BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

In the Matter of Ronald Hogan :

Notice of Apparent Violation : Case No.

and Intent to Assess : 17-1373-TR-CVF

Forfeiture. :

\_ \_ \_

## PROCEEDINGS

Before Kerry Sheets, Attorney Examiner, held at the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus, Ohio, on Thursday, September 21, 2017, at 1:30 P.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Mr. Werner L. Margard, III
 3
            Assistant Attorney General
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
 5
                 On behalf of the Staff of the
                 Public Utilities Commission
 6
                 of Ohio.
 7
 8
            Mr. Ronald Hogan
            257 Gault School Road
            Connellsville, Pennsylvania 15425
 9
10
                 Appearing Pro se.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

		3
1	INDEX TO WITNESSES	
2		
3	DIRECT CROSS STATE'S WITNESSES	
4		
5	Trooper Lauren Merz 6/29 12/32	
6	Rod Moser 16	
7	RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES	
8	Ronald Hogan 22 27	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

					4
1		INDEX TO EXHIBITS			
2					
3	STATE'	S EXHIBITS	MARKED	ADT	
4	1	Driver/Vehicle Examination	8	21	
5		Report			
6	2	Notice of Preliminary Determination	18	21	
7					
8	RESPON	IDENT'S EXHIBITS			
9	1	Photograph of Driver's Seat	26	35	
10					
11					
12					
13					
14					
15					
16					
17					
18					
19					
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
	İ				

1 Thursday Afternoon, 2 September 21, 2017. 3 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public 5 Utilities Commission of Ohio has set for hearing 6 at this time and place Case No. 17-1373-TR-CVF 7 In the Matter of Ronald Hogan. 8 My name is Kerry Sheets, I am an Attorney Examiner with the Commission and I have 9 10 been assigned to hear this case. May I now have 11 the appearances of the parties, please, starting 12 with the Staff. 13 MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor. 14 On behalf of the transportation staff of the 15 Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike 16 DeWine, Ohio Attorney General; William Wright, 17 Section Chief, Public Utilities Section; by 18 Assistant Attorney General Warner L. Margard, 30 19 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio. 20 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 2.1 Hogan, give your name and address, please. 2.2 MR. HOGAN: Ronald Hogan, 257 Gault 23 School Road, Connellsville, Pennsylvania 15425. 24 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. 25 you have any witnesses to call today?

Proceedings

6 1 MR. MARGARD: I do, yes. Thank you, 2 Your Honor. I would like to call Trooper Lauren 3 Merz to the stand, please (WITNESS SWORN) 4 5 6 TROOPER LAUREN MERZ 7 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, testified as follows: 8 9 DIRECT EXAMINATION 10 By Mr. Margard: 11 Begin if you would, please, by Ο. 12 stating your name and business address. Lauren Merz. Address is 3424 US 13 Α. 14 422, Southington, Ohio 44470. 15 Q. And by whom are you employed and in what capacity, please? 16 17 Α. Ohio State Highway Patrol as a 18 Commercial Motor Vehicle Inspector. Trooper. Trooper would be the appropriate 19 Ο. 20 title? 2.1 Α. Yes, sir. 22 Thank you. What are you duties as a Q. 23 motor carrier inspector, please, Trooper Merz? 24 As a Trooper I can respond to 25 anything any other Trooper can. Then we have

- additional training and powers to inspect commercial vehicles.
- Q. And what sort of training do you have to perform that job?
  - A. We had training down in Columbus, the Part A and Part B is what I have had. I am not even sure the specific detail, what's it's called. That is what certifies me.
- Q. How long have you been performing those duties?
- 11 A. Little over a year.

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- Q. Okay. Were you on duty on March
  29th of 2017?
  - A. Yes, sir, I was.
  - Q. And in the course of your employment on that date did you have an opportunity to inspect a vehicle being operated by the Respondent here today, Mr. Hogan?
    - A. Yes, I did.
  - Q. And as a result of that inspection did you prepare an examination report?
    - A. Yes, I did.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have
  marked for purposes of identification, although
  the copies are not always very clear, as Staff

8 Exhibit No. 1 a copy of the Driver/Vehicle 1 Examination Report prepared by Trooper Merz on 2 3 that day. 4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. 5 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR 6 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES) 7 Do you have before you what's been Ο. marked as Staff Exhibit 1? 8 9 Α. I would assume so, yes. It's --10 Q. 11 Inspection report. Α. 12 It's not well copied, but there are Q. 13 marks up in the corner. 14 Yes, sir, I do. Α. 15 Q. Very good. Thank you. Is this a copy of the report that you prepared following 16 17 your inspection on that date? 18 Yes, it is. Α. 19 0. And is it a true and accurate copy 20 of that report?

> Yes, it is. Α.

2.1

22

23

24

25

Do you have, before we get to the Q. substance of the report itself, do you have an independent recollection of that inspection as you sit here today?

- A. I do, but I think I have sort of amalagaized some of the different stops, so I would prefer to refer to my notes.
  - Q. You recognize Mr. Hogan?
  - A. I do, yes.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

13

14

15

- Q. Okay. Thank you. As a result of your inspection do you note any violations?
- A. As a result of the inspection, I did not. But I witnessed violations prior to conducting the inspection.
- 11 Q. And that was the reason for 12 the stop; is that correct?
  - A. That is correct.
  - Q. And what did you witness, what caused you to stop Mr. Hogan on that date?
- A. I stopped because I observed him
  traveling eastbound on the turnpike at mile
  marker 212. I was sitting in the cross-over
  facing eastbound traffic. I observed him
  driving by. He was wearing a neon green
  sweatshirt and I saw that there was no belt
  across his chest.
  - Q. There is different shades of green.
- A. Like a yellow green, like
  construction workers wear, what we wear for a

- safety vest. That is the color I would describe it.
  - Q. Thank you. Did you have a clear view of Mr. Hogan in his cab?
  - A. Yes.

4

5

8

9

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

- Q. Unobstructed?
- 7 A. Yes.
  - Q. Do you know what the weather conditions where that day, per chance?
- 10 A. No, I don't recall.
- 11 Q. But you had no difficulty seeing 12 him; is that correct?
- A. Correct. Otherwise I would not have pulled him over.
  - Q. Okay. When you approached him did you inquire whether he had been wearing a seat belt or why he had not been wearing a seat belt?
  - A. I don't remember the specific conversation that occurred, but he admitted to not wearing it, stating that at his last stop he had taken it off and then failed to put it back on. I think he said that he normally does wear it.
- Q. Did you observe a seat belt in the vehicle?

- A. I did. It was a gray seat belt.

  It was kind of hanging down a little bit. A lot of the slack was out of it.
- Q. It was not fastened at the time you spoke with him?
- A. Correct.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

- Q. And it was a substantially different color that the color of the sweatshirt he was wearing?
- 10 A. Correct. A gray and the hoody was
  11 like a neon color.
  - Q. Now, often times drivers will wear some kind of protective sleeve or padding or something over the belt to minimize chafing or discomfort. Did you notice any kind of device or any sort of protective sleeve in the vehicle?
    - A. No, I did not notice that.
    - Q. Would you have noted it had you?
    - A. I believe I would have.
  - Q. Okay. Was Mr. Hogan cooperative during the inspection?
    - A. Yes. Very much so.
- Q. Is there anything else regarding
  your observations regarding this inspection that
  you think the Commission should know in order to

resolve this matter?

1

2.

3

9

A. Not that I can think of.

MR. MARGARD: I have no further

4 questions. Thank you, your Honor.

5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any

6 | questions for the witness, Mr. Hogan?

7 MR. HOGAN: I have two questions.

8 | Well, three actually.

CROSS-EXAMINATION

- 10 By Mr. Hogan:
- 11 Q. Whenever you say I had on a sweatshirt,
- 12 | was it a hoody or a sweatshirt?
- A. I believe that I documented you had
- 14 on a hoody. It was a hoody.
- 15 Q. And you documented that the seat
- 16 | belt was a gray seat belt?
- 17 A. Yes.
- 18 Q. Okay. And did the driver, which was
- 19 myself, explain to you that he did have a seat
- 20 | belt on and I took it off so I could get you the
- 21 paperwork as needed for the officer when you
- 22 | come to the vehicle?
- A. I don't specifically remember you
- 24 | saying that. I did document in my notes that
- 25 | you took it off when you stopped. So, I suppose

```
you could interpret that to say when you stopped for me. And since I don't specifically remember, that's possible.
```

MR. HOGAN: All right. That is all the questions I have because and I got -- is there anything I should show the court at this time? I am not sure how the procedure goes.

Because I got pictures of the seat belt in my truck, what color it is.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You will have a chance to testify in a little while.

Mr. Margard, any other questions?

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, if he intends to challenge the witness' recollection of the color of the seat belt, rather than recalling the witness, if he would like to show them to her now to see if she recalls, that is probably more expedient. Either way, I am more than happy to recall the officer.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: We will go with the normal course of things. Do you have other questions?

MR. MARGARD: If I can just follow up with redirect, your Honor.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

By Mr. Margard:

2.1

- Q. Mr. Hogan has indicated that he was wearing a hoody as distinct from a sweatshirt. How do you understand the difference between a hoody and a sweatshirt?
- A. Well, the hoody would be the sweatshirt with the hood on it.
- Q. Would a hoody obscure you of his wearing a seat belt?
- A. It might at the shoulder portion, but not across the chest.
  - Q. So that is a distinction without a difference as far as your observations are concerned; is that right?
  - A. I wouldn't say a hoody if it was a sweatshirt. If I said hoody I meant that I fully believed there was a hood on the shirt.
  - Q. But, again you had a very clear view and from your observation he was not wearing a seat belt while he was operating the vehicle?
  - A. Correct. When I checked I check across the entire chest. I don't just check one segment. I look for contrasting colors.
- MR. MARGARD: That's all I have.
- 25 Thank you, Your Honor.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have anything else on recross?

MR. HOGAN: One more question.

## RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Hogan:

2.2

- Q. Whenever you was looking at the vehicle on the side, how high was my position in the window? If I am at the steering wheel, when you are looking down from the ground where you were located, the position of my head above the seal of the window, how far above that was it?
- A. I am not sure. I did document that you were in a day cab, and usually the reason I document that is because the light comes in from the back as well as the front and it is, I have found in my experience, far easier to see the driver because you have light sources coming from both the front and the back.

I don't specifically recall you being scrunched down in your seat or anything. So, I am not sure how to answer that as far as like how much of your upper torso could I see?

- Q. Yes. Above the side of the window in the truck.
  - A. Usually it's kind of from the elbow

up would be a rough estimation. I don't
specifically remember in your case.

MR. HOGAN: Thanks.

4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Any other

5 questions?

7

8

9

6 MR. HOGAN: That's all.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are excused.

THE WITNESS: Thank you.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I call Mr.

10 | Rod Moser to the stand please.

11 (WITNESS SWORN)

12

13 ROD MOSER

14 | called as a witness, being first duly sworn,

15 | testified as follows:

16 DIRECT EXAMINATION

17 | By Mr. Margard:

18 Q. And state your name and business

19 address, please.

20 A. My name is Rod Moser. Business

21 address is 180 East Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio

22 | 43215 I believe is the zip.

Q. And by whom are you employed and in

24 | what capacity?

25 A. I am employed by the Public

Utilities Commission of Ohio. My title is Chief of Compliance within the Department of Transportation for the Commission.

Q. And how long have you been performing those duties?

2.1

2.2

- A. Since March of this year.
- Q. Prior to assuming that position at the Public Utilities Commission did you have any experience in motor carrier safety issues?
- A. I did, yes. I was employed by the Ohio State Highway Patrol for nearly 30 years. During that time I had not only just regular enforcement, but supervisory duties in the field of commercial enforcement.
- Q. And do you have specific training to allow you to perform those kind of inspections?
- A. I do have training in North American Standards Parts A and B.
- Q. Thank you. In preparing for your testimony today did you have an opportunity to review the Commission's file with respect to this violation?
  - A. Yes, sir, I did.
- Q. And in the course of that review did
  you have an opportunity to review the notices

that were provided to the Respondent?

- A. Yes, sir.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have
- 4 | marked for purposes of identification as Staff
- 5 | Exhibit No. 2 a Notice of Preliminary
- 6 Determination sent to Mr. Hogan and dated on May
- 7 | 12th of 2017.

1

- 8 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good.
- 9 (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR THE PURPOSE OF
- 10 IDENTIFICATION)
- 11 Q. Mr. Moser, do you have before you a
- 12 | copy of that exhibit?
- 13 A. I do, yes.
- Q. And is this a true and accurate copy
- 15 of the document that is contained in the
- 16 | Commission's file?
- 17 A. Yes, sir.
- 18 Q. And have you reviewed the forfeiture
- 19 | that is proposed in this notice?
- 20 A. I have.
- 21 Q. Now, sir, can you tell me how civil
- 22 forfeitures are calculated in cases such as
- 23 | this?
- A. The different violations are broken
- 25 down into groups depending whether it's an acute

or critical violation, and whether it's equipment or a carrier violation or driver violation.

This one happens to be what is considered a Group 4 violation. That is a violation for which there is no out of service criteria applied, but there is a fine amount associated with it. In this case that fine amount is \$100.

- Q. Sir, are you familiar with something called the Commercial Vehicle Safety Alliance?
  - A. Yes, sir.

2.1

2.2

- Q. What is that?
- A. It's a safety group that has come up with, trying to think of the phrase I want to use, but they categorize offenses and assign levels of danger to them. The more dangerous the offense the more fine that they would impose upon it.
- Q. And the CVSA is how this alliance is known, do they recommend fines or forfeitures for different violations?
- A. Yes, they do.
- Q. And are the forfeitures assessed by the Public Utilities Commission consistent with

those recommended by the CVSA?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

16

17

18

- A. There are close to it. They are not exactly. But, yes, they are consistent.
- Q. And was the forfeiture that you proposed in this case consistent with those routinely recommended by the Staff of the Public Utilities Commission?
  - A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And in your opinion is this forfeiture properly determined and appropriately assessed in this case?
- 12 A. Yes, it is.
- Q. And this is the same for all individuals and all carriers; is that correct?
  - A. Yes. There is no change for anyone.
  - Q. In the course of your inspection of the Commission's file did you also have an opportunity to review Staff Exhibit 1, the inspection report?
- 20 A. I did, yes.
- Q. And is that a true and accurate copy
  of the report that is maintained in the
  Commission's files?
- 24 A. It is.
- Q. And, sir, can you tell me whether in

```
your opinion you know whether the Respondent was provided with all of the notices that he was required to receive?
```

- A. Well, we mailed them to the address that is shown. That is our requirement that we send them out to the last known address. I don't know how he received them or how he got word of this. But, yes, he was provided notice.
- Q. The Commission's file does not reflect that any of those notices were returned?
- 11 A. No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no further questions for this witness.
- ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any questions, Mr. Hogan?
- MR. HOGAN: I do not.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are excused.
- MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no
  further witnesses and no further exhibits and I
  respectfully move the admission of Staff
  Exhibits No. 1 and 2.
- 22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. I
  23 will admit those exhibits into evidence at this
  24 time.
- 25 (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED INTO

\_

22 1 EVIDENCE) 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I would like to 3 ask a question of the Trooper. 4 EXAMINATION 5 By the Attorney Examiner: The inspection notes here, it says 6 "Took it off for a second." Is that a typo 7 there? I see took it for a second. 8 9 Α. Correct. That was a typo. 10 Q. Took it off? 11 A. I did not mean to type that. 12 is a mistake. 13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Mr. 14 Hogan, do you wish to present testimony? 15 MR. HOGAN: Yes, I do. 16 (WITNESS SWORN) 17 18 RONALD HOGAN 19 called as a witness, being first duly sworn, 20 testified as follows: 2.1 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Be seated. Go 22 ahead and present your case in a narrative style 23 what happened on the day of the inspection. 24 MR. HOGAN: The day of the inspection I was traveling eastbound on 25

the Turnpike, 76. And I travel, whenever I drive my seat is always in the lowest position, because I have a one inch purple mat for my back so I don't bounce up and down which let my head above the window probably from the shoulder across.

2.1

On doing so that hoody that I had on, as you referred to earlier, asked the Trooper a question if there was a pad or anything like that on the seat belt to handle the chafing part.

The seat belt I always pull down the whole way, which is you can see on here, and there is a yellow latch, pulled all the way down with as much slack as I can get.

And that switch up and it holds it with all the slack out of it. And laid across my shoulder and I put that hoody across it, because I can't stand the rubbing of the seat belt on my neck.

And actually whenever I was going that way that is exactly what I was doing. And I was going 64 miles an hour because I saw the Trooper in the middle. And I actually waived to her when I went by.

At that time I said I am good to go down the road. I wasn't doing nothing wrong.

And this tri-axle come by. And I saw her pull out, she got him for speeding. So there goes the tri-axle, I am looking to see, waiting for her to come by and looking at the side and I see the lights on.

2.1

So I pulled over. Whenever I pull over and put it in park. Trooper comes up the right side of the truck, to the door. Knocks on the door. Meanwhile I am actually reaching because I see her coming up, figured she was going to come on that side, which it's happened before, not this Trooper, but other Troopers.

I unsnapped my -- actually went to reach over and unlock the door. I couldn't do it. Unsnapped my seat belt and started reaching for my electronic log sheet up there because we just started those things and I had the electronic logs. And there is a sheet there, that they said you have to give those to the Trooper and DOT people if they want to inspect. So, trying to find those. First time I had the electronic books in place.

So, I have that and my driver's

license. When she opens the door, or knocks on the door, I reach over again and I unlock the door, let her open the door.

2.1

She said do you know the reason I pulled you over? I said I do not. She said you didn't have your seat belt on. I explained to her I did have my seat belt on. No, you did not.

So I can't argue this point, I refuse to argue. I have done that in the past with an officer over things and you don't get nowhere to argue with a police officer. Just don't do it.

So I said okay. She said I cannot give you, site you right now for the seat belt, I have to do something else required for pulling you over for the seat belt. So I got to give you an inspection.

At this time we are going to proceed up to the wide spot at Lordstown. And that's when she did the inspection, checked everything out and everything worked well.

And I referred to what happened.

I said I am going to dispute this because I did have my seat belt on. She said, well, you will

```
get something in the mail. And we will go from there.
```

So you have a good day. I signed the papers and that is the way it was. But as far as me having my seat belt on and constitution this \$100 forfeiture, that is what I am disputing my seat belt. As stated in here for having a gray seat belt, it's a black seat belt.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Excuse me. Do you wish to have that marked as an exhibit?

MR. HOGAN: Yes. How do I do that?

13 | How do I mark it as an exhibit?

2.

2.1

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I will do that.

Mark this as Respondent's Exhibit 1. It's a

picture of the inside of your truck cab?

MR. HOGAN: Correct.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And showing the seat and seat belt.

MR. HOGAN: Also showing the latch that holds the seat belt slack. I can understand why she wouldn't maybe have seen it with the seat belt there because of the slack. Always leave it draped over my shoulder and the hoody was across it to keep it from rubbing my

27 1 neck. 2 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Does that 3 conclude your testimony? 4 MR. HOGAN: Yes. 5 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any 6 questions? 7 MR. MARGARD: Some clarifying 8 questions, if I can, Your Honor. 9 CROSS-EXAMINATION 10 By Mr. Margard: 11 I want to try to understand the Ο. 12 slack mechanism, and the adjustment that you 13 made here. 14 Are you telling me that the belt 15 does not go from where it is affixed to the cab 16 directly to the latch; that somehow you adjust 17 that or modify that? 18 I do not modify any of that. 19 Whenever the seat belt is hooked it will always

A. I do not modify any of that.

Whenever the seat belt is hooked it will always pull against you. And these trucks you can actually pull down and flip that switch up to alleviate that tension on you all the time.

And that is what I do. Every stop I get in and out of I pull that down, flip that

yellow switch up. In a vehicle I use a

20

2.1

22

23

24

clothespin. Clothespin works very well for that.

And that way it's in the proper position where it's supposed to be and it's, as far as I know, you are able to do that.

- Q. But it's loose?
- A. Yes. It's loose and drapes across my shoulder. So it's not constantly rubbing on my neck. Summer time I have a hard time. I got to wear a collar a lot of times to do that so it doesn't rub my neck.
- MR. MARGARD: Those are all the questions I have for Respondent. Thank you.
- 14 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have 15 anything else to say?
- MR. HOGAN: No, sir.
- 17 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are excused.
- 18 MR. HOGAN: Thank you.
- 19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is there
- 20 anything further?
- MR. MARGARD: Yes, your Honor. In
  the first instance I have no objection to the
  admission of Respondent's exhibit. He wouldn't
  necessarily know to move it, so I will voice no

25 objection.

1

2.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

```
29
                 But I would like to recall Trooper
 1
 2
     Merz, please.
 3
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very well. I
     haven't marked that, by the way.
 4
 5
                 MR. MARGARD: I am going to ask her
 6
     a question about it.
 7
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are still
     under oath.
 8
9
                 TROOPER MERZ: Yes, sir.
10
11
                   TROOPER LAUREN MERZ
12
     recalled as a witness for rebuttal, being
13
     previously duly sworn, testified as follows
14
                FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION
                 MR. MARGARD: May I approach, Your
15
16
     Honor?
17
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.
18
    By Mr. Margard:
                 Trooper Merz, you have had an
19
            0.
20
     opportunity to review Respondent's Exhibit No.
2.1
     1; correct?
2.2
            A. Correct.
            Q. And to the best of your recollection
23
24
     is that an accurate depiction of the inside of
25
    his cab?
```

A. Yes.

1

2

3

4

8

- Q. Are you acquainted with the mechanisms that creates slack in the seat belt?
  - A. I am, yes.
- Q. Is that a common thing for drivers to use?
- 7 A. It is actually fairly common.
  - Q. Does that change how the seat belt lies across his chest?
- 10 A. It definitely can.
- Q. Would that have caused you not to
- 12 have observed the seat belt?
- A. Only if it were not over his shoulder.
- Q. And he did testify, did he not, did
  you hear him testify that it was over his
  shoulder just under the hood?
- 18 A. Correct.
- Q. So the seat belt would have been across his chest had it be secured; correct?
- A. Correct. Unless he had all
  the slack out, which I have seen, and then it
  just hangs, which defeats the purpose of the
  seat belt.
- Q. Okay. And he didn't indicate to you

that that was the case; did he?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

- A. Not that I recall.
- Q. Now, there was a question of the color of the belt.
  - A. I documented it was dark gray, yes.
  - Q. So his description of it as black is not in your mind different than your description of it as gray?
- A. That looks dark gray to me on the photograph.
- 11 Q. So, that is what you would call the 12 belt looking like?
- 13 A. Correct.
- Q. And in fact the darker color would have created more contrast against a neon hoody; correct?
- 17 A. Correct.
  - Q. And just so the record is clear, at the time that you observed him driving did you have a clear and unobstructed view of his chest where you expected the seat belt to be?
    - A. Yes.
- Q. And in your opinion, in your view,
  he was not in fact wearing a seat belt at the
  time that you observed; is that correct?

A. That's correct.

2 MR. MARGARD: I have nothing

3 | further, your Honor. Thank you.

4 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any

5 | questions?

1

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

MR. HOGAN: I do have a question.

RECROSS-EXAMINATION

By Mr. Hogan:

- Q. In your sight of me driving the truck, if I had that belt attached and all the slack was out of it, and as I had the hoody laying over it so it doesn't rub my neck, and I have my arm on the window actually waiving to you as I go by, how much of my chest would you be able to see whether the seat belt was actually across my chest or not?
  - A. Well, you are assuming that you were waiving from the time I first saw you to the time you went completely by me. But I am sure there was some point you went by me that I was watching you that your arm wasn't up.

But, again, with the contrasting colors I am confident that the shoulder portion of your belt was not covering your chest area.

Q. It's a rather big hood you saw that

I had on that day, oversized, when I pulled off the side and laid it over my shoulder. And you have seen those things which helps prevent that seat belt from rubbing people's neck and stuff like that.

2.1

Do you feel that that would cover up any of my shoulder or part of the belt that you could see the belt?

A. When I look for a seat belt violation I look at the person's chest area. That is where I am looking. And definitely if you are wearing a hood it could cover the shoulder portion. And also I talked to other drivers.

If you have so much slack out of the shoulder portion that it's not covering your chest area, the seat belt is not doing what it's designed to do.

So that is why I check that area. I can't tell from the viewpoint whether it's over your lap, but I can definitely check your shoulder. When any truck goes by and I am looking for the seat belt violation, I am looking at your upper torso area.

And I would not have stopped you if

I had questions as to whether or not there was something covering your chest.

2.1

2.2

- Q. Do you have any testimony as other drivers here today that would state that from other drivers that wouldn't do any good that you mentioned that you had spoken to other drivers, which is hearsay, but that wouldn't do any good as far as having the slack out of the seat belt itself?
- A. No. I don't have any testimony of any other drivers.
  - Q. So that shouldn't be brought into it. I am a volunteer fireman and have seen where seat belts actually hurt an individual instead of helping them.

But, as far as your vision with that picture you see with the seat belt in the low position, I should have taken pictures of it, but there is only probably six inches of my shoulder above the window.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor --

O. And I don't have that with me.

MR. MARGARD: I will move to strike that. That is testimony. If he has a question he is more than welcome to ask a question.

```
35
 1
                 MR. HOGAN: I am sorry.
 2
                 The question, if my shoulder is
            Q.
 3
     above --
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Go ahead.
 4
 5
            Q.
                -- six inches, would you be able to
 6
     see my chest?
 7
            Α.
                I could see six inches of your
 8
     chest.
 9
            Ο.
               Okay. And traveling at 64 miles an
10
     hour, I know how fast I was going, you could
     actually see everything in plain view that it
11
12
     wasn't there, that the seat belt was not there?
13
            Α.
                 If the seat belt wasn't across the
14
     chest where it's supposed to be, yes.
15
                 MR. HOGAN: That is all, your Honor.
16
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Mr. Margard.
17
                 MR. MARGARD: Nothing further.
18
     Thank you.
19
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are excused.
20
     Do you have anything else?
2.1
                 MR. MARGARD: I do not. Thank you.
22
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: All right. I
23
     will admit Respondent's Exhibit 1 into evidence.
24
                 (EXHIBIT ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE)
25
                 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And we will
```

consider this matter submitted on the record. I thank you all for coming. (At 2:00 P.M. the hearing was concluded). CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on September 21, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Michael O. Spencer, Registered Professional Reporter. 

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

10/5/2017 11:18:39 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-1373-TR-CVF

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Ronald Hogan Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on September 21, 2017. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.