

**BEFORE
THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD**

In the Matter of the Application of	:	Case No. 16-0253-GA-BTX
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for a	:	
Certificate of Environmental	:	
Compatibility and Public Need for	:	COLUMBIA TOWNSHIP'S LIST OF
the C314V Central Corridor	:	POTENTIAL ISSUES FOR CROSS-
Pipeline Extension Project	:	EXAMINATION OF WITNESSES
	:	AT THE ADJUDICATORY
	:	HEARING
	:	
	:	

Pursuant to The Ohio Power Siting Board's Entry dated April 13, 2017, David Kubicki, President of the Board of Trustees of Columbia Township, by and through counsel, hereby provides the following list of potential issues about which Columbia Township may be interested in pursuing during cross-examination of witnesses at the adjudicatory hearing set for September 11, 2017:

1. What actions were taken by the Applicant to evaluate the need for the Pipeline to be constructed through Columbia Township?
2. What is the proposed construction schedule for the Pipeline in Columbia Township?
3. Given the cost differences between the Preferred Route and Alternate Route and the Staff Report's recommendation of the Alternate Route, will the Applicant continue advocating for the Preferred Route?
4. Alternate Pipeline routes considered by the Applicant and the criteria used by the Applicant to determine which route to select.
5. How installing the Pipeline along the Preferred and/or Alternate route serves the public interest, convenience, and necessity in Columbia Township?
6. Under what circumstances would the proposed maximum operating pressure be increased from 400 psig?

7. What is the likelihood that the Pipeline's maximum operating pressure will be increased in the future?
8. If such a pressure increase occurs, would that cause the Pipeline to operate at a hoop stress of 20% or more of the Specified Minimum Yield Strength, which would lead to the Pipeline being classified as a Transmission Line rather than a Distribution Line?
9. Will the Pipeline be constructed in accordance with the requirements for Transmission Lines?
10. What safety precautions are being implemented during construction of the Pipeline in Columbia Township?
11. How much construction work will be performed by contractors and subcontractors and what is the process for choosing contractors and subcontractors?
12. How will the Applicant monitor the work performed by contractors and subcontractors to ensure that all safety requirements are followed?
13. Will Columbia Township have any input in the contractors and subcontractors hired?
14. What are the designs for the Pipeline markers along the proposed routes?
15. What are the proposed locations of Pipeline markers along the proposed routes and what is the procedure for determining placement of Pipeline markers?
16. What is the procedure for objecting to placement of Pipeline markers and how will those objections be handled?
17. What is the anticipated aesthetic impact during Pipeline construction in Columbia Township and what is being done to minimize the impact?
18. What is the aesthetic impact of permanent changes to the landscape in Columbia Township and what is being done to minimize the impact?
19. Potential issues with homeowners along the proposed routes:
 - a. What are the daytime hours proposed for construction during the week?

- b. What are the exceptions to the proposed construction hours that would extend those times?
 - c. How much notice will be provided to homeowners prior to construction?
 - d. How will that notice to homeowners be provided?
 - e. How will you obtain landowner approval for temporary access routes?
 - f. What is the process for determining whether existing vegetation or structures are incompatible with Pipeline maintenance?
 - g. What are the limitations on planting incompatible vegetation and erecting incompatible structures in the future?
 - h. Any permanent impacts to residential structures in Columbia Township?
20. What is the potential impact to property values due to the existence of the Pipeline in Columbia Township?
21. What is the potential impact on public services, such as traffic, during Pipeline construction?
22. How will construction hours be adjusted to avoid work taking place during peak traffic hours?
23. What type of traffic management will be necessary during the Pipeline installation phase?
24. How did the Applicant determine that the proposed Pipeline's preferred and alternate routes represent the minimum adverse environmental impact in Columbia Township, considering the state of available technology and the nature of the economics of various alternatives?
25. What is the procedure for determining laydown areas for storing excavation equipment and materials during Pipeline construction?
26. What is the procedure for disputing a laydown area for storing equipment and materials during Pipeline construction?
27. Are there any known developments or plans along the Pipeline route in Columbia Township that would be incompatible with the Pipeline?
28. How will the placement of the Pipeline affect Columbia Township's ability to perform future construction near the Pipeline, including all limitations on future construction?

29. What are the permanent restrictions on the future use of the Pipeline right-of-way in Columbia Township?
30. How will landowners be compensated for restrictions on future use of property?
31. If construction crosses concrete driveways or parking areas, will the Applicant replace and repave all concrete in order to alleviate cracking and mismatched concrete?
32. What is the timeframe for returning property to its original condition after construction is complete?
33. What is the procedure if Columbia Township or any resident of Columbia Township is unsatisfied with the remedial work performed after construction? How will that be resolved?
34. What type of public information program will be developed that will inform affected property owners and local government officials of the nature of the project, specific contact information of personnel familiar with the project, the proposed timeframe for project construction, and a schedule for restoration activities?
35. Will there be a complaint resolution procedure to address public grievances resulting from project construction and operation of the Pipeline?
36. After construction is complete, when will the Pipeline begin operations?
37. Who is responsible for monitoring properties for settling, cracking, and/or sinking during operation of the Pipeline?
38. What is the procedure if settling, cracking, and/or sinking occurs during operation of the Pipeline?
39. What safety precautions are being implemented to prevent explosions or leaks during operation of the Pipeline?
40. What is the potential size, scale, and geographic impact of an explosion or leak in Columbia Township involving the Pipeline?
41. What safety precautions are being implemented to prevent excavators from striking or exposing the Pipeline in the future?

42. What is the response plan in the event of an emergency, such as a leak, explosion, or other catastrophic event, in Columbia Township?
43. What is the required evacuation zone in the event of a leak or other catastrophic event in Columbia Township?
44. What steps has the Applicant taken to coordinate its emergency response plan with the Columbia Township Police Department, Fire Department, and Public Works Department?
45. Will personnel from Columbia Township need any specialized training in order to respond under the Applicant's emergency response plan? If so, what is the nature of such training?
46. How will a leak, explosion, or other catastrophic event involving the Pipeline affect service delivery for Columbia Township residents?
47. In the event of an explosion, leak, or other damage involving the Pipeline, what is the time required to repair and/or replace the damaged Pipeline?
48. The Applicant's ability to address Columbia Township's concerns regarding the Pipeline's conflict with future sidewalk and roadway improvements in Columbia Township.
49. The Applicant's ability to address Columbia Township's concerns regarding the increased safety risks resulting from the Pipeline's proximity to Seven Hills School and Seven Hills Subdivision.
50. The Applicant's ability to address Columbia Township's concerns regarding the increased safety risks to vehicles traveling on the I-71 Red Bank Road access ramp as a result of the Pipeline's close proximity.
51. How much of the natural gas that will run through the Pipeline will be used to serve end users in Ohio verses end users outside of Ohio?
52. The Applicant's compliance with the conditions and recommendations contained within the Staff Report of Investigation.
53. The Applicant's compliance with Ohio Revised Code § 4906.10 and any other applicable law.

54. The Applicant's objections and responses to discovery requests from any party who intervened in this proceeding.
55. Any issues raised in any other party's list of potential issues for cross-examination of witnesses.
56. Any part of filed testimony, including exhibits, submitted by any party to this proceeding.
57. The recommended conditions of the May 31, 2017 Staff Report of Investigation, as well as corresponding sections of said Staff Report.
58. Whether the Applicant has established a clear need for the Pipeline.
59. The Applicant's plans for replacement, repair, or improvement of the A-Line.
60. The flow of gas through the A-Line and the system as a whole, as it relates to the need for the new Pipeline.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Bryan E. Pacheco
Bryan E. Pacheco (0068189)
Mark G. Arnzen, Jr. (0081394)
DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP
255 East Fifth Street, Suite 1900
Cincinnati, Ohio 45202
Telephone: (513) 977-8200
Facsimile: (513) 977-8141
E-mail: bryan.pacheco@dinsmore.com
E-mail: mark.arnzen@dinsmore.com

**Attorneys for Columbia Township and
David Kubicki, President of the Board of
Trustees of Columbia Township**

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on all parties who have electronically subscribed to this case through the Docketing Information System of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and the OPSB on this 21st day of August, 2017. The docketing division's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the following parties:

William Wright
Section Chief
Robert Eubanks
Assistant Attorney General
Steven Beeler
Assistant Attorney General
Public Utilities Section
30 East Broad St., 6th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
William.wright@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
Roberteubanks@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
steven.beeler@ohioattorneygeneral.gov
Counsel for Staff of the Commission

James Yskamp
Emily A. Collins
Fair Shake Environmental Legal
Services
159 S. Main Street, Suite 1030
Akron, OH 44308
jyskamp@fairshake-els.org
ecollins@fairshake-els.org
**Counsel for NOPE —
Neighbors Opposed to Pipeline
Extension, LLC**

Brian W. Fox
Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP
312 Walnut St. Suite 1800
Cincinnati, OH 45202
bfbx@gayelon.law
**Counsel for Mayor Melisa Adrien,
City of Madeira**

Gregory G. Laux
Attorney at Law
3134 Schubert Avenue
Cincinnati, Ohio 45213
glaux2001@gmail.com
**Counsel for Pleasant Ridge
Community Council**

James F. Lang
Steven D. Lesser
Mark T. Keaney
Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP
The Calfee Building
1405 East Sixth Street
Cleveland, OH 44114
j.lang@calfee.com
slesser@calfee.com
mkeaney@calfee.com
**Counsel for the City of Cincinnati and for
the Board of County Commissioners
of Hamilton County, Ohio**

Timothy M. Burke
Micah E. Kamrass
Manley Burke, LPA
225 W. Court Street Cincinnati,
OH 45202
tburke@manleyburke.com
mkamrass@manleyburke.com
Counsel for the Village of Evendale

Jeanne W. Kingery
Amy B. Spiller
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
155 E. Broad Street, 20th Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Jeanne.kinger_y(4duke-energy.com
Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com Counsel
for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Kevin K. Frank
Wood & Lamping LLP 600 Vine Street,
Suite 2500 Cincinnati, OH 45202-2491
kkfrankAwoodlamping.com Counsel
for Amberley Village and Scot
Lahrmer, Village Manager

Dylan F. Borchers
Devin D. Parram
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
dborchers@brickencorn
dparrarnabricker.com
Counsel for The Jewish Hospital —
Mercy Health

Roger E. Friedmann
Michael J. Friedmann
Jay R. Wampler
Assistant Prosecuting Attorneys
Suite 4000
230 E. Ninth Street
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Roger.friedmann@hcpros.org
Michael.friedmann@hcpros.org
Jay.wampler@hcpros.org
Counsel for Board of County
Commissioners of Hamilton County,
Ohio

Terrence M. Donnellon
Solicitor, The Village of Golf Manor,
Ohio
Robert T. Butler
Donnellon, Donnellon & Miller LPA 9079
Montgomery Road
Cincinnati, OH 45242
tmd@donnellonlaw.com
Counsel for The Village of Golf Manor,
Ohio and Mayor Ron Hirth

David T. Stevenson
Law Director
City of Reading
1000 Market St.
Reading, OH 45215
dstevenson@cincisr.com
Counsel for the City of Reading

Andrew J. Helmes
Law Director
City of Deer Park
7777 Blue Ash Road
Deer Park, OH 45236
ahelmes@deerpark-oh.goy
Counsel for Mayor John Donnellon
and the City of Deer Park, Ohio

Joseph Olikier
Counsel of Record
6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, OH 43016
joliker@igsenergy.com
Counsel for IGS Energy

Richard B. Tranter
Kevin M. Detroy
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP
255 East Fifth Street, Suite 1900
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Richard.tranter@dinsmore.com
Kevin.detroy@dinsmore.com
**Counsel for BRE DDR Crocodile
Sycamore Square LLC
Counsel for Kenwood Mall, LLC**

PUCO Docketing Staff
180 E. Broad St., 11th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
docketing@puco.ohio.gov
Matthew.butler@puco.ohio.gov
Patrick.donlon@puco.ohio.gov
Robert.hooderbaum@puco.ohio.gov
Vesta.miller@puco.ohio.gov
Donielle.hunter@puco.ohio.gov
Debra.hight@puco.ohio.gov
Felicia.burdett@puco.ohio.gov

Kent Bucciere
The Bucciere Firm
10149 Kenwood Rd
Blue Ash, OH 45242
Kent.bueciere@gmail.com
**Counsel for 10149 LLC
Counsel for RLB Inc.
Counsel for Coprop Inc.**

R. Douglas Miller
Donnellon Donnellon & Miller
9079 Montgomery Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Telephone: (513) 891-7087
Facsimile: (513) 891-7125
**E- mail: miller@donnellonlaw.com
Counsel for Sycamore Township**

R. Douglas Miller
Donnellon Donnellon & Miller
9079 Montgomery Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Telephone: (513) 891-7087
Facsimile: (513) 891-7125
E- mail:miller@donnellonlaw.com
Counsel for Sycamore Township

The following parties have not been served via the email notice and have been served by regular U.S. Mail on the same date indicated above:

Anthony and Joan Boiano
9528 Bluewing Terrace
Blue Ash, OH 45241

Thomas A. and Patricia H. Kreitingner
6150 St. Regis Dr.
Cincinnati, OH 45236

s/ Mark G. Arnzen, Jr.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on

8/21/2017 2:39:40 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0253-GA-BTX

Summary: Testimony Columbia Township's List of Potential Issues For Cross-Examination of Witnesses at the Adjudicatory Hearing electronically filed by Mr. Mark G Arnzen on behalf of Columbia Township