FILF

July 27, 2017

017 AUG -7 PH 1:50

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215 AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSC

# Dear PUCO:

I am writing in regards to your proposal to increase upfront, mandatory residential fixed fees. As a family of four on a monthly budget, our energy bills are already one of the highest monthly expenditures we incur. We vehemently oppose these rising prices and increasingly support renewable, sustainable energy sources.

With rising energy costs, our family would have less to invest in energy saving upgrades and take away our ability to make these kinds of investments. We hope that you will hear our opposition and take our concerns into account before raising prices.

Sincerely,

Scott Bentley 7702 Tullymore Drive Dublin, OH 43016 **Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

July 27, 2017

SUBJECT: AEP Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO

PUCO,

I am writing to you regarding AEP Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO.

As a life time Columbus resident and AEP customer, I am concerned about the proposal to significantly increase the customer charge on my bill over the next two years. From my calculations, this would increase my yearly bill by \$120.00 in 2018.

As a family with multiple children in College, this charge, although seemingly small, becomes a factor in the budget that impacts the ability to participate and fund family activities but also to make investments in technologies that drive efficiencies and energy savings.

I would ask that the PUCO spend its resources focused on driving solutions and policies for sustainable and clean energy that over the long term will drive energy savings.

Sincerely,

7618 Tullymore Drive Dublin, Ohio 43016

AEP:16-1852-EL-SSO Dear Senctor, I can writing today to mooninge you to support legislation that moves away from Coal i nucleu plants i invent ir clear energy. Olive should bla llada in this effort Do we can have a heatly Invironment for our dilda Thank you Kaché Buara 7743 windson Dr. Dablin OH 43016

# Public Utility commission staff,

The US is dependent enough on Coal as is, without paying more just to bail out companies that remain obependant on non-renewable energy. AEP case number 16-1852-EL-850, that which enables this behavior is a step in the wrong clirection. Natural gas and renewable energy is ready to go whenever, they've just refused to invest money in it so they don't have to make a change. Don't allow energy companies to completely unnecessarily make life harder for those that struggle to make their paychecks cover the absord charges for maintaining a livable lifestyle. Big energy has the options available. Don't let then make one that punishes us all.

Rachel Hought

6403 Fayborough Ct Dublin, OH COMB 43016 I think we should reduce fossil, finels because Nowaday speople use alot of cals and motor bikes and that Polletingmost of the airso redal bike sarent really common. - Noor Dublin, OH Case #16-1852-EL-550

July 27, 2017

With regards to AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO;

AEP should not be able to charge "customer charges." We must speak out against these fixed rate charges. We should be working to conserve energy and efficiently use renewable energy.

Thanks.

Shulda family 7653 Contey in Dubun, OH 4304

We have four people living in our house and I would say we all have a comfortable lifestyle, but having extra money would obviously be beneficial for everyone. Since we are puting So much money aside to pay for this bill, it's narder to enjoy the little third in life lim eating out randomly or buying something I want My parents come nome from such a long day at work, often exhasted and they are working to better my sister and I's future. Having a sister in writinge, and the fact that I will be attending coilege in a few years, also gets taken into luge consideration as My family saves money, and it would be nice to know that their hard earned money is Oping toward somethy with while Srikantan AED case# 16-1852-EL-SSO 6741 Monticello La Dublin OH 43016

	we focus time and funnel some	<del></del>
	money toward clean, renewable energy.	
	Sincerely,	
	Pratribha	.\
····	60 Bucks	
	AEP case # 16-1852-EL-SSO	٠
		•
·	6741 Monticello Ln	<u>.</u>
·	Oublin OH 43016	<u>´</u>
		. 、 <u>-</u> _
		]
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		/
<del> </del>		

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

RE: AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,

I recently was made aware through the Ohio Citizen Action that AEP is planning to increase my family's energy bill about 119%. Please reconsider your decision as it will make our live really difficult. I am disappointed that you would raise energy prices without informing the users and without providing any significant improvement in the quality of services in return.

Also please be aware that I support clean energy choices and that big fixed fees are making it difficult for people like me to make choices. Please make clean energy choices more affordable.

Thank you.

MC Maxine Chong

6773 Monticello Un Oublin OH 43016

6934 ROVINWOOD CT OUBLIN OH 49016 KEP) HELP aux ENVIRONMENT PLEASE. NO NEW FEES AND NO OVER CHARGING OUR BILL. OCOTTY CITYLON

NEW PORT AFF UNSE #

NE ANTIN-1852-EL-550

6934 ROVINNOUD 9 a eP, DUBLIN OH (3016 aud picase don't Power 13

> WE SIPPORT AEP COSE # 16-1852-EL-550

AEP case number: 16-1852-EL-SSO

Su Su Su Angeles Dr. Dublin, OH 43016

6338 Angeles Dr. Dublin, OH 43016

I don't want to see my fixed fee

merease.

thomks.

رس

16-1852-EL-SSO Julie Jimenez 6290 Mantes DR. Dublin, Ob. 43016

an extremely disturbed by the fact that my utility bill will increase so drawatively. I am retired and living on a fixed income. I am struggling just to pay health care bills, Please do not raise utility bills.

July 27, 2017

Kelly Dufour 6420 Phoenix Park Dr. Dublin, OH 43016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Str. Columbus, OH 43215

AEP Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear PUCO Representatives,

I'm writing to express concern and disappoint over AEP's proposal to drastically increase their customers' fixed fee charges to compensate for outdated energy acquisition and dependence.

As an AEP consumer who actively participates in energy efficiency and conservation, it's personally defeating to work to conserve my residential energy and pay more because conservation is becoming more the norm than the exception. Rather than rewarding consumers for decreasing dependence, we're being taken advantage of in a monopolistic system.

As a parent of children ages 11 and 14, we're work to instill value in conserving our resources through habit rather than acquiesce to "easy" wasteful attitude sometimes pervasive in society. This proposed increase is really annoying, philosophically, for our family – we're a fortunate one that can afford to absorb this ridiculous increase. However, I recognize many others across the state are not as fortunate and would consider this a severe hardship to their livelihood. My retired parents, on a fixed income, are prime examples.

While I haven't looked into this issue in detail yet, I look forward to watching this process unfold in the hopes that the PUCO is pressured not only by the energy giants but by the consumers for which the commission serves.

With a mission of assuring all residential and business consumers access to adequate, safe and reliable utility services at **fair prices**, I'm confident you'll keep AEP in its place in the competitive market.

Let's begin a legacy of embracing new technology and energy sources by actively refusing to subsidize outdated business practices.

Thanks for your thoughtful consideration on behalf of myself and my family.

Sincerely,

Kelly Dufour

July 27, 2017

Dottie Rule 8618 Larkspur Lane Macedonia, OH 44056

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Str. Columbus, OH 43215

AEP Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear PUCO Representatives,

I'm upset about AEP's proposal to increase our fixed charges. This is not right!

My husband and I have worked hard all our lives and have finally retired. Living now on fixed income, we're starting to feel how tight a budget can be each month. Paying more for AEP's lack of foresight is frustrating. I would like to see customers be rewarding for being loyal and on-time payers. Not be forced to pay more just for simply having access to their source.

I'm counting on this commission to help protect us and all the other retired and low income consumers who rely on energy but aren't the ones using it like crazy.

Thank you for your consideration.

A) other lule

Sincerely,

6934 ROVINWOOD CT DUBLIN OH 43016 Dear Commission, Please do not increase power costs and please look into alternate engy sources that unld reduce overall costs and environmental concerns, as well as benefit our community. Addintional Fees and costs make it difficult for all families to budget primerly; never fees understanding what, actually represent. please be resposible and #16-1852-thoughtful regarding case #16-1852hu a like

TETE (RETAINLESS) CT Dissip of 130/6. NOCE ABB LANGE EN LE LA COLOR DE LA COL Med January for the Man ANG NUNCONTE NOTON ( ) MOTON ( AUDURUUS TOEBUU PHANGU SOUSIO PRACONCIA TO MARIONIA OSC. Lamalas antestalmentes antestalmentes antestalmentes antestalmentes antestalmentes antestalmentes antestalment TUNION NE UN MANAGEL IN 9 1/9 as bevare to their surgery will, Kanadilahudi kanasag 407 d UNIL TUNE TOURCE TUNE, MANAGE  Please look for other Dublin of 43016 en E-rgy Sources that are better for observing on uent.

8

WE SPRONT AEP CASE #
16-1852-EL-550

Please do not increase

Dur fees. Be mindful of the
environment. Do 8 not add

Unessary fees.

Thank you, Sumh Cliffon

WE SURFURT AEP CASE #
16-1852-EL-550

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ott 43215

EPCase Number: 16-1852-EL-SSO

To who it may concern,

Hy family has many obligations and just purchased a new home we love by budgeting our monthly payments + Obligations. If you allow the customer charge to be applied, we will be impacted greatly.

We support clean energy + do not want our monthly bill to increase / impact our budget.

Julie Grill 6446 Newgrange De Dublini OH 43016

7 27 17 Ohio Public Utilities Commission, EP Case number 16-1852-EL-550 I am writing to oppose the 119% increase in the mandatory residential fixed fees by AEP. AEP and other utilities need to concentrate on finding renewable energy sources. They can't keep charging residents for their bad decisions and reliance on coal. We have a budget we need to follow and are trying to save money to send our child to college. These extra fees by AEP will take away from other day to day living necessities. Please Stop AEP from this whopping increase in fees! 74/10 Wynwight Eublin, OH resident

To Whom It Play Concern, I am a retired nurse and Jam very concerned about the world Ism training my grandchildren - depandence on fosul Puels is untenable Asavalira, I have toba Vary conservature in my Juster Nown 612 - Jubange our Frankers Warranghia not contrabile to clobal Warring & bar pair So ( or cityon s rankeyes (EV years) 9401 NynWright Dr Dublin, OH, 43014

Example RN


16-1852-EL-550

# To whom it may concern:

I understand it is likely that our electric rates will increase significantly in the near future. Obviously, this is not an attractive proposition. However, I'm far more concerned with how that additional money is spent. I'm concerned that it will be spent paying more for electricity generated with coal, thereby continuing to add to an already VERY serious atmospheric contamination. If that's the case, I would rather have that extra money in my discretionary fund to spend on energy-saving appliances or other devices that help reduce our overall contribution to our carbon footprint. If you were going to take that extra charge and really use it to invest in renewable energy resources, I could live with it and be pleased that you're working toward that end. Joy Barker

AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

Judin, Ott, 43016



I would like to use Solar & Wind For Energy I like clean power lease work on SAVINS Our future. AZP CASe# 16-1852-26-5 Ardelle Noggle 6068 Two notes Ct dioen Hornigad vices because ing we need to alman Klave 6298 Wexed Wood SA. Dublin, OH 43016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

180 East Broad Street

Columbus, OH 43215

AEP Case# 16-1852-EL-SSO

#### To Whom it May Concern:

It's infuriating that as a home owner, I will be receiving an additional customer charge when I am ALREADY a customer paying for a service. Furthermore, paying for a service that I can't just "do without", such as internet or cable. As a sole provider for my household, a utility bill 119% MORE than my current bill will add an additional burden on our already tight budget.

As an advanced civilization, to continue relying on fossil fuels for our energy seems asinine. Why has the additional funds and increased costs over the years not been dedicated to providing research for clean energy that doesn't make us "slaves to the system"?

Please reconsider your detrimental change in service.

Mathler Roy 6259 Wexford Woods DUDIN, 64 43016

Dear Commission.

with the already high demands of the increase in cost of living. I find it another challenge in an already challenging world to afford another expensive while bill. This will hinder my family from being able to enjoy family time because my historial to work longer dgs in order historial to provide more for our terming. Please to be able to provide more for our terming. Please take it pon yourselves to NOT increase customer charges to our electric bills.

Sincerely.

Chose Rem

6387 TWO notes Ct

Dyiolio (0# 43016

[ MEP Case # 16-1852-EL-550]

July 27, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

AEP's proposal to Increase the customer charge takes away from savings that families can gain by choosing an electric supplier that meets their budget and lifestyle. We don't need more hidden charges on our electric bill. I am fortunate to be able to absorb such fees should they be adopted but other less fortunate low—income residents will be forced to cut their food and other budgets to pat these fees. Fixed fees also absorb the energy savings my household has realized from an energy efficient pool pump, lighting, and appliances. It seems silly to allow AEP to recapture my savings.

I encourage you to dismiss AEPs proposal to increase residential fees.

Thanks

Raymond Noe

6287 Wexford Woods Dr

**Dublin, OH 43016** 

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 

180 East Broad Street

Columbus, OH 43215

AEP Case# 16-1852-EL-SSO

## To Whom it May Concern:

It's infuriating that as a home owner, I will be receiving an additional customer charge when I am ALREADY a customer paying for a service. Furthermore, paying for a service that I can't just "do without", such as internet or cable. As a sole provider for my household, a utility bill 119% MORE than my current bill will add an additional burden on our already tight budget.

As an advanced civilization, to continue relying on fossil fuels for our energy seems asinine. Why has the additional funds and increased costs over the years not been dedicated to providing research for clean energy that doesn't make us "slaves to the system"?

Please reconsider your detrimental change in service.

Muchall MeMulle 6259 Wexford Woods Diplin, OH 43016

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 

180 East Broad Street

Columbus, OH 43215

AEP Case# 16-1852-EL-SSO

#### To Whom it May Concern:

It's infuriating that as a home owner, I will be receiving an additional customer charge when I am ALREADY a customer paying for a service. Furthermore, paying for a service that I can't just "do without", such as internet or cable. As a sole provider for my household, a utility bill 119% MORE than my current bill will add an additional burden on our already tight budget.

As an advanced civilization, to continue relying on fossil fuels for our energy seems asinine. Why has the additional funds and increased costs over the years not been dedicated to providing research for clean energy that doesn't make us "slaves to the system"?

Please reconsider your detrimental change in service.

William McMollen IV 6259 Wexford woods Dublin, 04 43016

Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

P1)(0,

PLEASE DO NOT RAISE MY

RATES, THANK You

HUDY O'DUNECL

GZ82 TANONOTCH CT DUBLIN, O H 4306 Dear Public Offlities Commissions 
Traceased cost to my bamilies bill is

Not okay.

Atl case # 16-1852-El-550

Like other businesses + bamilies etc... you should need

to fand a better solution.

Dur Samily is a gassef this "Cushman Charge" increase.

Thank You Thank You The Simmons Family
7631 THUMMON DY.
Dublin, OH 43016

7548 WHIGHAM CT. DUBLEY, OH 43016 Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 EAST BROND STREET Coumbus
RE: CASÉ OH 43215
th 16-1852-EL-SSO Dear Sir or Madam, Remundele energy Sources schoold be face focus of all uplates in the foliage. I am firstraked by the Ohio Italities lack of Capital Investment freir Ciment asset lack of miesthest get to charge Costorers were due to heir lack of proper capital planning.

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

To Whom It May Concern:

We support the Ohio Citizens Action group in their efforts to accelerate Ohio's investment in renewable energy sources.

As such we ask you to consider AEP's case number 16-1852-EL-SSO which could more than double our home energy bill.

We have taken great strides in our home to reduce our carbon footprint and would like to encourage the Commission to insist AEP not pass along to current customers the cost of investing in new energy sources rather than taking them out of current profits.

We appreciate your consideration.

David L. Anderson

7522 Tullymore Dr.

Dublin, OH 43016

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, Ohio 43215

## To Whom It May Concern:

We support the Ohio Citizens Action group in their efforts to accelerate Ohio's investment in renewable energy sources.

As such we ask you to consider AEP's <u>case number 16-1852-EL-SSO</u> which could more than double our home energy bill.

We have taken great strides in our home to reduce our carbon footprint and would like to encourage the Commission to insist AEP not pass along to current customers the cost of investing in new energy sources rather than taking them out of current profits.

We appreciate your consideration.

Cynthia/B. Anderson 7522 Tullymore Dr. Dublin, OH 43016 To: American Electric Power (AEP)

From: Kaden Techentin

7510 Tulymore Dr. Dublingon 45012

AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

I am strongly against the proposal to increase mandatory residential fixed fees, "customer charge". Government and all big businesses attempt to pass errant projects on to the consumer via taxes or hidden fees. This charge would be another attempt at this. The result of such practices would only add to the disdain for large businesses like AEP. Please refrain from such practices as burdensome charges only offset the benefit of purchasing energy saving products.

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

From: Dr. Nicole Luthy

Re: AEP Case 16-1852-EL-SSO

Subject: Proposed AEP rate hike

Date: July 26, 2017

I am writing to express my opposition to the AEP proposed hike in residential fees. These rate hikes make it more challenging for middle class families to reduce utility costs by investing in energy saving upgrades to their homes, as it would redirect a portion of the family budget to AEP. We manage our monthly utility costs by regulating our usage in order to lower our bill. Fixed fees, applied regardless of how much energy you use, mean that energy saving practices have little if any impact on your bill. Additionally, this increase would unfairly burden low-income customers, many of whom lack the resources to absorb higher monthly fees.

I urge you to consider the negative impact this AEP rate increase would have on Ohio families and vote no.

Thank you.

Midle Suff 7034 Grelet Verl Ct Dublin, OH 43016

To: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio

From: Christopher Luthy

Re: AEP Case 16-1852-EL-SSO

Subject: Proposed AEP rate hike

Date: July 26, 2017

I am writing to express my opposition to the AEP proposed hike in residential fees. These rate hikes make it more challenging for middle class families to reduce utility costs by investing in energy saving upgrades to their homes, as it would redirect a portion of the family budget to AEP. We manage our monthly utility costs by regulating our usage in order to lower our bill. Fixed fees, applied regardless of how much energy you use, mean that energy saving practices have little if any impact on your bill. Additionally, this increase would unfairly burden low-income customers, many of whom lack the resources to absorb higher monthly fees.

I urge you to consider the negative impact this AEP rate increase would have on Ohio families and vote no.

Thank you.

4034 Violet Neil Ct

Dubles. Old 43016

## AEP CASE NUMBER 16-1852-EL-SSO

## DEAR PUCO:

We oppose these rate hikes and would rather be able to invest in the avenues of energy efficiency such as solar. A so called "customer charge" is not the answer regardless of a customers financial situation. It would be especially hard on families that are already on a tight budget.

Cade Wurstner

6719 Royal Plume Dr Dublin, OH 43016

## AEP CASE NUMBER 16-1852-EL-SSO

## DEAR PUCO:

We oppose these rate hikes and would rather be able to invest in the avenues of energy efficiency such as solar. A so called "customer charge" is not the answer regardless of a customers financial situation. It would be especially hard on families that are already on a tight budget.

Renee' Wurstner

6719 Royal Plume Dr Dublin, 64 43616

## AEP CASE NUMBER 16-1852-EL-SSO

## DEAR PUCO:

We oppose these rate hikes and would rather be able to invest in the avenues of energy efficiency such as solar. A so called "customer charge" is not the answer regardless of a customers financial situation. It would be especially hard on families that are already on a tight budget.

Todd Wurstner

6719 Royal Plume Dr Dublin, BH 43616

July 27, 2017

16-1852-EL-SSO

To The Public Utilities Commission:

I'm writing to request your support in stopping AEP from implementing increased mandatory residential fixed fees. As a homeowner, I live on a budget that includes the cost of necessary and valued services. I appreciate having the ability to control my own electric use and by doing so, having a certain amount of control over my electric bill. I strongly oppose hikes in fixed fees that will decrease the control as a homeowner I have over my budget.

I also oppose AEP's planned increase as it will perpetuate reliance on coal as a primary energy source in Ohio; we support Ohio's investment in alternative energies. Please consider working to prevent AEP from following through with its proposal to increase mandatory residential fixed fees.

Thank you for attention to this matter.

Sincerely,

Meridith Niekamp

6755 Stillhouse Lane

Dublin, 1814 43016

JAMES COSUE 5542 FOXCHASE NO CANTON, Chio 44718

TO: PUBLIC UTILLYTIES COMMISSION OF OMO 180 EPST BROAD ST. COLUMBUS, EN10 43215

DEAR SIR.

THIS IS REGARDING CASE # 17-0334-EL-ATA. # 16-1852-EL-SSO

I AM WARTING PLY PROJUEST THAT YOUR DE NOT ALLOW THE UTILITY COMPANIES TO PLANSE THEIR PLATES TO WHAT SEEMS LIKE A EXCAPBINATE AMOUNT.

I KNOW OF NO bUSINESS HAT hAS PRISON TRUE PRISON TRUE PRITES BY THIS AMOUNT.

Siesceady,

Aug. 1107 Dear Pallic Utiletées Commission Lanvery ysset that we the Citizens of Ohio love no Doer when it Comento the utelite's That We use pur organization how too much power 4

I am Toldly against increasing my customer charge If you ded your jet right you wouldn't have to Jal out cril pleats. In against any increase repeasible In today society more people are an Guest income Jane Dennenulles 5616 Brnistii Auch 1-W) Centery Oh 44718 # 17-0334-EL-ATA 16-1852-EL-550

August 1, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Sirs,

I am writing to ask you to please resist the dramatic increases requested by First Energy for mandatory residential fixed fees or the "customer charge."


Households like mine want to invest in new ways of saving energy, not the old ways. In spite of the gains Ohio has made from cheap oil and gas, it is imperative that the planet finds better ways to generate power in the future. Solar and wind are the way to go. Nuclear is dead. Coal is dying, and America is spending way too much time generating energy only to be wasted by households that are too energy dependent. It is time to tighten our belts and to cut back and the wasteful ways of the past.

Rober J. Hankins 5615 West Blvd NW Canton, OH 44718 THE PROPOSED INCREASE IS EXTREMELY UNPAIR AND HIGHTY EXCESSIVE. WE NEED TO FIGHT THIS INCREASE! THAT OUR UTILITIES ARE DROPOSING!

BILL LENMY SECT W. BIVD NW CANTON, ON 44718

CASE #17-0334-EL-ATTA 18-1852-EL-SSO

## Jeffrey & Leona Orin 5440 Fleetwood Ave NW Canton, OH 44718



August 1, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio,

We are opposed to the proposal by American Electric Power to increase the customer charge by 119%. This would negatively affect our budget as Jeffrey is unemployed and Leona is on social security. Thanks for your consideration on this matter.

The case number for this matter is 16-1852-EL-SSO. & 17-0334-EL-ATA

Sincerely,

Jeffrey Orin Level Orin Jeffrey & Leona Orin

## Case Number 17 - 0334 - EL - ATA 816 - 1852 - EL - Sso

I believe that it is not fair at all to increase the power bill of peoples home because that can make very negative effects in that households lives. If the family is on a steady budget that everything is lined up so they have just enough money to pay everything and all of the sudden the bill sky rockets they will have to make changes either by no buying groceries, or you have to sell items and so on. There are a lot more serious situations that could happen so let's prevent that.

Garrett Hutteny 5656 Gray Fox Jackson Township 44718

## TIMKEN Where You Turn

Case # 17-0334-EL-ATA 8-6-17 16-1852-EL-550 To: Ohio Edison FM: BRIAN ZIMMERMAN To Whom It May Corkern: I am against fixed rate charges because it will only add to the current U.S. Administrations decision to abandon environmental concerns and fun its back on the Paris Accord. We need to invest now in renewable and pollution free everyy. We cannot afford to ignore climate charge and continue to think we are not now facing a global threst created by fostil Firels, Sincerely 1 5840 Knop Hill Dx

Canton, 0H 44718

# 4897 nobles Pond Dr. Canton, Ott 447/8

No coal bailouts! Do not allow First Energy to raise my fixed rafe charge!!


Thanksl

M. Melson

CODE# My 17-0534-EC-ATA 16-1852-EL-SSO




## PLEASE DO MT PAISE MY PATES!!



Casett 17-0334-EL-ATA 8#16-1852-EL-SSO 4983 EARLS CT Jackson Top 44718

Jewn Mount


PLEASE DO NOT RAISE MY RATES!



4883 SARES CT Lack 501 Tup 44718

Am Mods

COSE#17-0334-EL-ATA & #16-1852-EL-ATA We live in a time where anywhere we go we have a choice. Even when choosing what to arrow there's multiple choices. Do we want juice, pop, or Water? Then another enonce: Cunned, bottled, or out of a fountain? We have choices everywhere of a every little thing You are taking any air choice to even have the option to use energy efficient Hems. That's not very American. It's not fair to your customers either. Its rupe HS 2017. We should all be going towards energy efficient utilities litems. Not only to save money, but 10 Sure the earth I have 2 Small Children Pama Single Man. We Maybe get to do one fun thing a Month, if we're lucky we want even have shut if the bills go up even move. I want clean energy efficient, affordable utilities. Coal is the past & outdated one day we will run Out of it it we need to start preparing our nomes now for when that happens. This increase takes away that opportunity for us to do that too! This isn't fair CUSE #: 17-0334-EL-ATA 16-1852-EZ-550 4982 Cardington Green Cir Mw



Ady 02, 2017

	,		·
Pablie Util	ities Only	MILLICCIAN	MAIL
	_		of copie
180 East D	rond She	et	
Ollumbus	, OH \$32	15	
Do AP	Danno # /	W 857 -	EL-SSD
ICE · MEN	100 E	Salva Contract	TIO ATO
10 (Ilapun	c) my	(Trive	· , i a !!.
Re: AEA		si with	
I would be	To Vouse	chan has	160
•		7 m	And the second second
occur to c	nezgy sov	ving up a	relies like
00-1	1	1 11	
Salve Ever	95/		
3 7			
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
acc 6	1.304 84	GIR CIT	May wite
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		~ ^	
	FINANCE.	CERTAIN	E.
	(naz)	atrinoh	-t
THE CHAINSTAN	THE PARTY	TOWN.	<u> </u>
to the same	13002	(1) outer	olb OH
1.2.1184		25.5	61.55.0
manno	The state of the s	1 - 3	
	~ (1)	1	
180 Ball 190	MODEL . VE	23	
			¥:
	J 12 12 11	444155711A	V 12 1
			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
<u> </u>			
<b>Y Y</b> .	,		

(	
(	Public Utilities Commission 8/2/17
	To whom ever it may concern
(	I am very concerned about the possibility
·	of our AEP customer charge increasing.
· (	It is very cost prohibitive and a strain
	to my family. We are trying to replace
,	15 year old appliances in our home, as
	well as making energy efficient improvements
/ /	Such customer charge increases would
( / )	desperatly impact our budget and
\	ability to pay for extra improvements.
, (	Please do not increase our charges!
(	
(	
(	Thank you
	mitte
	Jessica Kirkland
·	5981 bainey ct.
,	Westerville, OH 43082
(	
/	AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO
·	
(	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

Guang Sheng 5932 Gainey Ct Westerville, OH, 43082

AEP Case number 16-1852-EL-550

STOP CHARGE

" CUSTOMER CHARGE"

Please!!!

The increase is unjust and unfair. If you cannot run your operation efficiently, then find a way to cut YOUR cost not increase MINE. We all need electricity, but that doesn't mean we can continue to pay higher costs that are simply shoved on us by a "monopoly like" business like you are,

Mackenzie Siebert Gainey Court 43082

The increase is unjust and unfair. If you cannot run your operation efficiently, then find a way to cut YOUR cost not increase MINE. We all need electricity, but that doesn't mean we can continue to pay higher costs that are simply shoved on us by a "monopoly like" business like you are.

Logan Siebert Gainey Court 43082

The increase is unjust and unfair. If you cannot run your operation efficiently, then find a way to cut YOUR cost not increase MINE. We all need electricity, but that doesn't mean we can continue to pay higher costs that are simply shoved on us by a "monopoly like" business like you are.

Terri Siebert Gainey Court 43082

The increase is unjust and unfair. If you cannot run your operation efficiently, then find a way to cut YOUR cost not increase MINE. We all need electricity, but that doesn't mean we can continue to pay higher costs that are simply shoved on us by a "monopoly like" business like you are.

Mike Siebert Gainey Court 43082

Subject: AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear PUCO,

AEP should not be allowed to raise their Customer Charges. Currently, I am paying exorbitant charges and we have a cooperative. How are people expected to afford these rate hikes without salaries being raised?

If they are doing investing in energy savings upgrades, when will the cost be reduced? Just because they have not invested in their upgrades off of their profits, why should we finance them on our shoulders?

Sincerely,

Avery Clement 6009 Gainey Court

Westerville Ohio 43082

Subject: AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear PUCO,

AEP should not be allowed to raise their Customer Charges. Currently, I am paying exorbitant charges and we have a cooperative. How are people expected to afford these rate hikes without salaries being raised?

If they are doing investing in energy savings upgrades, when will the cost be reduced? Just because they have not invested in their upgrades off of their profits, why should we finance them on our shoulders.

Sincerely,

Raydelle Clement 6009 Gainey Court

Westerville Ohio 43082

aydelle Clery

Subject: AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear PUCO,

AEP should not be allowed to raise their Customer Charges. Currently, I am paying exorbitant charges and we have a cooperative. How are people expected to afford these rate hikes without salaries being raised?

If they are doing investing in energy savings upgrades, when will the cost be reduced? Just because they have not invested in their upgrades off of their profits, why should we finance them on our shoulders.

Sincerely,

Ethan Clement 6009 Gainey Court

Westerville Ohio 43082

Subject: AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

Dear PUCO,

AEP should not be allowed to raise their Customer Charges. Currently, I am paying exorbitant charges and we have a cooperative. How are people expected to afford these rate hikes without salaries being raised?

If they are doing investing in energy savings upgrades, when will the cost be reduced? Just because they have not invested in their upgrades off of their profits, why should we finance them on our shoulders.

Sincerely,

Joe Clement

6009 Gainey Court

Westerville Ohio 43082

August 2, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

To whom it may concern:

My family and I very interested in energy efficient appliances and the potential savings solar can provide. By moving to a fixed fee model, the Utilities Commission will in effect take away my choice to invest in technologies that might save me money. I am a responsible user of energy and by moving to a fixed fee, I might be overcharged for energy I may not use. On the contrary, users of energy that are not responsible will be given a free pass to use as much energy as they wish while perhaps not paying their fair share. I vehemently oppose moving to a fixed fee model and believe we should each be responsible for our own usage and thereby allowing us to make decisions on what energy efficient technologies may exist.

Thanks,

Jocelyn House 5920 Gainey Court Westerville, OH 43082 case + 16-1852 - EL-SSD

**ATTN: Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 

180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

It is not just the cost of electric bills that are increasing it's all fixed monthly costs across the board that are going up ie; heating, cable, insurance and food. How can AEP expect a family to pay a 60% monthly increase when we can't expect anything more than a yearly 3% increase in our salaries from our employers???

Price gauging needs to stop!!

Traci & Jim Voytus

5359 Agocala Cir Westerule, off 43080 I am strongly opposed to AEP's increase in utilities. Recently divorced, it would make it difficult to remain in my home with my already tight broget. I am also an advocate for cleaner coal and renewable energies. Our water and air are important to me and my family. As a Science educator I will make hundreds of kids a year aware of the disservice that AEP is thrusting onto the public and the damage that unclean coal and coal burning plants do to our environment.

Sincerely angry,

AEP Case # 16-1852-EL-SSO

5742 Seminde Way Westerville, 04 43082 lear AEP,

In regards to case # 16-1852-EL-SSO, my family is against the increased customer charge. We have a tight budget and use and extra money to save for home improvement, including energy efficient appliances. This fee would hinder that as well as other family stoppals.

Regards, Sean McClure at 5988 Haig Point Ct. **Danny Edwards** 5948 Old Head Court Westerville, OH 43082

August 2, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio: CUR #16-1862-EL-SSO

I am opposed to proposals that allow a utility to charge me a mandatory fee for power I am not using. An increase as proposed would essentially be adding a 13th bill to my electric budget for the year. I am not in support of this.

Customers who use less energy should not be punished by steep fixed rates.

Da - S

I do support an increase in energy efficiency and renewable energy programs in Ohio.

Sincerely,

**Danny Edwards** 

David Edwards 5948 Old Head Court Westerville, OH 43082

August 2, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio:

Case # 16-18-52-EL-850

I am opposed to proposals that allow a utility to charge me a mandatory fee for power I am not using. An increase as proposed would essentially be adding a 13<sup>th</sup> bill to my electric budget for the year. I am not in support of this.

Customers who use less energy should not be punished by steep fixed rates.

I do support an increase in energy efficiency and renewable energy programs in Ohio.

Sincerely,

**David Edwards** 

Dan Edwards 5948 Old Head Court Westerville, OH 43082

August 2, 2017

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Ohio: CUSCH 16-1892- EU-SSO

I am opposed to proposals that allow a utility to charge me a mandatory fee for power I am not using. An increase as proposed would essentially be adding a 13th bill to my electric budget for the year. I am not in support of this.

Customers who use less energy should not be punished by steep fixed rates.

al Edu

I do support an increase in energy efficiency and renewable energy programs in Ohio.

Sincerely,

Dan Edwards

Penny Edwards 5948 Old Head Court Westerville, OH 43082

August 2, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

Dear Public Utilities Commission of Phio: 6-1852-EL-556

I am opposed to proposals that allow a utility to charge me a mandatory fee for power I am not using. An increase as proposed would essentially be adding a 13<sup>th</sup> bill to my electric budget for the year. I am not in support of this.

Customers who use less energy should not be punished by steep fixed rates.

eef Eduras

I do support an increase in energy efficiency and renewable energy programs in Ohio.

Sincerely,

**Penny Edwards** 

	Cuff # 16-1962-EL-SSO
	Investment in clean energing
	Can brong you long term benefit
	skan demande an increasing flat monthly
	from fee overing coal cost.
:	
	SUNNY ZONA
:	5051 Royal County Down
	50G1 Royal County Down Wasterviele, OH 44082
i	
:	
:	
:	


To Public Utility Commission-

I Find it wrong for me to pay on my bill a extra charge for you to cover your losses on coal.

17 you are soins to charge. Charge for comins of with better clear energy Solutions on high in the long you will reduce my energy CosTS.

This is in resarro to CASE 16-1852-EL-550

Conone Jerman 5856 Hishland Hills Or Western W. Ch 1982



The soup.
MHP to as UP.
-Alais smith
1356 Runaway Bay Drive Clumbus, Onio 432104
Columbus, Onio 432104
'
Aep Case number 16-1852-EL-SSO
16-1852-EL-SSO
·

To whom it may concern, (AEP Case number 16-1852-EL-SSO) 8/2/17

I am writing in regards to the customer charge on my bill. I am already paying many different charges on my bill and don't feel that this charge should be the customer's responsibility. My family as well as all Ohioans should be looking towards clean and efficient energy sources. Solar panels, wind energy, etc. These are the sources we should be investing in. Ohioans should not be responsible for fixing the mistakes your company made by investing in old, dirty sources such as coal.

Thank, Cheryl Boyce

5888 Higland Hills Dr. Wester Ville, p. H 43082

To whom it may concern, (AEP Case number 16-1852-EL-SSO) 8/2/17

I am writing in regards to the customer charge on my bill. I am already paying many different charges on my bill and don't feel that this charge should be the customer's responsibility. My family as well as all Ohioans should be looking towards clean and efficient energy sources. Solar panels, wind energy, etc. These are the sources we should be investing in. Ohioans should not be responsible for fixing the mistakes your company made by investing in old, dirty sources such as coal.

Thank, Hailey Boyce

5888 Highland Hills Dr. Westerville, 0H 43082 This letter is regarding AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO. We are retired and live on a fixed income. A huge increase in our power bill would be detrimental to our budget.

Samou L. Trolle

5845 51 6€0196 Nesterville, 64 43082 AS A SMALL BUSINESS CHOURR

AND A PROFESSIONAL IN THE

MORTHAGE INDUSTRY, I SEE FIRST-HAND

ON A DAILY BASIS HOW TIGHT

MANY FAMILIES IN MY COMMUNITY

LIVE. ALLOWING HEP TO INCREASE

FLAT FEES THAT CUSTOMERS HAVE

NO ABILITY TO LIMIT IS NOT ONLY

UNCETHICAL, BUT COULD BE DETRIMENTAL

TO SOME BUDGETS.

AM IN OPPOSITION TO HEP

CASE NUMBER 16-1852-EL-850

AND DO NOOT SUPPORT FIXED FEE

INCREMSES.

Sincerey,

Jesseur Hill

5839 CRYSTAL CO. WESTERVILLE, OH 43082

.[	
	Dear Sirs,
	Please do not increase
	ar electric costs to
	Please do not increase our electric costs to pay for any coal plants!
	Comme Hillmann
	3693 St. George Ave
	Juny Hillmann 5699 St. George Ave Westerville, OH 43082
	Case # 16-1852-E2-550
	·
1	

Dear PUCO, Don't increase our bills to pay for out-dated coal plants!

Robert Holdmann 5693 St. George Ave Westorville OH 43082

Case # 16-1852- 62-550

Keli English
5408 Willow Bend CT
Westerville, OH 43082
August 2, 2017
Casc # (6-1852-EL\_550)

Keli Flyin

We are opposed to AEP's proposed Customer charge increase as we do not think we should be punished for AEP's reliance on coal energy.

Sincerely,

Matthew English
5408 Willow Bend CT
Westerville, OH 43082
August 2, 2017

Case # 16-1852-EL-550

We are opposed to AEP's proposed Customer charge increase as we do not think we should be punished for AEP's reliance on coal energy.

Sincerely,

., 2.72

Zachary English 5408 Willow Bend CT Westerville, OH 43082 August 2, 2017

August 2, 2017 Case # 16-1852-EL-850

We are opposed to AEP's proposed Customer charge increase as we do not think we should be punished for AEP's reliance on coal energy.

Sincerely,

**Dear Public Utilities Commission,** 

I oppose AEP's proposal to increase my mandatory residential fixed fees over the next few years. This increase in my bill will have a detrimental effect on my budget including energy saving upgrades in the future.

AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

Wade Wilde

5412 Annandale Ct

# AEP case # 16-1852-EL-550

Please clean up your act t vote for change. We need clean energy. It's best for everyone on this planet t un Ohjo.

Thank you! Jen Reynolds, 5555 Long Cove C+ Genon Township, OH 43082 To Whom It May Concern
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio
180 East Broad Street
Columbus, OH 43215

AEP Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO

I am upset to hear that an AEP customer charge increase is being considered over the coming years to help offset coal cost increases. Coal is not the future of energy and should not be subsidised. Please do not allow AEP to make these changes. I would rather my money be used on renewable energy choices and help our community keep pace with the changing world around us.

Thanks,

Bill Tegeler 6167 Firestone Pl. Westerville, OH 43082

#### Regarding AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

The expensive cost of energy prevents us from purchasing more cost-efficient appliances and we'd like AEP to invest in clean, cheap renewable energy.



5656 Salem Dr

#### Regarding AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

The expensive cost of energy prevents us from purchasing more cost-efficient appliances and we'd like AEP to invest in clean, cheap renewable energy.

Walbeck Family

5656 Salem Dr

**Public Utilities Commission of Ohio** 

Re: Case# 16-1852-EL-SSO

This letter is to inform you that I am against raising the fixed fee or customer charge. A \$10.00/month increase may not sound like a lot but when that increase = a staggering 120% increase. That's just insane. The amount AEP charges in general is at the higher end of the spectrum of energy costs compared to every other state I have lived in. And the service is mediocre at best. There are many ways a business can offset costs (including energy companies) that does not include passing those costs onto their customers. There are many families that cannot afford a \$10:00 a month increase and they would have to go without something else they need (food, medication, etc) if this increase was to pass.

Thank you,

Lisa Minott

You don't r news 16-1862- E1-550 Genoa Township

Public Ut. 1.7cs Comission of Sir/Ma'am Casett 16 -1852- EL-550 I am against you raising my rates - I Can afford it, but many Can Not. It you want to raise my prices please let me Know What clear energy you are investing in. It's crazy that our energy grid is be holden to an outdated basiness model that destroys Le en vironment. Casy Minst 5369 Annendale Ct Genoa Township, OH 43082

8217

Dear American Electric Power,

I have been informed of an upcoming dramatic increase in my monthly customer charge. (I am writing in reference to case number 16-1852-EL-SSQ) I an strongly opposed to such a charge. I have a programmable thermostat, energy efficient appliances, and pay close attention to my energy usage per month in an effort to be kind to the planet as well as be kind to my wallet. I want to be able to be rewarded for my energy conscious choices, and not penalized for simply being a customer of AEP. I urge you to reconsider this increase.

Thank you,
Melissa Muir
6010 Braymoore Dr
Galena, OH 43021

August 2, 2017

Public Utilities Commission of Ohio 180 East Broad Street Columbus, OH 43215

AEP Case Number: 16-1852-EL-SSO


I am writing in regard to the proposed increase in the customer charge (Case Number 16-1852-EL-SSO). This 119% increase will have a devastating impact on the economic situation of the most vulnerable citizens of Ohio. Because it is a fixed rate, it will do nothing to promote energy conservation. I strongly oppose the proposed increase.

Sincerely,

Kristi Williams, Ph.D.

5889 Braymoore Drive, Galena OH 43021

kristi.williams@gmail.com




- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ◆ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ◆ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use


Name	luson 1	201eman	
Address	1 1446	Rosedale	Ave.
Bucy	rus, Out	,44820	

AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ◆ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use



- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ◆ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use



AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name	Lorele	e 5	yal	
Address_	1905	Uigh!	St.	#387
Colo	imbus, c	DIJO L	13215	./

AEP case number 16-1852-EL-SSO

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name (Cana Clinge).
Address 5133 phillips Pun.
Canal Winchoster, 0
43/14-8424

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name	Lisa + Tim Dove
Address	5785 Linderwood Rd
_	Columbus, OH 43229-3411
	7

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name	CUM	Ria	ticky	an	
Address	1186	WGB	repott	e Ro.	
	Colu	mile	A OH	· 43212	-3247

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name	De 1	-iu			
Address	7643	lιχ	ysley	P(	
$\overline{D}$	ngldu I	011	4301	7	

AEP case number 13-2385-EL-SSO/16-1852-EL-SSO

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name taulo Grole
Address 140 Windsor It.
Rossford, OH 43460

AEP case number 13-2385-EL-SSO/16-1852-EL-SSO

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs
- ♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name Fin Mc Cowan

Address All Elm Dr. #1

No Kingstown, Ph. 108852-6883

## Official AEP Comment

AEP case number 13-2385-EL-SSO/16-1852-EL-SSO

- ♦ I'm opposed to AEP's plan to more than double their customer charge over the next two years
- ♦ I want to see AEP increase their renewable energy and energy efficiency programs

♦ AEP should be encouraging energy efficiency, not punishing customers who reduce their use

Name Sharon Gauronopai Address 1562 gamblewood AVP. Columbus OH 43235-7325

## Case # 16 -1852 - EL- SS.0

An increase to my utility fixed rate charge is not fixed rate charge is not sustainable for my family, as we are already tightly as we are already tightly budgeted with other costs budgeted with other costs and college savings. It is and college savings. It is when to the consumer.

AEP case nimber 16-1852-EL-SSO

Please make renewable, clean energy

possible by Keeping or electric bills

The Thomas Sale Ct

Westerville, OH 43082

restricted Common,

Mex pect a ent stary have to me the ore

else my electric bee. Matical deal

EL-SSO! Dim Noroh

Scott Salom Dr. 13082

Wasterills of 143082


to not man further uncestments unto cover . It is dirty unsustymator, and all would howing Marky Fral Fryna. 4768 Normany Dr Gralena OH 4302

no not make further
muinte uto
coul. It is
bryche energy dearea.
Minh runwalsh
Mark
w my Trylor
4768 Normandy Pr Galena OH 43021
- Case # 16 1852

Piluse (10 not cov cont. It is Advo (a+' tor viner a bir. -PcylStakell 6868 Mugo Dr Balena off 4321 L SS0

}	Place do not
	V9 152 V1171 +3
	794 for 10111.
	it is cin out clated
	way to act
i }	
	PICCISE aclusants for
	uninabus.
,	- Lindsay Stokill
	6868 Mingo Drive
	Calena 04 43021
	Case # 16 1852
	EC SSO





AEP CASE # 16-1852-EC-550 6330 WHEEL DRIVE, 43016 140KS

I AM A SINGLE MON ON A TIGHT BUDGET & CAPIT AREOLD A BIG INCLEASE IN AM UTILITY BILL. PLEASE THINK OF YOUR CUSTOMERS & THEIR LOHALTY TO YOUR COMPANY BEFORE YOU INCREASE MONTHLY CHARGES. THE RICH COET RICHER & THE POOR GET POORER!

Hey AEPDM'T PAISE OUR

Current rates

due to your past
bad decisions!

Bill Becker Sign Section Substitute (346 Anseles Dd., Dublin, OH)

## To Whan It May Concern:

I am appased to increasing mardatory residential fixed feet, not only because of the 119% increase, but also because it is to cover shortfalls in non-rememble energy costs. Instead, energy solutions should focus on clean, rememble sources to reduce environmental impacts on global climates or pollution.

Thank you,
Kim Machesky
7427 Wyndle Ct.
Dublin, OH 43016

16-1852-EL-SSO