

FILE

17-334-EL-ATA

To whom It May Concern, Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

A few short months ago, my husband and I bought our first house. The decision to buy was made knowing that although this sort of investment would make sense in the long term, initially, at least, there would be little wiggle room in the budget. With that in mind we have made a concerted effort to save money wherever we can, including our energy costs. However small these efforts may seem, there is comfort knowing that we are able to exercise some control over those costs, by investing in energy-efficient appliances or simply turning off/not turning on appliances we ~~don't~~ don't need to use.

in addition, although we do not currently have children, we do hope to have some in the future. We know that alternative, cleaner energy is the future. It is what we ought to be investing in now if we want to preserve this beautiful world for our children.

For these reasons we find ~~the~~ First Energy's proposal to increase upfront, mandatory residential fees, i.e. "customer charge" (Case number: 17-0334-EL-ATA) to be unacceptable and irresponsible. We plan to continue to take action to oppose this proposal as we are able.

Thank you for your consideration!

Sincerely,

Katherine Carney

This is to certify that the images appearing are an accurate and complete reproduction of a case file document delivered in the regular course of business.

Technician *pe*

Date Processed SEP 06 2017

David T. Branch

2017 SEP -6 AM 9:17
PUCO

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

Re: First Energy rate increases

Date: August 31, 2017

From: Jennifer Carr, Cleveland Heights resident

To whom it may concern:

I am deeply concerned about the announced fixed fee increases by First Energy. Passing on a substantial price hike to consumers is no way to address the inefficiencies and aging structures @ First Energy, and it is unconscionable to raise everyone's rates so high. We already pay a lot for essentials and we are on a limited budget. Find another way to address the problems and inefficiencies!

Maryann Posch
1954 Woodward
Cleve. Hts. Oh
44118

RECEIVED

2017 SEP -6 AM 9:19

PUCO

Aug 28, 2017

Dear A.U.C.O.,

I strongly object to the mandatory proposed customer charge increase. We already pay a lot for our electricity, our household budget is very tight and this seems an unreasonably high increase.

If First Energy is trying to profit from the free market they need to make wise investments and decisions, relying on endless cheap coal then expecting the consumer to subsidize their profits is totally unreasonable and I believe this increase should be denied!

Maryann Posch