

BEFORE THE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION OF OHIO

- - -

In the Matter of :  
Gary Johansen Notice : Case No.  
of Apparent Violation : 17-1158-TR-CVF  
and Intent to Assess :  
Forfeiture. :

- - -

PROCEEDINGS

Before Kerry Sheets, Attorney Examiner, held at  
the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, 180  
East Broad Street, Hearing Room 11-C, Columbus,  
Ohio, on Tuesday, July 18, 2017, at 10:00 A.M.

- - -

Armstrong & Okey, Inc.  
222 East Town Street, 2nd Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
(614) 224-9481 - (800) 223-9481

- - -

1 APPEARANCES:

2 Mr. Werner L. Margard, III  
3 Assistant Attorney General  
4 30 East Broad Street, 16th Floor  
Columbus, Ohio 43215

5 On behalf of the Staff of the  
6 Public Utilities Commission  
of Ohio.

7  
8 Mr. Gary Johansen  
7814 Snow Road  
9 Parma, Ohio 44129

10 Appearing Pro se.

11  
12  
13  
14  
15 - - -  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

INDEX TO WITNESSES		
	-	- -
	DIRECT	CROSS
STAFF'S WITNESSES		
Richard Bell	6	
Rod Mosher	18	
RESPONDENT'S WITNESSES		
Gary Johansen	24	26

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

- - -

IDENTIFIED

STAFF'S EXHIBITS

1	Driver/Vehicle Examination Report	9
1A	Copies of Photographs	14
2	Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture	21
3	Notice of Preliminary Determination	21

- - -

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25

Tuesday Morning,  
July 18, 2017.

- - -

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: The Public  
Utilities Commission of Ohio has set for hearing  
at this time and place Case No. 17-1158-TR-CVF  
In the Matter of Gary Johansen.

My name is Kerry Sheets, I am an  
Attorney Examiner for the Commission, I have  
been assigned to hear this case.

May I now have the appearances of  
the parties, please, starting with Staff.

MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.  
On behalf of the Transportation Staff of the  
Public Utilities Commission of Ohio, Mike  
DeWine, Ohio Attorney General; William Wright,  
Section Chief Public Utilities section, by  
Assistant Attorney General Werner L. Margard, 30  
East Broad Street, 16th Floor, Columbus, Ohio.

ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Now  
the Respondent. Go ahead and give your name,  
address.

MR. JOHANSEN: My name is Gary  
Johansen, and I live at 7814 Snow Road, Parma,  
Ohio 44129.

1                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. Now,  
2 do we have any preliminary matters to take care  
3 of today?

4                   MR. MARGARD: We don't, your Honor.

5                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have  
6 witnesses to call?

7                   MR. MARGARD: I do. Thank you, your  
8 Honor. I would like to begin by calling Motor  
9 Carrier Enforcer Richard Bell.

10                   (WITNESS SWORN)

11                   - - -

12                   RICHARD BELL  
13 called as a witness, being first duly sworn,  
14 testified as follows:

15                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Be seated,  
16 please.

17                   DIRECT EXAMINATION

18 By Mr. Margard:

19                   Q. And just for the record state your  
20 name, please.

21                   A. My name is Richard Bell, Motor  
22 Carrier Enforcement with the Ohio State Patrol.

23                   Q. Thank you, sir. And what is your  
24 business address if you would, please?

25                   A. Business address?

1 Q. Yes, please.

2 A. It's -- just moved to a new  
3 building. I have it written down. Motor  
4 Carrier Enforcement, Cleveland District,  
5 Cleveland, Ohio. The actual address I don't  
6 have on this.

7 Q. That is satisfactory. Thank you,  
8 sir. Can you briefly describe what your duties  
9 are in your position as Motor Carrier  
10 Enforcement?

11 A. My job is to stop commercial  
12 vehicles, tractor trailers, straight trucks.  
13 Anything that's over 10,000 or more pounds.  
14 Check for federal compliance, make sure that the  
15 vehicle is properly operational to be operated  
16 on the road.

17 For instance, check them for lights,  
18 mechanical part of the vehicle. Brakes,  
19 steering, stuff like that that complies with the  
20 safe operation of the vehicle.

21 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Speak up with  
22 your testimony, sir.

23 A. And also I check, make sure the  
24 driver is in compliance with federal rules and  
25 regulations, make sure they are licensed to

1 operate that particular vehicle, and operate it  
2 within the jurisdiction of the State of Ohio on  
3 intrastate or whatever the case may be, that  
4 they are licensed to drive that vehicle and  
5 deliver the load that they are carrying.

6 Q. Thank you. How long have you been  
7 performing this job?

8 A. Approximately nine years.

9 Q. And do you have any special training  
10 to enable you to do this work?

11 A. Yes. I went down to the academy and  
12 completed North American Part A, Part B, school  
13 bus and hazardous material, tanker, doubles and  
14 triples. And that is compliance so they can  
15 perform their job.

16 Q. Thank you. Were you on duty on the  
17 21st of February of this year?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. And do you remember what your job  
20 assignment was, or what your specific  
21 responsibilities were that day?

22 A. Right. I was regular motor carrier  
23 enforcement on 77. I worked in Summit County,  
24 so I was working the area of 77 and we see  
25 trucks come down the highway and we pull them



1 over to a designated area so I can do a safety  
2 compliance inspection.

3 Q. In the course of your duties that  
4 day did you have an opportunity to inspect a  
5 truck that was being driven by the Respondent,  
6 Mr. Johansen?

7 A. Yes, sir.

8 Q. And as a result of that inspection  
9 did you generate a report?

10 A. Yes, I did, sir.

11 MR. MARGARD: May I approach, your  
12 Honor?

13 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may.

14 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR  
15 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)

16 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have  
17 marked for purposes of identification as Staff  
18 Exhibit No. 1 the Driver/Vehicle Inspection  
19 Report dated February 21st, 2017.

20 Q. Now, Officer Bell, do you have a  
21 copy of that report in front of you?

22 A. Yes, I do, sir.

23 Q. And is this a copy of the report  
24 that you generated as a result of your  
25 inspection?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And have you had an opportunity to  
3 review this report prior to your testimony  
4 today?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And does it accurately reflect your  
7 observations on that date?

8 A. Correct.

9 Q. And what was our purpose in stopping  
10 Mr. Johansen on that date?

11 A. For a safety compliance inspection.  
12 I sit in the vehicle facing the U-turn and can  
13 observe trucks coming up the highway and I can  
14 visually see if their lights work and if I see  
15 anything that is an obvious violation I can pull  
16 them over and inspect the vehicle and inform  
17 the driver that I found something, viewed  
18 something that is wrong with the truck.

19 Q. Was there something obvious about  
20 this truck on this date, or was this just a  
21 random check?

22 A. This was obvious violations. My  
23 notes indicate that the driver -- when he drove  
24 by I noticed he didn't have his seat belt on.

25 Q. I see.

1 A. So that was probable cause.

2 Q. Okay.

3 A. So I stopped him.

4 Q. Now, did you have an opportunity to  
5 inspect Mr. Johansen's paperwork and inspect his  
6 load?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And as a result of that inspection  
9 you noted a couple of different violations?

10 A. Correct.

11 Q. The first violation that you have  
12 noted here is failing to wear a seat belt; is  
13 that correct?

14 A. That's correct, sir.

15 Q. And can you tell me how you observed  
16 if he was wearing a seat belt?

17 A. Where I sit in the U-turn, we sit  
18 where you can see trucks coming down the  
19 highway. We have binoculars which I didn't use  
20 at this particular time. I didn't use any.

21 I observed a driver come down  
22 the highway and I was looking right at the  
23 driver. I could see where he didn't have a seat  
24 belt across. You have a good 500 feet that you  
25 can notice all the drivers. In that split

1 second you try to look for not just only  
2 the driver but any other obvious violations you  
3 see. That is the one that stood out.

4 Q. Okay. Did you happen to notice if  
5 he was wearing a dark or light colored shirt?  
6 Does that make a difference in your  
7 observations?

8 A. Sometimes it does, but not  
9 necessarily. If you are questioning, me  
10 personally, if I question whether or not I see a  
11 violation I wouldn't pull him over because I  
12 don't want to pull you over then you question my  
13 ability to do my job.

14 Q. So it was very obvious to you when  
15 looking into the cab that Mr. Johansen was not  
16 wearing a seat belt?

17 A. That's correct.

18 Q. And by seat belt we are  
19 talking about shoulder harness?

20 A. Right.

21 Q. Now, you noted a second observation,  
22 a second violation, that he was operating his  
23 motor vehicle without proper endorsements.

24 A. That's correct.

25 Q. Can you explain that violation for

1 us, please?

2 A. Yes. Under 391.11 (b) (5) is a  
3 violation, the driver operating a CMV without  
4 proper endorsement or in violation of  
5 restriction.

6 I put down the "Driver has an  
7 intrastate restriction, but the items he is  
8 hauling are from out of state."

9 So he was actually hauling items  
10 from another state, so he was actually hauling  
11 in continuation or international or interstate  
12 move, with restriction of intrastate only.

13 Q. Just so I am clear, his commercial  
14 driver's license, CDL, had a restriction on it?

15 A. Correct.

16 Q. That restricted him to operating  
17 only intrastate.

18 A. Intrastate.

19 Q. Only operating within the State of  
20 Ohio.

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And it's your testimony today that  
23 that also means hauling only goods from within  
24 Ohio to points within Ohio; is that correct?

25 A. That's correct. And deliver in

1 Ohio.

2 Q. That's your understanding of the  
3 regulation and how the regulation operates;  
4 correct?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. And do you know what he was hauling?

7 A. It was produce because I have a  
8 picture. He was hauling produce.

9 MR. MARGARD: We do have some  
10 pictures, your Honor. May I approach?

11 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You may

12 MR. MARGARD: Thank you.

13 (EXHIBIT HEREBY MARKED FOR  
14 IDENTIFICATION PURPOSES)

15 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have a  
16 multipage document that I have handed to the  
17 witness that I have marked for purposes of  
18 identification Staff Exhibit 1A.

19 Q. Officer Bell, are these some of the  
20 photographs that you took on that date?

21 A. That's correct.

22 Q. And can you just kind of leaf  
23 through those and tell us what these are  
24 pictures of, please?

25 A. Okay. The top picture is a

1 registration of the vehicle that he was driving.

2 Then the next picture is a Dole  
3 banana case with the address, mailing address,  
4 Westlake Village, California which is where the  
5 product came from.

6 And these are various pictures of  
7 items that was found in the back of the truck  
8 with their mailing address of point of origin,  
9 where they were originated from; Shelby,  
10 Michigan, Nashville, North Carolina.

11 The rest of the pictures are of the  
12 items that were in the back of his trailer, sir.

13 Q. One here is Guatemala, South  
14 America. That would be international?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. So the produce that he was hauling  
17 had come from, at least some of it, had come  
18 from outside of the State of Ohio?

19 A. That's correct.

20 Q. So its movement within the State of  
21 Ohio required either intrastate endorsement or a  
22 specific interstate endorsement. Is that your  
23 testimony?

24 A. Right. And you wouldn't have the  
25 restriction of intrastate, have interstate,

1 between where you are hauling the products from  
2 another state into the State of Ohio,  
3 continuation of the interstate move. That  
4 would be you had the continuation of an  
5 international move.

6 Q. Okay. Did you have a discussion  
7 with Mr. Johansen about the violation?

8 A. Yes. Just like I stop everyone, I  
9 explain to them what restriction, what  
10 violations that they had, and how easy it is to  
11 do away with that restriction.

12 Go to the BMV and tell them you  
13 don't want the restriction of intrastate because  
14 it's not necessary where you drive, it's where  
15 the commodity is coming from and where the  
16 product is going.

17 Q. Did he have an explanation for you,  
18 that you recall?

19 A. Not that I recall. I would say most  
20 drivers wasn't aware of that. Most drivers  
21 don't understand that.

22 Q. But you don't have a specific  
23 recollection today what his explanation was?

24 A. No.

25 Q. Very good. Did you also discuss



1 the seat belt violation?

2 A. That's correct.

3 Q. And do you recall whether he offered  
4 you any explanation for not wearing a seat belt?

5 A. No. Not necessarily, not right  
6 offhand.

7 Q. So you do not recall?

8 A. Right.

9 Q. Very good. Were there any other  
10 observations that you made in the course of that  
11 inspection that you think are relevant to this  
12 case today?

13 A. Just, no, just my normal inspection.  
14 I didn't find nothing else wrong. I told him  
15 the violation we had, everything else was good.

16 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I have no  
17 further questions of this witness.

18 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. Do  
19 you have any questions, Mr. Johansen, of this  
20 witness?

21 MR. JOHANSEN: No, I do not.

22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: No? You are  
23 excused.

24 THE WITNESS: Thank you, sir.

25 MR. MARGARD: Your Honor, I would

1     like to call Mr. Rod Mosher.

2                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER:   Very good.

3                   (WITNESS SWORN)

4                                 - - -

5                   ROD MOSHER

6     called as a witness, being first duly sworn,  
7     testified as follows:

8                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER:   You may be  
9     seated.

10                   DIRECT EXAMINATION

11     By Mr. Margard:

12                 Q.    Good morning, sir.   Please state  
13     your name and business address.

14                 A.    My name is Rod Mosher.   I work at  
15     the Public Utilities Commission at 180 East  
16     Broad Street, Columbus, Ohio.

17                 Q.    And by who are you employed, Mr.  
18     Mosher?

19                 A.    The Public Utilities Commission,  
20     specifically the Transportation Department  
21     within the Commission.

22                 Q.    And what are you duties and  
23     responsibilities?

24                 A.    I am the chief of the compliance.  
25     So my duties are to kind of oversee the

1 assessment of fines and preparation for these  
2 type of hearings.

3 Q. And did you have an opportunity to  
4 review the Commission's file in this case prior  
5 to this hearing today?

6 A. Yes, I did.

7 Q. Let me ask you if you had an  
8 opportunity to review the proposed forfeitures  
9 in this case?

10 A. Yes, sir, I did.

11 Q. Could you, before we get to those,  
12 explain to us how a forfeiture amount is  
13 determined, how it's assessed?

14 A. Well, it's generally based on the  
15 CVSA guideline, the Commercial Vehicle Safety  
16 Alliance, guidelines. They are not exactly the  
17 same, but they are based upon that.

18 Generally the higher the fine the  
19 more severe the Alliance thinks that the  
20 violation was.

21 Q. And there is some kind of a schedule  
22 or matrix of some sort?

23 A. There is, yes, there is a matrix  
24 that's divided into groups. These two  
25 particular violations here are considered Group

1 4 violations, which are generally driver  
2 initiated violations I would say.

3 Q. And is this schedule used by the  
4 Commission staff in determining assessment,  
5 forfeiture assessments, in all of these kind of  
6 cases?

7 A. In all cases, yes, sir.

8 Q. And it's consistently applied?

9 A. It's actually in non-hazardous  
10 materials cases it's applied by computer so  
11 there is no real human intervention.

12 Q. So it's an algorithm of sorts?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And the dollar amounts associated  
15 with this schedule are consistent with those  
16 recommended by the Commercial Vehicle Safety  
17 Alliance?

18 A. Yes, sir, they are.

19 Q. Okay. Now, the specific violations  
20 in this case, and there are two, and the one is  
21 the seat belt violation and the other one is the  
22 endorsement violation.

23 Have you reviewed those to determine  
24 whether the assessments proposed in this case  
25 were accurately determined?

1           A.    I did review them, and in each case  
2   it is a correct amount listed, yes.

3           MR. MARGARD:   Very good.   Your  
4   Honor, may I approach?

5           ATTORNEY EXAMINER:   You may.

6           (EXHIBIT MARKED FOR PURPOSES OF  
7   IDENTIFICATION)

8           MR. MARGARD:   Your Honor, I have  
9   handed the witness two documents marked as Staff  
10   Exhibits No. 2 and 3.

11          Q.    Mr. Mosher, have you had an  
12   opportunity to review those documents?

13          A.    I have, yes.

14          Q.    And were those documents contained  
15   in the Commission's file in this matter that you  
16   reviewed prior to testifying today?

17          A.    They were.

18          Q.    And what are those documents?

19          A.    The one that is marked as Exhibit  
20   No. 2 would be the initial letter sent to the  
21   Respondent.   We generally call it an NIF letter.  
22   It's a notice of apparent violation and intent  
23   to assess forfeiture.

24                It shows the two violations and  
25   the amount assessed for each of those.   There is

1 also -- there is attachments that go with that  
2 letter, directions on how to pay or if you would  
3 like to basically contest it, what your options  
4 are and the steps doing that.

5 Q. And Staff Exhibit 3?

6 A. Exhibit 3 would be a letter that  
7 would go out to somebody who has had a  
8 conference with one of the compliance officers.  
9 Failing to reach a settlement the compliance  
10 officer would draft this letter. It's called a  
11 Notice of Preliminary Determination. NPD is  
12 what we commonly call it.

13 And it basically says this is what  
14 you have been charged with and this is what we  
15 intend to seek from you as far as forfeiture.

16 Q. And these are notices that are  
17 required by the Commission's rules; correct?

18 A. Yes, sir.

19 Q. And based on your review of the  
20 Commission's file did the Respondent receive all  
21 of the notices that they were entitled to by  
22 law?

23 A. Well, they were mailed to his  
24 address. And actually received them, we met the  
25 criteria for mailing them to their last known

1 address.

2 Q. Very good. And the documents that  
3 we have reviewed today, Staff Exhibit 1, the  
4 examination report, 1-A, the photographs, were  
5 taken by Officer Bell, and the notices that you  
6 just described, these were all contained as  
7 regular parts of the Commission's records with  
8 respect to this particular case; is that  
9 correct?

10 A. That is correct.

11 Q. In your opinion are the forfeitures  
12 proposed in this case not only accurately  
13 determined, but a reasonable amount?

14 A. Very reasonable. And, yes,  
15 accurate.

16 MR. MARGARD: Thank you. Your  
17 Honor, I have no further questions for this  
18 witness.

19 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Do you have any  
20 questions?

21 MR. JOHANSEN: No, sir.

22 ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are excused.  
23 Mr. Margard, do you have any further witnesses?

24 MR. MARGARD: I do not, your Honor.  
25 Thank you.

1                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Very good. We  
2 will go to the Respondent's side of the case.  
3 Do you wish to present testimony?

4                   MR. JOHANSEN: I do.

5                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Please come up  
6 and be seated.

7                   (WITNESS SWORN)

8                   - - -

9                   GARY JOHANSEN  
10 called as a witness, being first duly sworn,  
11 testified as follows:

12                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: I want to ask  
13 you to speak up with your testimony so everybody  
14 can hear you.

15                   MR. JOHANSEN: Yes, sir.

16                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: And be succinct  
17 and concise and get right to the point.

18                   MR. JOHANSEN: Okay. When  
19 the officer pulled me over for no seat belt,  
20 yes, I did not have my seat belt on. I am, you  
21 know, I am guilty of that. I did not have my  
22 seat belt on. I just jumped on the highway and  
23 I didn't put it on.

24                   Okay. But as far as what my license  
25 is, that is nothing more than a mistake between



1 the BMV and me. I checked the wrong box. I  
2 didn't know I was doing anything wrong. I  
3 checked the wrong box.

4 I used to drive out of state, I used  
5 to have to do deliveries in Pittsburgh. And I  
6 got a couple random pull-overs there and never  
7 had an issue, truck inspection guys never had an  
8 issue, never got a ticket.

9 I got hundreds of thousands of miles  
10 under my belt and got a perfect driving record.  
11 And I would do nothing to jeopardize my license.

12 This is nothing more than a mistake  
13 between the BMV and me. When you asked  
14 the people at the BMV, you know, I got to change  
15 this on my license, do you drive out of state,  
16 no. You check this box.

17 They don't even know. The day he  
18 gave me the ticket I went immediately to the BMV  
19 and had to Google where the closest BMV was, and  
20 I went right there and changed the status of my  
21 license immediately. On the spot I did it.

22 And even at that point the guy at  
23 the BMV says if you don't drive out of state you  
24 don't check that box. I thought so too, but  
25 apparently I do.

1                   Somehow I checked the wrong box.

2                   And that is all this is. I would never  
3                   intentionally do anything to jeopardize my  
4                   license or cause all this to happen.

5                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Does that  
6                   conclude your or testimony?

7                   MR. JOHANSEN: Yes, sir. Oh, sir,  
8                   one more thing. I haul produce. Of course, we  
9                   don't grow produce in Ohio. So, it's all  
10                  received in our warehouse and then for weeks  
11                  before it's put on our trucks.

12                  So, to me I am hauling it from  
13                  Cleveland. I never heard of this. I am done.

14                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: You are done.  
15                  Okay. Mr. Margard.

16                  MR. MARGARD: Thank you.

17                  CROSS-EXAMINATION

18                  By Mr. Margard:

19                  Q. Just a couple of questions to be  
20                  clear. You in fact were not wearing your seat  
21                  belt; is that correct?

22                  A. I was not, right.

23                  Q. And you in fact had a license that  
24                  had intrastate endorsement on it; correct?

25                  A. Yes.

1           Q.    And you were hauling produce that  
2   was produced out of the State of Ohio; correct?

3           A.    Yes.

4           MR. MARGARD:   That's all I needed to  
5   establish in terms of the elements, your Honor.  
6   Thank you.

7           ATTORNEY EXAMINER:   Anything else to  
8   add?

9           MR. JOHANSEN:   No, sir.

10          ATTORNEY EXAMINER:   You are excused.

11          MR. JOHANSEN:   Thank you, your  
12   Honor.

13          ATTORNEY EXAMINER:   Do you have  
14   anything more today?

15          MR. MARGARD:   Just a couple of  
16   notes, your Honor.   I would like to have  
17   the bench take notice of an interpretation from  
18   the Federal Motor Carrier Safety Administration  
19   as to the difference between interstate and  
20   intrastate commerce.

21                 Specifically I have a publication  
22   from their website that indicates that  
23   interstate transportation would consist of  
24   transportation between two places in a state as  
25   part of trade, traffic or transportation

1     originating or terminating outside the state of  
2     Ohio or the United States.

3                 So I would like the Bench to take  
4     notice of that interpretation.

5                 In addition, I would like to bring  
6     to the Bench's attention without need of  
7     briefing this case, that the Commission recently  
8     had an opportunity to review a case almost  
9     identical in nature. It is In the Matter of  
10    Tommy Shad, in Case No. 15-1521-TR-CVF.  
11    And the Opinion and Order that issued by the  
12    Commission on June 8th, 2016.

13                I have a copy of the Opinion and  
14    Order that I am willing to provide to your Honor  
15    and to the Respondent, but the Commission made  
16    it very clear that the regulation in this case  
17    restricts drivers to intrastate shipments that  
18    originate in Ohio and are to be delivered in  
19    Ohio.

20                A similar sort of situation where  
21    Mr. Shad was unaware of that particular  
22    restriction, that particular interpretation, but  
23    the Commission found that because he was hauling  
24    in this case items from China that he was in  
25    violation of the regulation.

1                   So the Commission has spoken to this  
2 matter in the past and there is precedent for  
3 the Staff's position in this case. And that is  
4 all I have. Thank you.

5                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you. May  
6 I see those items?

7                   MR. MARGARD: You may.

8                   ATTORNEY EXAMINER: This is from  
9 the United States Department of Transportation  
10 website?

11                  MR. MARGARD: Yes, your Honor.

12                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Okay. And  
13 the second is a past Commission case. I have no  
14 trouble taking administrative notice of either  
15 of those. So, I will do that at this time.

16                  And I will admit all exhibits into  
17 evidence at this time.

18                  (EXHIBITS HEREBY ADMITTED INTO  
19 EVIDENCE)

20                  MR. MARGARD: Thank you, your Honor.

21                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Is there  
22 anything more to add?

23                  MR. MARGARD: No, sir.

24                  ATTORNEY EXAMINER: Thank you all  
25 for coming. The case will be submitted on the

record.

(At 10:25 A.M. the hearing was  
concluded)

- - -

CERTIFICATE

I do hereby certify that the foregoing  
is a true and correct transcript of the  
proceedings taken by me in this matter on July  
18, 2017, and carefully compared with my  
original stenographic notes.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Michael O. Spencer,  
Registered Professional  
Reporter.

- - -

**This foregoing document was electronically filed with the Public Utilities**

**Commission of Ohio Docketing Information System on**

**7/31/2017 1:58:24 PM**

**in**

**Case No(s). 17-1158-TR-CVF**

Summary: Transcript In the Matter of Gary Johansen Notice of Apparent Violation and Intent to Assess Forfeiture, hearing held on July 18, 2017. electronically filed by Mr. Ken Spencer on behalf of Armstrong & Okey, Inc. and Spencer, Michael O. Mr.