
10
     I should have informed you of the procedures
11
     first. Please, go ahead.
12
                 MR. ZEIGLER: The first, start from
13
     the beginning. There are so many falsehoods
14
     involved in this report.
15
                 No. 1, I am going to tell the story
16
     as I told it to the Officer the day that he
    pulled me over. I was not in a hurry. The
17
18
    hurry comes the evening before. That's the
19
     reason I did not have my shipping papers and
20
     other items that I needed that day.
2.1
                 I had worked overtime the day
```

before. It was approximately 5:00 o'clock in the evening, we usually are done at 4:00 o'clock. My manager does not like us working overtime. That was the hurry.

I come back to the office, I had seen a fax had come through from Sun Base who was working over on I-75, which is west of Findlay, Ohio. We had been taking Sun Base fuel for approximately two months at that point.

2.1

It is a very, very dangerous situation getting into Sun Base's work zone and getting out with all the heavy traffic on 75.

So, the reason I was on the phone
that morning was to find the best possible way,
safest possible way to get there. I picked
the phone up at the stop light, which happened
to be a quarter of a mile maybe from
the railroad tracks, to call them because I was
stopped and I knew I would be stopping again,
not fully understanding at that time that it was
going to be a hefty fine if gotten caught while
using my phone while in the truck.

The records that Mr. Byrne said that I left at home was not left at home. They were left in the office because of the fact I was in a hurry. I rushed out, loaded my truck for the morning for Sun Base, left the records in the office. Didn't even lock the office, which I got reprimanded for, went home, had things to

do at home.

2.1

Got up first thing in the morning, was not late, was not rushing to get to Sun Base. I was scheduled to be there at 8:00 o'clock. I was pulled over at 7:34, approximately 15 to 20 minutes before I would have arrived at Findlay.

So, there was no hurry involved.

And when I stopped, I do look at my mirrors

every time I stop. I seen no vehicles behind me

when I come to a complete stop at the railroad

tracks at 224.

As I crossed the railroad tracks I glanced at my mirror because there was oncoming traffic. And usually when you cross railroad tracks the first thing somebody wants to do is pass you. And it happens every day. As soon as you pass a railroad track somebody is passing you. I am paying attention to oncoming traffic and traffic from behind me.

That is when I seen Mr. Byrne wheel out left of center with his flashers on. I am thinking to myself -- first of all I was thinking to myself I didn't understand it was Mr. Byrne. I thought it was a Highway Patrolman

wanting by.

2.1

I kind of pulled over, he didn't go around me. It was not a good place to pull over. I went up to an area where I could finally pull over.

When Mr. Byrne approached me at the door -- does anyone have a pen I could borrow, please? Thank you, sir.

When Mr. Byrne approached me he opened up my passenger door. Do you mind if I stand up to show you this? He opened up my passenger door, standing in the ditch, and he asked me if I knew why he pulled me over. Asked me if I knew why he pulled me over.

I responded no. He says because you didn't stop at the railroad tracks. And I looked at him like this (indicating). He goes I seen your lug nuts, they were doing this (indicating).

And that is the way he described his witness of me not stopping at the railroad tracks, which he was behind me. A truck that is this wide (indicating) and a wheel base that is possibly another inch wide at the most. And measured by my measurements was one half an

```
inch.
```

2.1

```
ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Zeigler,

just for the record, Mr. Zeigler was

illustrating that -- if you could describe it in

words what you were motioning about the --

MR. ZEIGLER: It was the speed of

the lug nuts he said he was seeing.
```

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: So, you are indicating that it was apparently slow or -
MR. ZEIGLER: Somebody would have -
I think you would have to have more than excellent vision to see lug nuts moving that

slow. If they were moving this slow or, you know, moving that fast you couldn't see them at all.

But to see from that distance at that angle, which you can't even see the lug nuts from that angle, how can you tell that they were moving this slow.

And then the only other thing I got to say is this: I was on my phone, that's why I was trying to save some time with you folks. I admit to that. I was on my phone.

I did stop at the railroad tracks.

And for him to state that the first thing he

says, he admitted to using his cell phone at the time of the failed stop. And put in parentheses which he already admitted to, I did not admit to that. If I admitted to that, folks, I would not be here today. I would not have wasted two and a half hours of my day coming down through this traffic to set here. I did stop at the railroad tracks.

EXAMINATION

By the Attorney Examiner:

- Q. So, Mr. Zeigler, just so I can understand, then you have no dispute over the violation that was written for use of the cell phone?
 - A. That's correct.
 - Q. You have no disagreement there.
- 17 A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. You say you did stop at the railroad tracks. And tell me about the stop. Did you slow or did you stop?
 - A. I stopped.
- Q. You stopped. Okay. And you made a comment earlier that when you come to a railroad track you look in your rearview mirror. Now, help me out on this. Did you say that you did

- not see any vehicles behind you, or help me out on that comment again.
- A. I could not describe the vehicle behind me. I could tell that there was a white vehicle back farther. I could not see the cars that was in between us.
- Q. Well, let's go a little further than that. So you are saying -- do you feel -- how many -- do you have any memory how many vehicles were behind you, and where the officer's vehicle was in that line of traffic?
- A. Sir, as I went by I seen him. I knew he was back there somewhere.
 - Q. As you went --

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

14

15

16

17

18

19

- A. I crossed the intersection. So, when I looked back I could see the rear third of his vehicle.
- Q. All right. And you don't really know for sure how many more vehicles were behind you and the officer?
- A. No. And at the time also, as I

 stated earlier, I didn't know if it was a PUCO

 vehicle. I thought it was a State Highway

 Patrolman.
- 25 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I have no more

43 questions right now. I may have later. Mr. 1 2. Beeler. 3 MR. BEELER: I need one minute. 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. 5 MR. BEELER: No cross, your Honor. 6 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Mr. Zeigler, you can take your seat for the time 7 8 being. I probably have a few more questions for 9 Officer Byrne. 10 Thank you for coming up here. 11 think with the microphones it will work a little 12 easier for our court reporter here. 13 14 MICHAEL BYRNE 15 recalled as a witness, being previously duly 16 sworn, testified further as follows: 17 FURTHER EXAMINATION 18 By the Attorney Examiner: 19 So you indicated that US 224 is a Ο. 20 straight road, at that point it was two-lane, 2.1 and you don't recall for certain if you went 2.2 left of center to observe the vehicle that Mr. 23 Zeigler was driving. Am I right about that? 24 I do not recall, sir. Α. 25 Q. For certain. Okay. And your memory

is that there was one vehicle, I guess a passenger car, between you, your vehicle and your Chevy Tahoe and the commercial motor vehicle that Mr. Zeigler was driving.

A. Correct.

- Q. Okay. Coming up to the railroad tracks, you were stating that Mr. Zeigler did not stop, he slowed. Tell me about, if you can recall, the speed or progression of the CMV, the distance between you and he and then your vehicle itself. Did you see tail lights, did anyone stop completely, did everybody just keep rolling along? Do you remember any of that?
- A. I wasn't looking at tail lights specifically. The speed was about I would say no more than 25 to 35 miles per hour.
- Q. This is when everyone is still going over the tracks?
- A. Right.
- Q. Do you recall, is that crossing rough, smooth?
- A. I would say smooth. It's typically not rough there.
- Q. But you are thinking, and this is one that you drive over periodically?

A. Oh, yes.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

2.1

- Q. Okay. So you are saying that the vehicles, really all of them, were running about 25 to 30 miles per hour?
- A. Well, being that's 55 miles per hour I would say more like closer to 35 because we weren't going real fast that day.
- Q. All right. Okay. And using a hand-held mobile telephone, do you recall -- disregard that question.
- So, you were focused basically on these wheel nut covers and it was on the cab of the vehicle, and this was on what I will call a tanker truck?
 - A. That's correct.
- Q. And you are saying the thing that helped you out was apparently an incline going up to the tracks.
- A. You got the incline going up to the track.
 - Q. Go ahead.
- A. You do have the short incline going
 up to the track. Incline or not, from where I
 was sitting looking at the driver, I mean, you
 could see his left rearview mirror, you could

see, again the nut covers of the left steering axle from the angle that I am looking at with my vision.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

- Q. And your angle was -- really your only angle was the CMV was slight on an incline. You weren't off to the side of the CMV or something like that?
 - A. I don't remember if I was exactly off, but again it was visible, or else I would have never made the stop.
- Q. Okay. Well, you actually stopped.

 Was your initial stop for the railroad crossing,

 or did it include at that time you were thinking
 telephone as well?
- A. It was the railroad crossing.

 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Zeigler, any
 additional questions?
- FURTHER CROSS-EXAMINATION

 By Mr. Zeigler:
- Q. If I was going 35 mile an hour
 across the railroad track would you have needed
 to look at my wheel nuts to tell that I did not
 stop?
- A. Again, I was looking for some kind of reference.

- Q. At 35 miles an hour?
- A. Some kind of reference point. We weren't going real fast over those railroad tracks.
- 5 Q. Didn't you just say 35 miles an 6 hour?
- 7 A. Yes. I wouldn't say that's really 8 fast.
- Q. But, so you are telling me that you are going to need a vehicle going, vehicle 35 miles a hour you would still need to see the wheel nuts moving in order to tell if I was stopped or not?
- A. Prior to and after the crossing,

 yes. I want to look at something.
- MR. ZEIGLER: No further questions.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Anymore
- 18 questions, Mr. Beeler?
- MR. BEELER: Yes. Just a few to
- 20 clarify.

- 21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.
- 22 REDIRECT EXAMINATION
- 23 By Mr. Beeler:
- Q. And this is just a follow-up on your discussion with Mr. Zeigler here. So, your

- testimony is that he rolled over at a significant amount of speed. This wasn't a slow craw across, this was a --
 - A. I remember him slowing down.
- Q. Slowing down.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

- A. But never came to a complete stop.
- Q. So, the wheel nut covers that you were looking at were probably moving --
- A. Continuing to roll, and that is -- I actually had that discussion with Mr. Zeigler.
- Q. And so do you look, to find a reference point before and after, is that something you are trained to do, to look for to make sure you get --
- A. It's attention to detail. So, we are trained for attention to detail, looking for those indicators.
- Q. And then all three vehicles, truck, the car in between you and you all went through the crossing at the same time actually?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. One right after another?
- A. One right after another.
- Q. And that was the reason you pulled
 Mr. Zeigler over; correct?

A. Right.

1

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

15

16

19

- Q. And then the configuration of where you were on the track, the incline, you are saying your observation, there was no obstruction, you could see the wheel nut covers?
- A. That's correct. If there was any question whatsoever I wouldn't have stopped him.

MR. BEELER: Thank you, your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Officer, an

additional question or two.

FURTHER EXAMINATION

- 12 By the Attorney Examiner:
- Q. Were you on U.S. 23 and then you turned on to 224 or --
 - A. On US 224 heading westbound. We had passed the U.S. 23 intersection.
- Q. Okay. I just -- so actually you had not been on 23, you were on 224 at the time?
 - A. That is correct.

20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. I

21 have no further questions. Thank you.

Mr. Beeler, is there anything else you want to ask or you need to admit into

24 evidence.

MR. BEELER: I believe I have moved

50 into evidence Exhibit 1 and 2. 1 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Yes. 3 MR. BEELER: That is all, your 4 Honor. 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And you are not 6 required to do a brief. Do you want to do a brief in this case? 7 8 MR. BEELER: No, your Honor. 9 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. Thank you. With that being said, we will wrap 10 11 up the proceedings for today, and thank you all 12 for attending. 13 MR. BEELER: Thank you. 14 (At 10:45 A.M. the hearing was 15 concluded) 16 17 18 19 20 2.1 22 23 24 25

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on September 28, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

10/18/2017 2:08:40 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-2170-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of David G. Zeigler, Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on September 28, 2017. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.