## **BEFORE** THE OHIO POWER SITING BOARD

In the Matter of the Application of

Duke Energy Ohio, Inc., for a Certificate of Environmental

Compatibility and Public Need for

the C314V Central Corridor

**Pipeline Extension Project** 

Case No. 16-0253-GA-BTX

CITY OF BLUE ASH, OHIO'S LIST

OF POTENTIAL ISSUES FOR

**CROSS-EXAMINATION OF** WITNESSES AT THE

ADJUDICATORY HEARING

Pursuant to The Ohio Power Siting Board's Entry dated April 13, 2017, David Waltz, City Manager for the City of Blue Ash, Ohio ("Blue Ash"), by and through counsel, hereby provides the following list of potential issues about which Blue Ash may be interested in pursuing during cross-examination of witnesses at the adjudicatory hearing set for September 11, 2017:

- 1. What actions were taken by the Applicant to evaluate the need for the Pipeline to be constructed through Blue Ash?
- 2. What is the proposed construction schedule for the Pipeline in Blue Ash?
- 3. Given the cost differences between the Preferred Route and Alternate Route and the Staff Report's recommendation of the Alternate Route, will the Applicant continue advocating for the Preferred Route?
- 4. Alternate Pipeline routes considered by the Applicant and the criteria used by the Applicant to determine which route to select.
- 5. How installing the Pipeline along the Preferred and/or Alternate route serves the public interest, convenience, and necessity in Blue Ash?

- 6. Under what circumstances would the proposed maximum operating pressure be increased from 400 psig?
- 7. What is the likelihood that the Pipeline's maximum operating pressure will be increased in the future?
- 8. If such a pressure increase occurs, would that cause the Pipeline to operate at a hoop stress of 20% or more of the Specified Minimum Yield Strength, which would lead to the Pipeline being classified as a Transmission Line rather than a Distribution Line?
- 9. Will the Pipeline be constructed in accordance with the requirements for Transmission Lines?
- 10. What safety precautions are being implemented during construction of the Pipeline in Blue Ash?
- 11. How much construction work will be performed by contractors and subcontractors and what is the process for choosing contractors and subcontractors?
- 12. How will the Applicant monitor the work performed by contractors and subcontractors to ensure that all safety requirements are followed?
- 13. Will Blue Ash have any input in the contractors and subcontractors hired?
- 14. What are the designs for the Pipeline markers along the proposed routes?
- 15. What are the proposed locations of Pipeline markers along the proposed routes and what is the procedure for determining placement of Pipeline markers?
- 16. What is the procedure for objecting to placement of Pipeline markers and how will those objections be handled?
- 17. What is the anticipated aesthetic impact during Pipeline construction in Blue Ash and what is being done to minimize the impact?

- 18. What is the aesthetic impact of permanent changes to the landscape in Blue Ash and what is being done to minimize the impact?
- 19. Potential issues with homeowners along the proposed routes:
  - a. What are the daytime hours proposed for construction during the week?
  - b. What are the exceptions to the proposed construction hours that would extend those times?
  - c. How much notice will be provided to homeowners prior to construction?
  - d. How will that notice to homeowners be provided?
  - e. How will you obtain landowner approval for temporary access routes?
  - f. What is the process for determining whether existing vegetation or structures are incompatible with Pipeline maintenance?
  - g. What are the limitations on planting incompatible vegetation and erecting incompatible structures in the future?
  - h. Any permanent impacts to residential structures in Blue Ash?
- 20. What is the potential impact to property values due to the existence of the Pipeline in Blue Ash?
- 21. What is the potential impact on public services, such as traffic, during Pipeline construction?
- 22. How will construction hours be adjusted to avoid work taking place during peak traffic hours?
- 23. What type of traffic management will be necessary during the Pipeline installation phase?
- 24. How did the Applicant determine that the proposed Pipeline's Preferred and Alternate routes represent the minimum adverse environmental impact in Blue Ash, considering the state of available technology and the nature of the economics of various alternatives?
- 25. What is the procedure for determining laydown areas for storing excavation equipment and materials during Pipeline construction?
- 26. What is the procedure for disputing a laydown area for storing equipment and materials during Pipeline construction?

- 27. Are there any known developments or plans along the Pipeline route in Blue Ash that would be incompatible with the Pipeline?
- 28. How will the placement of the Pipeline affect Blue Ash's ability to perform future construction near the Pipeline, including all limitations on future construction?
- 29. What are the permanent restrictions on the future use of the Pipeline right-of-way in Blue Ash?
- 30. How will landowners be compensated for restrictions on future use of property?
- 31. What is the expected impact on Summit Park and the Blue Ash Sports Center as a result of Pipeline construction?
- 32. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the conflict between the Pipeline and future roadway improvements to Pfeiffer Road between Kenwood Road and the I-71 Interchange?
- 33. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the conflict between the Pipeline and the Malsbary Road Extension construction project?
- 34. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Preferred Route's effect on the future sale of residual Malsbary property?
- 35. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Preferred Route's conflict with streetscape improvements installed in Downtown Blue Ash in 2016?
- 36. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Preferred Route's alignment with economically disadvantaged areas of Blue Ash?
- 37. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Pipeline's potential impact on the integrity of Kenridge Lake Dam?
- 38. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the storm water culvert near Ursuline Academy on the south side of Pfeiffer Road?

- 39. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the storm water channel located behind 10250 Alliance Road?
- 40. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Pipeline's impact on the LSI detention basin located at 10070 Alliance Road?
- 41. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the storm water culvert under Keystone Plaza located at 9737 Kenwood Road?
- 42. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Alternate Route's conflict with the future roadway improvements to Glendale-Milford Road between Plainfield Road and Reed Hartman Highway?
- 43. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Alternate Route's conflict with future sidewalk improvements to Reed Hartman Highway north of Cornell Road?
- 44. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Alternate Route's conflict with existing sidewalk and retaining walls located on the west side of Reed Hartman Highway south of Cornell Road?
- 45. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the Alternate Route's conflict with the City of Blue Ash Sports Center double barrel culvert which connects to the I-275 drainage system?
- 46. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the utility conflicts along the east side of Reed Hartman Highway from Osborne Boulevard to Creek Road which involve multiple communications providers?
- 47. The Applicant's ability to address Blue Ash's concerns regarding the storm water culvert (72-inch) at Reed Hartman Highway and Glendale-Milford Road?
- 48.If construction crosses concrete driveways or parking areas, will the Applicant replace and repave all concrete in order to alleviate cracking and mismatched concrete?
- 49. What is the timeframe for returning property to its original condition after construction is complete?

- 50. What is the procedure if Blue Ash or any resident of Blue Ash is unsatisfied with the remedial work performed after construction? How will that be resolved?
- 51. What type of public information program will be developed that will inform affected property owners and local government officials of the nature of the project, specific contact information of personnel familiar with the project, the proposed timeframe for project construction, and a schedule for restoration activities?
- 52. Will there be a complaint resolution procedure to address public grievances resulting from project construction and operation of the Pipeline?
- 53. After construction is complete, when will the Pipeline begin operations?
- 54. Who is responsible for monitoring properties for settling, cracking, and/or sinking during operation of the Pipeline?
- 55. What is the procedure if settling, cracking, and/or sinking occurs during operation of the Pipeline?
- 56. What safety precautions are being implemented to prevent explosions or leaks during operation of the Pipeline?
- 57. What is the potential size, scale, and geographic impact of an explosion or leak in Blue Ash involving the Pipeline?
- 58. What safety precautions are being implemented to prevent excavators from striking or exposing the Pipeline in the future?
- 59. What is the response plan in the event of an emergency, such as a leak, explosion, or other catastrophic event, in Blue Ash?
- 60. What is the required evacuation zone in the event of a leak or other catastrophic event in Blue Ash?

- 61. What steps has the Applicant taken to coordinate its emergency response plan with the City of Blue Ash Police Department, Fire Department, and Public Works Department?
- 62. Will personnel from the City of Blue Ash need any specialized training in order to respond under the Applicant's emergency response plan? If so, what is the nature of such training?
- 63. How will a leak, explosion, or other catastrophic event involving the Pipeline affect service delivery for Blue Ash residents?
- 64. In the event of an explosion, leak, or other damage involving the Pipeline, what is the time required to repair and/or replace the damaged Pipeline?
- 65. How much of the natural gas that will run through the Pipeline will be used to serve end users in Ohio verses end users outside of Ohio?
- 66. The Applicant's compliance with the conditions and recommendations contained within the Staff Report of Investigation.
- 67. The Applicant's compliance with Ohio Revised Code § 4906.10 and any other applicable law.
- 68. The Applicant's objections and responses to discovery requests from any party who intervened in this proceeding.
- 69. Any issues raised in any other party's list of potential issues for cross-examination of witnesses.
- 70. Any part of filed testimony, including exhibits, submitted by any party to this proceeding.
- 71. The recommended conditions of the May 31, 2017 Staff Report of Investigation, as well as corresponding sections of said Staff Report.
- 72. Whether the Applicant has established a clear need for the Pipeline.
- 73. The Applicant's plans for replacement, repair, or improvement of the A-Line.

74. The flow of gas through the A-Line and the system as a whole, as it relates to the need for the new Pipeline.

Respectfully submitted,

s/ Bryan E. Pacheco

Bryan E. Pacheco (0068189) Mark G. Arnzen, Jr. (0081394) DINSMORE & SHOHL LLP

255 East Fifth Street, Suite 1900

Cincinnati, Ohio 45202 Telephone: (513) 977-8200

Facsimile: (513) 977-8141

E-mail: <u>bryan.pacheco@dinsmore.com</u> E-mail: <u>bryan.pacheco@dinsmore.com</u>

Attorneys for City Manager David Waltz and the City of Blue Ash, Ohio

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a copy of the foregoing was served on all parties who have electronically subscribed to this case through the Docketing Information System of the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio and the OPSB on this 21st day of August, 2017. The docketing division's e-filing system will electronically serve notice of the filing of this document on the following parties:

William Wright Section Chief Robert Eubanks Assistant Attorney General Steven Beeler Assistant Attorney General **Public Utilities Section** 30 East Broad St., 6th Floor Columbus, Ohio 43215 William.wrightohioattorneygeneral.Gov Roberteubanks@ohioattorneygeneral.gov steven.beeler@ohioattomeygeneral.gov

## Counsel for Staff of the Commission

James Yskamp Emily A. Collins Fair Shake Environmental Legal Services 159 S. Main Street, Suite 1030 Akron, OH 44308 jyskamp@fairshake-els.org ecollins@fairshake-els.org Counsel for NOPE — **Neighbors Opposed to Pipeline** Extension, LLC

Brian W. Fox Graydon Head & Ritchey LLP 312 Walnut St. Suite 1800 Cincinnati, OH 45202 bfbx@gayelon.law Counsel for Mayor Melisa Adrien, City of Madeira

Gregory G. Laux Attorney at Law 3134 Schubert Avenue Cincinnati, Ohio 45213 glaux2001@gmail.com Counsel for Pleasant Ridge **Community Council** 

James F. Lang Steven D. Lesser Mark T. Keaney Calfee, Halter & Griswold LLP The Calfee Building 1405 East Sixth Street Cleveland, OH 44114 i lang@c a Ifee.co slesser@calfee.com mkeaneya4calfee.corri Counsel for the City of Cincinnati and for the Board of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio

Timothy M. Burke Micah E. Kamrass Manley Burke, LPA 225 W. Court Street Cincinnati, OH 45202 tburke@manleyburke.com mkarnrassgmanleyburke.com Counsel for the Village of Evendale Jeanne W. Kingery
Amy B. Spiller
Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.
155 E. Broad Street, 20<sup>th</sup> Floor
Columbus, Ohio 43215
Jeanne kinger, y/4duke-energy

<u>Jeanne.kinger y(4duke-energy.com</u> Amy.spiller@duke-energy.com Counsel

for Duke Energy Ohio, Inc.

Kevin K. Frank
Wood & Lamping LLP 600 Vine Street,
Suite 2500 Cincinnati, OH 45202-2491
kkfrankAwoodlamping.com Counsel
for Amberley Village and Scot
Lahrmer, Village Manager

Dylan F. Borchers
Devin D. Parram
Bricker & Eckler LLP
100 South Third Street
Columbus, OH 43215-4291
dborchers@brickencorn
dparrarnabricker.corn
Counsel for The Jewish Hospital —
Mercy Health

Roger E. Friedmann Michael J. Friedmann Jay R. Wampler Assistant Prosecuting Attorneys Suite 4000 230 E. Ninth Street Cincinnati, OH 45202

Roger.friedmann@hcpros.org
Michael.friedmann@hcpros.org

<u>Jay.warnpler@hcpros.org</u> Counsel for Board of County Commissioners of Hamilton County, Ohio

Terrence M. Donnellon
Solicitor, The Village of Golf Manor,
Ohio
Robert T. Butler
Donnellon, Donnellon & Miller LPA 9079
Montgomery Road
Cincinnati, OH 45242
tmd@donnellonlaw.corn
Counsel for The Village of Golf Manor,
Ohio and Mayor Ron Hirth

David T. Stevenson
Law Director
City of Reading
1000 Market St.
Reading, OH 45215
dstevenson@cincisr.corn
Counsel for the City of Reading

Andrew J. Helmes
Law Director
City of Deer Park
7777 Blue Ash Road
Deer Park, OH 45236
ahelmes@deerpark-oh.goy
Counsel for Mayor John Donnellon
and the City of Deer Park, Ohio

Joseph Oliker Counsel of Record 6100 Emerald Parkway Dublin, OH 43016 joliker@igsenergy.com Counsel for IGS Energy

Richard B. Tranter
Kevin M. Detroy
Dinsmore & Shohl LLP
255 East Fifth Street, Suite 1900
Cincinnati, OH 45202
Richard.tranter@dinsmore.com
Kevin.detroy@dinsmore.com
Counsel for BRE DDR Crocodile
Sycamore Square LLC
Counsel for Kenwood Mall, LLC

PUCO Docketing Staff
180 E. Broad St., 11th Floor
Columbus, OH 43215
docketing@puco.ohio.gov
Matthew.butler@puco.ohio.gov
Patrick.donlon@puco.ohio.gov
Robert.hooderbaum(@puco.ohio.gov
Vesta.miller@puco.ohio.gov
Donielle.hunter@puco.ohio.gov
Debra.hight@puco.ohio.gov
Felicia.burdett@puco.ohio.gov

Kent Bucciere
The Bucciere Firm
10149 Kenwood Rd
Blue Ash, OH 45242
Kent.bucciere@gmail.com
Counsel for 10149 LLC
Counsel for RLB Inc.
Counsel for Coprop Inc.

R. Douglas Miller
Donnellon Donnellon & Miller
9079 Montgomery Road
Cincinnati, Ohio 45242
Telephone: (513) 891-7087
Facsimile: (513) 891-7125

E- mail:miller@donnellonlaw.com Counsel for Sycamore Township R. Douglas Miller Donnellon Donnellon & Miller 9079 Montgomery Road Cincinnati, Ohio 45242 Telephone: (513) 891-7087

Facsimile: (513) 891-7125

E- mail:miller@donnellonlaw.com Counsel for Sycamore Township The following parties have not been served via the email notice and have been served by regular U.S. Mail on the same date indicated above:

Anthony and Joan Boiano 9528 Bluewing Terrace Blue Ash, OH 45241

Thomas A. and Patricia H. Kreitinger 6150 St. Regis Dr. Cincinnati, OH 45236

s/ Mark G. Arnzen, Jr.

This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on** 

8/21/2017 2:03:07 PM

in

Case No(s). 16-0253-GA-BTX

Summary: Testimony City of Blue Ash, Ohio's List of Potential Issues For Cross-Examination of Witnesses at the Adjudicatory Hearing electronically filed by Mr. Mark G Arnzen on behalf of City of Blue Ash, Ohio