BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of the :
Regulation of the :
Purchased Gas Adjustment :

Clauses Contained Within : Case No. 17-210-GA-GCR

the Rate Schedules of :
Glenwood Energy of Oxford,:
Inc. :

In the Matter of the Regulation of the

Uncollectible Expense : Case No. 17-310-GA-UEX

Rider of Glenwood Energy : of Oxford, Inc. :

:

In the Matter of the Percentage of Income

Payment Plan Rider of : Case No. 17-410-GA-PIP

Glenwood Energy of Oxford,:
Inc.:

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

before Ms. Greta See and Ms. Patricia Schabo,
Attorney Examiners, at the Public Utilities
Commission of Ohio, 180 East Broad Street, Room 11-C,
Columbus, Ohio, called at 10:00 a.m. on Tuesday,
August 29, 2017.

- - -

ARMSTRONG & OKEY, INC. 222 East Town Street, Second Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215-5201 (614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

```
2
 1
     APPEARANCES:
 2
            Vorys, Sater, Seymour & Pease, LLP
            By Mr. Steven M. Howard
 3
            52 East Gay Street
            P.O. Box 1008
 4
            Columbus, Ohio 43216-1008
 5
                 On behalf of the Applicant.
 6
            Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General
            William L. Wright, Section Chief
            By Mr. Werner L. Margard, III,
 7
            Assistant Attorney General
 8
            Public Utilities Section
            30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor
 9
            Columbus, Ohio 43215
                 On behalf of the Staff of the PUCO.
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

				3
1		INDEX		J
2				
3	Wit:	ness		Page
4	Roger Sarver			5
5	Direct Examination by Mr. Margard			8
6	.Toi:	nt Exhibit	Identified	Admitted
7	1	Stipulation and Recommendation		12
8	_		J	12
9	Gle:	nwood Exhibit	Identified	Admitted
10		Proof of Publication	6	12
11	_		Ŭ	10
12	Comi	mission-Ordered Exhibit	Identified	Admitted
13	1			
14	Cost Recovery Mechanisms for the Period of 1-1-2015 through			
		12-31-2016, Case		1.0
15 16	2	No. 17-210-GA-GCR	6	12
	۷	Expense Mechanisms for the		
17		Period 1-2015 through 12-2016, Case No. 17-310-GA-UEX	6	12
18	3	Audit of the Percentage of		
19	J	Income Payment Plan Mechanisms		
20		for the Period 1-2015 through 12-2016, Case No. 17-410-GA-PI	P 6	12
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				

4

1 Tuesday Morning Session, 2 August 29, 2017. 3 4 EXAMINER SCHABO: Let's go on the record 5 then. The Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 6 7 has called for hearing at this time and place Case No. 17-210-GA-GCR, 17-310-GA-UEX, and 17-410-GA-PIP 8 9 entitled in the Matter of the Regulation of the Purchased Gas Adjustment Clauses Contained Within the 10

Rate Schedules of Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc.; in the Matter of the Regulation of the Uncollectible

Expense Riders of Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc.;

and in the Matter of the Percentage of Income Payment

15 Plan Rider of Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc.,

16 respectively.

14

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

My name is Patricia Schabo and with me is Greta See and we are the Attorney Examiners assigned by the Commission to hear this case.

I would like to begin by taking appearances of counsel on behalf of the parties.

MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, if it please the Commission, would you have the record reflect the appearance of the law firm of Vorys, Sater, Seymour and Pease, 52 East Gay Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215

Proceedings

by Stephen M. Howard on behalf of Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc. Thank you.

2.1

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, on behalf of the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike DeWine, Ohio Attorney General, William L. Wright, Section Chief of the Public Utilities
Section, by Assistant Attorney General Werner L.

8 Margard, 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, 9 Ohio.

EXAMINER SCHABO: Thank you.

Are there any interested parties or members of the public present who wish to identify themselves for the record?

Please let the record reflect there are no members of the public present.

I understand there is a stipulation?

MR. MARGARD: There is, your Honor. And staff is prepared to present a witness in support of the stipulation. Preliminary to that, however, I have a number of documents that I have premarked prior to the hearing consistent with that stipulation.

First of all, I will note that I have provided the stipulation which I have marked as Joint Exhibit No. 1. I have also marked as

6

Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 1, a report by the staff of the Public Utilities Commission, the final -- Finance Audit of the Gas Cost Recovery Mechanisms for Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc., for the period of January 1, 2015, through December 31, 2016, docketed in this case on June 30 of 2017; as Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 2, a report by the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio constituting the Audit of the Uncollectible Expense Mechanisms of Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc., for the period of January 2015 through December 2016 docketed in -- on June 30 of 2017; and as Commission-Ordered Exhibit No. 3, report by the staff of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio being the Audit of the Percentage of Income Payment Plan Mechanisms for Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc., for the period January 2015 through December 2016 also docketed on June 30 of 2017.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

MR. HOWARD: Your Honor, at this time I would like the Bench to mark as Glenwood Exhibit 1 a two-page document being the proof of publication of the GCR hearing in this matter. Please note that the legal notice was published in a newspaper of general circulation in Butler County on July 9.

On August 24, I filed a motion for

partial waiver to request that the Commission waive that portion of the rule that limited publication to take place no earlier than 30 days prior to the hearing. We filed that on August 24 and served that on the staff. We would ask you, the Bench, today or through the body of the opinion and order that would be issued ultimately in this case to grant that motion for partial waiver. Thank you.

2.1

2.2

Oh, and let me also add that the newspaper notice was made for all customers on July 9. To the extent the stipulation reflects that the notice was done by bill message, we would ask that the stipulation be modified accordingly. Thank you.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, staff has no objection to the motion. Furthermore, staff has no objection to the modification in the stipulation.

EXAMINER SCHABO: Thank you, Mr. Margard. We will go ahead and let the Commission rule on both the motion and the request to modify the stipulation in the body of the upcoming order.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINER SCHABO: And assuming there are no objections to each other's request for marking -- I'm sorry. The exhibits will be marked as requested.

has been marked as Commission-Ordered Exhibits 1, 2,

24

25

and 3?

9

A. Yes, I do.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

14

15

- Q. And are you familiar with those documents?
 - A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. And did you have any involvement in conducting the audits or preparing the reports that are marked as Commission-Ordered Exhibits 1, 2, and 3?
- 9 A. Yes, I was responsible for all these 10 audits.
- Q. Do you have any changes, corrections, modifications of any kind to any of those exhibits today as you testify?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. Thank you. And are they true and accurate to the best of your knowledge and belief?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. Thank you. Do you also have before you a copy of Joint Exhibit 1, the stipulation filed in this case?
- 21 A. Yes, sir.
- Q. And are you familiar with that document as well?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. And have you had occasion to have

discussions with representatives of the company with respect to its contents?

- A. Yes, sir. The stipulation was discussed with members of Glenwood and consensus was reached that the findings in the staff report would be acceptable by the company, and so the stipulation adopted the findings in the staff reports.
 - Q. In their entirety; is that correct?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Thank you. In your opinion, Mr. Sarver, is this settlement a product of serious bargaining among capable and knowledgeable parties?
 - A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Have you experience negotiating such agreements in the past?
- A. Yes.

2.1

- Q. And to the best of your knowledge, have representatives of Glenwood had similar experience?
- A. Yes. Staff has worked with these staff members of Glenwood for approximately the last 10 years.
- Q. Thank you. In your opinion, Mr. Sarver, does this settlement as a package benefit ratepayers and public interest?
- 25 A. Yeah. The purpose of these audits is to

verify the costs that the company incurs to provide the services of the gas cost recovery mechanism, the uncollectible, and the PIPP. Staff in its audits found some minor errors that were adjusted, and the company has accepted those adjustments.

- Q. Thank you. Mr. Sarver, in your opinion, does this settlement violate any important regulatory principles or practices?
 - A. No, sir.

2.1

- Q. Thank you. And as a final matter, you are aware that the company completed notice of this hearing by publication rather than by insert?
 - A. That I became aware of today, yes.
- Q. In your opinion has the public received adequate notice of this hearing?
- A. Yes. A lot of the utilities will function by publishing their notices in newspapers. Some smaller utilities will do it by bill inserts if they have got a very diverse or dispersed service area. But for Glenwood since they are primarily the city of Oxford, all of those customers are in the immediate vicinity, and publication in this form would notice all customers.
 - Q. Satisfactory in staff's opinion?
- 25 A. Yes.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you.

I have no further questions of this

witness, your Honor.

4 EXAMINER SCHABO: Mr. Howard?

5 MR. HOWARD: No questions. Thank you,

6 your Honor.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

2.2

23

24

25

7 EXAMINER SCHABO: Thank you, Mr. Sarver. 8 You may step down.

MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no further witnesses and would respectfully move the admission of Commission-Ordered Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 and would further move for the admission of Joint Exhibit No. 1.

EXAMINER SCHABO: Okay. Mr. Howard?

MR. HOWARD: Yes, your Honor, and

Glenwood would respectfully move the admission into evidence of Glenwood Exhibit 1. I understand that the Bench may prefer to rule upon that motion at the time the opinion and order is issued. Thank you.

EXAMINER SCHABO: The exhibits will all be admitted as offered.

(EXHIBITS ADMITTED INTO EVIDENCE.)

EXAMINER SCHABO: Again, we will let the Commission rule on the actual motion for waiver, but we will admit the Glenwood Exhibit 1 into evidence.

As in the stipulation, we will as -- specifically as to Joint Exhibit 1, the stipulation, it will be admitted subject to the requests that it be modified to reflect the newspaper modification -- the newspaper publication.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you.

MR. HOWARD: Thank you, your Honor.

EXAMINER SCHABO: And just to be clear, the Commission-Ordered Exhibits 1, 2, and 3 are also admitted into the record.

With that unless there's anything else, all right, we are adjourned. Thank you very much.

(Thereupon, at 10:16 a.m., the hearing was concluded.)

2.1

CERTIFICATE I do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the proceedings taken by me in this matter on Tuesday, August 29, 2017, and carefully compared with my original stenographic notes. Karen Sue Gibson, Registered Merit Reporter. (KSG-6417)

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

9/12/2017 11:25:41 AM

in

Case No(s). 17-0210-GA-GCR, 17-0310-GA-UEX, 17-0410-GA-PIP

Summary: Transcript in the matter of the Glenwood Energy of Oxford, Inc. hearing held on 08/29/17 electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Gibson, Karen Sue Mrs.